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## PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good morning, everyone. Day 59. The Deerfield Group has some questions. Ms. Menard, you're going first, I understand. (Continuation of cross-examination of the witness panel of Stephanie Verdile, Ammy Heiser, and David Jodoin.)

MS. MENARD: Good morning, neighbors. WITNESS VERDILE: Good morning. WITNESS HEISER: Good morning. WITNESS JODOIN: Good morning. MS. MENARD: Yes. Jeanne Menard, as introduced, from Deerfield.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (resumed)
BY MS. MENARD:
Q And, Ms. Heiser, I'd like to start with you, just a couple questions, about the 2004 Natural Resource submission in your supplemental testimony. And this starts -- it's in your attachment. And I think I'll just put a copy up for folks to take a look at. So, this is -this is just the cover page from the Natural
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Resource chapter.
And the question that $I$ have for you is right at the summary, right near the very end, the "Buffer Between Uses" concept. And I was wondering if you could read into the record this sentence that's highlighted.

A (Heiser) It says "Buffers Between Uses: Written agreements which relate to the establishment and maintenance of buffer areas between incompatible land uses can be used to ensure that issues related to development and growth do not have a negative impact on the rural and scenic qualities that are valued" -it says "that a valued by the Town." That's an editing mistake, but --

Q Thank you. Has the Town ever enacted this agreement?

A (Heiser) Being on the Conservation Commission, we might -- I might not always be aware of this being enacted. But $I$ do remember going to a hearing when they were putting in a new restaurant. They were putting in a restaurant in town, right along Route 3, what is known as the "CAV", or the "CAV'ern". And the neighbors
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were complaining that their parking, when people were pulling in to park, the headlights were a distraction and annoyance to the neighbors. And I believe they went before the Planning Board, and the resolution was to plant a row of coniferous trees, which they did.

Q Okay. Thank you. Northern Pass Transmission is suggesting vegetative buffers as possible mitigation in certain locations. Do you think vegetative buffers are an effective method to minimize visual impacts?

A (Heiser) Well, the buffers can only go so high, and the towers are going to far, you know, outreach the height of what the vegetative buffers are. They may help to, you know, distract from the lattice-work structures on the bottom, which would be a help.

But, certainly, there are going to be these towers. And, in Pembroke, the towers, the average tower height is going to be 130 feet, with the highest 145 feet. So, I don't think there's a buffer they can do that is going to minimize that.

Q In your -- different topic. In your
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 59/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-09-17\}
supplemental testimony, you mentioned that, in 2004, Pembroke had 285 acres in conservation. Has this number been updated?

A (Heiser) We just finished our Natural Resource chapter for the Master Plan. The Commission ended up having a natural resource inventory done by Rick Van de Poll. And the new number for conservation in Pembroke $I$ believe is 534 acres. So, we've been working very hard to increase the amount of land that is permanently protected.

Q From an orderly development standpoint, does this fact reflect any trends that you're seeing in Pembroke?

A (Heiser) I've had a lot of people that have congratulated us on purchasing properties. And we did a Farm and Ranch Protection Program for the Hillman Farm, and that solicited a lot of positive feedback from Pembroke. Citizens who came to a lot of meetings, they were well-attended, showing their support to the protection of that particular parcel, which was right along the Suncook River. And which we, as a Conservation Commission, have in our
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criteria rating sheet, the parcels that are along the rivers are our most highly prioritized parcels for protection.

Q How does this -- final question. How does this Project affect the conservation value that the Town is trying to preserve?

A (Heiser) It's a huge negative impact. Environmentally, there's going to be a lot of wetland disruption, forest deforestation, threats -- huge threats to our water, which is also a huge concern to the Conservation Commission.

MS. MENARD: Okay. Thank you. May I ask for a volunteer? I just have a few exhibits, for somebody to run the ELMO for me. Thank you, Pam.

ADMIN. MONROE: That would be me.
BY MS. MENARD:
Q So, some questions for you, Mr. Jodoin. And, in your supplemental testimony, you stated "534 Cross Country Road will have a visual impact on the Project" -- "impact of the Project", excuse me. Is that true?

A (Jodoin) Correct.
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Q And are you aware that 534 Cross Country Road was a case study property for the Applicants' real estate expert?

A (Jodoin) No, I wasn't.
Q Let's look at Appendix -- the Exhibit Number 1, this is Appendix 46 . This is a case study out of Appendix E, Page 1216. And this is just a cover sheet for this Case Study Number 48. And you can see, in the highlighted section mid-page, that this property is 33 feet from the right-of-way?

A (Jodoin) Correct.
Q When we take a look at the Counsel for the Public's Exhibit 375, and this is Page 3, this is stamp number 10481 at the bottom. And just for background for you, Mr. Jodoin, this was a list that was generated by the Applicant of all residences within 100 feet of the right-of-way. Do you see the Cross Country Road property on this list?

A (Jodoin) No.
Q For your benefit, the case study influence is looking at the effect -- the purpose of the case study is just to take a look at the
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influence of the high-voltage tension line on property values.

And, if we look at Page 1223, in the Appraisal Report, it states it a little more clearly, that the purpose of this assignment is to -- for the property that has been appraised to assume that it was not influenced by the presence of the high-voltage tension line. Do you see that?

A (Jodoin) I do.
Q Okay. Let's take a look at the tax map for 534 Cross Country Road. And do you agree that the right-of-way is a prominent locational factor for this property?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection, Mr. Chair. The information from Mr. Chalmers' report was included with the original report. The exhibit that she put up was produced in discovery. So, this is all information that was available to Mr. Jodoin, and could have and should have been included in any work he did.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Menard.
MS. MENARD: This is background
information for Mr. Jodoin. I'm going to
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launch into some of the area of expertise, comparable sales, information that he may be familiar with in terms of to support questions regarding his testimony, supplemental testimony.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, you're representing what you're doing right now is setup for what you really want to know. MS. MENARD: Correct. Correct. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. You can continue.

MS. MENARD: Thank you.
BY MS. MENARD:
Q So, are you familiar with the concept of
"comparable sales"?
A (Jodoin) Yes.
Q Does this come up when people file for tax abatements?

A (Jodoin) Correct.
Q I'd like for you to look at Page 1226. And you can see the subject property on the left, and the heading and the address is the property that you were concerned about in your testimony. And to the right, do you see a
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Comparison Number 1 address?
A (Jodoin) Yes, I do.
Q And is 536 Cross Country Road, if we want to put the tax map back on for us, thank you. Can you confirm for me whether these properties are right next door to each other?

A (Jodoin) They are.
Q Thank you. I'd like to clarify one point regarding the tax card submissions in your supplemental testimony. When you were reviewing the Project maps, did you consider the distance of the right-of-way from the house? Or was your opinion just about property value effects, mostly on the change of visibility of the structures?

A (Jodoin) Change of visibility on the structures.

Q Is it your opinion that a change of visibility due to increased structure heights will affect that property's assessed value?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. It's
calling for either elaboration or expansion on testimony he already offered.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Menard. It
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sounds like --

MS. MENARD: I don't feel --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It's sounds --
just let me tell you what it sounds like. It sounds like you are looking for this witness to give some new opinions about things that were not included in his original testimony. And I'm probably going to stop you, if that's what you're trying to do.

So, tell me what it is you're trying to do that's not that.

MS. MENARD: I would like to determine if Mr. Jodoin -- the reason that he was raising -- he has multiple examples of properties with tax cards, and he was raising the concern about visibility effects on assessments, future assessments for the community. So, I wanted to confirm that statement, because it wasn't clearly stated, in my opinion. So, I'd like to have him clarify that.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You just articulated his argument. Do you think that was -- do you think it was unclear in his
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testimony?
MS. MENARD: Excuse me?
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think you --
you were able to understand what he was arguing in his testimony. So, what's unclear?

MS. MENARD: Oh. Okay. So, no confirming that fact?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seems
unnecessary. You were able to understand it.
MS. MENARD: Understood.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And could, if you wanted to make an argument based on it to us, because we may not know as much as you do about that, but we can probably understand the argument, if you make it.

MS. MENARD: Okay. Understood. So, thank you, Mr. Jodoin.

WITNESS JODOIN: You're welcome.
BY MS. MENARD:
Q I have a few questions for you, Ms. Verdile. And these are questions from another intervenor, Mr. Cote, from our group. So, this may read a little bit like a recipe. So, we'll do the best here.
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 59/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-09-17\}
[WITNESS PANEL: Verdile|Heiser|Jodoin]

So, he's asking for a clarification, yesterday when you were questioned by the Counsel for the Public, there was reference of your protective well radius concerns. And he wondered if you could explain what the protective well radius is?

A (Verdile) The protective -- excuse me -- the protective well radius is an area mapped out, I believe it is set by DES. And let me just caveat that with that's not -- not that familiar with it, as far as how it was set up, how it's designed, how far do you go. That would be something for Pembroke Water Works to answer completely.

But the wellhead is an area that is mapped off, I believe that ours is a thousand -- is it a thousand feet?

A (Heiser) In the Natural Resource chapter, I believe it says the Wellhead Protection Zone is a 1500-foot radius. But it's not necessarily always the same. It depends on, because public water can be a small group, and it can be -- it can serve a larger group. And I think it's dependent on what size group it's serving.
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A (Verdile) And there are six wells located within that Wellhead Protection Area. So, any activity, any additional activity, construction activity, for however long, poses a huge threat to six wells that serve Pembroke and Concord, in any way, shape, or form, in my opinion.

Q And he'd like a follow-up, just in terms of making sure that your concerns have been addressed, to your knowledge?
(Verdile) No.
I'd like to take a look at your prefiled testimony. And this is from Page 5, Lines 1 through 3. And I'll just let you take a look at that.

And his questions are, if we -- he'd like us to follow up and take a look at a response of Mr. Varney, when he was being questioned by Mr. Pappas. And he's being asked if he has an opinion "as to whether or not at some point the intensity of use within the right-of-way, was added to the right-of-way, would at some point adversely impact local land use?" And Mr. Varney is saying "No".

So, do you think Mr. Varney's final
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recommendation regarding orderly development reflects the Town's concern?

A (Verdile) No, I do not.
MS. MENARD: Okay. And I believe this last question has already been discussed yesterday. So, I'm going to complete.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. And you dealt with Mr. Cote's questions?

MS. MENARD: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, I think Mr. Raff. For the panel's benefit, he's way out in the back.

MR. RAFF: Good afternoon [sic]. My name is Alan Raff. And I represent the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers. Thank you guys for being here. BY MR. RAFF:

Q My question is to Mr. Jodoin. Yesterday morning $I$ got into the hearing a little late. And, when $I$ came in, $I$ noticed that you were meeting with Ms. Menard and your counsel, Attorney Whitley, in the Court Reporter Work Center Room. And that surprised me. And I wanted to know what were you guys discussing
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with Ms. Menard and Attorney Whitley?
MR. WHITLEY: Objection. Relevance.
MR. RAFF: Mr. Chair, given the pending motion submitted by the Forest Society on friendly cross-examination and the other issues we've dealt with regarding cross-examination and friendly cross, I think it's relevant to know if they were coordinating.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'm going to overrule the objection.

MR. RAFF: So, --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, you can answer.

WITNESS JODOIN: Can you --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You can answer the question.

WITNESS JODOIN: Can you repeat it?
MR. RAFF: Yes. No problem.
BY MR. RAFF:
Q So, Ms. Menard just asked you a series of questions. And I wanted to know, did you discuss any of the topics or questions that Ms. Menard was planning to ask you during her
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cross-examination?
A (Jodoin) Yes.
MR. RAFF: Okay. No further questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are there any other intervenors who have questions for the panel? Did we miss anybody?
[No indication given.]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
Mr. Needleman.
Mr. Dumville will asking the
questions.
MR. DUMVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Good morning.
WITNESS VERDILE: Good morning.
MR. DUMVILLE: My name is Adam
Dumville. And $I$ represent the Applicants. I believe I met most of you at the tech session, except for Mr. Jodoin. Nice to meet you.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q First off, I want to bring back up Exhibit 3 -or, Applicants Exhibit 391, which is the Applicants' August 25, 2017 letter to the SEC regarding updated permit plans and project maps
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that he were discussing yesterday.
MR. DUMVILLE: Dawn, could we turn to
Page 2 please.
BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q And Items 2 through 6 are what we were discussing yesterday. Do you understand that all of the requested changes in this letter were made directly as a result of Attorney Whitley requesting them during the Construction panel cross-examination on the morning of Day 8 ?

A (Verdile) Yes.
Q And regarding the changes that you said that you couldn't actually locate on the Project maps yesterday, do you recognize that the Applicant has specifically represented in this letter that those changes have, in fact, been made?

A (Verdile) They may say they have been changed, but they were negligible amounts to make a difference for me to make any kind of opinion whether or not they're going to be effective or not, or whether they have even been made.

Q So, without actually going back and arguing
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over whether or not the Project maps clearly reflect those changes, which I represent to you that they actually do, if the SEC were to make the changes identified in this letter, Items 2 through 6, as conditions of their certificate, if one is issued, would that satisfy some of your concerns?

A (Verdile) No.
Q And why not?
A (Verdile) Because the changes are negligible to the overall impact of the Project. Well, at least the changes that Attorney Whitley had asked you to -- or, had asked the Applicants to make, and if those were conditions, would that satisfy those specific six concerns that Attorney Whitley had raised?

A (Verdile) No.
Q What else would like to see done in terms of specific --

A (Verdile) Just because you locate a construction pad a few feet to move it out of Cross Country Road doesn't mean that that construction pad is still going to have detrimental impacts. That's my opinion.
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1

Q Okay. But we would agree that it wouldn't impact the road?

A (Verdile) Correct.
Q Okay.
A (Verdile) Doesn't mean that there's other impacts associated with that.

Q Understood. Yesterday you also discussed the use of roads in town, which were going to be used to access the right-of-way. Do you recall that?

A (Verdile) Yes.
Okay. And we went back through yesterday, after your testimony, and examined Applicants Exhibit 1, Appendix 47, which are the original project maps that Mr. Whitley had showed you. I will represent to you that the only roads identified in Appendix 47 that will be used to access the right-of-way are Sand Road, Route 106, Fourth Range Road, Cross Country Road, Fuller Road, North Pembroke Road, Route 28, and Batchelder Road. None of those are Class VI roads, correct?

A (Heiser) Yes, they are.
Q The portions that are identified on the maps,
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Appendix 47 , to access the right-of-way will not be those Class VI roads. Does that change your opinion?

A (Heiser) No. Because I know that Sixth Range Road is in no area is not a Class VI road.

Q I'm sorry?
A (Heiser) That there is -- Sixth Range Road, which is also being used, is a Class VI road.

Q I did not just read "Sixth Range Road" on my list.

A (Heiser) Oh. Okay. But you said "Fourth Range"?

Q I did say "Fourth". But the section that the Applicants intend to use is a Class V road.

A (Heiser) You mean at that point, -Yes.
(Heiser) -- Fourth Range is a Class --
Correct, at that point. Would that satisfy any of your concerns about use of Class VI roads?

A (Heiser) If the Applicant isn't using the Sixth -- the Range Roads, the Class VI roads, I
would feel better, from the environmental
standpoint, because those roads are already
unstable, and that's my big concern about
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construction vehicles using those Class VI roads.

Q And the cover letter that we just discussed here, that was submitted on August 25, does not mention anything about using additional town roads, does it?

A (Heiser) I'm not sure. I don't remember.
MR. DUMVILLE: Well, Dawn, can we pull up -- yes, we've got it up.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q Well, I'll represent to you, so we don't have to read through the entire letter, that it does not mention anything about using additional town roads. So, when you were testifying yesterday and looking at the Project maps, did you simply assume that the Applicants were using new roads, based on this August 25, 2017 submission?

A (Heiser) The pictures that $I$ saw, the changes that had been made, were the aprons near those roads that were a change. That would lead me to believe that those roads were going to be used. That that was the way that the construction vehicles were going to -- were
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going to access the right-of-ways.
Q But you didn't reach out to the Applicants to discuss this matter, or at least your interpretation of the Project maps, did you?

A (Heiser) I did not.
A (Verdile) No.
Q Okay. And would it surprise you if $I$ told you that there was nothing additional in the Application or the materials submitted in August 2017 that would expand the list of these roads to be used in town?

A (Verdile) Yes, it would. As a matter of fact, I would like to have the maps pulled up again and have you explain the changes, if you say that there's no additional access of road. Well, $I$ don't have the maps right in front of me. But $I$ will represent again that the changes identified in the letter are the only changes that have been made to the Project maps. And to the extent that you had questions about some of those alterations, you could have, in fact, directed your attorney to reach out to the Project and ask whether or not changes had been made, correct?
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A (Verdile) Who was this letter sent to?

Q It was addressed to the entire Site Evaluation Committee, and served upon your counsel. So, you're aware that this letter was, in fact, filed on August 25, according to the date on the letter, correct?

A (Verdile) Yes. I was not aware on August 25th that it was filed. But I'm aware of when it was filed by looking at it.

Q And I believe you said yesterday that the Project maps -- or, you had not seen the Project maps until a few days prior to testifying today, correct?

A (Verdile) Correct.
Q Okay. But they were available as of October 25. And you're aware that some of the members of the Northern Pass Project Team had met with the Town on October 23rd, 2017, correct?

A (Heiser) I wasn't at the time.
A (Jodoin) Correct.
Q Mr. Jodoin, you were present at that meeting, right?

A (Jodoin) Correct.
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Q Okay. And these maps were available to you before that meeting, correct?

A (Jodoin) I don't remember.
Q But you didn't have any specific questions about this letter that were brought up during that meeting, right?

A (Jodoin) Correct.
Q So, I will represent that the meeting that we had was, in fact, a private meeting, but our -and $I$ won't pull up any notes that we took, but our Project Team did take contemporaneous Project notes. And, Mr. Jodoin, please correct me if I'm wrong, but Mr. Rochon, Ovid Rochon, the Project representative, specifically explained that the Project only plans to use public roads to access the right-of-way, and that the contractor does not intend on using any Class VI roads. Does that surprise you? (Jodoin) From looking at the maps, it did look like they were going to be using some portions of Class VI roads.

Okay. But, again, that was not brought up during the meeting based on Mr. Rochon's representation, right?
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A (Jodoin) Correct.
Q And Mr. Rochon also informed the Town at the time that, if there was any damage caused by the contractor, the Applicants would be responsible for restoring the road to pre-construction or better, right?
(Jodoin) Correct. But it was kind of vague as to whether or not whose rules they were looking at when restoring the roads.
okay.
MR. DUMVILLE: Okay. So, thank you,
Dawn. Changing topics for a minute. Pulling up Applicants Exhibit 402 please.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q And this is for the panel generally, but I'll direct them right now to Ms. Verdile. But, Ms. Verdile, you've been the Town Planner since 2012, correct?

A (Verdile) Yes. That's correct.
Q And I'm assuming you're aware of the outreach efforts that the Applicants have made in the Town of Pembroke, right?

A (Verdile) Yes.
Q So, I'm pulling up Applicants Exhibit 402,
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which is the summary of outreach efforts the Applicant has made in the Town. And, as you can see, the Applicants have held one public house -- one public open house; six public information sessions; we've sent two outreach letters; had a significant amount of meetings; and other correspondence with the Town.

Is this summary generally consistent with your knowledge of the outreach the Applicants have had in the Town?

A (Verdile) Yes.
MR. DUMVILLE: Dawn, could we just put up Page 2 as well please. Thank you.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q And, so, based on this summary, you're aware that the Applicants have, in fact, attempted to reach out to the Town on numerous occasions, right?

A (Verdile) Yes.
Q And beginning in March of 2017, the Applicants sent a letter to the Town asking whether the Town would be interested in negotiating a memorandum of understanding, correct?

A (Jodoin) That was correct.
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MR. DUMVILLE: Okay. Dawn, could we just pull up Applicants Exhibit 404 please.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q And the letter -- I'll represent to you, the letter that we sent to you was dated March 14 , 2017 asking about the MOU.

MR. DUMVILLE: Dawn, can we go to Page 2 please. And highlight Section VI.C. BY MR. DUMVILLE:

Q And this says "ForwardNH/Northern Pass was contacted by the Town's Attorney to stop sending letter requesting meetings with the Board of Selectmen." Is that a fair characterization of these -- of this exhibit? MR. WHITLEY: Objection. Relevance. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dumville. MR. DUMVILLE: It's just explaining the exact status of the negotiations with the Town, and demonstrating that the Applicants had a continued good faith basis of negotiating, even with regard to receipt of this letter. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled. You can answer.

BY THE WITNESS:
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A (Jodoin) The Board of Selectmen have received a lot of information and correspondence from various different people. So, you could say that that statement was correct.

MR. DUMVILLE: Okay. And I'll
represent for the record that these are Town of Pembroke Board of Selectmen Meeting Minutes from March 20, 2017.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q Are you aware of the status of the negotiations regarding the Memorandum of Understanding, Mr. Jodoin?

A (Jodoin) At this point in time, the Board of Selectmen has not heard back from Northern Pass's representation.

Q Okay. So, you are waiting for additional information at this point?

A (Jodoin) That's how it was left at the last meeting, yes.

Q All right. Okay. So, based on -- going back just for one minute to the Applicants' letter dated August 25, where the Applicants had represented that we had made changes based on Attorney Whitley's recommendations. Would that
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demonstrate to you, Mr. Jodoin, that the Applicants are, in fact, willing to negotiate with the Town and make specific changes based on Town input?

A (Jodoin) I feel that both parties would be willing to sit down.

Okay. So, you would agree then that the Memorandum of Understanding is a contract between two parties in which both parties must execute for it to be binding, correct?

MR. WHITLEY: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Dumville, it does.

MR. DUMVILLE: Okay. Fair enough. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It sounds like a law school -- first year law school question. MR. DUMVILLE: Okay.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q So, you would agree, though, that if there were additional conditions that the Town had or wanted to suggest to the Applicants be added to the MOU, you would agree with me that the Town could suggest such changes, right?
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A (Jodoin) Of course.
Q And when you said, or I don't recall who it was on the panel, but somebody said yesterday that they thought the draft Memorandum of Understanding that was circulated was "generally vague" and didn't cover the things that you wanted to have in the MOU. Do you recall that?

A (Jodoin) I do.
Q Okay. So, did you consider editing the Memorandum of Understanding, the draft Memorandum that was circulated, and sending it back to the Applicants for their consideration?

A (Jodoin) I have not.
Q And you're still interested, right, in working with the Applicants to reach an agreement?

A (Jodoin) The Board of Selectmen would be willing to sit down.

MR. DUMVILLE: Okay. So, Dawn, I'd like to call up the Applicants' Pembroke tax letter please. And this is --

MS. GAGNON: 400 .
MR. DUMVILLE: 400? Applicants
Exhibit 400 please.
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BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q And this is a letter that was sent to Pembroke's Board of Selectmen on February 24, Applicants Exhibit 400. And the Applicants' expert, Dr. Shapiro, had estimated that the first year taxable investment by Northern Pass would be $\$ 34.5$ million. Are you aware of that?

A (Jodoin) Correct.
Q And the Applicants' estimate for the Town of Pembroke would be 848,088 , is that right?

A (Jodoin) That's what the letter says, correct. MR. DUMVILLE: And on Page 3, Dawn.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q So, over the 20 -year period, the Project is estimated to pay approximately $\$ 14$ million to \$15 million in taxes, is that right, according to Dr. Shapiro?

A (Jodoin) That would be correct, based on the assessed valuation at that time.

MR. DUMVILLE: Okay. And, Dawn, could we pull up the next exhibit please.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q And I'll represent to you that this is information that we obtained from the Town of
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Pembroke. And, based on the Applicants' first year property tax payments, would you agree that Northern Pass would be the second highest taxpayer in the Town of Pembroke?

A (Jodoin) If those values stood, yes.
Q Okay. So, one other topic on the -- or expanding topics for one second, going back to the Range Roads. Ms. Heiser, you testified about damage to some of the Range Roads. And I believe your clarification this morning was you were mostly concerned about Class VI roads, correct?

A (Heiser) Yes. The Class VI are what we call the "Range Roads".

Q So, are the Range Roads only Class VI roads?
A (Heiser) When we speak -- I know some Range Roads have been -- there are portions of those roads that were named "Range Roads" that have been opened, --

Q Okay.
A (Heiser) -- and are now Class V roads.
Q So, to the extent that the Applicants are required to use roads generally -- general roads in the Town of Pembroke, if there were
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specific conditions or restoring the roads to a preference the Town had, would you be willing to discuss those specific conditions with the Applicants?

A (Heiser) I have little faith that you're going to be able to preserve the historic and beauty of those Range Roads. That is a big advantage that I believe the Town of Pembroke, I've lived there for 40 years, and so much has been taken away from us. And the Range Roads are very environmentally sensitive area that now has been plagued, and it has been plagued by increased use and abuse of large vehicles. And with construction vehicles making new entryways into those Range Roads, we already have a problem with having, you know, having anybody be able to patrol those roads and to enforce the restrictions that we've placed on them. It's been an ongoing problem. And I just see this as another way that our Range Roads are going to degrade. And we have very highly sensitive environmental areas right there in that Range Road area that is going to be compromised as a result of that.
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Q So, I believe you've testified already that the Range Roads have been degraded over the years, correct?

A (Heiser) Yes.
Right. So, they're in some state of a disrepair at this point, you would say?
(Heiser) Yes. And that's why we feel it's so important to not let it get any worse.

Q Right. So, my question to you is that you're aware that the Applicants have agreed to repair any roads to either pre-construction condition or better. So, if there was a specific way or a condition you would like the roads to be restored in, that's something that could be part of an MOU, correct?
(Heiser) So you say.
Okay. So, you also testified in your testimony about seasonal restrictions of town roads. Are you aware that, if the Town also had particular concerns about seasonal weight restrictions, those could also be incorporated in an MOU?
(Heiser) I would hope that, if the -- if this Project goes through, and $I$ hope it doesn't, but, if it does, $I$ hope that you will take
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every measure to protect those roads and to do what you can to help, you know, return them to a better condition as...

Q Yesterday, Ms. Heiser, you also raised some concerns about expanded use of ATVs. And I believe Attorney Aslin discussed that with you, right?

A (Heiser) Yes.
Q Okay. And at the tech session, I asked you about whether you'd be willing to discuss signage and posting barriers for the right-of-way and entrance off of Range Roads, correct?

A (Heiser) Yes.
Q And you're aware that the Applicants have already committed to working with landowners to install gates and barriers where appropriate, correct?

A (Heiser) I have heard some. I haven't -- I'm not totally aware of who you've reached out to and who you've talked to about that. Okay. So, again, at least as far as the Town is concerned, this is again something else that could be entered into as part of an MOU,
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correct?
A (Heiser) I would -- I would say that that -- if you say that.

Q And this was not, Mr. Jodoin, this topic was not brought up at the October 23rd, 2017
meeting, correct?
(Jodoin) Correct.
So, Ms. Verdile, you also raised concerns
generally about impacts to local Range Roads and we've discussed the Class VI roads.

MR. DUMVILLE: Dawn, could we pull up
Applicants Exhibit 397 please. And I believe
this was also showed to you on direct exam.
397, sorry. The Pembroke Master Plan,
Chapter III. Page III-2 please.
BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q And in the middle of the page, the Pembroke Master Plan, as a goal and objective, states to "Identify and designate scenic roads", correct?

A (Heiser) Yes.
Q Okay. And you would agree with me, however,
that the Town has not officially designated any scenic roads in the town, correct?

A (Heiser) No. I believe Class VI roads are
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considered "scenic roads", but they have not been designated.

Q Okay. There have also been concerns raised generally about aesthetics, correct?

A (Heiser) Yes.
MR. DUMVILLE: Dawn, could we pull up Applicants Exhibit 399 please. Page VII-4.

BY MR. DUMVILLE:
Q And another goal in the Town's Master Plan is "To identify the Town's scenic resources, as scenic roads, vistas and other viewscapes." And you would agree that the Town's Master Plan has not specifically identified any scenic resources in the town, is that right?

A (Heiser) Our new Natural Resource Inventory lists -- has a listing of scenic roads. So, that is the endeavor of our new Master Plan, the Natural Resource chapter.

Q Okay.
A (Heiser) We've made those -- we've made those distinctions now.

Q Right. And I believe we discussed that Natural Resources Inventory at the tech session, correct?
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A (Heiser) Yes.
Q And that wasn't -- the Natural Resources
Inventory that you had referenced, and just referenced again, had not been adopted as of February 1, correct?

A (Heiser) It hadn't. But, as of May lst, it has been.

Q As of May 1st, correct. And you're aware that the Applicants' adjudicative proceedings commenced before May 1st, correct?

A (Heiser) Yes.
Okay. Ms. Heiser, a couple other questions relating to environmental issues that you raised in your testimony. You generally raised concerns about water quality, potential for point pollution. Have you had a chance to review the DES Final Permit conditions?

A (Heiser) Yes.
Q You've reviewed all the Wetlands, the Shorelands, the Water Quality Certificate, and Alteration of Terrain Permits?
(Heiser) I've been cramming for my exam recently, so my head is full of a lot of things. But, yes, I did see all the
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conditions.
Q Okay. Did you review the Final Permit
conditions before filing your supplemental
testimony on August 17th?
A (Heiser) I did not.
Q Okay. So, when you filed your supplemental testimony, you were not aware at the time of whether or not any of the DES permit conditions had satisfied some of your concerns, is that right?

A (Heiser) I didn't look at it closely enough, so...

Q Okay. As you sit here today, after reviewing the Final DES Permit conditions, do you still have concerns?

A (Heiser) Huge.
Okay. Now, what specific concerns do you have about the DES Permit?

A (Heiser) My primary concern is the water quality in our town, as I've watched the State of New Hampshire have water issues everywhere where water has been contaminated. It's become increasingly alarming to me. And this Project goes right through that Wellhead Protection
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 59/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-09-17\}
[WITNESS PANEL: Verdile|Heiser|Jodoin]

Area around the Soucook River, which provides public water for Concord and Pembroke, and it's right -- it's almost right within that right-of-way. It's touching it.

Q Are the conditions that DES has required the Applicants to follow, such as good housekeeping provisions, spill prevention reporting, developing of the storm water pollution prevention plan, requirement of a construction general permit, are those not satisfactory? (Heiser) $I$ think any time you're working in such a highly environmentally sensitive area, I really have very little faith that there is going to be no impact. That there won't be a spill, that something won't happen. I mean, the best laid plans often go astray, because some minor accident happens that turns into a major catastrophe when it happens in a very, you know, in the, you know, drift aquifer -stratified drift aquifer zones.

Q Are there any additional conditions that you would like to see be added to a permit to protect water quality as you sit here today? A (Heiser) Honestly, avoidance is the only way I
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know.
Q Okay. And the Town -- you, actually, Ms. Heiser, filed comments with the New

Hampshire Department of Environmental Services on the Wetlands application, is that right?

A (Heiser) Yes.
Q And those were dated "May 13, 2016". Does that sound right?

A (Heiser) Sounds about right.
Q Okay. And the Applicants actually responded in kind to that letter, correct?

A (Heiser) Yes, they did.
Q Okay. And DES had your comments, or at least the Town's comments almost a year before they issued their Final Permitting decision, is that right?

A (Heiser) If --
Q Ten months or so?
A (Witness Heiser nodding in the affirmative).
Q Okay. So, DES did, in fact, have all of your comments that you made in its possession before making its determination, right?

A (Heiser) Yes. I believe I have something dated "March 16th, 2016".
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Q Right. Okay. Just a few last questions here. The only other topic that $I$ wanted to address right now is you raised some concerns, Ms. Heiser, about audio and EMF impacts in your original prefiled testimony dated November 14. Are you aware that the Project's audio and EMF levels are well below any recognized standards?

A (Heiser) I understand that's -- I have read that they have made those changes.

And you're aware that the Department of Energy has, in fact, concluded that there are no specific cause or cause for concern of health-related impacts from the Project's electric and magnetic fields. Are you aware of that?

A (Heiser) I'm aware that that's what they say. I don't know if I'm in complete agreement.

Q And you're aware that the Department of Energy also concluded that any impacts from noise on the overhead portion would be below EPA established standards, right? (Heiser) I've read a lot of things. I can't quite --

And, with regards to construction, the
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Department of Energy also concluded that, with implementation of the Applicants' proposed methods, noise levels would also fall below U.S. Department of Transportation guidelines, correct?

A (Heiser) If you say so.
Q So, does this information completed by the U.S. Department of Energy satisfy any of your concerns?
(Heiser) Some, but certainly not all.
What concerns haven't been satisfied?
(Heiser) I have a huge concern about being located too near transmission lines of that magnitude.

Q Do you have any additional recommendations for conditions on this?
(Heiser) I would assume that burying them would change some of those factors.

MR. DUMVILLE: Thank you. No further questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Members of the Committee, who has questions for the witness panel?

Mr. Oldenburg.
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MR. OLDENBURG: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. Good morning.
WITNESS VERDILE: Good morning.
WITNESS HEISER: Good morning.
MR. OLDENBURG: My questions are
probably going to be a little scattered. My name is Bill Oldenburg. And $I$ work with the Department of Transportation. So, I don't have a very lot of complex questions, but most of them dealt with the Master Plan, and most of them I think are going to be for Ms. Verdile.

BY MR. OLDENBURG:
Q So, a lot of towns have submitted master plans, and have submitted testimony and have said that their master plans say "no" to this Project. And, as $I$ started to read some of the master plans, I guess it's the nuance of understanding the master plans. You know, it isn't blatant to me that the master plans say "no".

So, yesterday $I$ think you basically said, in Pembroke's Master Plan, it's the size and scope of the Project, the tower heights, and also aesthetics, and --

A (Verdile) Correct.
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-- public safety and welfare. So, those are the things that are mentioned in the Master Plan that this Project would violate, I guess. (Verdile) Correct.

Correct?
(Verdile) Yes.
And the Master Plan -- in your experience, master plans are a vision of the future, a listing of what the town wants to do, not really what the town doesn't want to do, correct?
(Verdile) Correct. The master plan is at the top of the food chain for what a town wants to be when they grow up. Okay? So, if you have these visioning sessions, you take a community survey, and you ask the residents "Where do you want development? Where do you want residential? And where do you want this?" And that all comes from the master plan.

And then the next phase of a master plan would be to write and create your regulations that address and implement the opinions of the residents of the town.

So, when -- Pembroke is extremely
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protective of Pembroke Street, maintaining the rural character and the rural quality looks and aesthetics of the town, as an example. They're very protective over that. So, the rural characteristics and aesthetic qualities for the Town of Pembroke range very high in Master Plan survey and community survey results.

So, this Project, while, yes, everyone knows there is an existing transmission line through the Town of Pembroke, this transmission line cuts through, it basically dissects the Town of Pembroke, and in the most rural zone. High power transmission lines, yes, they were put in a long time ago. We hope to think that we have come leaps and bounds of what uses are appropriate for what areas. And this type of project proposal is not appropriate for the R3 Zone, which is the majority of the Town of Pembroke. Is it appropriate in C1? Yes. You would think, when you're in a C1

Commercial/Industrial Zone, you would see high powered lines and these lattice structures that are 175 feet, 140 feet tall.

When you're in the most rural part of
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town, which is the majority of the town, you don't expect to see that. Yes, the lines are there. They're not as tall as the trees. They're wooden poles.

So, those are the differences. That's where Pembroke is coming from, as what they want to maintain their aesthetic qualities and the rural character of their town, which the majority of their town is rural.

So, one of the things, and as $I$ read through the Master Plan and your testimony, it said, you know, "go look at the survey results".

And, so, I'm going through the survey results -- I was going through the survey questions. And, like every other master plan, the questions seem to be "what do you like about the town?"

A (Witness Verdile nodding in the affirmative).
Q So, the questions sort of naturally gravitate to "I like rural."

A (Verdile) Uh-huh.
Q "I like the location." You know, "I wouldn't have bought a house there if I didn't like where I am." And there's not a lot of
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questions based upon "what I don't like", "what I don't want to see in town." So, as a planning document for the future, it's what $I$ want, not necessarily what $I$ don't want.

So, and I think, when I saw Mr. Varney's results, his sort of -- he had sort of a spreadsheet of all the different master plans that he went through and read, it's hard to distinguish what parts of it apply to "I don't like the Project" or "I don't mind the Project". Is that a fair assumption?

As I read these, I'm trying to say "how do
I understand whether this master plan is against the Project or for the Project?" And I'm not grasping the nuances of a master plan.

So, is it, like you say, because the town people like the aesthetics, they're not going to like this Project because of the towers?
(Verdile) Because of their -- yes, their established opinion in 2004, and recently, as we're redoing our Master Plan. But, again, it's the rural character of the town that they want to maintain.

The Town of Pembroke is the fifth highest
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or fifth most town with visual -- high visual impacts. Which is information that I've gained from reading and studying all of the materials from this report. That seem, when $I$ first read that, $I$ kind of thought that's kind of interesting. You would think areas more up north would have more visual impacts. But, according to the T.J. Boyle report, Pembroke is fifth in visual impacts.

So, I think part of that comes from the layout of the land in Pembroke. It is very rural. You wouldn't think, being in Merrimack County, right next to Concord, how rural Pembroke is. But, again, I'll repeat: The majority of this line is going through the most rural area of town.

And, so, the residents of Pembroke have maintained that maintaining their rural character and aesthetics is extremely important to them. They have gone to great lengths to keep Pembroke Street looking the way Pembroke Street looks. That's their choice.

So, I would say the meat-and-potatoes of the residents of Pembroke, when it comes to
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their Master Plan, is that the number one priority for them is to maintain the rural characteristics and the aesthetic qualities of their zones and of their landscapes.

Q Okay. And I read in your resumé that you've helped develop master plans before in other communities, not just Pembroke?

A (Verdile) Yes.
Q And, so, if $I$ read someone else's master plan, that's the type of information I'm looking for, to gain insight --

A (Verdile) Correct.
Q -- into whether the town would agree or disagree with the Project.

A (Verdile) Correct. The City of Laconia would have most, you know, I would guess, and I worked for the City of Laconia 16 years ago, but their Master Plan would be -- goals and objectives could be very different than the Town of Pembroke.

Q Uh-huh.
A (Verdile) They, you know, maintain lakes, maintain their water quality, maintain Paugus Bay. So, they -- I would -- those goals and
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objectives are different for each community. They have different needs, different infrastructure, different populations. So, those goals and objectives for each community would change to what the community identifies with, what's important to them.

Okay. All right. The last line of question was, I think, Ms. Heiser, yesterday you had mentioned, and $I$ forget who was asking the questions, that you had mentioned about
"burying the line", that that -- that would be preferred over what was being proposed. Was that an assumption of burying it in the existing easement or somewhere else?

A (Heiser) Some -- well, when reading on this Project, there were other alternatives. And one of them was the 93 corridor, for instance. And, so, that would eliminate the need to dig up in Pembroke any line, because it would be routed in a place that already had utilities. And that, in my mind, is sticking with where the utilities would have the least impacts. And, as the Chairman of the Conservation Commission, my charge is to protect our town's
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natural resources. And, so, you know, it's the whole avoidance, minimization, mitigation, is how we operate.

So, it seems to me that this Project does not need to go through Pembroke. And, so, I flatly am opposed to this project because of that.

Q Okay. So, my thought was at some point it can't be on 93. I guess, if it's going to Deerfield, it's got to get off 93 somewhere. And your point is, if it's buried, don't bury it in Pembroke. So, an option of burying it down North Pembroke Road, to get to 28 into Allenstown, or down Route 3, to 28 to

Allenstown, isn't -- isn't something you would even consider?

A (Heiser) You know, I care about the whole state. And I'm trying to see this Project, I don't just care about Pembroke, I care about this whole state. I love this state. And I don't think this Project suits this state. MR. OLDENBURG: Okay. All right. Thank you very much. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Wright.
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DIR. WRIGHT: Good morning, folks. Craig Wright, with DES.

WITNESS VERDILE: Good morning.
DIR. WRIGHT: I think, Ms. Heiser -Ms. Heiser, I think my questions are for you, but anybody else, please feel free to jump in. BY DIR. WRIGHT:

Q Could you just clarify, I thought I heard yesterday that there were -- the right-of-way passes through the Wellhead Protection Area of "three town wells". But this morning I thought I heard "six town wells". What's my confusion?

A (Heiser) Three are Concord's, I believe.
Q Okay. But they're --
A (Heiser) They're in that same general vicinity of the Soucook River.

Q Okay. Are they actually in Concord or are they in Pembroke?

A (Heiser) They're on the line. I couldn't say. A (Verdile) Yes.

Q Okay. So, there are six -- oh, so, there are six wells within the Wellhead Protection Area?

A (Heiser) Yes. I believe they're in Pembroke, actually.
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Q Okay. Are your concerns primarily related to the construction of the line, or also operation and maintenance of the line? (Heiser) All of the above. All of the above. (Heiser) Because I'm worried about contamination, and I've been very heightened to that with what's happened in a lot of other towns that had their water destroyed.

We have on our Board Brian Mrazik, who used to be the head of USGS. And he's very soft-spoken, he doesn't get highly volatile about anything. But his major concerns, had he has stated it numerous times in our meetings, are "we have to protect our aquifers." When you start digging and doing -- having things that are potentially contaminating to our aquifers, it's very dangerous. Because, once an aquifer is contaminated, you can't uncontaminate it. There's nothing you can do to mitigate that.

So, his big thing, and I've been blessed to be on the committee with him, he's taught me a lot about -- well, $I$ mean, he's a Ph.D in
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Hydrology. And he's very adamant that protecting our aquifers, protecting our wells, are very important to the wellbeing of Pembroke's water.

Okay. Just one general last area of questions. Now, the existing right-of-way goes through the Wellhead Protection Area, is that correct? (Heiser) Yes.

Have there been any incidents you're aware of where there have been spills or things like that within the right-of-way as a result of either operation or maintenance of the lines? (Verdile) Not that I'm aware of. That would most likely be going to the Pembroke Water Works.

DIR. WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Weathersby. MS. WEATHERSBY: Good morning. WITNESS VERDILE: Good morning. WITNESS HEISER: Good morning. MS. WEATHERSBY: I'm Patricia Weathersby. I'm a public member on the SEC. Just a couple of follow-up questions. BY MS. WEATHERSBY:
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Q Did $I$ hear you say this morning that the Master Plan was amended this past May, and, as part of that, there was a designation of scenic roads and resources?

A (Heiser) Yes. In May -- last year Rick Van de Poll did our Natural Resource Inventory. The Conservation Commission paid him to do or update our -- or, to have a natural inventory. And part of, when we hired him, was to do a Natural Resource chapter. So, my question to the Town was that that chapter was sitting there, and because the Master Plan, as a whole, hadn't been started yet, I thought "here we have this valuable information, and we have Town boards that need information when they're making decisions." So, I requested the Board of Selectmen, $I$ said "do we have to wait to adopt the Natural Resource chapter till the whole Master Plan is completed?" And they said
"No, you don't."
So, at the May 1st meeting, the Board of Selectmen adopted the Natural Resource chapter.

Q Now, was this past May, 2017?
A (Heiser) Yes. May 1st, 2017.
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Q And that now designates the Range Roads as "scenic roads"?

A (Heiser) I don't think it designates them. But, in our Master Plan, again, we're trying to look to the future, it named -- there is a graph towards the end of that new Natural Resource, and it talks about the scenic roads in Pembroke. And it suggests that we designate those scenic reads.

Okay. Then, a quick question for Mr. Jodoin. I actually was trying to understand the issue concerning the depreciation adjustment you referred to for the transmission line that presently goes through town. I think you indicated that the current tax cards take into consideration this depreciation adjustment between 5 and 50 percent.

Is that -- when you say "depreciation adjustment", is that an abatement? Was that done through an abatement process? Or was that something the Town took upon themselves? Or how did -- is it really a "depreciation adjustment"? And how did it come about?

A (Jodoin) We contract with an assessor to go
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through the townwide property values. They make those calculations based on the location, the style of the property, the height of the towers. It's not through an abatement process. It's just strictly a reduction in value on the property.

Q And that's something the town assessor did for the Project, --

A (Jodoin) Correct.
Q -- for the existing --
A (Jodoin) For the existing rights-of-way, correct, and easements.

Q Okay. And was it your testimony that that depreciation adjustment with the Northern Pass line built will then be a greater amount for those properties?

A (Jodoin) In my opinion, you could see some larger amounts, yes.

Q And, in your opinion, will there be additional properties affected or is it all the same properties that are presently --

A (Jodoin) From what $I$ have reviewed, it looked as though it's just the properties that were listed in my document.
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Q And do you have figures, and you said "5 to 50 percent adjustment" has been made. Do you have a range for what the adjustment will be if Northern Pass is built?

A (Jodoin) I don't at this time. Like I said, those are all handled by the contracted assessors. I don't put values on properties. I only deal with the abatement aspects.

MS. WEATHERSBY: Okay. Thank you. Nothing further. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Way. MR. WAY: Good morning. WITNESS VERDILE: Good morning. MR. WAY: Just one question for Mr. Jodoin.

BY MR. WAY:
So, I'm clear on the MOU that we talked about earlier. You said it's in the hands of the Applicant to come back to the community?

A (Jodoin) There were -- excuse me -- there were various different questions that were unanswered that the Selectmen posed to them. And the last stage was that the individual on Northern Pass was going to go back, they took
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down notes, they were going to back go and revisit that, and then make a response back to the Town. I have not seen that response as of yet, nor has the Board of Selectmen.

All right. So, as a result of that meeting, there was a clear understanding that the Applicant was going to answer these questions and then get back in touch with the Board?

A (Jodoin) Correct.
MR. WAY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I
think that's it for questions from the Subcommittee.

Mr. Whitley, do you have redirect for the panel?

MR. WHITLEY: Good morning.
WITNESS VERDILE: Good morning.
WITNESS HEISER: Good morning.
MR. WHITLEY: Just a couple things to
follow up on.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WHITLEY:
Q I'm going to start with the Wellhead Protection
Area, which there have been quite a few
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questions about. So, let me put this up on the ELMO.

MR. WHITLEY: Dawn, can $I$ have the ELMO please?

Can you guys see it on the screen there?

WITNESS VERDILE: Yes.
WITNESS HEISER: Yes.
MR. WHITLEY: And for the record, it's Bates stamped Joint Muni 006438 .

BY MR. WHITLEY:
Q And there were some questions on this, and I believe there was testimony yesterday from Ms. Verdile about what designated the wells on this map. And $I$ understand that you'd like to just correct what you said yesterday about what designated the wells. So, could you do that please?

A (Verdile) Yes. Without having the legend in front of me, I incorrectly said that $I$ believe that the wells were the "triangles". We asked for further clarification from the Pembroke Water Works Department to identify the wells within the wellhead protection radii. And
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those wells are what look like little blue mines. There's three there, three. And the Concord/Pembroke line is the Soucook River. So, you can see where those wells are located in relation to where they're in Pembroke and where they're in Concord.

Q And, as part of that clarification, did you understand what the triangles represented?

A (Verdile) Those were, I believe, potential hazard sites --

Okay. Thank you.
(Verdile) -- located within the radii.
With that clarification in mind, do you have -do you still have concerns about the Project's potential impact in the Wellhead Protection Area? And this for you, Ms. Verdile, or you, Ms. Heiser.

A (Verdile) Yes. They still remain absolutely very high concerns.

Q And before I get to you, Ms. Heiser, why is that, Ms. Verdile?
(Verdile) Because, first of all, knowing that there's -- for clarification, that there are six wells within that radii, and the location
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to half of those wells are so close to the existing line. The things that exist, you know, we can't do anything about it now. Adding to that is very dangerous. Ask the people in Merrimack how they're doing with their wells, and things that were done a long time ago, didn't know at the time that those things could contaminate wells. But Pembroke's water comes out of the faucet relatively pure. There is very, very minimal treatment that Pembroke does to the water supply in town. And I think adding any type of potential hazard, to something as vital as that is to the City of Concord and to the Town of Pembroke, is not responsible.

Q And, Ms. Heiser, do you have anything that you'd like to add regarding your concerns based on the clarification of what's a well on that map?

A (Heiser) Yes. Now that $I$ know, they were - when I called the Town to ask where the wells were, they told me they couldn't release that information, because somebody could possibly contaminate the well. So, when I realized --
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they were closer than $I$ had even realized they were to the existing right-of-way. And this causes me great concern, because of the risk of contamination.

And the wells in question that you're saying are close to the existing right-of-way, is it -- hold on.
(Heiser) Yes. Those are right there. No avoiding that.

Okay. And just for clarification, the existing corridor is just adjacent to those wells that I just pointed out, correct? Is that your understanding of the map?

A (Heiser) That's my understanding.
A (Verdile) That is correct.
MR. IACOPINO: Mr. Whitley, I know
you gave us a Bates number on this. But what exhibit is this a portion of, do you know?

MR. WHITLEY: I'll have to go and look, then $I$ can tell you.
[NOTE: Reference Exhibit Jt. Muni 148] MR. IACOPINO: Okay. Thank you.

BY MR. WHITLEY:
Q Mr. Jodoin, the other day Mr. Aslin was asking
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you questions about your opinion about any revenues that the Town may receive being offset by I believe your testimony was "abatement requests from neighboring property owners", and also the Project filing for abatements, and the Town having to expend money to support its own assessment levels. And he asked you if you've done any sort of analysis to arrive at any figure of what that might be. Do you recall that conversation?

A (Jodoin) I do.
And even though your answer was that you didn't have an exact figure that you could provide, as a general sense, though, your opinion remains the same, is that true?

A (Jodoin) Correct.
Okay. And I don't think you finished your answer in explaining what the concern was with Northern Pass seeking abatements in town. Could you finish that answer please.

A (Jodoin) Sure. While I'm not a certified assessor, I've been through well over 20 townwide revaluations or statistical updates. So, I've seen my fair share of abatement
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requests. When I compiled the information for my documents, there was well over 20 properties that would be affected by this Project. Of those 20 projects -- 20 plus, roughly 17 of those parcels would see a drastic increase in the height of the tower from what it currently exists to what it will become. That will definitely impact the view, which will, in turn, generate, I'm sure, multiple abatement requests before the Board of Selectmen through our assessing process.

And we've seen or heard the amount of money that we could potentially get from Northern Pass on this Project. But I would submit that, in all probability, the amount of money that we're going to get, we will spend through the abatement process or through the continued litigation with Eversource on the values of the properties.

MR. WHITLEY: Dawn, could you switch me to my computer please.

BY MR. WHITLEY:
Q Thank you, Mr. Jodoin. I've got on the screen here the estimated first year's tax revenues to
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the Town of Pembroke. And this is from Ms. Shapiro's initial report.

MR. WHITLEY: And I'm going to state the cite for the record here. It's Applicants Exhibit 1. It's Appendix 44. Then, it's Appendix A, and it's Page 17.

BY MR. WHITLEY:
Q And you see I've highlighted there the Town of Pembroke, at the bottom. Do you see that on the screen there?

A (Jodoin) I do.
Q So, I know that -- I'm not going to ask you to arrive at any figure. But, just roughly, based on the estimated first year's tax payments, and the fact that you've just stated that this money would be reduced, can you estimate how much the Town might see, in terms of net revenue?

A (Jodoin) That's difficult to say. I could actually say it could go the other way -MR. NEEDLEMAN: Mr. Chair.

CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:
A (Jodoin) -- and we could lose money.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Hang on. Hang
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on. Mr. Needleman?
MR. NEEDLEMAN: Sounds like this is now calling for new opinions. It's not clarifying things that were raised on direct.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes. What is this tied to, Mr. Whitley?

MR. WHITLEY: The witness just answered about his opinion regarding offsetting revenue. And $I$ just wanted to have him provide an explanation of that.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, that's a different question. What testimony from cross-examination is this related to?

MR. WHITLEY: Mr. Aslin the other day was asking him about the calculation that he did when he stated that there was going to be an offset to any revenue that the Project may create in town.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And, so, this is -- you're representing this is an explanation of that?

MR. WHITLEY: Correct.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Needleman.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: Well, I think his
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testimony at the time Mr. Aslin asked that was he "didn't do any analysis".

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Whitley.
MR. WHITLEY: And I'm not suggesting otherwise. I'm asking him -- he just said, in a general sense, his testimony remains the same. And I'm just giving him a little more hard information to use for his kind of general approach.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I'm going to let you go, but not much further than this.

MR. WHITLEY: Understood.
BY MR. WHITLEY:
Q I believe you answered the question, Mr. Jodoin, unless there was something else you wanted to add?

A (Jodoin) Not at all.
Q Okay. Mr. Dumville was asking the panel about the August 25 th letter that $I$ asked you questions about yesterday. And I just wanted to have you respond. When the three of you see aprons on a design plan, what do you interpret those to show?
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A (Verdile) Construction entrance and access for vehicles.

A (Heiser) Exactly.
Q And, in terms of taking Northern Pass's overtures to resolve these issues at face value, would it be helpful if the design plans were consistent with the other statements they have made about which roads they're going to use and how they're going to access the corridor?

A (Heiser) Yes.
A (Verdile) Yes.
A (Jodoin) Yes.
Q Okay. And, lastly, --
MR. WHITLEY: Dawn, could I have the ELMO please. BY MR. WHITLEY:

Q Mr. Dumville put up Northern Pass's kind of summary of communications with the Town. And then he also put up some town meeting minutes, I believe, which discussed the Town it appeared to be stopping communications with the Project. And $I$ just wanted to put up an email exchange between Town Counsel and Northern Pass's
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 59/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-09-17\}
[WITNESS PANEL: Verdile|Heiser|Jodoin]
counsel, which I'm going to ask you to comment on here.

So, this is an email exchange March 20th, 2017. You see at the bottom of the page is the beginning of the response from Mr. Needleman to me. And I'll turn it over now. And at the top of the page, and what I've underlined there, could one of you read that please, the top underline?

A (Heiser) "That said, we appreciate and respect the concerns you've raised. If your clients would prefer that we communicate only through you regarding anything having to do with negotiating stipulations, we will certainly honor that preference."

Thank you. So, to the extent that it was suggested that the Town "cut off communications with Northern Pass" over negotiating any sort of an MOU, would you agree that that's not really accurate?

A (Heiser) I would agree.
A (Verdile) Yes. That's not accurate.
A (Heiser) It's not accurate.
MR. WHITLEY: Okay. That's all I
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have. Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
Thank you. I think we're done with this panel. WITNESS VERDILE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You're excused. WITNESS HEISER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why don't we take a ten-minute break and let the next panel get set up.
(Recess taken at 10:24 a.m.
and the hearing resumed at 10:39 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
We're going to go back on the record. We have a witness panel in place. Before you swear them in, Mr. Patnaude, $I$ want to let people know we're going to break for lunch right around noon, shortly thereafter. Commissioner Bailey and $I$ have a hearing back at the PUC we have to do.

Off the record.
[Brief off-the-record discussion ensued.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, Mr. Patnaude
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would you please swear the panel in.
(Whereupon Clare Lupton, Elmer
(Neil) Lupton, David Van Houten,
James Ramsdell, Sondra Brekke, and Bruce Brekke were duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)

CLARE LUPTON, SWORN
ELMER (NEIL) LUPTON, SWORN
DAVID VAN HOUTEN, SWORN
JAMES RAMSDELL, SWORN
SONDRA BREKKE, SWORN
BRUCE BREKKE, SWORN
DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. IACOPINO:
Q Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I'm going to ask each to identify yourself. We'll start with Ms. Lupton, sitting to my far left of your table, and go up the table please.

Would you please identify yourself by telling us your name and your address.

A (C. Lupton) My name is Clare Lupton -- whoops, sorry. My name is Clare Lupton, and the address is 75 Newell Lane, in Whitefield.

A (E. Lupton) Elmer Lupton, 75 Newell Lane,
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 59/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-09-17\}
[PANEL: C\&E Lupton|Van Houten|Ramsdell|S\&B Brekke]

Whitefield.
A (Van Houten) David Van Houten, 649 Cherry Valley Road, Bethlehem.

A (Ramsdell) Jim Ramsdell, 1049 Whitefield Road, Dalton.

A (S. Brekke) Sondra Brekke, 99 Ramble On Road, in Whitefield.

A (B. Brekke) Bruce Brekke, 99 Ramble On Road, Whitefield.

Q And it's my understanding that each of you have filed either individual or joint prefiled testimony in this case. So, what I'm going to do is, again, I'm going to start with

Ms. Lupton and Mr. Lupton, because they filed jointly, and I'm going to get them to adopt their testimony. And then I'll go up the table in the order that you are seated.

First of all, Mr. and Mrs. Lupton, did you file your testimony jointly?

A (E. Lupton) We did.
Q And did you file testimony that has been marked as "DWBA 9", and entitled "Pre-Trial Testimony of Clare and Elmer Lupton"?

A (E. Lupton) I don't have the documentation, the
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number that you list, but we did file prefiled testimony.

Q And did you also file supplemental prefiled testimony, which $I$ have marked as "DWBA 13"?

A (C. Lupton) Again, we don't have the number, but we assume the testimony we filed agrees with that number.

Q Okay. So, --
A (E. Lupton) And in addition, we submitted responses to the questions given by counsel for the Applicant.

Okay. And you don't -- do you not have copies of your testimony with you?

A (C. Lupton) We have copies.
Q Okay. And with respect to both your prefiled testimony and your supplemental testimony, do you swear to that and adopt that as your testimony today?

A (C. Lupton) Yes.
A (E. Lupton) We do.
Q And if you were asked the same questions that are contained in those two documents, would you answer those questions the same way today?

A (C. Lupton) Yes.
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A (E. Lupton) We would.
Q Okay. Are there any corrections you have to any portions of those, either your prefiled testimony or your supplement testimony?

A (E. Lupton) I don't believe so.
Q Okay. Mr. Van Houten, I have you as having filed prefiled testimony, which has been marked as "DWBA 8". I believe it was filed in

November of 2016. Do you recall filing that testimony?

A (Van Houten) I do.
Q And if you were asked the same questions in
that document here today, would you give the same answers?

A (Van Houten) Most likely.
Q And do you swear to and adopt the testimony
that's contained there for our hearing today?
A (Van Houten) Yes.
Q Do you have any corrections to your prefiled testimony?

A (Van Houten) Not that $I$ know of.
Q Okay. Mr. Ramsdell, I have marked prefiled testimony as "DWBA 11" from you. And I don't have any supplemental prefiled testimony. Am I
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correct in that?

A (Ramsdell) That's right.
Q Okay. And if you were to be asked the same questions that were asked in that prefiled testimony here today, would you give the same answers?

A (Ramsdell) Yes, I would.
Q And do you swear to and adopt that testimony as your testimony for the purposes of this hearing?

A (Ramsdell) I do.
Q Do you have any corrections to make to that testimony?

A (Ramsdell) I will have some updating to our situation. But it doesn't -- I don't think it's a correction. It would just be some updated information to my daughter's health. Thank you. And we will get to that when I'm finished --

A (Ramsdell) All right. That's fine.
Q -- with the panel and getting their testimony in.

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q Okay. Mr. and Mrs. Brekke, did you file joint
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testimony?

A (B. Brekke) Yes, we did.
Q Okay. And I have it listed as "DWBA 7" as your joint prefiled testimony, and "DWBA 14" as your joint supplemental prefiled testimony. Is that consistent with what you have?

A (B. Brekke) Yes, it is.
Q And if you were to be asked the questions contained in those two testimonies here today, would you give the same answers?
(B. Brekke) To the best of our abilities, yes. (S. Brekke) Yes.

Q And do you swear to and adopt that testimony for the purposes of our hearing?

A (B. Brekke) Yes.
Did you have any corrections to make to either your prefiled or your supplemental testimony?

A (B. Brekke) No, we don't.
Q Okay. All right. The next question I'm going to ask goes to each of you. And it is, given what's occurred in the course of the hearings here today, not today, but over the course of the 59 days that we've had the hearings, do you have any additional information to offer in
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addition to your testimony?
And I'm going to start at the left end of the table again with Mr. and Mrs. Lupton. Do you have anything that you would add to your direct testimony based upon anything that you've learned during the course of the proceedings that have gone on?

A (E. Lupton) As I mentioned, we supplied additional information based upon questions that were asked us by the Applicant.

You mean during the technical sessions?
(E. Lupton) Yes.

Okay. You understand that if you wish to -have you submitted those as exhibits, I should ask?

A (E. Lupton) I, frankly, don't know. If not, it was our intention to do so. But whether we did or not, I don't know. Did we? So, I don't know.

Q Well, you may be asked some of those same questions here today, just so that you're aware. Okay.

A (E. Lupton) We'll be very happy to respond.
Q Mr. Van Houten, any additions to your testimony
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based upon what's occurred during the course of the proceedings?

A (Van Houten) No thank you.
Q Mr. Ramsdell, the same question.
A (Ramsdell) No.
Q Mr. and Mrs. Brekke?
A (B. Brekke) No.
MR. IACOPINO: They're available for cross-examination.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Aslin.
MS. MERRIGAN: Dawn, could I have the system please.

MR. ASLIN: Good morning.
WITNESS S. BREKKE: Good morning.
MR. ASLIN: My name is Chris Aslin.
I have been designated as Counsel for the Public in these proceedings. And I'm going to ask a few questions.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ASLIN:
Q What I'd like to do is orient the Committee to your various properties and how they are situated along the Project. And I think it will make sense to start, do it one-by-one, and
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I'm going to try and start in the northernmost or uppermost part of the Project and work my way down. So, I believe that that means that, Mr. Ramsdell, you're first up.

MR. ASLIN: And, so, Dawn if you could -- oh, I'm sorry. Sandy, if you could put up APP67887 please.

BY MR. ASLIN:
Q And in a minute you should get an image on the screen. Let me know when it appears.

A (S. Brekke) Okay.
Q Mr. Ramsdell, are you able to see that okay?
A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q All right. Thank you. You reside at 1049
Whitefield Road, in Dalton, is that correct?
A (Ramsdell) That is correct.
And are you able to identify your property on this map, which is part of the Applicants Exhibit 201, the Project maps? I believe it's on the right-hand side of the page, near the word "Dalton".

A (Ramsdell) That's right. That's correct.
Q Is your property the property that is the first one to the right of the Project line, on the --
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I won't use directions, because the maps are not oriented north, but below Route 142?

A (Ramsdell) Yes. I'm right -- I'm adjacent to the existing right-of-way. And it's on the Dalton -- on the Dalton side of the right-of-way.

Q And do you see where it says "DC-619", indicating one of the proposed towers?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q Is that right -- essentially, the label right next to your driveway?

A (Ramsdell) That's right.
Q Okay. So, that's your property. And if I understand the geography here, your -- well, do you understand that the orange line shown on this map is the proposed new transmission line, the DC line?

A (Ramsdell) Yes. Yes.
Q And that the red squares are the proposed structures on that line?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q Okay.
A (Ramsdell) I do, yes.
Yes. You can move the microphone over, if it's
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easier.
And, so, if $I$ understand correctly, the existing power line is shown here with the purple squares. So, you see the right-of-way that's passing by your property. And there are purple squares indicating existing structures?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q Okay. And, so, the new proposed line will be closer to your property than the existing line? (Ramsdell) That's right.

And I believe -- and, so, I believe the towers that are closest -- proposed towers that are closest to your property, those are marked "DC-618" to "620". And if you see here on this part of the plans, on the left-hand side, there's structure numbers. And are you able to locate those three structures, DC-618 to 620?

A (Ramsdell) Yes. I see those.
Q And those are -- the proposed structure heights are 75 to 95 feet for those three?

A (Ramsdell) That's right.
Okay. And do you see, in the right-hand column there, that the cross section is "Cl-2" for those structures?
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A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q And, so, if you look across the page, in the middle set of pictures on the right-hand side, do you see where it says segment "C1-2"?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q And do you understand then that the DC structures that are indicated in that segment will be this lattice structures?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q Okay. And that's what's going to go up along the right-of-way near your property?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q Okay. Now, you should be seeing a different set of the project maps. This is part of the Alteration of Terrain Project maps. And are you able to identify your property on this map?

A (Ramsdell) Yes. I see it.
Q And it's on the left-hand side of the map, just below the transmission line corridor?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q Okay. Do you see in just along Route 142, the red lines that are indicated within the power -- the transmission corridor?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
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Q And do you understand that those are access roads leading up to aprons coming onto Route 142?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q And do you have an understanding of what those access roads will be used for during the Project construction?

A (Ramsdell) I don't.
Q Okay. Well, I'll represent that those are access points for construction vehicles that will be used for construction of the new structures and other aspects of the Project. Do you accept that as true?

A (Ramsdell) Sure.
Q And, so, do you have any understanding, based on what's been presented in this proceeding so far, about the number of vehicles that will be coming in and out of this access point?

A (Ramsdell) No.
Q Okay. Would you assume that it's not an insignificant number?

A (Ramsdell) Yes. It's probably worse than I realize.

Q In your testimony, you've raised a few
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different concerns. One of which is with the property value impacts. And you've testified that you have attempted to sell your house back in the 2010 to 2012 time period, correct?

A (Ramsdell) That's right.
Q But you were unsuccessful. And you've indicated in your testimony that you believe that at least a part of that lack of success was due to the disclosure of the potential Northern Pass Project?

A (Ramsdell) That's correct.
Q And do you have any specific information that supports that conclusion, that it was the Northern Pass Project that was causing you to have difficulty selling your house?

A (Ramsdell) It was the feedback from the realtor then, that was -- they required a disclosure statement in the listing. And, so, they -even when they did the appraisal, they said that it would affect the sale price of the house, that that would be an issue. And then $I$ have the market really reporting that they, from the time it was listed, and different people would look at it, and the feedback was
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generally the same that, if it wasn't for the potential project going through, that they would make an offer.

Most of the feedback, the people liked the house and the property, but they were just afraid of the unknown. Not knowing what it would be like, what it would look like, they wouldn't make an offer. So, there was never an offer on the house.

Okay. And, so, this was feedback you received from your realtor relating to you reasons that potential buyers had given for not making an offer?

A (Ramsdell) That is correct.
Q Okay. Thank you. You also state in your testimony that the town did a reevaluation or a reassessment of properties.

A (Ramsdell) They did.
Q And that your home value dropped by $\$ 45,000$ and change. Is it your position that that drop was related specifically to the proposed Project? (Ramsdell) Partially.

Okay.
(Ramsdell) I questioned them. And it looked
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like everybody's values went down in the reevaluation. But they said that properties that were in that vicinity of the Project, that that had a greater impact that they took into consideration.

And the "they" that you're talking about is the town assessor?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q Okay. Thank you. And you've also testified that you had some communications with the Project personnel, specifically with Mr. Wagner, about the potential views from your property. And that the statement was that "you would not have a view of the proposed towers", is that correct? Do I understand that correctly?

A (Ramsdell) Right. Yes. I had actually called Mr. Wagner, somebody gave me his name that had sold property in the Colebrook area. And, so, I called him in and he came and brought me maps and pictures, and said that $I$ had a nice buffer of trees, pine trees, which I wouldn't be affected by the view at all, was his position. So, I have a friend that's a forester.
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 59/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-09-17\}
[PANEL: C\&E Lupton|Van Houten|Ramsdell|S\&B Brekke]

And I asked him, I said "so, how tall do pine trees get in our area?" He said "60 feet is about the max." So, I -- I didn't measure my trees, but that was the feedback I got. That a typical pine tree is 60 feet, and that the towers would be well over that.

Q And are the pine trees that Mr. Wagner was speaking of the ones on the side of your property, between your home and the power line? (Ramsdell) That's right.

Today, do you have a view from your property of any of the existing structures?

A (Ramsdell) Not beside me. I do when they cross the road. When they cross 142 , then $I$ can see them --

And you --
(Ramsdell) -- as they go up the hill. But not -- not a lot. Beside me, no.

Q Okay. So, looking at this map, to the right of 142, is that where you're indicating you can see some structures?

A (Ramsdell) That's right.
Q But not to the left on the page?
A (Ramsdell) That's right. Not next to me.
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Q Okay. And is it your -- so, you've stated in your testimony that Mr. Wagner indicated that you "would not be able to see towers". What is your opinion about whether you will or will not be able to see the new structures?
(Ramsdell) I think $I$ would see them. And I watch, when I'm traveling, whenever I see towers, I always pay attention to the towers in reference to the trees. And the towers generally are significantly higher than the treeline.

But it appeared there would be one right next to me, and the heights that they're showing now must have changed. Because, at the time, it was taller, the towers were taller. Is it 110?

MR. ASLIN: So, if you want to flip back to APP67886.

BY MR. ASLIN:
Q And I'll represent to you, Mr. Ramsdell, these are the most recent Project maps that were submitted in August of this year.

A (Ramsdell) Right.
Q So, they may be a little different from what
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you had previously --
A (Ramsdell) Right.
Q Yes. Thank you. So, you were just indicating that you thought that the heights may have gone down a little bit?

A (Ramsdell) They may have.
Q It looks to me like the only tower that's above the 100 feet is DC-621, which, if I understand, is a little farther away, going to the right of 142, is that part of the page we're looking at.

A (Ramsdell) Okay.
Q In your testimony, you state that your house is
"approximately 100 feet from the existing power lines"?

A (Ramsdell) That's right.
Q Is that a measurement you've actually -- that you've taken or is it just an estimate?

A (Ramsdell) Just passing it off.
Q Okay.
A (Ramsdell) Just pacing it.
Q And that's from the edge of your home itself, not your property line?

A (Ramsdell) Yes. From the edge of my home.
Q And when you say "from the existing power
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lines", is that pacing off to the actual structures?

A (Ramsdell) Yes.
Q Okay. And we talked about earlier that the new line is proposed to be somewhat closer to your home, is that correct?

A (Ramsdell) That's right. That's what I was told. That what was there would be moving toward Whitefield, and the new towers would be close, closest to me.

So, is it your understanding that your home will be less than 100 feet from the new proposed structures?

A (Ramsdell) That was my guess.
Q Okay. And do you have an understanding of whether there will be tree clearing within that area, between the existing power lines and your home?

A (Ramsdell) I haven't been told. But, looking at what's there, it doesn't look like there's room for what's being proposed, without removing some of those trees that are the buffer at this point.

Okay. But do you have any understanding of
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whether there will remain a buffer after the Project?

A (Ramsdell) I don't.
Q Okay. And are you aware of the Applicants' proposal to compensate property owners who meet certain criteria for their property's proximity to the power lines for property value deductions?

A (Ramsdell) No one has told me that.
Q Okay. So, you haven't been approached or looked at any of those materials at this point?

A (Ramsdell) When Mr. Wagner came, I asked. I asked if they would be interested in buying the property, because it being so close, and that $I$ already was unsuccessful in selling. And he said -- he said that they had bought properties that they thought were being affected. But he didn't think mine was going to be impacted by it. That was his -- when he stated his position that he didn't think $I$ would see the towers next to over the trees that were there. Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Ramsdell. So, moving down the line a bit, I think the next property is the Brekkes.
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MR. ASLIN: So, Sandy, if you could go to APP67893 please.

BY MR. ASLIN:
Q So, Mr. and Mrs. Brekke, are you able to identify your property on this map, which is part of Applicants Exhibit 201?

A (B. Brekke) Yes. It's labeled "2614". Which is actually a subdivided property.

Q Okay. That's what $I$ was going to ask. So, 2614 is the rectangular property, with a kind of panhandle off to the right?

A (B. Brekke) Right. That extends to that cul-de-sac to the right.

Q Okay. So, it's both the panhandled-shaped parcel with the numbers included, and also the one to the right?

A (B. Brekke) Also the one to the right, where that yellow dot is, that's where the house is. Q Okay.

A (B. Brekke) And the adjacent lot that goes to the cul-de-sac is also ours. Okay. So, you own all three of those parcels that are shown on this map?

A (B. Brekke) Yes. It's actually three parcels.
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Q Thank you. And do you see in the upper right-hand corner the road, Forest Lake Road?

A (B. Brekke) Oh, yes. Uh-huh.
Q Is that the access point for Ramble On Road? Does it connect to --

A (B. Brekke) No. The access point isn't shown here. The access point, if you look at the cul-de-sac and extend that to the right edge of the page, it's, in general, that direction. And then it intersects Forest Lake Road -Okay.
(B. Brekke) -- about a quarter of a mile down off the page here.

Q Thank you. And, so, in regard to the location of your property in relation to the proposed line, you see on the map the orange line indicating the proposed new line and structures?

A (B. Brekke) Yes.
Q The DC line. And the red squares indicating new structures?
(B. Brekke) Yes.

And, in this case, again, those are moving somewhat closer to your property from the
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existing line?

A (B. Brekke) Yes. It's located approximately in the center of the right-of-way.

Q Okay. And, so, your property is relatively close to the right-of-way, but your home is more than 100 feet away from the right-of-way, is that correct?

A (B. Brekke) Yes, it is.
MR. ASLIN: Sandy, could you go to APP 67499 please. Okay.

BY MR. ASLIN:
Q Yes. Okay. So, this is another set of maps. This is the AOT plan set. And, again, is this your property on the bottom right corner of the -- or, right of center?

A (B. Brekke) Yes. It's actually all along the bottom.

Q Right. Correct.
A (B. Brekke) And not all of it.
Q Correct. But that's your home that's right of center in the bottom of the page?

A (B. Brekke) Correct.
Q Okay. And then, as we discussed a minute ago, this is Ramble On Road, connecting into Forest
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Lake Road?
A (B. Brekke) That's correct.
Q And, so, that's how you access your property?
A (B. Brekke) Yes.
Q And do you see at the right-of-way crossing of Forest Lake Road the two aprons, with the red lines indicating access roads coming onto the road?

A (B. Brekke) Yes.
Q And do you have any understanding of number of vehicles, construction vehicles that will be using that access point?

A (B. Brekke) Not in exact numbers. But, given the magnitude of the Project that would be undertaken there, it would be a very large number.

Q Okay.
A (B. Brekke) For a long time.
Q Thank you. In your testimony, one of your primary concerns is the impact to your views, is that correct?

A (S. Brekke) Yes.
A (B. Brekke) Yes.
Q And you included a number of photographs in
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your direct testimony, and also some of which
include a representation of what the proposed
towers may look like, is that correct?

A (S. Brekke) Yes.
Q And $I$ wanted to ask you a couple questions about how you came up with those images. MR. ASLIN: But, before, let's put one on the screen. Sandy, could go to their direct testimony, which is Exhibit 7, and it's Exhibit G.

BY MR. ASLIN:
Q Okay. So, do you recognize this as part of your direct testimony?

A (S. Brekke) Yes.
A (B. Brekke) Yes.
Q And this is a photo, as I understand it, from the back yard of your home, looking out towards the Project right-of-way?

A (S. Brekke) Yes.
Q And then you've added to this a representation of what the proposed towers may look like?

A (B. Brekke) That's correct.
Q Okay. And did you create this image?
A (B. Brekke) Yes, I did.
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Q Okay. And how did you come up with the scaling to represent the heights of those towers?

A (B. Brekke) The scaling was based on -- well, let's put it this way. This is a representation. So, I'm not claiming that the scaling is accurate. But, based on what's there now, which are 40 -foot wooden structures, I placed these towers where those existing towers are based on the information that was provided on the map that we just left. Okay. And I was able to predict the approximate location of the towers and representing their heights.

Okay. Thank you. So, this is, if I understand your testimony, this is -- you're not claiming this to be a photosimulation, but just a representative view of what it may look like? (B. Brekke) It's going to look something very close to this. There will be things there that aren't there now that will be visible. Fair enough.

MR. ASLIN: Sandy, could you go back to Exhibit E?

BY MR. ASLIN:
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And, Mr. Brekke, you had just mentioned that the existing structures there in this part of -- in this viewshed from your property, is this a photo of those existing structures?

A (B. Brekke) That's correct. These are the existing wooden structures. You can see the letter pi-shaped wooden structures. And there are five in this photo that correspond to that Google map representation that we looked at earlier. And $I$ was able to identify these by fieldwork, I walked out there and could determine which ones were which. And from this angle, you can see that they look like they're very close together, when actually they're spaced probably several hundred feet apart from each other.

Okay. And you said a minute ago I believe those are approximately 40-foot tall structures?

A (B. Brekke) Yes.
Q And that the proposed structures -- and the proposed structures, which you've identified here, range from 75 to 90 feet, is that correct?
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A (B. Brekke) I think that's right. Those are also the DC numbers that are assigned to each one of the structures.

Q Okay. Thank you. And I believe in your testimony you indicated that one of the reasons you bought this property was for its views, is that correct?

A (B. Brekke) Yes.
Q Okay. And I presume that it's your position that this introduction of new structures into your views will reduce the value of the property?

A (B. Brekke) Yes.
Q In your supplemental testimony, you reference a section of the Project that's a little distant from you, in Whitefield, where there are lower tower heights?

A (B. Brekke) That's correct.
Q And $I$ believe, if $I$ found the right location, it's APP67879. And in your supplemental
testimony, you indicated the tower numbers.
We'll get there in a second. Yes. Thank you.
And you indicated the towers "DC-587"
through "DC-589". Do you see those on this
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map?
A (B. Brekke) No, I don't see it. This says " 577 ".

Q You're correct. You're right. I think I've gone to the wrong place. So, that would be farther down the Project line.

MR. ASLIN: So, if you could go two pages forward.

WITNESS B. BREKKE: Okay. That's the correct area.

BY MR. ASLIN:
Q Okay. And your testimony, as $I$ understand it, is that, in this location the Applicant was able to reduce educe the tower structure heights to roughly 70 feet?

A (B. Brekke) Sixty feet.
Q Sixty to seventy feet. Sixty feet.
A (B. Brekke) Yes. And if you go up to the corresponding tower height diagram that goes with this slide, I think this is -- this is Sheet 3. So, if you can just go up to Sheet 2 on this. Yes. Now, you'll see the numbers that you mentioned. The structure heights are at 60 feet.
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And is it your testimony that this is an indication that the structures near your home could also be lowered to roughly 60 feet?

A (B. Brekke) That's my assumption. I don't see why they couldn't be.

Have you had any discussions with the Applicant about the possibility of lowering structure heights near your home?

A (B. Brekke) No, we haven't.
Q Okay. And, so, then I assume you haven't had any discussions about the engineering for the section by your home, what constraints may or may not exist?

A (B. Brekke) No.
Q Okay.
A (B. Brekke) We haven't.
Okay. Thank you, Mr. and Mrs. Brekke.
(B. Brekke) Thank you.

I believe the Luptons are the next step as we move down the line here. You're fairly close to the Brekkes, is that correct?
(E. Lupton) Yes.

MR. ASLIN: If you could go, Sandy, to APP67895 please.
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BY MR. ASLIN:
Q Okay. Mr. and Mrs. Lupe -- Lupton, sorry, do you recognize this portion of the Project maps as being in the vicinity of your property?

A (E. Lupton) I believe so.
Q And $I$ wasn't certain whether your property was actually shown on this map. But, as I understand it, Newell Road comes in off of Forest Lake Road, just below the page here, and ends at the top or in the region of Forest Lake, is that correct?

A (E. Lupton) That is correct. However, the property along the right side of Forest Lake Road shown here is also our property.

Q Okay. Thank you. That helps clarify. So, it is labeled " 2634 " on the map?

A (E. Lupton) I believe that's correct.
Q And, so, that property stretches all the way from the edge of the right-of-way, or rather it looks like a portion of it along forest Lake Road crosses the right-of-way, is that correct?

A (E. Lupton) Yes. And $I$ was of the impression that much more of this was -- much more of the right-of-way was actually on our property.
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A (E. Lupton) Yes, sir.
Q And again, this -- you can see where the map indicates access points for the right-of-way onto Forest Lake Road in red?

A (E. Lupton) I do.
Q And I'll ask you the same question that $I$ asked the Brekkes. Do you have any understanding at this point of the number of construction vehicles that may be coming in and out of that access point?

A (E. Lupton) The understanding has become much clearer as a result of these discussions.

Q And by "much clearer", can you elaborate on what you mean?

A (E. Lupton) I have a feeling there's going to be a lot of traffic.

Okay. But you don't know of a specific kind of estimate of numbers?

A (E. Lupton) I have no specific estimates.
Q Thank you. In your testimony, you raise concerns about noise from the right-of-way, is that correct?

A (E. Lupton) Yes.
Q And $I$ believe you state that "sounds from the
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property would be audible from our property and might be audible from our house". So, when you say "audible from your property", are you referring to the section of your property that is actually underneath the right-of-way?

A (E. Lupton) Yes.
Q And you believe you may be able to hear it from your house?

A (E. Lupton) Correct.
Q Do you have an approximation of how far your house is from the right-of-way?

A (E. Lupton) I estimate about a third of a mile.
Q Okay. You've also indicated in your testimony that you have a concern about vandalism and terrorism?

A (E. Lupton) Yes, sir.
Is there a specific basis for that concern with regard to this Project or is it just a general concern about electrical infrastructure?

A (E. Lupton) It's the concern about the electrical infrastructure, tied in with the extremely easy access that the particular elements of the property that we'd be connected with would have from Forest Lake Road. In our
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supplemental testimony, we showed some photos. And, for example, if you look -- it's on the other chart, but one of the towers is approximately 20 feet or so to the north side, that would be to the left of Forest Lake Road. Another tower approximately 400 feet to the right, and another tower approximately 800 feet.

If you need those photos, I've got a flash drive here we them.

We have them. I'm trying to pull them up.
(E. Lupton) Okay. So, it's that relatively easy access, combined with the general concern of terrorism. And I was asked about this in the technical session, and have been able to identify $I$ believe at least five incidents of power line terrorism and vandal -- terrorism, actually, within the last three or four years. So, it's not just a "can it happen", it has been happening. And two of those were in the U.S., one was in the San Jose area, I believe, and the other was in Arkansas, in a rural area. Okay. And is it your position that the Project will change the risk? I mean, you've pointed
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out and you've shown in your photos that the access to existing power lines and structures is quite easy from this location. Is it your opinion that the Northern Pass Project will increase the risk in some way of terrorism in this location?

A (E. Lupton) I would believe that, because the amount of power that it will be carrying is much greater. And, again, just one person's opinion, the fact that this is delivering power into the Connecticut and New Jersey area would give somebody that wanted to make a major disruption to those major population centers a very easy way to do it 20 feet off of Forest Lake Road.

Okay. Thank you, Mr. Lupton. There's also, in your testimony, your direct testimony, you make a statement that "Although the current lines were installed a number of years ago, we understand that oral promises and commitments were made not to disturb the general character of the property." And, "For example...not to erect towers taller than the surrounding trees".
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Can you give us an indication of where you reached that -- from whom you've reached that understanding of these oral promises?

A (E. Lupton) The towers here in the right-of-way was put through in the 1950 s, from what $I$ understand. And I guess the best way to put this is, it's a North Country legend that these promises were made. But $I$ doubt if anybody is around now to whom the properties -- to whom those promises would have been made. There were several chains of ownership transfer, at least one of which $I$ believe was either a foreclosure or a puzzled inheritance. So, I do not have any specific documented information other than that's the understanding, but I have no proof of it.

Okay. Thank you. All right. I think, Mr. Van Houten, you're up, all the way down into Bethlehem.

MR. ASLIN: Sandy, could you go to APP67903 please.

BY MR. ASLIN:
Q Okay. So, Mr. Van Houten, are you able to identify your property on this map?
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A (Van Houten) Yes. It's coming in from the top right, you proceed to the left, to the first yellow dot, that's my house. And that is the parcel that is the lot the house is on. We also own the parcel immediately to the right of that, and the one just below, which is numbered "3126".

Q Okay. Thank you. So, your home is the yellow dot, and then you own two additional parcels? (Van Houten) Correct.

And just off of the screen, to the top of the page, is Cherry Hill -- or, Cherry Valley Road, is that correct?

A (Van Houten) That's right.
Q Okay. And I think you can actually see a little edge of it, just in the top right-hand corner, it's not labeled, but there's a black line.

A (Van Houten) There you go.
Q And is it your understanding that this section of the Project will be lattice towers or monopoles? We could flip back, if we need to.

A (Van Houten) Looks like they're monopoles at this point.
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 59/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-09-17\}
[PANEL: C\&E Lupton|Van Houten|Ramsdell|S\&B Brekke]

Q Okay. And you've indicated in your testimony that you're concerned with property value impacts and also with construction impacts, is that correct?

A (Van Houten) That's correct.
Q And one of the areas that you're concerned about is the lack of information about laydown -- the location of laydown areas and staging areas, is that right?
(Van Houten) I am concerned about that, yes. Since you filed your testimony back, well, I guess it's been a year now almost, have you -have your concerns about the locations of laydown areas and staging areas been alleviated in any way?

A (Van Houten) No, I think they have probably gotten worse as I've looked into the Project more.

Q Are you aware today of where laydown areas or staging areas are proposed for this area?

A (Van Houten) No.
Q Okay. You also raised in your testimony concerns about access roads to this section of the Project. And I believe we've heard
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testimony from various witnesses about this section being a fairly long stretch, from Route 302 over towards the Ammonoosuc River.

A (Van Houten) Right.
Q With the primary access -- or, the only access that the Project is indicating is from Route 302, correct?

A (Van Houten) That's right.
Q But you have concerns that there may be other access points that are under consideration?

A (Van Houten) I would think so, if I were building this Project, and $I$ had one access point for four miles' worth of towers. I don't know how many towers it is. It's something like 30, though. And, in order to build it in an efficient way, $I$ would think that more than one access point would be sensible. Which would involve reaching out to landowners who have property that connects the corridor with secondary roads, and seeing if maybe you could make a deal with them about a road and a staging area, for example, to access it in order to build in a convenient way. In the last year, since your testimony was
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filed, have you gained any additional
information about potential new access roads or is it still just a concern that you have?

A (Van Houten) No specific information. Some unsettling incidents, but nothing.

Q Okay. You also have raised a concern about Transition Station Number 5, which is down the road from you, on Route 302 , correct?

A (Van Houten) Right.
Q And we heard some testimony yesterday, I don't know if you were here. Were you here yesterday?

A (Van Houten) I was not here.
Q Okay. Well, $I$ will represent that there has been some testimony raising a question about whether Transition Station Number 5 may be moved back away from Route 302 .

A (Van Houten) Right.
Q If that were to happen, would that change your opinion or address any of your concerns regarding the location of that transition station?

A (Van Houten) Repeat that please.
Sure. If the transition station were moved
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away from Route 302, farther back from the road, which $I$ guess would be closer towards your property, would that change your concerns in any way about the transition station's impacts?

A (Van Houten) I suppose it might not be as imposing to those driving by on 302 . But there are other visual impacts we know nothing about. There are abutters who may be affected, who didn't think they were going to be affected. So, it is down the road from me. My primary interaction with it would be driving by through Route 302 . So, it would probably be less intrusive.

Q Okay. Thank you. In general, for the whole panel, you've raised a number of concerns about the Project. And I'd like to ask if each of you could address what communications you've had with the Applicants, either that you've initiated or that the Applicants have initiated, to discuss any concerns you have or any potential mitigation of those concerns? So, if we can start over with the Luptons. A (E. Lupton) To my best knowledge, there's been
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no communication between ourselves and the Applicants. In the technical session, that question was asked. And I mentioned that we had received no -- no communication. We were asked "would we be willing to do so?" And I said "We'd be more than happy to." But, since that technical session, there still has been no communication between ourselves and the Applicants directly.

Thank you. Mr. Van Houten?
(Van Houten) It's a little complicated, because I purchased the piece of property, which is crossed by the power line, after the Application was submitted. So, the previous owner may have gotten some communications that they normally would send. They were not passed on to me. So, I did reach out and ask them to please visit and show me what they were planning to do on my land.

And, in July of 2016 -- June of 2016,
three representatives from Northern Pass came.
They could not tell me precisely where they
were going to put any structures. They did say
they would be coming in from Route 302 . And $I$
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think that was it.
Q Any subsequent discussions since June or July of 2016?

A (Van Houten) Not with anyone from the Project, other than questioning here, no.

Q Okay. Thank you. Mr. Ramsdell?
A (Ramsdell) The only communication that $I$ have had was the one that I initiated with

Mr. Wagner. And that's been -- it's been two or three years since that took place. And he came out, and there was a lady with him, I don't remember her name. But they came out and brought me maps and discussed -- showed where my property was. And that's when we talked about the towers and the heights. And that was what $I$ based it off of. But that was the only communication that I've had. Nothing further than that.

Q Okay. Thank you very much. And Mr. and Mrs. Brekke?

A (B. Brekke) We had no discussions with the Applicant on the Project. In I believe it was 2014, and shortly after that, we received letters in the mail that, for the most part, we
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ignored.
Q Okay. And what was the nature of those letters?

A (B. Brekke) It was probably described as a "reach out" letter. They were offering to discuss, since we were abutting property owners, they offered to discuss the Project. And which, like I said, we ignored it. It was because, frankly, $I$ was suspicious and distrustful, because my fear was I had something they wanted, and $I$ didn't want to give any clue or any access to what we had.

Q Okay. And subsequent to those -- you said those are in the 2014 time frame?

A (B. Brekke) Yes. That's correct.
Q Subsequent to those letters, any other communications?

A (B. Brekke) No.
Q Okay. Thank you.
A (S. Brekke) Can $I$ just say, --
Q Oh, yes.
A (S. Brekke) -- along with the lines of these letters, I saw the letters. But at the time there was a lot of talk of Northern Pass
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wanting to buy people's properties. And there's no way we wanted to sell our property. So, I just kind of said "I don't want to sell the property, and I don't want to hear them asking me to, try to talk me into selling my property.

MR. ASLIN: Okay. Thank you, Mrs. Brekke. I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Whitley, anyone from your group, Ms. Pacik or Ms. Fillmore?

You're indicating, by shaking your heads, that you have no questions, correct?

MR. Whitley: Correct, Mr. Chair. No questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is Ms. Saffo here? I don't think I see her.

ADMIN. MONROE: No. She's not coming today.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Boepple, do you have questions?

MS. BOEPPLE: No questions. Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Baker?

MR. BAKER: No questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Anyone else from
the North Country groups?
[No verbal response.]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: How about the Deerfield groups? Ms. Menard, do you have questions?

MS. MENARD: Two.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You say "two".

Let's see how many you actually have.
[Laughter.]
MS. MENARD: Good morning, folks.
(Multiple witnesses indicating
"good morning".)
MS. MENARD: Jeanne Menard, from
Deerfield Abutting Group. And most of my
questions have been answered this morning, but
I do have two follow-up.
BY MS. MENARD:
Q One for Mr. Van Houten. Town assessors today typically assign a frontage land value, as well as a backland value for acreage for the property tax records. And when $I$ was
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questioning Mr. Chalmers about this, he stated, on Page 135, and I'm going to read from the transcript, this is just from Line 4. July 31st was the date.

And, again, this is the value -- the question was in relationship to the value of excess acreage. In fact, the question, "you state that excess acreage adds little or no value to the property as a whole, correct?" And he says "Yes. That's what $I$ infer from the data, particularly the assessment data."

And, so, my question for you is, what is your response to that sort of analysis of the value of your land?

A (Van Houten) So, he's saying that the backland has no value, essentially?

Correct. He was -- and maybe I'm not giving you enough information to put it in a context of this -- this wasn't strictly an "assessing" conversation. This was a question that was framed coming from his analysis of the subdivision studies. And, so, just I want to ask you a general question about the value of your backland and your use of your backland,
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and --
A (Van Houten) Okay. Well, it does have tremendous value to me, because I do spend an awful lot of time outdoors on that land. I enjoy looking at and learning about wildife, and sharing what I've learned with my friends and their kids. And, so, we're out there a fair amount. So, that is a value. I get exercise there.

It is a woodlot, which could, down the road, enable us to stay in our house a little longer, if we need some money and we need to have it logged.

And just put yourself in the position of someone who's going to buy a home. The reason why we have added to our property over the last 12 years is that we had a house on 10 acres of land, and we had the opportunity to turn that into a house on 60 acres of land. Any person buying a home is going to know the difference. Q Okay. Thank you. And, now, one question for Mr. and Mrs. Brekke. Do you recall -- well, in your testimony, in your supplemental testimony, you specifically mentioned, you went into some
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discussion about the visual assessment of your property, and the visual assessment done by the Applicant on your property. And I notice that you also had property value concerns.

Do you recall, when you purchased your property, whether or not you were looking specifically for a view property or were you -tell us a little bit about what you were thinking when you were making that purchase? When you were a buyer making a purchase?

A (S. Brekke) Yes. When we were -- decided to move up to New Hampshire from New Jersey, we were looking for a property that we wanted at least 20 acres, and we did want a view, we wanted quiet, you know, away from the highways and away from the hustle and bustle. Being that we lived in New Jersey for 36 years, and saw towers everywhere we went, there was tons of traffic and all that kind of thing. So, we came up here to retire in a nice, quiet lifestyle.

We did find this property. And in all truth, I looked at the house, just because I thought it looked kind of weird. But, when $I$
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walked around the side of the porch and saw the views, I told my daughter who was with me "this is the house I want to buy." It was a nice house, but the views really sold me on it.

There were no indication -- I mean, you can see the five towers that we showed in the pictures, you can see the tops of them in the wintertime when there's no leaves or anything on the trees. But, during the whole summer, you don't even know there's towers anywhere there. And, as you can see through some of the pictures, the views of the mountains. We can see the Mountain View Grand from the upstairs bedroom windows. It is a spectacular view.

Yes. That is the reason that we picked this particular property, after looking at about 30 properties around the Whitefield area. MS. MENARD: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is anyone from the Pemi River Group here? I don't see them. [No verbal response.] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Any other intervenors that I missed? [No verbal response.]
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Walkley, you're going to be doing the questioning?

MS. WALKLEY: Yes. Good morning. My name is Rebecca Walkley. And I'm an attorney from the Applicants. I just have a couple of questions for mostly the Luptons.

BY MS. WALKLEY:
Q Mr. and Mrs. Lupton, you stated in your prefiled testimony, on Page 3, that there were no efforts made by the Project to contact you. And my understanding from your response to Attorney Aslin's questions is that's still your position today?

A (E. Lupton) To my best knowledge, that's accurate.

Q Okay.
MS. WALKLEY: Dawn, can we pull up Applicants Exhibit 409 please.

BY MS. WALKLEY:
Q And this is a letter, I'll represent to you because there's actually not a header on it, provided to you by Northern Pass. And it's dated "September 22nd, 2011". And I understand that this address is not your property in
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Whitefield. But, from your testimony, I also understand that that is your mailing address, correct?

A (E. Lupton) That's correct.
Q And in the second full paragraph of this document, it states that the Project is "available and willing to meet with you to discuss the Project". Do you see that statement there?

A (E. Lupton) I do.
Q And were you aware, and $I$ think this was actually discussed in response to some of Attorney Aslin's questions, that Mr. Van Houten, Mr. and Mrs. Ramsdell, as well as the Whites who are not here today, have all requested site visits with the Project, and those site visits actually did take place. Were you aware of that?

A (E. Lupton) $I$ was not aware of that.
Q So, just looking at the language from this letter, you would agree that, if you were interested in pursuing a site visit, that that was something you were able to do?

A (E. Lupton) As I mentioned in the technical
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session, I had indicated that we had not been contacted, and we would be happy to be contacted. So, do I believe that a letter of this sort was sent? Yes. Was the effort made to pursue a contact with us subsequent to my comments in the technical session? I don't believe it was.

Okay. So, just based on the statement that you've just said, would $I$ be correct in saying that you're interested in meeting with the Project to discuss your concerns?

A (E. Lupton) I'd use the term "willing".
Q Okay. We might be able to talk about that afterwards.

One other question $I$ just wanted to ask in response to Attorney Aslin's questions. Mr. and Mrs. Brekke, you stated that you "ignored the letters that were sent by the Project", is that correct?

A (B. Brekke) Yes. That's correct.
Q So, I take it from your statement that you have no interest in meeting to discuss with the Project your concerns or your property?

A (B. Brekke) Let's say we're willing, yes.
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Q Okay. Again, maybe that's something we can talk about afterwards.

A (B. Brekke) Okay.
MS. WALKLEY: Thank you. I have no other questions.

WITNESS B. BREKKE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Do members of the Committee have questions for the panel? Anyone?

CMSR. BAILEY: I just have one.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: Could Counsel for the Public pull up the map of Mr. Van Houten's property? That was the last one that you had up, in Bethlehem. Would that be possible? I couldn't tell where your property was, and I want you to tell me again.

WITNESS VAN HOUTEN: Oh, sorry.
CMSR. BAILEY: Yes. That's it.
BY CMSR. BAILEY:
Q So, is that the map that your property is located on?

A (Van Houten) That's correct.
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Q Can you turn your microphone on?
A (Van Houten) It is correct. And you'll see there are very few yellow dots there, which represent houses. The one in the top right, it appears to be in a field, with some buildings. Okay.
(Van Houten) That's it.
And is that the property that -- that's not the property that the power line goes -- that the right-of-way goes through, is it?

A (Van Houten) No. But you see the property it sits on, to the bottom right corner of that, it connects a little bit with number 3126, which is crossed by the corridor. Do you see that?

Q Is that the second one from the left, adjacent to the right-of-way?

A (Van Houten) As you're coming along the corridor, from the right-hand side, yes, the second one. And that's -- that piece of property is 44 acres. It goes across the corridor. You see way down below there, that's still that same lot.

Okay. All right. And is that the piece of property that you say you bought after the
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Application was filed?
A (Van Houten) That's correct.
Q Was it discounted?
A (Van Houten) It was backland. We moved into our home there, we built it in 2005. In 2006, after looking at the map around, I thought "Wow, that would be a good piece of property to own, if we could get it." Mostly to protect that area from development. You'll see all that wetland in there. It's a great wildife area.

So, in 2006, we made our first offer. And January 2016, we finally got a response, after some back-and-forth from the owner, who had decided to sell. So, we then proceeded with the transaction.

Did you pay more for the big piece of property than you paid for the property that your house is on?

A (Van Houten) No. The property that the house was on was purchased for 28,000 in 1994. The 44 acres was purchased for 20,000 in 2016 . It was landlocked. So, there are very -- you can see only those little strip lots next to us
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(Van Houten) And there's a power line going across it. And there was a power line going across it when $I$ bought it.

Okay. Your testimony says that you're worried that your property value is going to decrease as a result of the Project.

A (Van Houten) Correct.
Q Are you talking about the piece -- the piece of property that the right-of-way goes through or the piece of property the house is on?
(Van Houten) Well, it is essentially all one piece of property. And if $I$ were showing someone a home on 60 acres, $I$ would walk them through it. And when they get to that corridor, it will be considerably different if the 90-foot towers are there.

CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Way.
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MR. WAY: Good morning.
WITNESS S. BREKKE: Good morning.

BY MR. WAY:

Q Mr. Ramsdell, $I$ just wanted some clarification on your testimony, and then looking at your prefiled.

When you had a revaluation, that was a $\$ 45,000$ decrease in your value. You met with the Town Assessor, and they said that part of that decrease, a part, was attributed to the Northern Pass or the prospect of the Northern Pass?

A (Ramsdell) That's right.
Q And do you know whether other neighbors, just in your awareness, did they experience a similar decrease?

A (Ramsdell) I had spoke with the neighbors, and they all had a decrease as well. So, our direct neighborhood, there's one, two, three, four, four or five houses in that neighborhood that all dropped significantly in their assessed value.

Q And when you look at the town as a whole, and you may not know this, that proportionally that
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A (Ramsdell) I don't know that. I don't know for the whole town.

And was there anything in writing where it gave you the breakdown of your revaluation where it mentioned the Northern Pass being an issue?

A (Ramsdell) No.
MR. WAY: All right. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Weathersby.
MS. WEATHERSBY: Sure. A follow-up
question for Mr. Ramsdell, also about your neighborhood.

WITNESS RAMSDELL: Uh-huh.
BY MS. WEATHERSBY:
Q When we were looking at the Project map, I noticed the neighbor directly across the street from you, their home is practically at the edge of the right-of-way, and they had a structure in the back that looks like it was in the right-of-way, with a tower basically within a minute distance.

A (Ramsdell) Uh-huh.
Q Have you had any -- or, the crane pad, I guess,
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for the structure. Have you had any
conversations with that neighbor? Have they expressed concerns or positions or -- they're just -- it's awfully close. And I'm wondering what you might know about that property?

A (Ramsdell) It is, yes. I had tried to encourage them to be involved in our group and what we were doing. And they're older, retired couple. She's had some health problems. They didn't want to get involved. They seem to think that it was probably going to happen, and they just weren't going to make a difference in taking a position. So, they're just kind of quiet, keep-to-themselves folks that -- so, I'm not sure what else their reasoning was for not getting involved with it.

They don't have a treeline between them and what's there now. They're next to the right-of-way, and the towers are taller next to them as they cross the road. So, it will be even worse for them, so...

Q And do you happen to know if that's one of the properties that the Northern Pass affiliate has offered to purchase?
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A (Ramsdell) Not that $I$ know of.

Q Okay.
A (Ramsdell) Not that $I$ know of. I don't know if they have contacted them or not. They didn't say that they have had any correspondence.

MS. WEATHERSBY: Thank you. Nothing further.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Oldenburg. MR. OLDENBURG: It's actually a follow-up question.

BY MR. OLDENBURG:
Q And I think -- I think my question is just about the same thing that Ms. Weathersby just asked. Was have -- and I think it's more toward Mr. Ramsdell's property. Have you heard of the Northern Pass Guarantee Program? And I don't think it applies to anybody else, but it might apply to you.

A (Ramsdell) No.
Q It's a program, if your property is encumbered by the easement, and within a hundred -- a hundred feet of the right-of-way boundary, that any, I will paraphrase, you have to read the whole document, any economic loss of sale of
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your property, that Northern Pass would make up the difference. There's very few properties that apply. But you haven't heard of it, and you don't know if your property applies to that?

A (Ramsdell) I haven't heard of it. I know I have -- there is a little easement at the bottom corner of my -- of that right-of-way that is in the deed. And it's -- when the map was up, it's down where it looked like they would be parking vehicles and having access roads, it's in that little corner next to the 142. But I didn't know that they were making that offer.

I mean, I had reached out to them to see if they would have interest, because we had wanted to sell, and they said that it wasn't -their opinion was that we weren't impacted by what was going to happen. And we should be -we should be -- rest assured, we were going to be okay. That there wasn't going to be any visual impact or any problem for us, and that our trees were going to protect us. But it's not a real deep barrier of trees. That
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 59/Morning Session ONLY]\{11-09-17\}
[PANEL: C\&E Lupton|Van Houten|Ramsdell|S\&B Brekke]
treeline is maybe 30 feet, 20 or 30 feet wide.
Q Okay. Like I said, I don't know if it applies or not. But $I$ just wanted to see if you had heard of that program or not.

A (Ramsdell) What was the name of it then?
Q It's the "Northern Pass Transmission Guarantee Program".

A (Ramsdell) "Guarantee", okay.
MR. OLDENBURG: Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Iacopino.
MR. IACOPINO: Thank you.
BY MR. IACOPINO:
Q Mr. Ramsdell, in your efforts to sell your property, did you have a realtor market your property for you?

A (Ramsdell) I did.
Q And who was that?
A (Ramsdell) It was Peabody Smith.
Q And where are they located?
A (Ramsdell) They're in Franconia and Littleton. The Littleton agency handled my property.

MR. IACOPINO: Thank you. No further questions.
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CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I don't think the panel has any other questions -- I mean, the Committee has any other questions for you. But you're not represented by counsel here. So, if there's something in the questions that were asked of you today, and the answers you gave, that you feel you need to follow up on or give any additional information, now is the time for you to do so.

Is there anybody who feels the need? It looks like Mr. Lupton, Ms. Brekke.

WITNESS E. LUPTON: Yes, Mr. Chair. Just before we break, I'd like to express our thanks to the members of the panel for the time and effort that you all put in, because this has got to be a major undertaking. And your thoughtfulness and thoroughness is very, very much appreciated.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you for the comment, Mr. Lupton. We appreciate your recognition of that.

Anyone have anything they want to
add? Ms. Brekke.
WITNESS S. BREKKE: Yes. I just
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wanted to say, aside from all of our testimony, it's been -- a lot has been property values, but I would also like to point out that, if the Project goes through as planned with the towers that we're going to be able to see from our house, it's going to be, when I'm looking out of 90 percent of the windows in my house, when I'm out on my deck trying to enjoy the outside, mow the lawn or going out to the garden, I'm going to see these towers that $I$ specifically moved away from, to get away from. And $I$ just need that -- I need to say that.

I just, you know, we moved up here to not see towers, and now you're going to impact my quality of life if it goes through the way it's planned.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Anyone else?
WITNESS E. LUPTON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes,
Mr. Ramsdell. Mr. Ramsdell, then we'll circle back to you, Mr. Lupton.

WITNESS RAMSDELL: Yes. I'd just
like to update, my prefiled testimony was
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November 14 th last year. And, at the time, we were at Dartmouth. I have a special needs daughter, 44, that has always lived at home. And she had an accident. She fell out of bed, broke her C5 in her neck. And, at this time, a year ago, we were in Dartmouth. She was in ICU for weeks. And she was in hospitals and rehabs for seven months. She came home May 1st. My wife stayed with her the whole time that she was in the hospitals. And she's paralyzed from the diaphram down. And my wife and her came home May lst. So, they have been home six months.

And since then, I've had to do all kinds of home modifications to make the house handicap-accessible; ramps and driveways and getting access to the upstairs. It's a three-story house. So, I've even looked into elevators, which is an expensive thing.

So, I guess the update is, I'm
putting a lot of money into this house that $I$ really would have liked to sell. And I would have liked to retire a year ago and go back south, if $I$ could sell the house. But, at this
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point, $I^{\prime} m$ putting money in a house $I$ can't sell.

And our life is -- the new normal is I just keep working as long as I have to. And my wife and I's focus is to care for our daughter. As long as she's alive, we'll do that and keep her at home. And we're making the best of the situation with her.

And, so, everything with the Northern

Pass Project has really become out in the distance in our lives. It was front and center, and it's now secondary, but it still-it impacts us significantly.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're all sorry for your situation, Mr. Ramsdell.

Mr. Lupton.
WITNESS E. LUPTON: Yes. After that, just a couple of very minor things.

Number one, $I$ believe you indicated that the opportunity would be there for us to take the responses that we made to the technical session and have those entered into the record.

And second, is the part of the
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concern about vandalism, in addition to just the whole question about vandalism on the Project, is, as noted, the power lines would go directly across property, which we own. And I'm uncertain about what our personal situation would be should something happen on our property as a result of vandalism or actions with the vandals and so on and so forth. So, this is just a bit of an expansion of the reason why we have that concern.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Walkley, Mr. Needleman, is there -- would there be an objection to Mr. Lupton's discovery responses being made part of the record? Without knowing what's in them and their volume, it's a little hard for any of us, $I$ think, to know what to say.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I honestly can't recall those responses. So, I can't necessarily say at this point. But, generally speaking, no.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I guess what I'm going to do is ask Mr. Lupton to confer with Mr. Needleman and Ms. Walkley, and perhaps Mr.
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Pappas, to coordinate what next steps might be appropriate for those responses, okay?

WITNESS E. LUPTON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Is
that it? Is there anyone else?
Mr. Brekke.
WITNESS B. BREKKE: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. I just would like to stress that the "photo simulations", in quotes, that I concocted, represent that the -- even though we are abutters to the existing right-of-way and the proposed route, our house is tilted such that the proposed towers would be directly across the view that we have now of the Kilkenny Range, Mount Prospect, and Mount Cabot. So, it would be in direct line of every time we looked out the rear of our house, which I think, as my wife stressed and pointed out, would just be a terrible impact on our lifestyle.

Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I
think we are done with this panel. We thank you for coming.
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|  | 10/22 11/8 11/11 <br> 13/1 13/11 14/1 | WITNESS S. BREKKE: [3] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MR. IACOPINO: <br> [5] 67/15 67/21 | 14/5 14/9 14/15 | BREKKE: 83/13 135/1 141/23 |
| [5] 67/15 67/21 $83 / 7$ 140/11 140/22 | 17/3 17/8 123/8 | WITNESS VAN |
| MR. | 123/12 123/15 | HOUTEN: [1] |
| NEEDLEMAN: [6] | 127/17 | 131/18 |
| 10/14 12/20 70/20 | MS. MERRIGAN: | WITNESS |
| 71/1 71/23 145/17 | [1] 83/10 | VERDILE: [9] |
| MR. | MS. WALKLEY: | 4/10 19/14 47/2 |
| OLDENBURG: [5] | [3] 128/2 128/16 | 56/2 58/18 62/12 |
| 46/24 47/4 55/21 | 131/3 | 63/16 64/6 75/3 |
| 138/8 140/8 |  | \$ |
| MR. RAFF: [5] |  | \$14 [1] 34/15 |
| 17/12 18/2 18/11 |  | \$14 million [1] |
| 18/18 19/2 | 62/8 136/10 138/5 | 34/15 |
| MR. WAY: [5] |  | \$15 [1] 34/16 |
| 62/11 62/13 63/9 | 105/8 131/5 146/6 | \$34.5 [1] 34/7 |
| 134/24 136/8 | WITNESS E. | \$34.5 million [1] |
| MR. WHITLEY: |  | 34/7 |
| [18] 18/1 30/14 | LU1/11 142/18 | \$45,000 [2] 90/19 |
| 32/10 63/15 63/18 | $144 / 16146 / 2$ | 135/8 |
| 64/2 64/8 67/18 <br> 69/19 70/2 71/6 | WITNESS | 0 |
| 71/13 71/21 72/3 | HEISER: [6] 4/11 | 006438 [1] 64/10 |
| 72/12 73/14 74/23 | 47/3 58/19 63/17 | 02-24-17 [1] 3/12 |
| 122/14 | 64/7 75/5 | 052 [1] 1/24 |
| MS. BOEPPLE: | WITNESS | 06 [1] 1/7 |
| [1] 122/22 | JODOIN: [4] 4/12 | 08-25-17 [1] 3/6 |
| MS. GAGNON: | 14/17 18/14 18/17 | 09-22-11 [1] 3/18 |
| [1] 33/21 |  | 1 |
| MS. MENARD: | 136/13 142/22 | 10 acres [1] 125/17 |
| [18] 4/9 4/13 8/12 | 136/13 142/22 | 100 feet [5] 9/18 |


| 1 | 14th [1] 143/1 | 2011 [1] 128/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 feet... [4] 94/8 | 1500-foot [1] 15/20 | 2012 [2] 28/18 89/4 |
| 94/13 95/12 99/6 | 16 [1] 53/17 | 2014 [2] 120/23 |
| 10481 [1] 9/15 | 16th [1] 44/24 | 121/14 |
| 1049 [2] 77/4 84/14 | 17 [6] 1/6 2/6 3/6 | 2015-06 [1] 1/7 |
| 106 [1] 22/19 | 3/12 69/4 70/6 | 2016 [9] 3/13 44/7 |
| 10:24 [1] 75/10 | 175 feet [1] 49/23 | 44/24 79/9 119/20 |
| 10:39 [1] 75/12 | 17th [1] 42/4 | 119/20 120/3 |
| $11[2] 3 / 1879 / 23$ | 19 [2] 2/7 3/4 | 133/13 133/22 |
| 11-27-17 [1] 1/6 | 1950s [1] 113/5 | 2017 [13] 1/3 3/9 |
| 110 [1] 93/16 | 1994 [1] 133/21 | 19/23 24/17 25/10 |
| 12 [1] 125/17 | 1:15 [1] 147/2 | 26/18 29/20 30/6 |
| 1216 [1] 9/7 | 1:30 [1] 147/3 | 31/8 39/5 59/23 |
| 1223 [1] 10/3 | 1st [7] 41/6 41/8 | 59/24 74/4 |
| 1226 [1] 11/20 | 41/10 59/21 59/24 | 20th [1] 74/3 |
| 123 [1] 2/18 | 143/8 143/12 | 22nd [1] 128/23 |
| 128 [2] 2/18 3/18 | 2 | 23rd [2] 26/18 39/5 |
| 12:04 [1] 147/4 | 20 [7] 3/9 31/8 | 24 [1] 34/3 |
| 13 [2] 44/7 78/4 | 68/22 69/2 69/4 | 25 [6] 19/23 24/4 |
| 130 feet [1] 6/21 | 69/4 140/1 | 24/17 26/5 26/16 |
| 131 [1] 2/21 | 20 acres [1] 126/14 | 31/22 |
| 135 [2] 2/21 124/2 | 20 feet [2] 111/4 |  |
| 136 [1] 2/22 | 112/14 | 2614 [2] 97/7 97/10 |
| 138 [1] 2/22 | 20,000 [1] 133/22 | 2634 [2] 107/16 <br> 108/10 |
| 14 [3] 30/5 45/5 | 20-year [1] 34/14 | $28[4] \text { 3/7 22/20 }$ |
| 81/4 | 2004 [5] 3/14 3/16 | 28 [4] 3/7 22/20 <br> 55/13 55/14 |
| 140 [2] 2/23 49/23 | 4/19 7/2 51/20 | 28,000 [1] 133/21 |
| 142 [7] 85/2 87/21 | 2005 [1] 133/5 | 285 acres [1] 7/2 |
| 88/3 92/14 92/20 | 2006 [2] 133/5 | 285 acres [1] 7/2 |
| 94/10 139/13 | 133/12 | 3 |
| 145 feet [1] 6/21 | 201 [2] 84/19 97/6 | 30 [3] 3/8 116/15 |
| 148 [1] 67/21 | 2010 [1] 89/4 | 127/17 |


| 3 | 403 [1] 3/13 | 619 [1] 85/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 feet [2] 140/1 | 404 [2] 3/8 30/2 | 62 [1] 2/11 |
| 140/1 | 409 [2] 3/18 128/18 | 620 [2] 86/14 86/17 |
| 302 [8] 116/3 116/7 | 44 [3] 70/5 132/20 | 621 [1] 94/8 |
| 117/8 117/17 118/1 | 143/3 | 63 [1] 2/7 |
| 118/7 118/13 | 44 acres [1] 133/22 | 649 [1] 77/2 |
| 119/24 | 46 [1] 9/6 | 67499 [1] 99/10 |
| 310-A:173 [1] | 47 [4] 2/10 22/14 | 6:30 [1] 3/9 |
| 148/19 | 22/17 23/1 | 7 |
| 3126 [2] 114/7 | $48 \text { [1] 9/8 }$ | 70 [1] 105/15 |
| 132/13 | 49 [1] 1/4 | 75 [4] 76/23 76/24 |
| 31st [1] 124/4 | 5 | 86/20 103/23 |
| 33 [1] 3/10 | 50 [2] 60/17 62/1 | 76 [1] 2/17 |
| 33 feet [1] 9/10 | 52 [1] 148/18 | 8 |
| 34 [1] 3/13 | 534 [3] 8/20 9/1 | \% 800 feet [1] 111/8 |
| 36 [1] 126/17 | 10/11 | 800 feet [1] 111/8 |
| 375 [1] 9/14 | 534 acres [1] 7/9 | 83 [1] 2/17 |
| 39 [1] 3/14 | $536[1] 12 / 3$ | 848,088 [1] 34/10 |
| 391 [2] 3/4 19/22 | 56 [1] 2/10 | 9 |
| 397 [3] 3/14 39/12 | 577 [1] 105/3 | 90 [1] 142/7 |
| 39/14 | 587 [1] 104/23 | 90 feet [1] 103/23 |
| 399 [2] 3/16 40/7 | 589 [1] 104/24 | 90-foot [1] 134/22 |
| 4 | 59 [6] 1/3 2/11 4/3 | 93 [3] 54/17 55/9 |
| 40 [2] 3/16 36/9 | 81/23 147/5 147/9 | 55/10 |
| 40-foot [2] 102/7 | 6 | 95 feet [1] 86/20 |
| 103/18 | 60 [2] 92/2 105/24 | 99 [2] 77/6 77/8 |
| 400 [5] 3/10 33/22 | 60 acres [2] 125/19 | 9:04 [1] 1/3 |
| 33/23 33/24 34/4 | 134/19 | A |
| 400 feet [1] 111/6 | 60 feet [2] 92/5 | a.m [3] 1/3 75/10 |
| 402 [3] 3/7 28/13 | 106/3 | 75/12 |
| 28/24 | 618 [2] 86/14 86/17 | A:173 [1] 148/19 |



A
across... [3] 136/17
145/4 146/14
action [2] 148/12 148/15
actions [1] 145/7 activity [3] 16/3 16/3 16/4
actual [1] 95/1
actually [26] 20/14 20/24 21/3 44/2 44/10 56/17 56/24 60/11 70/20 91/17 94/16 97/8 97/24 99/16 103/14 107/7 107/24 108/17
110/5 111/18
114/15 123/11
128/21 129/12
129/17 138/9
Adam [1] 19/16 adamant [1] 58/1 add [4] 66/17 72/17 82/4 141/23
added [5] 16/21
32/22 43/22 101/20 125/16
adding [2] 66/4 66/12
addition [3] 78/9 82/1 145/1
additional [15]

16/3 24/5 24/13
25/8 25/15 31/16 32/21 43/21 46/15 61/19 81/24 82/9 114/9 117/1 141/8 additions [1] 82/24 address [10] 11/22 12/1 45/2 48/22
76/20 76/23 117/20
118/18 128/24 129/2
addressed [2] 16/9 26/2
adds [1] 124/8
adjacent [4] 67/11 85/3 97/20 132/15 adjudicative [1] 41/9
adjustment [7]
60/12 60/16 60/19
60/23 61/14 62/2 62/3
Administrator [2] 1/21 3/4
adopt [6] 59/18
77/15 78/17 79/16
80/8 81/13
adopted [2] 41/4 59/22
advantage [1] 36/7 adversely [1] 16/22 aesthetic [3] 49/5

50/7 53/3
aesthetics [5] 40/4 47/23 49/3 51/17 52/19
Affairs [1] 1/16 affect [3] 8/5 12/19 89/20
affected [6] 61/20 69/3 91/23 96/17 118/9 118/10 affiliate [1] 137/23 affirmative [2] 44/19 50/18
afraid [1] 90/6 after [10] 22/13
42/13 96/1 119/13
120/23 127/16
132/24 133/6
133/13 144/17
afternoon [2] 17/13 147/9
afterwards [2]
130/14 131/2
again [19] 25/13
25/17 27/22 38/22
38/23 41/4 51/21
52/14 60/4 77/13
78/5 82/3 98/23
99/13 109/2 112/9
124/5 131/1 131/18
against [1] 51/14
agency [1] 140/22

| A | 113/18 126/19 | 90/15 91/9 97/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ago [9] 49/14 53/17 | 126/22 129/15 | 97/17 97/21 101/1 |
| 66/7 99/23 103/17 | 132/23 133/9 | 104/2 106/3 107/14 |
| 108/18 112/19 | 134/17 135/18 | 110/13 112/16 |
| 143/6 143/23 | 135/21 136/9 | 114/5 115/3 115/22 |
| agree [12] 10/12 | 140/10 141/15 | 117/6 126/4 129/1 |
| 22/1 32/7 32/20 | 142/1 143/14 | 136/12 142/3 |
| 32/23 35/2 39/21 | 144/14 146/4 | Alteration [2] |
| 40/12 53/13 74/19 | 146/22 | 41/21 87/15 |
| 74/21 129/21 | Allenstown [2] | alterations [1] |
| agreed [1] 37/10 | 55/14 55/15 | $25 / 21$ |
| agreement [3] 5/17 | alleviated [1] | alternatives [1] |
| 33/16 45/17 | 115/14 <br> almost [3] 43/3 | 54/16 <br> Although [1] |
| agreements [1] 5/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { almost [3] 43/3 } \\ & 44 / 14115 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | Although [1] $112 / 18$ |
| agrees [1] 78/6 Alan [1] 17/14 | alone [1] 134/4 | always [4] 5/19 |
| Alan [1] 17/14 | along [11] 5/23 | 15/21 93/8 143/3 |
| alarming [1] 42/23 | along [11] 5/23 $7 / 23$ 8/2 83/23 | am [7] 50/24 55/6 |
| all [57] 9/17 10/19 | 87/10 87/21 99/16 | 79/24 115/10 |
| 11/10 17/7 19/9 | 107/13 107/20 | 148/10 148/12 |
| 20/7 31/20 41/19 | 121/22 132/17 | 148/14 |
| 41/24 44/20 46/10 | already [8] 12/23 | amended [1] 59/2 |
| 48/19 51/7 52/3 | 17/5 23/23 36/15 | Ammonoosuc [1] |
| 54/7 55/22 55/23 | 37/1 38/16 54/20 | 116/3 |
| 57/4 57/5 61/20 | 96/15 | AMMY [2] 2/4 4/9 |
| 62/6 63/5 63/11 | also [39] 1/19 8/11 | amount [7] 7/10 |
| 65/22 69/15 72/10 | 22/7 23/8 28/2 | 29/6 61/15 69/12 |
| 72/18 74/24 75/2 | 37/17 37/19 37/21 | 69/15 112/8 125/8 |
| 75/13 77/18 80/20 | 38/4 39/8 39/13 | amounts [2] 20/20 |
| 81/19 84/14 91/23 | 40/3 45/19 46/1 | 61/18 |
| 97/22 99/16 99/19 | 46/3 47/23 57/2 | analysis [4] 68/8 |
| 107/18 113/17 | 68/5 73/20 78/3 | 72/2 124/13 124/21 |

A
angle [1] 103/13 annoyance [1] 6/3 another [6] 14/21 36/20 40/9 99/12 111/6 111/7 answer [9] 15/14 18/14 18/16 30/23 63/7 68/12 68/18 68/20 78/23 answered [3] 71/8 72/15 123/18 answers [4] 79/14 80/6 81/10 141/6 any [78] 7/13 10/21 16/2 16/3 16/6 18/23 19/5 20/21 23/18 27/4 27/10 27/18 28/3 37/8 37/11 39/22 40/13 42/8 43/11 43/21 45/7 45/19 46/8 46/15 54/19 58/9 66/12 68/1 68/8 68/8 70/13 71/17
72/2 74/18 79/2 79/3 79/19 79/24 80/12 81/16 81/24 82/24 88/15 89/12 92/12 95/24 96/11 100/10 106/6 106/11 109/7

113/14 115/15
117/1 117/20 118/4 118/21 118/22 119/23 120/2
121/12 121/12
121/16 125/19
127/22 136/24
137/1 138/5 138/23
138/24 139/21
139/22 141/2 141/3
141/8 145/16
148/11 148/13 anybody [6] 19/7
36/16 56/6 113/8
138/17 141/10
anyone [8] 120/4
122/11 123/3
127/19 131/9
141/22 142/18
146/5
anything [11] 24/5
24/13 57/13 66/3
66/16 74/13 82/4
82/5 127/8 136/5
141/22
anywhere [1]
127/10
AOT [1] 99/13
apart [1] 103/15
APP [9] 3/4 3/7 3/8
3/10 3/13 3/14 3/16 3/18 99/10

APP67500 [1] 108/15
APP67879 [1] 104/20
APP67886 [1] 93/18
APP67887 [1] 84/7 APP67893 [1] 97/2 APP67895 [1] 106/24
APP67903 [1]
113/21
Appearances [1] 1/23
appeared [2] 73/21 93/12
appears [2] 84/10 132/5
Appendix [8] 9/5
9/6 9/7 22/14 22/17 23/1 70/5 70/6
Applicant [12] 9/17 20/16 23/20 29/2
62/19 63/7 78/11
82/10 105/13 106/6 120/22 126/3
Applicants [41]
19/17 19/22 21/14 22/13 23/14 24/16 25/2 28/4 28/13
28/21 28/24 29/3 29/9 29/16 29/20

| A | approached [1] | 43/10 43/21 45/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applicants... [26] | 96/10 | 45/7 45/11 45/1 |
| 30/2 30/19 31/22 | appropriate [5] | 47/5 |
| 32/2 32/22 33/13 | 38/17 49/16 49/17 | 48/2 48/8 49/16 |
| 33/16 33/23 34/4 | 49/19 146/2 | 49/23 50/2 50/5 |
| 35/22 36/4 37/10 | approximate [1] | 54/1 56/5 56/13 |
| 38/15 39/12 40/7 | 102/11 | 56/17 56/17 56/21 |
| 43/6 44/10 70/4 | approximately [7] | 56/21 57/1 57/15 |
| 84/18 97/6 118/19 | 34/15 94/13 99/2 | 57/17 58/3 61/21 |
| 118/20 119/2 119/9 | 103/18 111/4 111/6 | 62/6 65/1 65/4 |
| 128/5 128/18 | 111/7 | 65/23 66/1 67/6 |
| Applicants' [10] | approximation [1] | 67/8 77/17 78/22 |
| 9/2 19/23 31/21 | 110/10 | 79/2 83/22 84/12 |
| 33/20 34/4 34/9 | aprons [4] 24/20 | 84/17 85/1 85/19 |
| 35/1 41/9 46/2 96/4 | 72/23 88/2 100/6 | 86/5 86/12 86/12 |
| application [5] 1/8 | aquifer [3] 43/19 | 86/13 86/16 86/19 |
| 25/9 44/5 119/14 | 43/20 57/19 | 86/20 87/7 87/15 |
| 133/1 | aquifers [3] 57/15 | 87/22 88/1 88/9 |
| Applications [1] | 57/18 58/2 | 92/7 93/10 93/21 |
| 3/5 | are [129] 5/13 6/10 | 95/22 96/4 97/4 |
| applies [3] 138/17 | 6/13 6/15 6/18 8/1 | 97/23 98/23 102/7 |
| 139/4 140/2 | 8/2 9/1 11/14 12/5 | 102/9 103/5 103/8 |
| apply [3] 51/9 | 12/7 13/5 14/21 | 103/18 104/1 104/2 |
| 138/18 139/3 | 16/1 16/15 19/5 | 104/16 105/23 |
| appraisal [2] 10/4 | 20/5 21/10 22/14 | 106/19 110/3 |
| 89/19 | 22/18 22/21 22/23 | 113/23 115/19 |
| appraised [1] 10/6 | 22/24 23/23 25/18 | 115/20 116/10 |
| appreciate [2] | 31/6 31/10 31/16 | 118/8 118/9 121/14 |
| 74/10 141/20 | 32/2 34/7 35/13 | 129/15 132/3 |
| appreciated [1] | 35/15 35/17 35/21 | 133/23 134/14 |
| 141/18 | 35/22 36/10 36/20 | 134/22 137/19 |
| approach [1] 72/9 | 37/18 39/24 43/5 | 140/20 146/11 |


| A | as [101] $1 / 13$ 4/14 | 135/23 137/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| are... [1] 146/23 | 5/23 6/8 7/24 14/13 | 142/4 144/4 |
| area [28] 11/1 15/8 | 14/13 15/11 15/11 | 144/6 144/6 145/3 |
| 15/15 16/2 23/5 | 16/19 20/8 21/5 | 145/7 146/18 147/8 |
| 36/11 36/23 43/1 | 25/12 26/15 28/7 | aside [1] 142/1 |
| 43/12 52/16 56/10 | 29/2 29/13 36/20 | ask [20] 8/14 18/24 |
| 56/22 58/5 58/7 | 36/24 38/3 38/22 | 25/23 48/16 66/4 |
| 63/24 65/16 91/19 | 38/22 38/24 39/18 | 66/21 70/12 74/1 |
| 92/2 95/17 105/10 | 40/10 41/4 41/6 | 76/16 81/20 82/15 |
| 111/21 111/22 | 41/8 42/13 42/20 | 83/18 97/9 101/5 |
| 112/11 115/20 | 43/6 43/23 47/16 | 109/6 118/17 |
| 116/22 127/17 | 49/3 50/3 50/3 50/6 | 119/17 124/23 |
| 133/9 133/11 | 50/10 51/2 51/12 | 130/15 145/23 |
| areas [11] 5/9 36/22 | 51/20 54/23 58/11 | asked [25] 16/18 |
| 49/16 52/6 115/6 | 59/2 59/12 60/1 | 18/21 21/13 21/13 |
| 115/8 115/9 115/14 | 61/23 63/3 63/5 | 38/9 64/21 68/7 |
| 115/14 115/19 | 65/7 66/13 66/13 | 72/1 72/20 78/21 |
| 115/20 | 68/13 77/22 78/4 | 79/12 80/3 80/4 |
| aren't [1] 102/20 | 78/17 79/6 79/8 | 81/8 82/10 82/20 |
| arguing [2] 14/4 | 79/23 80/8 81/3 | 92/1 96/12 96/13 |
| 20/24 | 81/3 81/4 82/8 | 109/6 111/14 119/3 |
| argument [3] 13/23 | 82/14 83/16 88/13 | 119/5 138/14 141/6 |
| 14/12 14/15 | 92/17 99/23 101/12 | asking [10] 15/1 |
| Arkansas [1] | 101/16 105/12 | 19/11 29/21 30/6 |
| 111/22 | 106/19 107/4 107/7 | 54/9 67/24 71/15 |
| around [6] 43/1 | 108/2 109/12 | 72/5 72/19 122/5 |
| 75/18 113/9 127/1 | 115/17 118/6 121/4 | Aslin [7] 2/17 38/6 |
| 127/17 133/6 | 123/22 123/23 | 67/24 71/14 72/1 |
| arrive [2] 68/8 | 124/9 127/11 | 83/10 83/15 |
| 70/13 | 129/14 129/14 | Aslin's [3] 128/12 |
| articulated [1] | 129/24 132/17 | 129/13 130/16 |
| 13/23 | 134/12 135/18 | aspects [2] 62/8 |

## A

aspects... [1] 88/12 assessed [3] 12/20
34/19 135/22
assessing [2] 69/11 124/19
assessment [4] 68/7 124/11 126/1 126/2 assessments [2]

## 13/17 13/17

assessor [5] 60/24 61/7 68/22 91/7 135/9
assessors [2] 62/7 123/21
assign [1] 123/22 assigned [1] 104/2 assignment [1] 10/5 associated [1] 22/6 assume [6] 10/7 24/16 46/17 78/6 88/20 106/10 assuming [1] 28/20 assumption [3]
51/11 54/13 106/4 assured [1] 139/20 astray [1] 43/16 attachment [1] 4/22
attempted [2] 29/16 89/3 attended [1] 7/21
attention [1] 93/8 $\quad$ aware [29] 5/19 9/1 attorney [15] 17/22 18/1 20/8 21/12
21/16 25/22 30/11 31/24 38/6 128/4 128/12 129/13
130/16 148/10 148/13
attributed [1]
135/10
ATVs [1] 38/5
audible [3] 110/1 110/2 110/3
audio [2] 45/4 45/6
August [10] 19/23
24/4 24/17 25/10
26/5 26/7 31/22
42/4 72/20 93/22
August 2017 [1]
25/10
August 25 [5] 19/23
24/4 24/17 26/5
31/22
August 25th [2]
26/7 72/20
available [5] 10/19
26/15 27/1 83/8 129/7
average [1] 6/20 avoidance [2] 43/24 55/2
avoiding [1] 67/9

26/4 26/7 26/8
26/16 28/20 29/15
31/10 34/7 37/10
37/19 38/15 38/20
41/8 42/7 45/6
45/10 45/14 45/16
45/18 58/9 58/13
82/22 96/4 115/19
129/11 129/18
129/19
awareness [1]
135/15
away [9] 36/10 94/9
99/6 117/17 118/1
126/15 126/16
142/11 142/11
awful [1] 125/4
awfully [1] 137/4
B
back [29] 12/4
17/12 19/21 20/24
22/12 31/14 31/20
33/13 35/7 62/19
62/24 63/1 63/2
63/8 75/14 75/19
89/3 93/18 101/17
102/22 114/22
115/11 117/17
118/1 133/14
136/20 142/22
143/23 147/2

## B

back-and-forth [1] 133/14
background [2] 9/16 10/23
backland [5]
123/23 124/15
124/24 124/24
133/4
Bailey [4] 1/14 2/21 75/19 131/12
Baker [1] 123/1
barrier [1] 139/24
barriers [2] 38/11 38/17
based [22] 14/12
24/17 27/23 29/15
31/20 31/23 32/3
34/18 35/1 51/1
61/2 66/17 70/13
82/5 82/9 83/1
88/15 102/3 102/6
102/9 120/16 130/8 basically [3] 47/20 49/11 136/21
basis [2] 30/20 110/17
Batchelder [1]
22/21
Bates [2] 64/10
67/17
Bay [1] 53/24
be [148] 5/10 5/19 6/17 6/18 6/20 8/8 8/17 11/2 15/13
15/22 15/22 20/22 22/8 22/17 23/2
24/22 25/11 27/20
28/4 29/22 32/5
32/10 32/22 33/17
34/7 34/10 34/18
35/3 36/2 36/6
36/17 36/23 37/13
37/14 37/21 38/10
38/24 43/14 43/14
43/22 45/20 47/6
47/11 48/14 48/21
50/16 53/18 53/19
54/11 54/19 55/9
57/11 57/23 58/14
61/15 61/19 62/3
68/9 69/3 70/16
71/16 73/6 73/22
80/3 80/16 81/8
82/20 82/23 86/8
87/8 87/13 88/6 88/11 88/17 89/21
90/7 91/22 92/6
93/3 93/5 93/12
93/24 95/5 95/8
95/9 95/12 95/16
96/13 96/18 100/11 100/14 100/15
102/16 102/19

102/20 105/5 106/3 106/5 109/9 109/16 110/1 110/2 110/7 110/23 111/5 112/8 114/21 116/9
116/17 117/16
118/2 118/6 118/9
118/10 118/12
118/13 119/5 119/6
119/24 128/2 130/2
130/2 130/9 130/13
131/16 132/5 133/7
134/21 137/7
137/20 139/11
139/19 139/20
139/21 139/21
141/16 142/5 142/6
144/20 145/6
145/12 146/1
146/13 146/16
146/19 147/2 147/3 147/3
beauty [1] 36/6
because [32] 13/19
14/13 15/21 21/10
21/20 23/4 23/23
43/16 51/16 51/18
51/19 54/19 55/6
57/6 57/18 59/12
65/22 66/23 67/3
77/14 85/1 93/14
96/14 112/7 119/11
because... [7] 121/9 121/10 125/3
126/23 128/21
139/16 141/15
become [4] 42/22
69/7 109/11 144/10 bed [1] 143/4
bedroom [1]
127/14
been [56] 7/3 7/9
10/6 10/20 16/8
17/5 20/17 20/19
20/23 24/20 25/19
25/24 28/17 35/17
35/19 36/9 36/12
36/12 36/19 37/2
40/2 40/3 41/4 41/7
41/22 42/22 46/11
57/7 57/22 58/9
58/10 59/13 62/2
63/24 68/22 77/21
79/7 83/16 88/16
95/19 96/10 111/15
111/20 113/10
115/12 115/14
117/15 118/24
119/7 120/9 120/9
123/18 130/1 142/2
142/2 143/12
before [12] 6/4 27/2 41/10 42/3 44/14

44/21 53/6 65/20 69/10 75/15 101/7 141/13
beginning [2] 29/20 74/5
being [19] 5/18
5/20 16/17 16/18
17/16 23/8 46/12
52/12 54/12 68/2
95/21 96/14 96/17
107/4 116/2 126/16
136/1 136/7 145/14
believe [49] 6/4 7/8
15/9 15/16 15/19
17/4 19/18 24/22
26/10 35/10 36/8
37/1 38/6 39/12
39/24 40/22 44/23
56/13 56/23 64/13
64/20 65/9 68/3
72/15 73/21 79/5
79/8 84/3 84/19
86/11 86/11 89/7
103/17 104/4
104/19 106/19
107/5 107/17
109/24 110/7
111/16 111/21
112/7 113/12
115/24 120/22
130/3 130/7 144/19
below [10] 45/7

45/20 46/3 85/2 87/19 107/9 108/6 108/19 114/6
132/21
benefit [2] 9/22
17/11
beside [2] 92/13
92/18
best [8] 14/24 43/16
81/11 113/6 118/24
128/14 144/8 148/7
Bethlehem [3] 77/3
113/19 131/16
better [4] 23/22
28/6 37/12 38/3
between [11] $5 / 4$
5/7 5/10 32/9 60/17
73/24 92/9 95/17
119/1 119/8 137/17
big [4] 23/24 36/7
57/22 133/17
Bill [1] 47/7
binding [1] 32/10
bit [6] 14/23 94/5
96/23 126/8 132/13 145/9
black [1] 114/17
blatant [1] 47/18
blessed [1] 57/22
blue [1] 65/1
Board [15] 3/8 3/11
6/5 30/13 31/1 31/7

| B | $883 / 683 /$ | Brennan [1] 1/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Board... [9] 31/13 | 84/11 97/4 97/ | Brian [1] 57/10 |
| 33/17 34/3 57/10 | 97/12 97/17 97/20 | Brief [1] 75/22 |
| /16 59/21 63/4 | 97/24 98/3 98/6 | bring [1] 19/21 |
| 63/8 69/10 | 98/12 98/19 98/22 | broke [1] 143/5 |
| boards [1] 59/15 | 99/2 99/899/1 | Brotherhood [1] |
| Boepple [1] 122/21 | 9/22 100 | 17/15 |
| both [4] 32/5 32/9 | 100/4 100/9 100/13 | brought [5] 27/5 |
| 8/15 97/14 | 100/18 100/22 | 27/22 39/5 91/20 |
| bottom [13] 6/17 | 100/23 101/4 | 120/13 |
| 9/15 70/9 74/4 | 101/14 101/1 | BRUCE [4] 2/15 |
| 99/14 99/17 99/2 | 101/19 101/22 | 76/5 76/12 77/8 |
| 108/8 108/10 | 101/24 102/3 | buffer [6] 5/4 5/9 |
| 108/10 108/19 | 102/18 103/1 103/5 | 6/22 91/21 95/23 |
| 132/12 139/8 | 103/20 104/1 104/8 | 96/1 |
| bought [5] 50/23 | 104/13 104/18 | buffers [5] 5/7 6/8 |
| /16 104/6 132/24 | 105/2 105/16 | 6/10 6/12 6/15 |
| 4/9 | 105/18 106/4 106/9 | build [2] 116/15 |
| boundary [1] | 106/14 106/16 | 116/23 |
| 138/22 | 06 | building [1] 116/12 |
| bounds [1] 49/15 | 120/20 120/21 | buildings [1] 132/ |
| Boyle [1] 52/8 | 121/4 121/15 | built [3] 61/15 62 |
| break [4] 75/8 | 121/18 121/20 | 133/5 |
| 75/17 141/13 147/1 | 121/22 122/8 | Ik [1] 108/5 |
| breakdown [1] | 125/22 126/11 | ied [1] 55/11 |
| 136/6 | 130/17 130/20 | bury [1] 55/11 |
| BREKKE [84] 2/15 | 130/24 131/3 | burying [4] 46/17 |
| 2/15 76/4 76/5 | 141/11 141/23 | 54/11 54/13 55/12 |
| $176 / 1277$ | 146/6 | usiness [1] 1/15 |
| 77/6 77/8 77/8 | Brekkes [4] 96/24 | bustle [1] 126/16 |
|  | 106/21 108/18 | buy [4] 122/1 |


| B | 18/13 18/15 18/16 | caused [1] 28/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| buyer [1] 126/10 | 18/18 24/8 29/3 | causes [1] 67/3 |
| buyers [1] 90/12 | 30/7 30/23 38/2 | causing [1] 89/14 |
| buying [2] 96/13 | 57/20 64/3 64/5 | CAV [1] 5/24 |
| 125/20 | 65/4 67/20 70/16 | CAV'ern [1] 5/24 |
| C |  | caveat [1] |
| C1 [3] 49/19 49/20 | 105/21 109/2 | 99/3 99/15 99/21 |
| 87/4 | 109/13 111/19 | 144/12 |
| C1-2 [1] 87/4 | 113/1 114/15 | centers [1] 112/13 |
| C5 [1] 143/5 | 118/23 121/20 | certain [3] 6/9 96/6 |
| Cabot [1] 146/16 calculation [1] | 127/6 127/7 127/11 <br> 127/12 128/17 | 107/6 |
| 71/15 | 131/1 132/1 133/23 | 46/10 74/14 |
| calculations [1] | 147/2 | certificate [3] 1/10 |
| 61/2 | can't [7] 45/22 55/9 | 21/5 41/20 |
| call [2] 33/20 35/13 | 57/19 66/3 144/1 | certified [1] 68/21 |
| called [3] 66/21 | 145/18 145/19 | certify [2] 148/4 |
| 91/17 91/20 | card [1] 12/9 | 148/10 |
| calling [2] 12/22 | cards [2] 13/15 | chain [1] 48/13 |
| 71/3 | 60/15 | chains [1] 113/11 |
| Calls [1] 32/11 | care [4] 55/17 | Chair [6] 10/15 |
| came [11] 7/20 | 55/19 55/19 144/5 | 18/3 19/13 70/21 |
| 17/20 91/20 96/12 | Caron [1] 1/20 | 122/15 141/12 |
| 101/6 119/21 | carrying [1] 112/8 | Chairman [4] 47/2 |
| 120/11 120/12 | case [8] 9/2 9/6 9/8 | 54/23 122/9 146/8 |
| 126/20 143/8 | 9/22 9/24 77/12 | Chalmers [1] 124/1 |
| 143/11 | 98/23 148/14 | Chalmers' [1] |
| can [48] 5/10 6/12 | catastrophe [1] | 10/16 |
| 6/22 9/9 11/11 | 43/18 | chance [1] 41/16 |
| 11/21 12/4 14/14 | cause [2] 45/12 | change [11] 12/14 |
| 15/22 15/22 15/23 | 45/12 | 12/16 12/18 23/2 |


| C | Chris [1] 83/15 | clear [2] 62/17 63/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| change... [7] 24/21 | to | clearer [2] 109/12 |
| 46/18 54/5 90/20 | 1 | 9/13 |
| 111/24 117/19 | Chrmn [1] | ring [1] |
| 118/3 | circle [1] 142/21 | clearly [3] |
| changed [2] 20/19 | circulated [2] 33/5 | 13/19 21/1 |
| 93/14 | 33/12 | clients [1] 74/11 |
| changes [16] 20/7 | cite [1] 70/4 | close [9] 66/1 67/6 |
| 20/13 20/17 21/2 | Citizens [1] 7/19 | 95/10 96/14 99/5 |
| 21/4 21/10 21/12 | City [3] 53/15 | 102/19 103/14 |
| 24/19 25/14 25/18 | 53/17 66/13 | 106/20 137/4 |
| /19 25/24 31/2 | Cl [1] 86/23 | closely [1] 42/11 |
| 32/3 32/24 45/9 | Cl-2 [1] 86/23 | closer [6] 67/1 86 |
| Changing [1] 28/12 | claiming [2] 102/5 | 95/5 98/24 118/2 |
| chapter [11] 3/15 | 102/15 | 147/3 |
| 3/16 5/1 7/5 15/18 | CLARE [6] 2/13 | closest [3] 86/12 |
| 39/15 40/18 59/10 | 76/2 76/7 76/21 | 86/13 95/10 |
| 59/11 59/18 59/22 | 76/22 77/23 | clue [1] 121/12 |
| Chapter III [1] | clarification [9] | Cmsr [2] 1/14 2/21 |
| 39/15 | 15/1 35/10 64/22 | Colebrook [1] |
| character [5] 49/2 | 65/7 65/13 65/23 | 91/19 |
| 51/22 52/19 | 66/18 67/10 135/4 | column [1] 86/22 |
| 21 | clarify [4] 12/8 | combined [1] |
| characteristics | 13/20 56/8 107/15 | 1/13 |
| 49/5 53/3 | clarifying [1] 71/4 | come [5] 11/17 |
| characterization [1] | Class [17] 22/21 | 49/15 60/23 62/19 |
| /14 | 23/2 23/5 23/8 | 102/1 |
| charge [1] 54/24 | 23/14 23/17 23/19 | comes [5] 48/19 |
| chart [1] 111/3 | 23/21 24/1 27/18 | 52/10 52/24 66/9 |
| Cherry [3] 77/2 | 27/21 35/11 35/13 | 107/8 |
| 114/12 114/12 choice [1] 52/22 | 35/15 35/21 39/10 <br> 39/24 | coming [11] 50/6 88/2 88/18 100/7 |


| C | 74/12 | compromised [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coming... [7] 109/9 | munication [5] |  |
| 114/1 119/24 | 119/1 119/4 119/8 | computer [1] 69/21 |
| 122/19 124/21 | 120/7 120/17 | concept [2] 5/4 |
| 132/17 146/24 | communications [7] | 11/14 |
| Comm [2] 1/13 | 73/19 73/22 74/17 | concern [18] 8/11 |
| $\mathbf{1 / 1 4}$ | 91/10 118/18 | 13/16 17/2 23/24 |
| commenced [1] | 119/15 121/17 | 2/19 45/12 46/12 |
| 41/10 | communities [1] | 67/3 68/18 110 |
| comment [2] 74/1 | 53/7 | 0/17 110/19 |
| 1/20 | community [7] | /20 111/13 |
| comments [5] 44/3 | 13/18 48/15 49/7 | 7/3 117/6 145 |
| 44/13 44/14 44/21 | 54/1 54/4 54/5 | 45/10 |
| 130/6 | 62/19 | cerned |
| Commer | Company [1] 1/9 | 1/23 35/11 38 |
| 49/21 | comparable [2] | 115/6 11 |
| Commercial/Indust | 11/2 11/15 | ncerning [1] |
| rial [1] 49/21 | Comparison [1] | 60/12 |
| Commission [6] | 12/1 | concerns [37] 15/ |
| 5/18 7/5 7/24 8/12 | compensate [1] | 16/8 21/7 21/16 |
| 54/24 59/7 | 96/5 | 23/19 37/20 38/5 |
| Commissione | compiled [1] 69/1 | 39/8 40/3 41/15 |
| 5/18 131/11 | complaining [1] 6/1 | 42/9 42/15 42/17 |
| commitments [1] | complete [2] 17/6 | 45/3 46/9 46/11 |
| 112/20 | 45/17 | 57/1 57/13 65/1 |
| committed [1] | completed [2] 46/7 | 65/19 66/17 74 |
| 38/16 |  | 100/20 109 |
| committee [8] | completely [1] | 5/13 115/23 |
| 1/12 26/3 46/22 | 1 | 116/9 117/20 11 |
| 57/23 83/21 131/8 | complex [1] 47/9 | 8/16 118/21 |
|  | complicated [1] | 118/22 126/4 |
| communicate [1] | 119/11 | 0/11 130/23 |


|  | 7/16 | 88/11 100/11 109/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| concerns... [1] | coniferous [1] 6/6 | 115/3 |
| 137/3 | connect [1] 98/5 connected [2] | 130/5 |
| 5/11 45/19 46/1 | 110/23 134/3 | contacted [4] |
| concludes [1] 147/5 | Connecticut [1] | 130/2 130/3 138/4 |
| conclusion [2] | 112/11 | contained [3] 78/22 |
| 32/12 89/13 | connecting [1] | 79/17 81/9 |
| concocted [1] | 99/24 | containing [1] 3/ |
| 146/10 | connects [2] | taminate [2] |
| Concord [8] 1/4 | 132/13 | 66/8 66/24 |
| 53/2 52/13 | conservation | contaminated [2] |
| 6/17 65/3 65/6 | 5/187/2 7/8 7/24 | 42/22 57/19 |
| 66/14 ${ }_{\text {Concord's [1] }}$ | sider [3] 12 | $57 / 17$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Concord's [1] } \\ & \mathbf{5 6} / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { consider [3] } \\ \mathbf{3 3} / 1055 / 16 \end{gathered}$ | contamination [2] |
| ord/Pe | considerably [1] | 7/7 67/4 |
| [1] $65 / 3$ | 134/21 | contemporaneous |
| condition [3] 37/11 | consideration [4] | [1] 27/11 |
| 37/13 38/3 | 33/13 60/16 91/5 | context [1] 124/18 |
| conditions [14] | 116/10 | Continuation [1] |
| 21/5 21/15 32/21 | considered [1] 4 | 4/6 |
| 36/1 36/3 41/17 | consistent [3] 29/8 | continue [1] 11/11 |
| 42/3 42/8 | 73/7 81/6 | continued [3] 30/20 |
| 4 43/5 43/21 | constraints [1] | 9/18 70/22 |
| 46/16 148/8 | 106/12 | ntinues [1] $147 /$ |
| confer [1] 145/23 | truction [19] | ntract [2] 32/8 |
| confirm [2] 12/5 |  |  |
| 13/18 | 21/23 24/1 24/24 |  |
| confirming [1] 14/ | 28 |  |
| confusion [1] 56/1 |  | convenient |


| C | 40/24 41/5 41/8 | correctly [2] 86/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| convenient... [1] | 41/10 44/11 46 | 91/16 |
| 116/23 | 47/24 48/4 48/5 | correspond [1] |
| conversation [2] | 48/11 48/1 | 103/8 |
| 68/10 124/20 | 53/15 58/7 61/9 | rrespondence |
| conversations [1] | 61/12 63/9 64/16 | 29/7 31/2 138/5 |
| 137/2 | 67/12 67/15 68/16 | corresponding [1] |
| coordinate [1] | 71/22 80/1 84/15 | 105/19 |
| 146/1 | 84/16 84/22 89/4 | corridor [10] 54/ |
| coordinating [1] | 89/11 90/14 91/15 | 67/11 73/10 87/19 |
|  | /699/7 99/18 | 123 116/19 |
| copies [2] 78/12 | 99/20 99/22 100 | 132/14 132/18 |
| 78/14 | 100/21 101/3 | 132/21 134/21 |
| copy [1] 4/22 | 101/22 103/5 | Cote [1] 14/22 |
| corner [7] 98/2 | 103/24 104/7 | Cote's [1] 17/8 |
| 99/14 108/8 114/17 | 104/18 105/4 | could [52] 5/5 |
| 132/12 139/8 | 105/10 106/21 | 10/20 14/11 15 |
| 139/12 | 107/11 107/12 | 20/2 25/21 29/12 |
| correct [113] 8/24 | 107/17 107/21 | 30/1 31/3 32/24 |
| 9/12 11/9 11/9 | 108/22 109/22 | 34/21 37/14 37/2 |
| 11/19 22/3 22/22 | 110/9 114/10 | 38/24 39/11 40/6 |
| 23/18 25/24 26/6 | 114/13 115/4 115/5 | 53/19 56/8 61/17 |
| 26/13 26/14 26/19 | 116/7 117/8 121/15 | 64/17 66/8 66/23 |
| 26/21 26/24 27/2 | 122/14 122/15 | 68/13 68/20 69/1 |
| 7/12 | 124/9 124/17 129/3 | 69/20 70/19 70/20 |
| 28/7 28/18 28/19 | 129/4 130/9 130/19 | 70/23 73/15 74/8 |
| 29/23 29/24 31/4 | 130/20 131/24 | 83/11 84/6 84/6 |
| 32/10 34/8 34/11 | 132/2 133/2 134/13 | 97/1 99/9 101/8 |
| 34/18 35/12 37/3 | correction [1] | 102/22 103/11 |
| 37/15 38/13 38/18 | 80/16 | 105/7 106/3 10 |
| 39/1 39/6 39/7 | corrections [4] 79/2 | 113/20 11 |
| 39/19 39/23 40/4 | 79/19 80/12 81/16 | 116/20 118/18 |


| C | 41/22 | $\text { tly [1] } 69$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| could... [6] 119/22 | crane [1] 136/24 | ] 74 |
| 125/10 131/13 | create [3] 48/21 | cuts [1] 49/11 |
| 133/8 134/3 143/24 | 71/18 101 | D |
| couldn't [5] 20/14 | criteria [2] | d/b/a [1] 1/9 |
| 56/19 66/22 106/5 | cr | Dalton [5] 77/5 |
| 131/17 | 4 | 84/15 84/21 85/5 |
| counsel [14] 1/20 | 9 | 85/5 |
| 2/20 9/13 15/3 | 18/5 18/7 18/7 19/1 | damage [2] 28/3 |
| 17/21 26/3 73/24 | 20/10 21/22 | 35/9 |
| 74/1 78/10 83/16 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline 20 / 10 & 21 / 22 & 22 / 19 \\ 71 / 13 & 83 / 9 & 83 / 19 \end{array}$ | dangerous [2] |
| 131/13 141/4 | 86/23 92/13 92/14 | 57/18 66/4 |
| 148/11 148/14 | $137 / 20$ | Dartmouth [2] |
| Country [9] 8/21 | cross-examination | 143/2 143/6 |
| 9/1 9/19 10/12 12/3 | $[15] \quad 2 / 62 / 62 / 7$ | data [2] 124/11 |
| 21/22 22/19 113/7 | 2/17 2/18 2/18 4/7 | 124/11 |
| 123/4 | 4/16 18/5 18/7 19/1 | date [3] 26/5 124/4 |
| County [1] 52/13 couple [8] 4/19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4/16 18/5 18/7 19/1 } \\ & 20 / 1071 / 1383 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | 148/7 |
| 41/12 58/23 63/19 | 83/19 | 31/22 44/7 44/23 |
| 101/5 128/5 137/9 | crossed [2] 119/13 | 45/5 128/23 |
| 144/18 | crosses [1] 107/21 | daughter [3] 127/2 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { course [5] 33/1 } \\ 81 / 2181 / 2282 / 6 \end{gathered}$ | crossing [1] 100/5 | 143/3 144/6 |
| 83/1 | cul [3] 97/13 97/21 | daughter's [1] |
| COURT [5] 1/24 | 98/8 | DAVID [6] 2/5 2/14 |
| 17/22 76/6 148/4 | $97 / 2198 / 8$ | 4/9 76/3 76/9 77/2 |
| 148/18 | Cultural [2] 3/15 | Dawn [17] 20/2 |
| cover [5] 4/24 9/8 | $3 / 15$ | 24/8 28/12 29/12 |
| 24/3 33/6 147/7 | current [2] 60/15 | 30/1 30/7 33/19 |
| Craig [2] 1/15 56/2 cramming [1] | 112/18 | $\begin{aligned} & 34 / 1234 / 2039 / 11 \\ & 40 / 664 / 369 / 20 \end{aligned}$ |

Dawn... [4] 73/15 83/11 84/5 128/17 day [7] 1/3 4/3
20/10 67/24 71/14 147/5 147/9
days [2] 26/12 81/23
DC [10] 85/7 85/17 86/14 86/17 87/6 94/8 98/20 104/2

## 104/23 104/24

DC-587 [1] 104/23
DC-589 [1] 104/24 DC-618 [2] 86/14 86/17
DC-619 [1] 85/7
DC-621 [1] 94/8 de [5] 7/7 59/5 97/13 97/21 98/8 deal [2] 62/8 116/21 dealt [3] 17/8 18/6 47/10
decided [2] 126/11 133/15
decision [1] 44/15 decisions [1] 59/16 deck [1] 142/8 decrease [5] 134/11 135/8 135/10
135/16 135/18
deductions [1] 96/8
deed [1] 139/9
deep [1] 139/24
Deerfield [5] 4/3
4/15 55/10 123/7 123/17
definitely [1] 69/8 deforestation [1] 8/9
degrade [1] 36/21 degraded [1] 37/2 delivering [1] 112/10
demonstrate [1]
32/1
demonstrating [1] 30/19
Department [8] 44/4 45/10 45/18 46/1 46/4 46/8 47/8 64/23
dependent [1]

## 15/24

depends [1] 15/21
depreciation [5]
60/12 60/16 60/18
60/22 61/14
Dept [3] 1/15 1/15 1/16
DES [9] 15/9 41/17 42/8 42/14 42/18
43/5 44/13 44/20
56/2
described [1] 121/4 design [2] 72/23

## 73/6

designate [2] 39/19 60/8
designated [5]
39/22 40/2 64/14 64/17 83/16
designates [2] 60/1 60/3
designation [1] 59/3
designed [1] 15/12
Designee [3] 1/15 1/15 1/16
destroyed [1] 57/9
determination [1]
44/22
determine [2]
13/13 103/12
detrimental [1] 21/24
develop [1] 53/6 developing [1] 43/8 development [5] 5/11 7/12 17/1 48/17 133/9
diagram [1] 105/19
diaphram [1]
143/11
did [51] 6/6 7/17
10/21 12/11 18/22

D
did... [46] 19/7 23/9
23/13 24/15 25/4
25/5 27/11 27/19
33/10 41/24 42/2
42/5 44/12 44/20
59/1 59/6 60/22
60/23 61/7 65/7
71/16 77/18 77/20
77/21 78/1 78/3
80/24 81/2 81/16
82/17 82/18 89/19
90/16 90/18 101/23
101/24 102/1
119/17 119/23
126/14 126/22
129/17 133/17
135/15 140/15 140/17
didn't [16] 25/2
27/4 33/6 42/11
50/23 66/7 68/12
72/2 92/3 96/18
96/20 118/10
121/11 137/10
138/4 139/13
difference [4] 20/21 125/20 137/12 139/2
differences [1] 50/5 different [15] 6/24 31/3 51/7 53/19

54/1 54/2 54/2 54/3 62/21 71/12 87/13 89/1 89/23 93/24 134/21 difficult [1] 70/19 difficulty [1] 89/15 dig [1] 54/18 digging [1] 57/16 Dir [2] 1/15 2/10 direct [12] 2/17 28/16 39/13 71/4 76/13 82/5 101/1 101/9 101/13 112/17 135/19 146/16
directed [1] 25/22 direction [1] 98/9 directions [1] 85/1 directly [7] 20/8 108/3 108/4 119/9 136/17 145/4 146/13
disagree [1] 53/14 disclosure [2] 89/9 89/17
discounted [1] 133/3
discovery [2] 10/18 145/13
discuss [10] 18/23 25/3 36/3 38/10
118/21 121/6 121/7

129/8 130/11 130/22
discussed [10] 17/5 22/7 24/3 38/6
39/10 40/22 73/21
99/23 120/13 129/12
discussing [3]
17/24 20/1 20/6
discussion [2]
75/22 126/1
discussions [5]
106/6 106/11
109/12 120/2
120/21
disrepair [1] 37/6 disruption [2] 8/9
112/13
dissects [1] 49/11
distance [3] 12/12
136/22 144/11
distant [1] 104/15
distinctions [1] 40/21
distinguish [1] 51/9 distract [1] 6/16 distraction [1] 6/3 distrustful [1] 121/10
disturb [1] 112/21 do [124] 5/12 5/20 6/9 6/22 9/19 10/8

| D | 107/2 109/5 109/7 | 137/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| do... [118] 10/10 | 110/10 112/14 | don't [56] 6/22 13/2 |
| 10/12 11/24 12/2 | 113/13 119/5 | 24/7 24/11 25/16 |
| 13/9 13/11 13/23 | 119/19 122/21 | 27/3 33/2 45/17 |
| 13/24 14/13 14/24 | 123/7 123/19 125/3 | 47/8 50/2 51/1 51/2 |
| 15/12 16/24 17/3 | 125/22 126/5 129/8 | 51/4 51/9 51/10 |
| 20/6 20/15 21/3 | 129/10 129/23 | 55/11 55/19 55/21 |
| 22/9 33/7 33/9 38/1 | 130/3 131/7 132/14 | 59/20 60/3 62/5 |
| 42/14 42/17 46/15 | 135/14 137/22 | 62/7 68/17 75/7 |
| 48/9 48/10 48/16 | 141/9 143/14 144/6 | 77/24 78/5 78/12 |
| 48/17 48/18 50/16 | 145/23 148/4 | 79/5 79/23 80/15 |
| 51/12 57/20 59/7 | DOCKET [1] 1/7 | 81/18 82/16 82/18 |
| 59/9 59/17 62/1 | document [6] 3/13 | 82/18 88/8 96/3 |
| 62/2 63/14 64/17 | 51/3 61/24 79/13 | 105/2 106/4 109/17 |
| 65/13 65/14 66/3 | 129/6 138/24 | 116/13 117/10 |
| 66/16 67/18 68/9 | documentation [1] | 120/12 122/3 122/4 |
| 68/11 70/9 70/11 | 77/24 | 122/18 127/10 |
| 72/2 72/23 74/13 | documented [1] | 127/20 130/6 136/3 |
| 75/20 77/13 78/12 | 113/14 | 136/3 137/17 138/3 |
| 78/16 78/20 79/9 | documents [2] 69/2 | 138/17 139/4 140/2 |
| 79/11 79/16 79/19 | 78/22 | 141/1 |
| 80/8 80/11 80/12 | does [18] 7/12 8/4 | done [8] 7/7 21/18 |
| 81/13 81/23 82/3 | 8/4 11/17 23/2 24/4 | 60/20 66/6 68/8 |
| 82/17 83/21 83/24 | 24/6 24/12 27/17 | 75/3 126/2 146/23 |
| 85/7 85/14 85/23 | 27/18 32/14 37/24 | Donovan [1] 1/4 |
| 86/22 87/4 87/6 | 44/7 46/7 55/4 | door [1] 12/6 |
| 87/21 88/1 88/5 | 66/11 98/5 125/2 | dot [4] 97/18 108/9 |
| 88/13 88/15 89/12 | doesn't [7] 21/22 | 114/3 114/9 |
| 91/15 92/1 92/11 | 22/5 37/23 48/10 | dots [1] 132/3 |
| 92/13 95/15 95/24 | 57/12 80/15 95/20 | doubt [1] 113/8 |
| 98/1 100/5 100/10 | doing [5] 11/7 | down [19] 32/6 |
| 101/12 104/24 | 57/16 66/5 128/2 | 33/18 55/13 55/14 |


| D | 88/6 127/9 | efficient [1] 116/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| down... [15] 63/1 | DWBA [6] 77/22 | effort [2] 130/4 |
| 84/3 91/1 94/5 | 78/4 79/8 79/23 | 141/15 |
| 96/23 98/12 105/6 | 81/3 81/4 | efforts [5] 3/7 28/21 |
| 106/20 113/18 | E | 1 128/10 140/14 |
| 117/7 118/11 | each [9] 12/6 54/1 | er [8] 12/22 |
| 125/10 132/21 | 54/4 76/16 77/10 | 79/3 81/16 113/ |
| 139/10 143/11 | 81/20 103/16 104/2 | 118/19 |
| Dr. [2] 34/5 34/17 | 118/17 | elaborate [1] |
| Dr. Shapiro [2] | earlier [3] 62/18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { elaborate [1] } \\ & \mathbf{1 0 9 / 1 3} \end{aligned}$ |
| draft [2] 33/4 33/1 | 95/4 103/10 | elaboration [1] |
| drastic [1] 69/5 <br> drift [2] 43/19 | 138/21 139/7 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 / 22 \\ & \text { electric [1] } 45 / 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $43 / 20$ | easements [1] | electrical [3] 17/15 |
| drive [1] 111/10 | easier [1] 86/1 | 110/19 110/21 |
| driveway [1] 85/11 | easier [1] 86/1 easy [4] 110/22 | Electronically [1] |
| driveways [1] | 111/13 112/3 | 1/6 <br> elements [1] 110/23 |
| 143/16 <br> driving [2] 1 | 112/14 | elevators [1] |
| 118/12 | nomic [2] | 143/19 |
| drop [1] 90/20 | edge [6] 94/21 | eliminate [1] 54/18 |
| dropped [2] 90/19 | 94/23 98/8 107/19 | ELMER [6] 2/13 |
| 135/21 | 114/16 136/18 | 3/18 76/2 76/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { due [2] } 12 / 1989 / 9 \\ & \text { duly [1] } 76 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | editing [2] 5/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 76/24 77/23 } \\ & \text { ELMO [4] 8/15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Dumville [7] 2/7 | educe [1] 105/10 | 64/2 64/4 73/16 |
| 19/11 19/17 30/16 | educe [1] 105/1 effect [1] 9/23 | else [10] 21/18 |
| 32/13 72/19 73/18 | effective [2] 6/10 | 38/23 54/14 56/6 |
| during [9] 18/24 | 20/22 | 72/16 123/3 137/15 |
| 20/9 27/5 27/23 | effects [2] 12/14 | 138/17 142/18 |
| 82/6 82/11 83/1 | 13/16 | 146/5 |


| E | ensued [1] 75/23 | estimated [4] 34/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| else's [1] 53/9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ensure [1] 5/11 } \\ & \text { entered [2] 38/24 } \end{aligned}$ | 34/15 69/24 70/14 <br> estimates [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { email [2] 73/23 } \\ & 74 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | entered $144 / 22$ | 109/19 |
| EMF [2] 45/4 45/6 | entire [2] 24/12 | EVALUATION [3] |
| employed [2] | 26/2 | 1/2 1/12 26/2 |
| 148/11 148/14 | entitled [2] 3/13 $77 / 22$ | even [10] 20/23 $30 / 2155 / 1667 / 1$ |
| employee [1] | entrance [2] 38/12 | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 2155 / 16 ~ 67 / 1 \\ & \mathbf{6 8 / 1 2} 89 / 19127 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 148/13 } \\ & \text { enable [1] } 1 \end{aligned}$ | 73/1 | 137/21 143/18 |
| enacted [2] 5/16 | entryways [1] | 146/10 |
| 5/20 | 36/14 | ever [1] 5/16 |
| encourage [1] | Environ [1] 1/15 environmental [4] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eversource [2] 1/9 } \\ & 69 / 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 137/7 | 23/22 36/22 41/13 | every [3] 38/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { encumbered [1] } \\ & 138 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | 44/4 ${ }^{\text {23/22 }}$ | 50/15 146/16 |
| end [3] 5/3 60/6 | environmentally | everybody's [1] |
| 82/2 | 8/8 36/11 43/12 | 91/1 |
| endeavor [1] 40/17 | EPA [1] 45/20 | everyone [2] 4/3 |
| ended [1] 7/6 | erect [1] 112/23 | 49 |
| ends [1] 107/10 | Esq [1] 1/20 | everything [1] |
| Energy [5] 1/10 | essentially [3] | 144/9 |
| 45/10 45/18 46/1 | 85/10 124/16 | everywhere [2] |
| 46/8 | established [2] | 42/21 126/18 exact [3] 30/18 |
| enforce [1] 36/17 <br> engineering [1] | established 45/21 51/20 | 68/13 100/13 |
| 106/11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { establishment [1] } \\ & 5 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | Exactly [1] 73/3 exam [2] 39/13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { enjoy } \\ \text { 142/8 } \end{gathered}$ | estate [1] 9/3 | 41/22 |
| enough [4] 32/15 | estimate [5] 34/9 | examination [19] |
| $42 / 11102 / 21$ | 70/16 94/17 109/18 | 2/6 2/6 2/7 2/7 2/17 |
| 124/18 | 110/12 | 2/17 2/18 2/18 4/7 |

$\mathbf{E}$
examination... [10] 4/16 18/5 18/7 19/1 20/10 63/21 71/13 76/13 83/9 83/19
examined [1] 22/13 example [3] 49/3

## 111/2 116/22

example...not [1] 112/22
examples [1] 13/14 except [1] 19/19 excess [2] 124/7 124/8
exchange [2] 73/23 74/3
excuse [4] 8/22 14/2 15/7 62/20
excused [1] 75/5 execute [1] 32/10 exercise [1] 125/9 exhibit [26] 3/3 3/5 9/5 9/14 10/17
19/21 19/22 22/14
28/13 28/24 30/2
30/14 33/24 34/4
34/21 39/12 40/7 67/18 67/21 70/5 84/19 97/6 101/9 101/10 102/23
128/18
Exhibit 201 [2]

84/19 97/6
Exhibit 400 [1] 33/24
Exhibit 7 [1] 101/9 exhibits [2] 8/15 82/14
exist [2] 66/2 106/13
existing [24] 49/9
54/14 58/6 61/10
61/11 66/2 67/2
67/6 67/10 85/4
86/3 86/6 86/9
92/12 94/13 94/24
95/17 99/1 102/8
103/2 103/4 103/6
112/2 146/11
exists [1] 69/7
expand [1] 25/10 expanded [1] 38/5 expanding [1] 35/7 expansion [2] 12/22 145/9
expect [1] 50/2
expend [1] 68/6 expensive [1] 143/19
experience [2] 48/7 135/15
expert [2] 9/3 34/5 expertise [1] 11/1
explain [2] 15/5

## 25/14

explained [1] 27/15
explaining [2]

## 30/17 68/18

explanation [2]
71/10 71/21
express [1] 141/13
expressed [1] 137/3
extend [1] 98/8
extends [1] 97/12
extent [3] 25/20
35/22 74/16
extremely [3] 48/24
52/19 110/22

## F

face [1] 73/5
Facility [1] 1/10
fact [14] 7/13 14/7
20/17 25/12 25/22
26/4 27/9 29/16
32/2 44/20 45/11
70/15 112/10 124/7
factor [1] 10/13
factors [1] 46/18
fair [6] 30/13 32/15
51/11 68/24 102/21
125/8
fairly [2] 106/20
116/2
faith [3] 30/20 36/5 43/13
fall [1] 46/3

138/22 140/1 140/1 find [1] 126/22 fell [1] 143/4 few [10] 8/14 14/20 finish [1] 68/20 21/21 26/12 45/1 63/24 83/18 88/24 132/3 139/2 field [1] 132/5
fields [1] 45/14 fieldwork [1] 103/11
fifth [3] 51/24 52/1 52/9
figure [3] 68/9
68/13 70/13
figures [1] 62/1
file [6] 11/17 77/19
77/21 78/1 78/3
80/24
filed [14] 1/6 26/5 26/8 26/9 42/6 44/3 77/11 77/14 78/6 79/7 79/8 115/11

## 117/1 133/1

filing [3] 42/3 68/5 79/9
Fillmore [1] 122/12
final [6] 8/4 16/24
41/17 42/2 42/14 44/15
finally [1] 133/13
financially [1]
148/14
finished [3] 7/4 68/17 80/19
first [14] 4/5 19/21
32/17 34/6 35/1
52/4 65/22 69/24
70/14 77/18 84/4
84/23 114/2 133/12
five [4] 103/8
111/16 127/6
135/20
flash [1] 111/9
flatly [1] 55/6
flip [2] 93/17
114/22
focus [1] 144/5
folks [4] 4/23 56/1
123/13 137/14
follow [9] 16/7
16/16 43/6 58/23
63/20 123/19
136/11 138/10 141/7
follow-up [5] 16/7
58/23 123/19
136/11 138/10
food [1] 48/13
foot [4] 15/20 102/7
103/18 134/22
foreclosure [1]
F
foreclosure... [1]
113/13
foregoing [1] 148/4 forest [16] 8/9 18/4 98/2 98/10 99/24 100/6 107/9 107/10 107/13 107/20 108/20 108/23 109/4 110/24 111/5 112/14
forester [1] 91/24 forget [1] 54/9 form [1] 16/6 forth [3] 133/14 145/8 148/7
Fortier [1] 3/10 forward [1] 105/8 ForwardNH [2] 3/11 30/10
ForwardNH/North ern [1] 30/10
found [1] 104/19
four [4] 111/18 116/13 135/20
135/20
Fourth [4] 22/19
23/11 23/13 23/17
frame [1] 121/14
framed [1] 124/21
Franconia [1]
140/21
frankly [2] 82/16 121/9
free [1] 56/6
friend [1] 91/24 friendly [2] 18/5 18/7
friends [1] 125/6
front [3] 25/16 64/20 144/11
frontage [1] 123/22
full [2] 41/23 129/5
Fuller [1] 22/20
further [11] 19/3
46/19 62/10 64/22
72/11 120/17 122/8
138/7 140/23
148/10 148/12
future [4] 13/17
48/8 51/3 60/5
G
gain [1] 53/11
gained [2] 52/2 117/1
garden [1] 142/9
gates [1] 38/17
gave [4] 67/17
91/18 136/5 141/7
general [13] 35/23 43/10 56/15 58/5
68/14 72/6 72/8
98/9 110/18 111/13 112/21 118/15

124/23
generally [10]
28/15 29/8 33/6
35/23 39/9 40/4
41/14 90/1 93/10 145/20
generate [1] 69/9
generated [1] 9/17
gentlemen [1]
76/15
geography [1]
85/14
get [19] 37/8 55/10
55/13 57/12 63/8
65/20 69/13 69/16
75/9 77/15 80/18
84/9 92/2 104/22
125/8 133/8 134/20
137/10 142/11
getting [3] 80/21
137/16 143/17
Getz [1] 3/4
give [8] 13/6 79/13
80/5 81/10 112/12
113/1 121/12 141/8
given [6] 18/3 19/8
78/10 81/20 90/12 100/13
giving [2] 72/7 124/17
go [30] 6/12 15/12 30/7 43/16 50/12

| G | 63/1 63/7 63/23 | 135/1 135/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| go... [25] 55/5 60/24 | 69/16 70/3 70/12 | Google [1] 103/9 |
| 62/24 63/1 67/19 | 71/16 72/11 73/8 | got [8] 17/19 24/9 |
| 70/20 72/11 75/14 | 73/9 74/1 75/14 | 55/10 69/23 92/4 |
| 76/18 77/16 87/10 | 75/17 76/15 77/12 | 111/9 133/13 |
| 92/17 97/2 99/9 | 77/13 77/15 81/19 | 141/16 |
| 101/8 102/22 105/7 | 82/2 83/17 84/1 | gotten [2] 115/17 |
| 105/18 105/21 | 87/10 90/2 94/9 | 119/15 |
| 106/23 108/14 | 96/18 97/9 102/18 | Grand [1] 127/13 |
| 113/20 114/19 | 108/20 109/15 | graph [1] 60/6 |
| 143/23 145/3 | 118/10 119/23 | grasping [1] 51/15 |
| goal [2] 39/18 40/9 | 124/2 125/15 | gravitate [1] 50/19 |
| goals [3] 53/18 | 125/20 128/2 134/7 | great [4] 52/20 67/3 |
| 53/24 54/4 | 134/8 134/11 | 133/10 147/3 |
| goes [15] 37/23 | 137/11 137/12 | greater [3] 61/15 |
| 42/24 58/6 60/14 | 139/19 139/20 | 91/4 112/9 |
| 81/20 97/20 105/19 | 139/21 139/23 | group [9] 4/3 14/22 |
| 108/3 108/3 132/9 | 142/5 142/6 142/9 | 15/22 15/23 15/24 |
| 132/10 132/20 | 142/10 142/14 | 122/11 123/17 |
| 134/15 142/4 | 145/23 | 127/20 137/7 |
| 142/15 | gone [4] 52/20 82/7 | groups [2] 123/4 |
| going [84] 4/4 5/20 | 94/4 105/5 | 123/7 |
| 6/13 6/18 6/20 6/23 | good [32] 4/2 4/10 | grow [1] 48/14 |
| 8/8 10/24 13/8 17/6 | 4/11 4/12 4/13 | growth [1] 5/12 |
| 18/10 20/22 20/24 | 17/13 19/14 19/15 | Guarantee [3] |
| 21/23 22/8 24/22 | 30/20 43/6 47/2 | 138/16 140/6 140/8 |
| 24/24 25/1 27/20 | 47/3 47/4 56/1 56/3 | guess [11] 47/17 |
| 31/20 35/7 36/5 | 58/18 58/19 58/20 | 48/3 53/16 55/9 |
| 36/21 36/23 43/14 | 62/12 62/13 63/16 | 95/14 113/6 115/12 |
| 47/6 47/11 50/13 | 63/17 63/18 76/15 | 118/2 136/24 |
| 50/14 51/17 52/15 | 83/13 83/14 123/13 | 143/20 145/22 |
| 55/9 58/14 62/24 | 123/15 128/3 133/7 | guidelines [1] 46/4 |


| G | 143/14 | 72/8 145/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| guys [3] 17/16 | hadn't [2] 41/6 | has [39] 4/3 5/16 |
| 17/24 64/5 | 59/13 | 7/3 10/6 13/14 |
| H | half [2] 66/1 108/9 | 16/18 17/5 20/16 |
| H | HAMPSHIRE [6] | 29/2 31/14 36/9 |
| had [74] 7/2 7/15 | 1/1 1/4 1/9 42/21 | 36/11 36/12 39/22 |
| 21/13 21/13 21/16 | 44/4 126/12 | 40/13 40/16 41/6 |
| 22/15 24/20 25/20 | hand [9] 84/20 | 42/22 43/5 45/11 |
| 25/24 26/11 26/17 | 86/15 86/22 87/3 | 46/22 57/14 62/2 |
| 27/9 29/6 29/10 | 87/18 98/2 108/20 | 63/4 77/21 79/7 |
| 30/19 31/22 31/23 | 114/16 132/18 | 96/9 109/11 111/19 |
| 32/21 34/5 36/2 | handicap [1] | 117/14 119/7 |
| 37/19 41/3 41/4 | 143/16 | 124/16 137/23 |
| 41/16 42/9 44/13 | handicap-accessible | 141/2 141/3 141/16 |
| 51/6 54/8 54/10 | [1] 143/16 | 142/2 143/3 144/10 |
| 54/20 57/9 57/13 | handled [2] 62/6 | have [194] |
| 67/1 81/23 90/12 | 140/22 | haven't [9] 38/19 |
| 91/4 91/10 91/17 | hands [1] 62/18 | 46/11 95/19 96/10 |
| 91/18 91/21 94/1 | Hang [2] 70/24 | 106/9 106/10 |
| 96/16 103/1 106/6 | 70/24 | 106/16 139/3 139/6 |
| 106/10 116/12 | happen [7] 43/15 | having [9] 7/6 |
| 118/19 119/4 120/8 | 111/19 117/19 | 36/16 36/16 57/16 |
| 120/17 120/21 | 137/11 137/22 | 64/19 68/6 74/13 |
| 121/10 121/12 | 139/19 145/6 | 79/6 139/11 |
| 125/17 125/18 | happened [1] 57/8 | hazard [2] 65/10 |
| 126/4 130/1 130/1 | happening [1] | 66/12 |
| 131/15 133/14 | 111/20 | he [32] 10/21 11/2 |
| 135/7 135/17 | happens [2] 43/17 | 12/23 13/13 13/14 |
| 135/18 136/19 | 43/18 | 13/15 14/4 15/4 |
| 136/24 137/1 137/6 | happy [3] 82/23 | 16/17 16/18 20/1 |
| 137/9 138/5 139/15 | 119/6 130/2 | 51/6 51/8 57/12 |
| 139/16 140/3 143/4 | hard [4] 7/9 51/8 | 57/13 68/7 71/15 |

## H

he... [15] 71/16 72/2
72/5 73/20 91/20
92/2 96/15 96/16 96/17 96/19 96/20 120/10 124/1 124/10 124/17
he'd [2] 16/7 16/15 he's [8] 15/1 16/18 17/11 57/11 57/23 57/24 58/1 124/15 head [2] 41/23

## 57/11

header [1] 128/21 heading [1] 11/22 headlights [1] $6 / 2$ heads [1] 122/14 health [3] 45/13 80/17 137/9 health-related [1] 45/13
hear [3] 59/1 110/7 122/4
heard [11] 31/14 38/19 56/8 56/12 69/12 115/24
117/10 138/15
139/3 139/6 140/4
hearing [9] $1 / 11$
5/21 17/19 75/11
75/19 79/17 80/10 81/14 147/6
hearings [2] 81/21 81/23
height [5] 6/14 6/20 61/3 69/6 105/19
heightened [1] 57/7 heights [12] 12/19
47/22 86/19 93/13
94/4 102/2 102/13
104/17 105/15
105/23 106/8
120/15
HEISER [93] 2/4 4/9 4/18 5/7 5/18 6/12 7/4 7/15 8/7 15/18 22/23 23/4 23/7 23/11 23/15 23/17 23/20 24/7 24/19 25/5 26/20 35/8 35/13 35/16 35/21 36/5 37/4 37/7 37/16 37/22 38/4 38/8 38/14 38/19 39/2 39/20 39/24 40/5 40/15 40/20 41/1 41/6 41/11 41/12 41/18 41/22 42/5 42/11 42/16 42/19 43/11 43/24 44/3 44/6 44/9 44/12 44/17 44/19 44/23 45/4 45/8 45/16 45/22

46/6 46/10 46/12 46/17 54/8 54/15 55/17 56/4 56/5 56/13 56/15 56/19
56/23 57/4 57/6
58/8 59/5 59/24
60/3 65/17 65/20
66/16 66/20 67/8
67/14 73/3 73/11
74/10 74/21 74/23
held [1] 29/3
help [3] 6/15 6/17
38/2
helped [1] 53/6 helpful [1] 73/6 helps [1] 107/15 her [9] 18/24
120/12 122/18 143/5 143/5 143/9
143/11 144/7 144/8
here [39] 14/24
17/16 24/4 42/13
43/23 45/1 59/13
69/24 70/4 74/2
79/13 80/5 81/9
81/22 82/21 85/14
86/3 86/14 98/7
98/13 103/23
106/20 107/9
107/14 108/2 108/6 108/19 111/10 113/4 117/11

H
here... [9] 117/11 117/13 120/5
122/18 126/20
127/20 129/15
141/4 142/13
hereby [1] 148/4 hereinbefore [1] 148/7
high [8] 6/12 10/1 10/8 49/6 49/13 49/21 52/1 65/19 high-voltage [2]

## 10/1 10/8

higher [1] 93/10 highest [3] 6/21 35/3 51/24
highlight [1] 30/8 highlighted [3] 5/6 9/9 70/8
highly [4] 8/2 36/21 43/12 57/12
highways [1]
126/15
hill [2] 92/17
114/12
Hillman [1] 7/18 him [11] 13/20
57/23 59/7 59/9 71/9 71/15 72/5
72/7 91/20 92/1 120/11
hired [1] 59/9 his [18] 11/4 13/7 13/23 13/24 14/5 16/15 51/6 57/13
57/22 71/8 71/24
72/6 72/8 91/18
91/23 96/19 96/19
124/21
historic [3] 3/14
3/15 36/6
hold [1] 67/7
home [28] 90/19
92/9 94/21 94/23
95/6 95/11 95/18
99/5 99/20 101/17
106/2 106/8 106/12
108/7 108/12
108/24 114/8
125/15 125/20
133/5 134/19
136/18 143/3 143/8
143/12 143/12
143/15 144/7
honestly [2] 43/24
145/18
Honigberg [1] 1/13
honor [1] 74/15
hope [4] 37/22
37/23 37/24 49/14
hospitals [2] 143/7 143/10
house [34] 12/13

29/4 29/4 50/23
89/3 89/15 89/21
90/5 90/9 94/12
97/18 110/2 110/8
110/11 114/3 114/4
125/11 125/17
125/19 126/23
127/3 127/4 133/18
133/20 134/16
142/6 142/7 143/15
143/18 143/21
143/24 144/1
146/12 146/17
housekeeping [1] 43/6
houses [2] 132/4 135/20
HOUTEN [50]
2/14 76/3 76/9 77/2
77/2 79/6 79/11
79/15 79/18 79/21
82/24 83/3 113/18
113/23 114/1
114/10 114/14
114/19 114/23
115/5 115/10
115/16 115/21
116/4 116/8 116/11
117/4 117/9 117/13
117/18 117/23
118/6 119/10
119/11 120/4

| H | Hydrology [1] 58/1 | 144/1 145/5 145/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOUTEN... [15] | I | I's [1] 144/5 |
| 123/21 124/15 |  | I've [20] 7/15 36/8 |
| 125/2 129/14 | 12/8 13/20 16/11 | 41/22 42/20 45/22 |
| 131/24 132/2 132/7 | 33/19 83/21 118/17 | 2 57/7 57/22 |
| 132/11 132/17 | 130/12 141/13 | 68/22 68/24 69/23 |
| 133/2 133/4 133/20 | 142/23 | 70/8 74/7 105/4 |
| 134/7 134/13 | I'll [15] 4/22 16/13 | 120/17 125/6 |
| 134/17 | 24/11 28/15 30/4 | 143/14 143/18 |
| Houten's [1] 131/14 | 31/5 34/23 52/14 | Iacopino [5] 1/20 |
| 131/14 ${ }_{\text {how [23] 8/4 8/4 }}$ | 67/19 74/6 77/16 | $1 / 20 \quad 2 / 172 / 23$ |
| how [23] 8/4 8/4 15/11 15/12 15/12 | 88/9 93/20 109/6 | $140 / 11$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}15 / 11 & 15 / 12 \\ 31 / 18 & 51 / 12 \\ 52 / 13\end{array}$ | 128/20 | ICU [1] 143/6 |
| 55/3 60/22 60/23 | I'm [60] 10/24 13/8 | identified [6] 21/4 |
| 66/5 70/16 73/9 | 24/72 | 22/17 22/24 25/18 |
| 83/22 92/1 100/3 | 28/20 28/24 38/19 | 40/13 103/22 |
| 101/6 102/1 110/10 | 45/16 45/17 50/13 | identifies [1] 54/5 |
| 116/14 123/6 | 51/12 51/15 53/10 | identify [11] 39/19 |
| 123/11 | 55/18 57/6 58/13 | 40/10 64/23 76/16 |
| however [3] 16/4 | 58/21 58/22 62/17 | 76/19 84/17 87/16 |
| 39/21 107/12 | 58/21 58/22 62/17 63/23 68/21 | 97/5 103/10 111/16 |
| huge [6] 8/7 8/10 | 63/3 70/12 72/4 | 113/24 |
| 8/11 16/4 42/16 | 72/5 72/7 72/10 | ignored [3] 121/1 |
| 46/12 | 74/1 76/15 77/12 | 121/8 130/17 |
| huh [5] 50/21 53/21 | 77/13 77/15 80/18 | III [3] 3/15 39/15 |
| 98/3 136/14 136/23 | 81/19 82/2 83/17 | 39/15 |
| hundred [3] 103/15 | 84/1 84/6 85/3 85/3 | image [2] 84/9 |
| 138/21 138/22 | 84/17 102/5 111/11 | 101/23 |
| hundred feet [1] | 124/2 124/17 128/4 | images [1] 101/6 |
| 103/15 | 137/4 137/14 142/6 | immediately [1] |
| hustle [1] 126/16 | 142/8 142/9 143/20 | 114/5 |


| I | incompatible [1] | influenced [1] 10/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| impact [16] 5/12 | 5/10 | information [27] |
| 8/7 8/21 8/22 16/22 | incorporated [1] | 10/16 10/19 10/24 |
| 21/11 22/2 43/14 | 37/21 | 11/2 29/5 31/2 |
| 65/15 69/8 91/4 | incorrectly [1] | 31/17 34/24 46/7 |
| 100/20 136/1 | 64/20 | 52/2 53/10 59/14 |
| 139/22 142/14 | increase [3] 7/10 | 59/15 66/23 69/1 |
| 146/19 | 69/5 112/5 | 72/8 80/17 81/24 |
| impacted [2] 96/18 | increased [2] 12/19 | 82/9 89/12 102/9 |
| 139/18 | 36/13 | 113/14 115/7 117/2 |
| impacts [17] 6/11 | increasingly [1] | 117/4 124/18 141/8 |
| 21/24 22/6 39/9 | 42/23 | informed [1] 28/2 |
| 45/4 45/13 45/19 | indicated | infrastructure [3] |
| 52/2 52/7 52/9 | 60/15 87/7 87/22 | 54/3 110/19 110/21 |
| 54/22 89/2 115/3 | 89/7 93/2 104/5 | inheritance [1] |
| 115/3 118/5 118/8 | 104/21 104/23 | 113/13 |
| 144/13 | 110/13 115/1 130/1 | initial [1] 70/2 |
| implement [1] | 144/19 | initiated [3] 118/20 |
| 48/22 | indicates [1] 109/3 | 118/21 120/8 |
| implementation [1] | indicating [10] 85/8 | input [1] 32/4 |
| 46/2 | 86/6 92/20 94/3 | insight [1] 53/11 |
| important [4] 37/8 | 98/17 98/20 100/7 | insignificant [1] |
| 52/19 54/6 58/3 | 116/6 122/13 | 88/21 |
| imposing [1] 118/7 | 123/14 | install [1] 38/17 |
| impression [1] | indication [4] 19/8 | installed [1] 112/19 |
| 107/22 | 106/2 113/1 127/5 | instance [1] 54/17 |
| incidents [3] 58/9 | individual [2] | intend [2] 23/14 |
| 111/16 117/5 | 62/23 77/11 | 27/17 |
| include [1] 101/2 | Industrial [1] 49/21 | intensity [1] 16/20 |
| included [5] 10/17 | infer [1] 124/10 | intention [1] 82/17 |
| 10/21 13/7 97/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { influence [2] } 9 / 22 \\ & 10 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { interaction [1] } \\ & 118 / 12 \end{aligned}$ |


interest [2] 130/22 139/16
interested [6] 29/22
33/15 96/13 129/22 130/10 148/15 interesting [1] 52/6 International [1] 17/15
interpret [1] 72/23 interpretation [1] 25/4
intersects [1] 98/10 intervenor [1]
14/22
intervenors [2] 19/6 127/23
introduced [1] 4/15 introduction [1] 104/10
intrusive [1] 118/14 inventory [6] 7/6 40/15 40/23 41/3 59/6 59/8
investment [1] 34/6 involve [1] 116/18 involved [3] 137/7 137/10 137/16 is [307]
isn't [5] 23/20
47/18 55/15 55/15 98/6
issue [3] 60/11 89/21 136/7
issued [2] 21/6
44/15
issues [5] 5/11 18/6 41/13 42/21 73/5 it [219]
it's [83] 4/21 8/7
12/21 13/3 15/12 15/20 15/23 15/24 18/8 30/17 36/19 37/7 42/22 43/2 43/3 43/4 47/17 47/21 51/3 51/8 51/22 55/1 55/9 55/10 55/11 57/18 61/4 61/5 61/23 62/18 64/10 70/4 70/5 70/5 70/6 71/3 74/23 77/10 80/16
84/19 85/4 85/24
87/18 88/20 88/22
97/7 97/14 97/24
98/9 99/2 99/16
101/9 102/18 104/9 104/20 110/20
111/2 111/12
111/19 113/7 114/1
114/17 115/12
116/14 119/11
120/9 128/22
133/10 137/4 138/9

138/14 138/20 139/9 139/10
139/12 139/23
140/6 142/2 142/6
142/16 143/17
144/12 145/15
Items [2] 20/5 21/4
its [4] 44/21 44/22
68/6 104/6
itself [1] 94/21
J
JAMES [4] 2/14
3/18 76/4 76/10
January [1] 133/13
January 2016 [1] 133/13
Jeanne [2] 4/14 123/16
Jerry [1] 3/10
Jersey [3] 112/11

## 126/12 126/17

Jim [1] 77/4
JODOIN [68] 2/5 4/9 8/19 8/24 9/4 9/12 9/16 9/21
10/10 10/20 10/24
11/16 11/19 12/2
12/7 12/16 13/13
14/17 17/18 19/2
19/19 26/21 26/22
26/24 27/3 27/7 27/12 27/19 28/1

| J | 16/7 16/13 18/21 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JODOIN... [39] | 23/9 | 145/9 146/8 146/19 |
| 28/7 29/24 31/1 | 29/12 30/2 30/1 | K |
| /12 31/13 31/18 | 31/21 36/19 41/3 | Kathryn [1] 1/14 |
| 32/1 32/5 33/1 33/9 |  | keep [4] 52/21 |
| 33/14 33/17 34/8 |  | 137/14 144/4 144/7 |
| /11 34/18 35/5 |  | keep-to-themselves |
| 39/7 60/10 |  | [1] 137/14 |
| 60/24 61/9 61/11 |  | kids [1] 125/7 |
| 61/17 61/22 62/5 |  | Kilkenny [1] |
| 62/15 62/20 63/9 $67 / 2468 / 1168 / 16$ | 73/23 80/16 82/21 | 146/15 |
| 67/24 68/11 68/16 $\mathbf{6 8 / 2 1}$ 69/23 70/11 | 87/18 87/21 90/5 | kind [13] 20/21 |
| 7819 70/23 72/16 | 94/3 94/17 94/18 | 28/7 44/11 52/5 |
| 72/18 | 94/20 102 | 52/5 72/8 73/18 |
| joint [6] 1/8 64/10 | 102/16 103/1 | 109/17 |
| /11 80/24 81/4 | 105/21 107/9 1 | 137/13 |
| 5 | 108/17 108/19 | kinds [1] 143/15 |
| jointly [2] 77/15 | 8 111/19 | know [56] 6/13 |
| $7 / 19$ | 112/9 114/6 114/1 | 6/15 11/8 14/13 |
| Jose [1] 111/21 |  | 17/24 18/8 18/2 |
| Jt [1] 67/21 | /20 122/3 12 | 33/4 35/16 36/16 |
| July [3] 119/20 | 源 125/14 | 38/2 43/19 43/19 |
| 120/2 124/4 | 23 128/5 | 44/1 45/17 47/18 |
| July 31st [1] 124/4 | 9 130/8 130 | 50/12 50/22 53/16 |
| jump [1] 56/6 | 130/15 131/10 | 53/22 55/1 55/17 |
| June [2] 119/20 |  | 66/3 66/7 66/20 |
| 120/2 |  | 67/16 67/18 70/12 |
| just [101] 4/19 4/22 | 138/12 138/13 | /17 79/21 |
| 4/24 7/4 8/14 9/7 |  | 82/18 82/19 |
| 9/15 9/24 12/13 | 142/13 142/23 | 109/17 116/14 |
| 13/4 13/22 15/9 | 142/13 142/23 | 117/11 11 |


| K | 112/15 | 123/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| know... [18] 125/20 | lakes [1] 53/22 | launch [1] 11/1 |
| 126/15 127/10 | land [10] 5/10 7/10 | law [2] 32/17 32/17 |
| 135/14 135/24 | 16/22 52/11 119/19 | lawn [1] 142/9 |
| 136/3 136/3 137/5 | 123/22 124/14 | laydown [4] 115/8 |
| 137/22 138/1 138/3 | 125/4 125/18 | 115/8 115/14 |
| 138/3 139/4 139/6 | 125/19 | 115/19 |
| 139/13 140/2 | landlocked [1] | layout [1] 52/11 |
| 142/13 145/16 | 133/23 | LCR [3] 1/24 |
| knowing [3] 65/22 | landowners [2] | 148/17 148/18 |
| 90/6 145/14 | 38/16 116/18 | lead [1] 24/21 |
| knowledge [4] 16/9 | landscapes [1] 53/4 | leading [1] 88/2 |
| 29/9 118/24 128/14 | Lane [2] 76/23 | leaps [1] 49/15 |
| known [1] 5/23 | 76/24 | learned [2] 82/6 |
| knows [1] 49/9 | language [1] | 125/6 |
| L | 129/20 | learning [1] 125/5 |
|  |  |  |
| label [1] 85/10 | 100/15 | 25/3 38/22 44/13 |
| labeled [3] 97/7 | larger [2] 15/23 | 54/22 89/8 108/2 |
| 107/16 114/17 | 61/18 | 111/16 113/12 |
| lack [2] 89/8 115/7 | last [12] 17/5 31/18 | 126/14 |
| Laconia [2] 53/15 | 45/1 54/7 58/5 59/5 | leaves [1] 127/8 |
| 53/17 | 62/23 111/18 | left [11] 11/21 |
| ladies [1] 76/15 | 116/24 125/16 | 31/18 76/17 82/2 |
| lady [1] 120/11 | 131/15 143/1 | 86/15 87/18 92/23 |
| laid [1] 43/16 | lastly [1] 73/14 | 102/10 111/5 114/2 |
| Lake [14] 98/2 | late [1] 17/19 | 132/15 |
| 98/10 100/1 100/6 | lattice [4] 6/16 | left-hand [2] 86/15 |
| 107/9 107/11 | 49/22 87/8 114/21 | 87/18 |
| 107/13 107/20 | lattice-work [1] | legal [1] 32/12 |
| 108/20 108/23 | 6/16 | legend [2] 64/19 |
| 109/4 110/24 111/5 | Laughter [1] | 113/7 |


| L | 144/3 | 60/13 61/15 65/3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lenehan [1] 1/20 | lifestyle [2] 126/21 | 66/2 84/24 85/15 |
| lengths [1] 52/20 | 146/20 | 85/16 85/17 85/20 |
| less [2] 95/12 | like [58] 4/18 11/20 | 86/3 86/8 86/9 |
| 118/13 | 12/8 13/1 13/4 13/5 | 87/19 92/9 94/22 |
| let [9] 13/4 15/9 | 13/12 13/20 14/23 | 95/5 96/23 98/16 |
| 16/13 37/8 64/1 | 16/7 16/11 16/15 | 98/16 98/17 98/20 |
| 72/11 75/8 75/16 | 21/18 25/13 27/20 | 99/1 105/6 106/20 |
| 84/10 | 32/16 33/20 37/13 | 108/3 111/17 |
| let's [6] 9/5 10/11 | 43/22 50/15 50/16 | 114/18 119/13 |
| 101/7 102/4 123/11 | 50/20 50/22 50/23 | 124/3 132/9 134/6 |
| 130/24 | 51/1 51/10 51/16 | 134/7 134/8 146/16 |
| letter [30] 3/4 3/10 | 51/17 51/18 58/10 | Line 4 [1] 124/3 |
| 3/18 19/23 20/7 | 62/5 64/15 65/1 | lines [16] 16/12 |
| 20/17 21/4 24/3 | 66/17 71/2 83/21 | 46/13 49/13 49/22 |
| 24/12 25/18 26/1 | 90/7 90/7 91/1 94/7 | 50/2 58/12 87/22 |
| 26/4 26/6 27/5 | 95/20 101/3 101/21 | 94/14 95/1 95/17 |
| 29/21 30/4 30/5 | 102/17 103/13 | 96/7 100/7 112/2 |
| 30/12 30/21 31/21 | 107/20 114/23 | 112/18 121/22 |
| 33/21 34/2 34/11 | 116/15 118/17 | 145/3 |
| 44/11 72/20 103/7 | 121/8 136/20 | list [6] 3/5 9/17 |
| 121/5 128/20 | 139/10 140/2 | 9/20 23/10 25/10 |
| 129/21 130/3 | 141/11 141/13 | 78/1 |
| letters [7] 29/6 | 142/3 142/24 146/8 | listed [3] 61/24 81/3 |
| 120/24 121/3 | liked [3] 90/4 | 89/23 |
| 121/16 121/23 | 143/22 143/23 | listing [3] 40/16 |
| 121/23 130/18 | likely [2] 58/14 | 48/9 89/18 |
| levels [3] 45/7 46/3 | 79/15 | lists [1] 40/16 |
| 68/7 | line [45] 10/1 10/8 | litigation [1] 69/18 |
| Licensed [2] 148/3 | 49/9 49/11 52/15 | little [22] 10/4 |
| 148/18 | 54/7 54/11 54/19 | 14/23 17/19 36/5 |
| life [2] 142/15 | 56/19 57/2 57/3 | 43/13 47/6 65/1 |


| $\mathbf{L}$ |
| :--- |
| little... [15] $72 / 7$ |
| $93 / 24$ 94/5 94/9 |
| $104 / 15114 / 16$ |
| $119 / 11124 / 8$ |
| $125 / 11 ~ 126 / 8$ |
| $132 / 13 ~ 133 / 24$ |
| $139 / 7 ~ 139 / 12$ |
| $145 / 15$ |

Littleton [2] 140/21 140/22
lived [3] 36/8
126/17 143/3
lives [1] 144/11
LLC [1] 1/8
local [2] 16/22 39/9 locate [3] 20/14 21/20 86/17
located [7] 16/1
46/13 65/4 65/12 99/2 131/23 140/20 location [11] 50/22 61/2 65/24 98/14 102/12 104/19
105/13 112/3 112/6 115/8 117/21
locational [1] 10/13 locations [2] 6/9 115/13
logged [1] 125/13 long [7] 16/4 49/14 66/6 100/18 116/2

144/4 144/6
longer [1] 125/12 look [28] 4/23 9/5 9/13 9/24 10/3
10/11 11/20 16/11 16/13 16/16 27/19 42/11 50/12 60/5 65/1 67/20 87/2 89/24 90/7 95/20 98/7 101/3 101/21 102/17 102/18 103/13 111/2 135/23
looked [10] 61/22 90/24 96/11 103/9 115/17 126/23 126/24 139/10 143/18 146/17
looking [22] 9/23 13/5 24/15 26/9 27/19 28/8 52/21 53/10 92/19 94/10 95/19 101/17 108/17 125/5 126/6 126/13 127/16 129/20 133/6 135/5 136/16 142/6
looks [7] 49/2 52/22 94/7 107/20 114/23 136/20 141/11
lose [1] 70/23
loss [1] 138/24
$\operatorname{lot}[21] 7 / 157 / 18$
7/20 8/8 31/2 41/23 45/22 47/9 47/13 50/24 57/8 57/24 92/18 97/20 109/16 114/4 121/24 125/4
132/22 142/2
143/21
lots [1] 133/24
love [1] 55/20
lower [1] 104/16
lowered [1] 106/3
lowering [1] 106/7
lunch [3] 75/17
147/1 147/4
Lupe [1] 107/2
LUPTON [69] 2/13
2/13 3/18 76/2 76/3
76/7 76/8 76/17
76/21 76/21 76/22
76/24 76/24 77/14
77/14 77/18 77/20
77/23 77/24 78/5
78/9 78/14 78/19
78/20 78/24 79/1
79/5 82/3 82/8
82/12 82/16 82/23
106/22 107/2 107/5
107/12 107/17
107/22 108/2 108/9
108/22 109/1 109/5 109/11 109/15

| L | 46 | 12/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LUPTON... [24] | mail [1] 120/24 | 67/13 84/18 85/16 |
| 109/19 109/23 | mailing [1] 129/2 | 87/18 |
| 110/6 110/9 110/12 | maintain [6] 50/7 | 97/5 97/23 98/16 |
| 110/16 110/20 | 51/23 53/2 53/22 | 102/10 103/9 105/1 |
| 111/12 112/7 | 53/23 53/23 | 107/7 107/16 109/2 |
| 113/4 | maintained [1] | 113/24 131/14 |
| 18/24 128/8 | 52/18 | 131/22 133/4 |
| 128/14 129/4 | maintaining [2] | 136/16 139 |
| 129/10 129/19 | 49/1 52/18 | mapped [2] 15/8 |
| 9/24 130/12 | maintenance [3] | 15/15 |
| 1/11 141/20 | 5/9 57/3 58/12 | maps [25] 3/6 12/ |
| 2/22 144/16 | major [5] 43/18 | 19/24 20/15 21/1 |
| 145/2 | 57/13 112/12 | 22/15 22/24 24/1 |
| Lupton's [1] | 112/13 141/16 | 25/4 25/13 25/16 |
| $145 / 13$ | majority [4] 49/18 | 25/20 26/11 26/12 |
| Luptons [3] 106/19 | 50/1 50/9 52/15 | 27/1 27/19 84/19 |
| $118 / 23128 / 6$ | make [20] 14/12 | 85/1 87/14 87/15 |
| M | 14/15 20/20 20/21 | 91/20 93/21 99/1 |
|  |  |  |
| 123] 20/8 | 61/2 63/2 80/12 | March [6] 3/ |
| /18 20/23 24/20 | 81/16 83/24 90/3 | 29/20 30/5 31/8 |
| 5/19 25/24 28/21 | 90/8 112/12 112/17 | 44/24 74/3 |
| /2 31/23 40/20 | 116/21 137/12 | marked [5] 77/21 |
| 20 44/21 45/9 | 139/1 143/15 | 78/4 79/7 79/22 |
| 62/2 73/8 112/21 | making [9] 16/8 | 86/13 |
| 113/8 113/10 | 36/14 44/22 59/16 | market [2] 89/22 |
| 8/10 130/4 | 90/12 126/9 126/10 | 140/15 |
| 12 144/21 | 139/13 144/7 | Martin [1] 1/13 |
| 1 | many [2] 116/14 | master [34] 7/5 |
| magnetic [1] 45/14 | 123/11 | 39/14 39/18 40/9 |
| magnitude [2] | map [25] 10/11 | 40/12 40/17 47/10 |

master... [27] 47/13 47/15 47/16 47/18 47/19 47/21 48/2 48/7 48/8 48/12 48/19 48/20 49/6 50/11 50/15 51/7
51/13 51/15 51/21
53/1 53/6 53/9
53/18 59/1 59/12 59/19 60/4
materials [3] 25/9 52/3 96/11
matter [2] 25/3
25/12
$\max$ [1] 92/3
may [36] 6/15 8/13
11/2 14/13 14/23
20/19 41/6 41/8
41/10 44/7 59/2
59/5 59/21 59/23
59/24 68/2 71/17
82/20 93/24 94/4
94/6 101/3 101/21
102/17 106/12
106/13 109/9 110/7
116/9 117/16 118/9
119/15 135/24
143/8 143/12 147/3 May 1st [6] 41/6 41/8 59/21 59/24
143/8 143/12
maybe [4] $116 / 20$ 124/17 131/1 140/1 me [48] 8/15 8/17 8/23 12/5 13/4
13/10 14/2 15/7
15/9 17/23 20/21
24/21 25/17 27/13
32/23 39/21 42/23
47/19 55/4 57/23
62/20 64/1 64/20
66/22 67/3 69/21
74/6 84/10 91/18
91/20 92/13 92/18
92/24 93/13 94/7
95/10 96/9 118/11
119/17 119/18
119/22 120/13
122/5 122/5 125/3
127/2 127/4 131/18
mean [11] 21/22
22/5 23/15 43/15
57/24 82/11 109/14
111/24 127/5
139/15 141/2
means [1] 84/3
measure [2] 38/1 92/3
measurement [1] 94/16
meat [1] 52/23
meat-and-potatoes
[1] 52/23
meet [3] $19 / 19$ 96/5 129/7
meeting [15] 17/21
26/22 27/2 27/6
27/8 27/9 27/23
31/7 31/19 39/6
59/21 63/5 73/20 130/10 130/22
meetings [4] 7/20 29/6 30/12 57/14 member [2] 1/17 58/22
members [4] 26/17 46/21 131/7 141/14 memorandum [6] 29/23 31/11 32/8 33/4 33/11 33/12
Menard [12] 2/6
2/18 4/4 4/14 10/22
12/24 17/21 18/1
18/21 18/24 123/7
123/16
mention [2] 24/5 24/13
mentioned [11] 7/1
48/2 54/9 54/10
82/8 103/1 105/23
119/3 125/24
129/24 136/7
merits [1] 1/11
Merrimack [2]
52/12 66/5
met [3] 19/18 26/18

## 135/8

method [1] 6/10 methods [1] 46/3 Michael [1] 1/20 microphone [2] 85/24 132/1 mid [1] 9/10
mid-page [1] 9/10 middle [2] 39/17 87/3
might [10] 5/19
5/19 68/9 70/17
110/2 118/6 130/13
137/5 138/18 146/1 mile [2] 98/12
110/12
miles' [1] 116/13
million [3] 34/7 34/15 34/16
mind [3] 51/10
54/21 65/13
mine [1] 96/18
mines [1] 65/2
minimal [1] 66/10 minimization [1] 55/2
minimize [2] 6/11 6/23
minor [2] 43/17 144/18
minute [8] 28/12 31/21 75/8 84/9 99/23 103/17 108/18 136/22 minutes [3] 3/8 31/7 73/20 miss [1] 19/7 missed [1] 127/23 mistake [1] 5/15 mitigate [1] 57/21 mitigation [3] 6/9 55/2 118/22 modifications [1] 143/15
money [8] 68/6 69/13 69/16 70/16
70/23 125/12
143/21 144/1
monopoles [2]
114/22 114/23
Monroe [2] 1/21
3/4
months [3] 44/18 143/8 143/13
more [12] 10/4 52/6
52/7 72/7 99/6 107/23 107/23
115/18 116/16
119/6 133/17
138/14
morning [36] $1 / 4$
4/2 4/10 4/11 4/12

4/13 17/19 19/14 19/15 20/10 35/10 47/2 47/3 47/4 56/1 56/3 56/11 58/18 58/19 58/20 59/1
62/12 62/13 63/16
63/17 63/18 76/15
83/13 83/14 123/13
123/15 123/18
128/3 135/1 135/2
147/6
most [15] 8/2 19/18
47/9 47/10 49/12
49/24 52/1 52/15
53/16 58/14 79/15
90/4 93/21 120/24
123/17
mostly [4] 12/14
35/11 128/6 133/8
motion [1] 18/4
MOU [8] 30/6
32/23 33/7 37/15
37/21 38/24 62/17
74/19
Mount [2] 146/15
146/15
Mountain [1]
127/13
mountains [1]
127/12
move [4] 21/21
85/24 106/20

| M | 67/24 69/23 71/14 | 123/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| move... [1] 126/12 | 71/23 72/1 72/3 | Mr. Brekke [2] |
| moved [5] 117/17 | 72/16 72/19 73/18 | 103/1 146/6 |
| 117/24 133/4 | 74/5 75/16 75/24 | Mr. Chair [2] |
| 142/11 142/13 | 77/14 77/18 79/6 | 10/15 19/13 |
| moving [3] 95/8 | 79/22 82/24 83/4 | Mr. Chalmers [1] |
| 96/23 98/23 | 83/6 84/4 84/12 | 124/1 |
| mow [1] 142/9 | 91/12 91/18 92/7 | Mr. Chalmers' |
| Mr [36] 2/6 2/7 2/7 | 93/2 93/20 96/12 | 10/16 |
| 2/10 2/11 2/17 2/17 | 96/22 97/4 103/1 | Mr. Cote [1] 14/22 |
| 2/21 2/22 2/23 9/16 | 106/17 107/2 | Mr. Cote's [1] 17/8 |
| 16/17 16/18 16/22 | 112/16 113/17 | Mr. Dumville [5] |
| 18/3 19/19 26/22 | 119/10 120/6 120/9 | 19/11 30/16 32/13 |
| 47/1 51/5 55/24 | 123/1 123/21 124/1 | 72/19 73/18 |
| 70/21 71/1 71/6 | 125/22 128/8 | Mr. Iacopino [1] |
| 80/24 82/3 83/10 | 129/13 129/14 | 140/11 |
| 113/23 120/19 | 131/14 134/24 | Mr. Jodoin [15] |
| 122/8 122/10 | 135/4 136/12 138/8 | 8/19 10/20 10/24 |
| 122/15 130/16 | 138/15 140/11 | 13/13 14/17 17/18 |
| 141/11 141/12 | 140/14 141/20 | 27/12 31/12 32/1 |
| 145/24 146/7 | 142/21 142/21 | 39/4 60/10 62/15 |
| Mr. [95] 8/19 10/15 | 142/22 144/15 | 67/24 69/23 72/16 |
| 10/16 10/20 10/24 | 144/16 145/12 | Mr. Lupton [6] |
| 13/13 14/17 14/22 | 145/13 145/23 | 77/14 112/16 |
| 16/24 17/8 17/11 | 145/24 146/6 | 141/20 142/22 |
| 17/18 19/10 19/11 | Mr. and [8] 77/18 | 144/16 145/23 |
| 19/13 22/15 27/12 | 83/6 97/4 106/17 | Mr. Lupton's [1] |
| 27/13 27/23 28/2 | 107/2 125/22 128/8 | 145/13 |
| 30/16 31/12 32/1 | 129/14 | Mr. Needleman [5] |
| 32/13 39/4 46/24 | Mr. Aslin [3] 67/24 | 19/10 71/23 74/5 |
| 60/10 62/11 62/15 | 71/14 72/1 | 145/12 145/24 |
| 63/14 67/16 67/24 | Mr. Baker [1] | Mr. Oldenburg [2] |


| M | Mrazik [1] 57/10 | 65/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | Mrs [3] 80/24 82/3 | 65/21 66/16 76/17 |
| [2] 46/24 138/8 | 122/7 | 77/14 122/17 128 |
| Mr. Patnaude [2] | Mrs. [10] 77/18 | 141/23 145/24 |
| 75/16 75/24 | 83/6 97/4 106/17 | Ms. Brekke [1] |
| Mr. Raff [1] 17/11 | 107/2 120/20 | 141/23 |
| Mr. Ramsdell [13] | 125/22 128/8 | Ms. Heiser [8] 35/8 |
| 9/22 83/4 84/4 | 129/14 130/17 | 38/4 44/3 45/4 54/8 |
| 12 93/20 96/2 | Mrs. Brekke [6] | 65/17 65/20 66/16 |
| /6 135/4 136/12 | 83/6 97/4 106/17 | Ms. Lupton [2] |
| 140/14 142/21 | 120/20 125/22 | 76/17 77/14 |
| 142/21 144/15 | 130/17 | Ms. Saffo [1] |
| Mr. Ramsdell's [1] | Mrs. Lup | 22/17 |
| 138/15 | 107/2 | Ms. Verdile [7] |
| Mr. Rochon | Mrs. Lupton | 14/20 28/16 39/8 |
| 13 28/2 | 77/18 128/8 | 47/11 64/14 65/1 |
| Mr. Rochon's | Mrs. Ramsdell [1] | 65/21 |
| 27/23 | 129/14 | Ms. Walkley [2] |
| Mr. Van [7] 79 | Ms [27] 2/6 2/11 | 128/1 145/24 |
| 82/24 113/17 | 2/18 2/18 2/22 4 | much [15] 14/13 |
| 119/10 123/21 | 4/18 10/22 12/24 | 36/9 55/23 70/17 |
| 129/13 131/14 | 17/21 18/1 18/21 | 72/11 75/1 96/22 |
| arn | 18/23 28/16 41/12 | 107/23 107/23 |
|  | 56/4 56/5 58/17 | 8/13 109/11 |
| Mr. Wag | 70/1 122/11 122/11 | 109/13 112/9 |
| 91/12 91/18 92/7 | 122/21 123/7 | 120/19 141/18 |
| 93/2 96/12 120/9 | 136/10 138/13 | multiple [3] 13/1 |
| Mr. Way [2] 62/11 | 141/11 145/11 | 69/9 123/14 |
| 134/24 | Ms. [21] | Muni [2] 64/10 |
| Mr. Whitley | 28/16 35/8 3 | 67/21 |
| 22/15 63/14 67/16 | 39 | must [2] 32/9 93/14 |
| 72/3 | 47/11 54/8 64/14 | my [65] 13/20 16/6 |


| M | 140/5 | 21/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| my... [63] 17/13 | $\underset{60 / 5}{\text { named [2] 35/18 }}$ | negotiate [1] 32 <br> negotiating [4] |
| 17/18 19/16 21/24 | 60/5 <br> natural [18] 3/16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { negotiating [4] } \\ & \text { 29/22 30/20 74/14 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 23/9 23/24 37/9 | natural [18] 3/16 $3 / 17$ 4/19 4/24 7/4 | 74/18 |
| 41/22 41/23 42/19 47/5 47/6 54/21 | 7/6 15/18 40/15 | negotiations [2] |
| 54/24 55/8 56/5 | 40/18 40/22 41/2 | 30/18 31/10 |
| 56/12 59/10 61/17 | 55/1 59/6 59/8 | neighbor [2] |
| 61/24 67/14 68/24 | 59/10 59/18 59/22 | 136/17 137/2 |
| 69/2 69/21 76/17 | 60/6 | neighborhood [3] |
| 76/21 76/22 77/10 | naturally [1] 50/19 | 135/19 135/20 |
| 80/17 83/15 84/2 | nature [1] 121/2 | 136/13 |
| 92/3 94/23 95/14 | near [7] 5/3 24/20 | neighboring [1] |
| 106/4 114/3 118/11 | 46/13 84/20 87/11 | 68/4 |
| 118/24 119/19 | 106/2 106/8 | neighbors [5] 4/10 |
| 120/14 121/10 | necessarily [3] | 5/24 6/4 135/14 |
| 122/5 123/17 | 15/20 51/4 145/20 | 135/17 |
| 124/12 125/6 127/2 | neck [1] 143/5 | NEIL [3] 2/13 76/3 |
| 128/3 128/11 | need [11] 54/18 | 76/8 |
| 128/14 130/5 | 55/5 59/15 111/9 | neither [1] 148/10 |
| 138/12 139/8 | 114/22 125/12 | net [1] 70/17 |
| 140/22 142/7 142/8 | 125/12 141/7 | never [1] 90/8 |
| 142/15 142/24 | 141/10 142/12 | new [30] 1/1 1/4 1/9 |
| 143/8 143/11 144/5 | 142/12 | 5/21 7/7 13/6 24/17 |
| 146/18 148/5 148/7 | Needleman [6] | 36/14 40/15 40/17 |
| N | 19/10 71/1 71/23 | 42/21 44/3 60/6 |
|  | 74/5 145/12 145/24 | 71/3 85/16 86/8 |
| N.H [1] 148/18 | needs [2] 54/2 | 88/11 93/5 95/4 |
| name [11] 17/14 | 143/2 | 95/9 95/12 98/17 |
| 19/16 47/7 76/20 | negative [2] 5/12 | 98/21 104/10 |
| 76/21 76/22 83/15 | 8/7 | 112/11 117/2 |
| 91/18 120/12 128/4 | negligible [2] 20/20 | 126/12 126/12 |


| $\mathbf{N}$ | 119/4 119/7 120/5 | 119/21 121/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| new... [2] 126/17 | 120/21 121/18 | 128/22 135/11 |
| 144/3 | 122/2 122/8 122/14 | 135/11 136/1 136/7 |
| Newell [4] 76/23 | 122/15 122/19 | 137/23 138/16 |
| 76/24 107/8 108/18 | 122/23 123/2 123/5 | 139/1 140/6 144/9 |
| next [17] 12/6 | 124/8 124/16 127/5 | northernmost [1] |
| 34/21 48/20 52/13 | 127/8 127/21 | 84/1 |
| 75/8 81/19 85/11 | 127/24 128/10 | not [118] 5/12 5/19 |
| 92/24 93/13 96/21 | 130/22 131/4 | 10/7 13/7 13/11 |
| 96/24 106/19 | 132/11 133/20 | 14/13 15/10 15/10 |
| 133/24 137/18 | 136/8 138/19 | 15/20 16/19 17/3 |
| 137/19 139/12 | 140/23 145/21 | 20/22 20/23 21/1 |
| 146/1 | 148/18 | 21/9 23/2 23/5 23/9 |
| NH [1] 3/9 | nodding [2] 44/19 | 24/4 24/7 24/13 |
| nice [4] 19/19 91/21 | 50/18 | 25/5 25/23 26/7 |
| 126/20 127/3 | noise [3] 45/19 46/3 | 26/11 27/17 27/22 |
| no [76] 1/7 1/23 | 109/21 | 28/8 31/14 33/14 |
| 1/24 2/3 3/3 3/3 9/4 | None [1] 22/21 | 37/8 38/20 39/4 |
| 9/21 14/6 16/10 | noon [1] 75/18 | 39/5 39/22 40/1 |
| 16/23 17/3 18/19 | normal [1] 144/3 | 40/13 41/4 42/5 |
| 19/3 19/8 21/8 | normally [1] | 42/7 42/8 43/10 |
| 21/17 23/4 23/5 | 119/16 | 46/10 48/9 49/17 |
| 25/6 25/15 39/24 | north [7] 22/20 | 50/3 50/24 51/4 |
| 43/14 45/11 46/19 | 52/7 55/13 85/2 | 51/15 51/17 53/7 |
| 47/15 47/19 59/20 | 111/4 113/7 123/4 | 55/5 58/13 61/4 |
| 67/8 81/18 83/3 | Northern [31] 1/8 | 63/3 66/14 68/21 |
| 83/5 83/7 88/19 | 6/7 26/17 30/10 | 70/12 71/3 72/4 |
| 92/18 96/9 98/6 | 31/14 34/6 35/3 | 72/11 72/18 74/19 |
| 105/2 106/9 106/14 | 61/14 62/4 62/24 | 74/22 74/23 78/12 |
| 109/19 113/16 | 68/19 69/14 73/4 | 79/21 81/22 82/16 |
| 115/16 115/21 | 73/18 73/24 74/18 | 82/18 85/2 88/20 |
| 117/4 119/1 119/4 | 89/10 89/14 112/4 | 90/6 90/12 91/14 |


| $\mathbf{N}$ | \| $45 / 5$ 79/9 143/1 | 14/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| not... [43] 92/13 | , | numbers [7] 86/16 |
| 92/18 92/18 92/23 | 45/5 | 97/15 100/13 104/2 |
| 92/24 93/3 93/4 | November 14th [1] | 104/21 105/22 |
| 94/22 99/19 100/13 | 143/1 | 109/18 |
| 102/5 102/15 | November of [1] | numerous [2] 29/1 |
| 106/13 111/19 | 79/9 | 57/1 |
| 112/21 113/14 | now [29] | 0 |
| 114/17 117/13 | 2 | objection [7] 10/15 |
| 118/6 119/16 |  | 12/21 18/2 18/11 |
| 119/22 120/4 |  | 30/15 32/11 145/13 |
| 122/19 124/17 | 66/3 66/20 71/3 $74 / 687 / 13 ~ 93 / 14$ | objective [1] 39/18 |
| 126/6 128/21 | 74/6 87/13 93/14 102/7 102/20 | objectives [3] 53/19 |
| 128/24 129/15 | $102 / 7102 / 20$ $105 / 22113 / 9$ | 54/1 54/4 |
| 129/19 130/1 132/8 | 115/12 125/21 | obtained [1] 34/24 |
| 135/24 137/15 |  | occasions [1] 29/17 |
| 137/15 138/1 138/3 | 142/14 144/12 | occurred [2] 81/21 |
| 138/4 139/24 140/3 |  | 83/1 |
| 140/4 141/4 142/14 | nuance [1] 47/17 | October [3] 26/16 |
| 148/13 | nuances [1] 51/15 | 26/18 39/5 |
| NOTE [1] 67/21 noted [2] 145/3 | $\text { number [23] } 7 / 3$ | October 23rd [1] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { noted [2] 145/3 } \\ & 147 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | 7/7 9/5 9/8 9/15 | $39 / 5$ |
| notes [4] 27/10 | 12/1 53/1 67/17 | October 25 |
| 27/12 63/1 148/6 | 78/1 78/5 78/7 <br> 88/17 88/21 100/10 | off [17] 15/16 19/2 |
| nothing [7] 25/8 | 88/17 88/21 100/10 100/16 100/24 | 38/12 55/10 74/17 |
| 57/20 62/10 117/5 | 109/8 112/19 117/7 | 75/21 75/22 94/18 |
| 118/8 120/17 138/6 |  | 95/1 97/11 98/13 |
| notice [1] 126/3 |  | 107/8 108/7 108/20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { noticed [2] } 17 / 20 \\ & 136 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | Number 1 [1] 9/5 | 112/14 114/11 |
| November [4] 1/3 | nu | off-the-record [1] |


| 0 | 97/16 97/17 100/19 | 61/19 68/1 68/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -record... [1] | 101/8 104/3 104/5 | 71/8 93/4 112/4 |
| 75/22 | 111/3 111/21 112/9 | 112/10 117/20 |
| offer [7] 81/24 90/3 | 113/12 114/6 115/6 | 139/18 |
| 90/8 90/9 90/13 | 116/12 116/17 | opinions [3] 13/6 |
| 133/12 139/14 | 120/8 123/21 | 48/22 71/3 |
| offered [3] 12/23 | 125/21 130/15 | opportunity [2] |
| 121/7 137/24 | 131/10 131/15 | 125/18 144/20 |
| offering [1] 121/5 | 132/4 132/15 | opposed [1] 55/6 |
| Officer [1] 1/13 | 132/19 134/2 | option [1] 55/12 |
| officially [1] 39/22 | 134/17 135/19 | oral [2] 112/20 |
| offset [2] 68/2 | 137/22 144/19 | 113/3 |
| 71/17 | one-by-one [1] | orange [2] 85/15 |
| offsetting [1] 71/8 | 83/24 ones [2] 92/8 | 98/16 <br> order [3] 77/17 |
| often [1] 43/16 | ones [2] 92/8 103/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { order [3] 77/17 } \\ & \mathbf{1 1 6 / 1 5 ~ 1 1 6 / 2 3} \end{aligned}$ |
| oh [7] 14/6 23/11 $56 / 2184 / 698 / 3$ | ongoing [1] 36/19 | orderly [2] 7/12 |
| 56/21 84/6 98/3 | $\text { only [16] } 1 / 46 / 12$ | $17 / 1$ |
| 1 131/19 | 22/16 25/18 27/15 | orient [1] 83/21 |
|  | 35/15 43/24 45/2 | oriented [1] 85/2 |
| 2/10 2/22 46/24 | 62/8 74/12 94/7 | original [4] 10/17 |
| 47/7 138/8 | 116/5 120/7 120/16 | 13/7 22/14 45/5 |
| older [1] 137/8 | 133/24 147/9 | other [33] 12/6 1 |
| on the [1] 35/6 | open [1] 29/4 | 19/6 22/5 29/7 35/6 |
| once [1] 57/18 | opened [1] 35/19 | 40/11 41/12 45/2 |
| one [48] 12/8 21/6 | operate [1] 55/3 | 50/15 53/6 54/16 |
| 29/3 29/4 31/21 | operation [2] 57/2 | 57/8 67/24 70/20 |
| 35/6 35/7 50/10 | 58/12 | 71/14 73/7 88/12 |
| 53/1 54/17 58/5 | opinion [19] 12/13 | 103/16 111/3 |
| 62/14 74/8 83/24 | 12/18 13/20 16/6 | 111/22 113/15 |
| 83/24 84/24 85/8 | 16/19 20/21 21/24 | 116/9 118/8 120/5 |
| 89/1 93/12 96/9 | 23/3 51/20 61/17 | 121/16 127/22 |


| 0 | 25/23 29/17 38/20 | owned [1] 134/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ot | 66/9 67/12 88/18 | , |
| 131/5 134/1 135/14 | 101/17 103/11 | 133/14 |
| 141/2 141/3 | 109/9 112/1 116/18 | owners [3] |
| otherwise [1] 72/5 | 119/17 120/11 | 96/5 121/7 |
| our [56] 7/4 7/24 | 12 121/5 1 | ership |
| 8/2 8/10 14/22 27/9 | 139/15 142/3 142/6 |  |
| 27/11 36/20 40/15 | 142/8 142/9 143/4 | P |
| 17 42/20 51/21 | 144/10 | p.m [2] 3/9 147/5 |
| 524 57/10 57/14 |  | Pacik [1] 122/11 |
| 57/15 57/17 58/2 | outdoors [1] 125/4 | pacing [2] 94/20 |
| 59/6 59/8 60/4 | outreach [6] 3/7 | 95/1 |
| 81/11 81/14 |  | pad [3] 21/21 21/23 |
| 81/11 81/14 82/1/ |  | 136/24 |
| 2/2 107/14 107/ | over [17] 21/1 | page [32] |
| /11 108/12 | 34/14 37/2 49/4 | 4/24 9/7 9/10 9/14 |
| 110/1 110/2 110/24 |  | 10/3 11/2 |
| 2/2 125/11 | 74/6 74/18 81/22 | 20/3 29/13 30/8 |
| /16 133/5 |  | 4/12 39/15 39/17 |
| 2 135/18 | 108/4 116/3 118/23 | 40/7 70/6 74/4 7 |
| 139/23 |  | 84/20 87/2 92/23 |
| /13 142/1 142/5 |  | 94/10 98/9 98 |
| 144/5 144/1 | overall [1] 21/11 | $107 / 9$ |
| 15/6 146/12 | overhead [1] 45/20 | 108/19 114/12 |
| /146/19 | overrule [1] 18/11 | 12 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 147/11 } \\ \text { ours [2] } & 15 / 16 \\ 97 / 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 / 22 \\ & \text { overtures [1] 73/5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Page } 3[2] 9 / 14 \\ & 128 / 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ourselves [2] 119/1 } \\ & \text { 119/8 } \\ & \text { out [30] 9/6 15/8 } \\ & \mathbf{1 7 / 1 2} 21 / 21 \text { 25/2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ovid [1] 27/13 } \\ & \text { own [7] 68/6 97/22 } \\ & 108 / 4114 / 5114 / 9 \\ & 133 / 8145 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Page III-2 [1] 39/15 } \\ \text { Page VII-4 [1] } \\ \text { pages [2] } \\ \text { pa/7 } \\ 105 / 8 \end{array}\right\|$ |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | park [1] 6/2 | 144/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| paid... [1] 133/18 | parking [2] 6/1 | Pass's [4] 31/15 |
| Pam [1] 8/16 | 139/11 | 73/4 73/18 73/24 |
| Pamela [2] 1/21 3/4 | part [21] 37/15 | passed [1] 119/16 |
| panel [20] 2/4 2/13 | 38/24 49/24 52/10 | passes [1] 56/10 |
| 4/8 19/7 20/10 | 59/2 59/9 65/7 84/2 | passing [2] 86/5 |
| 28/15 33/3 46/23 | 84/18 86/15 87/14 | 94/18 |
| 63/15 72/19 75/3 | 89/8 94/10 97/6 | past [2] 59/2 59/23 |
| 75/8 75/15 76/1 | 101/12 103/2 | Patnaude [5] 1/24 |
| 80/21 118/16 131/8 | 120/24 135/9 | 75/16 75/24 148/3 |
| 141/2 141/14 | 135/10 144/24 | 148/17 |
| 146/23 | 145/14 | Patricia [2] 1/17 |
| panel's [1] 17/11 | part of [1] 103/2 | 58/21 |
| panhandle [1] | Partially [1] 90/22 | patrol [1] 36/17 |
| 97/11 | particular [4] 7/22 |  |
| panhandled [1] | 37/19 110/22 | pay [3] 34/15 93/8 |
| 97/14 | 127/16 | 133/17 |
| panhandled-shaped | $124 / 11$ | 70/14 |
| [1] 97/14 ${ }^{\text {Pappas [2] 16/18 }}$ | parties [4] 32/5 | Peabody [1] 140/19 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pappas [2] 16/18 } \\ & 146 / 1 \end{aligned}$ | 32/9 32/9 148/12 | Pembroke [55] 3/7 |
| paragraph [1] | parts [1] 51/9 | 3/9 3/11 6/19 7/2 |
| 129/5 | Pass [27] 1/8 6/7 | 7/8 7/14 7/19 15/13 |
| paralyzed [1] | 26/17 30/10 34/6 | 16/5 22/20 28/22 |
| 143/10 | 35/3 61/14 62/4 | 31/7 33/20 34/10 |
| paraphrase [1] | 62/24 68/19 69/14 | 35/1 35/4 35/24 |
| 138/23 | 74/18 89/10 89/14 | 36/8 39/14 39/17 |
| parcel [4] 7/22 | 112/4 119/21 | 43/2 48/24 49/1 |
| 97/15 114/4 114/5 | 121/24 128/22 | 49/6 49/10 49/12 |
| parcels [6] 8/1 8/3 | 135/11 135/12 | 49/19 50/6 51/24 |
| 69/5 97/22 97/24 | 136/1 136/7 137/23 | 52/8 52/11 52/14 |
| 114/9 | 138/16 139/1 140/6 | 52/17 52/21 52/21 |


| P | Permitting [1] | placed [2] 36/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pembroke... [19] | 44 | /8 |
| 2/24 53/7 53/20 | person [1] 125/19 | gued [2] 36/12 |
| 54/19 55/5 55/12 | person's [1] 112/9 personal [1] 145/5 | 36/12 <br> plan [31] 3/5 3/11 |
| 55/13 55/19 56/18 | personal [1] 145/5 personnel [1] 91/11 | plan [31] 3/5 3/11 <br> 7/5 39/14 39/18 |
| 56/23 58/14 60/8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { personnel [1] } 91 \\ & \text { Ph.D [1] 57/24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 539 / 1439 / 18 \\ & 40 / 9 \\ & 40 / 12 \\ & 40 / 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| 64/22 65/3 65/5 | Ph.D [1] 57/24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40/9 40/12 40/17 } \\ & \text { 43/9 47/10 47/21 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 66/11 66/14 70/1 | phase [1] 48/20 <br> photo [4] 101/16 | 43/9 47/10 47/21 |
| Pembroke's [5] | 103/4 103/8 146/9 | 48/19 48/20 49/6 |
| Pembroke's [5] <br> 3/14 34/3 47/21 | photographs [1] | 50/11 50/15 51/13 |
| 8/4 66/8 | 100/24 | /15 51/21 53/1 |
| 1] 127/20 | photos [3] 111/1 | 5/9 53/18 59/2 |
| ng [1] 18/4 | 111/9 112/1 | 59/12 59/19 60/4 |
| eople [9] 6/2 7/15 | photosimulation [1] | 72/23 99/13 |
| 1/17 31/3 51/17 | 102/16 | planned [2] 142/4 |
| 6/5 75/16 89/24 | pi [1] 103/7 | 142/16 |
| 90/4 | pi-shaped [1] 103/7 | Planner [1] 28/17 |
| people's [1] 122/1 | picked [1] 127/15 | planning [4] 6/5 |
| percent [3] 60/17 | pictures [5] 24/19 | 18/24 51/3 119/19 |
| 62/2 142/7 | 87/3 91/21 127/7 | plans [13] 19/24 |
| perhaps [2] 108/7 | 127/12 | 7/15 43/16 47/13 |
| 145/24 | piece [10] 119/12 | /15 47/17 47/13 |
| period [2] 34/14 | 132/19 132/23 | 47/19 48/8 51/7 |
|  | 133/7 133/17 134/4 | 53/6 73/6 86/15 |
| permanently [1] | 134/14 134/14 | plant [1] 6/5 |
|  | 134/16 134/18 | please [32] 20/3 |
| permit [9] 3/5 | pine [4] 91/22 92/1 | 27/12 28/13 29/13 |
| 1/24 41/17 42/2 | 92/5 92/7 | /2 30/8 33/21 |
| 42/8 42/14 42/18 | place [6] 54/20 | 33/24 34/21 39/12 |
| 43/10 43/22 | 75/15 105/5 120/10 | 39/15 40/7 56 |
| Permits [1] 41/21 | 129/17 148/6 | 64/4 64/18 68/20 |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | porch [1] 127/1 | 112/8 112/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| please... [16] 69/21 | portion [4] 45/20 | 119/13 132/9 134/6 |
| 73/16 74/8 76/1 | 67/18 107/3 107/20 | 134/7 134/8 145/3 |
| 76/18 76/19 83/12 | portions [4] 22/24 | powered [1] 49/22 |
| 84/7 97/2 99/10 | 27/20 35/17 79/3 | practically [1] |
| 106/24 108/15 | posed [1] 62/22 | 136/18 |
| 113/21 117/23 | poses [1] 16/4 | pre [3] 28/6 37/11 |
| 119/18 128/18 | position [8] 90/20 | 77/22 |
| plus [1] 69/4 | 91/23 96/20 104/9 | pre-construction |
| point [27] 12/8 | 111/23 125/14 | [2] 28/6 37/11 |
| 16/19 16/21 23/15 | 128/13 137/13 | Pre-Trial [1] 77/22 |
| 23/18 31/13 31/17 | positions [1] 137/3 | precisely [1] 119/22 |
| 37/6 41/16 55/8 | positive [1] 7/19 | predict [1] 102/11 |
| 55/11 88/18 95/23 | possession [1] | prefer [1] 74/12 |
| 96/11 98/4 98/6 | 44/21 | preference [2] 36/2 |
| 98/7 100/12 108/23 | possibility [1] | 74/15 |
| 109/8 109/10 | 106/7 | preferred [1] 54/12 |
| 114/24 116/13 | possible [2] 6/8 | prefiled [18] 16/11 |
| 116/17 142/3 144/1 | 131/16 | 45/5 77/11 78/1 |
| 145/20 | possibly [1] 66/23 | 78/3 78/15 79/3 |
| pointed [3] 67/12 | posting [1] 38/11 | 79/7 79/19 79/22 |
| 111/24 146/18 | potatoes [1] 52/23 | 79/24 80/4 81/4 |
| points [4] 88/10 | potential [10] 41/15 | 81/5 81/17 128/9 |
| 109/3 116/10 134/1 | 65/9 65/15 66/12 | 135/6 142/24 |
| poles [1] 50/4 | 89/9 90/2 90/12 | presence [1] 10/8 |
| Poll [2] 7/7 59/6 | 91/12 117/2 118/22 | present [4] 1/12 |
| pollution [2] 41/16 | potentially [2] | 1/19 26/22 148/8 |
| 43/8 | 57/17 69/13 | presented [1] 88/16 |
| population [1] | power [18] 49/13 | presently [2] 60/14 |
| 112/13 | 86/3 87/23 92/9 | 61/21 |
| populations [1] | 94/13 94/24 95/17 | preserve [2] 8/6 |
| 54/3 | 96/7 111/17 112/2 | 36/6 |


| $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- |
| Presiding [2] 1/13 | 1/13

presume [1] 104/9 prevention [2] 43/7 43/9
previous [1] 119/14 previously [1] 94/1 price [1] 89/20 primarily [1] 57/1 primary [4] 42/19
100/20 116/5 118/11
prior [1] 26/12 prioritized [1] 8/3 priority [1] 53/2 private [1] 27/9 probability [1] 69/15
probably [10] 13/8 14/14 47/6 88/22
103/15 108/11
115/16 118/13
121/4 137/11
problem [4] 18/19 36/16 36/19 139/22 problems [1] 137/9 proceed [1] 114/2 proceeded [1] 133/15
proceeding [1] 88/16
proceedings [5]
41/9 82/7 83/2
83/17 148/6
process [4] 60/20
61/4 69/11 69/17
produced [1] 10/18
program [5] 7/17
138/16 138/20
140/4 140/7
project [91] 3/6 8/5
8/22 8/22 12/11
19/24 20/14 21/1
21/11 22/15 24/15
25/4 25/19 25/23
26/11 26/12 26/17
27/11 27/12 27/14
27/15 34/14 37/23
42/23 47/15 47/22
48/3 49/8 49/17
51/10 51/11 51/14
51/14 51/18 53/14
54/16 55/4 55/6
55/18 55/21 61/8
68/5 69/3 69/14
71/17 73/22 83/23
84/2 84/19 84/24
87/14 87/15 88/7
88/12 89/10 89/14
90/2 90/21 91/3
91/11 93/21 96/2 100/14 101/18
104/15 105/6 107/3

110/18 111/23
112/4 114/21
115/17 115/24
116/6 116/12
118/17 120/4
120/22 121/7
128/10 129/6 129/8
129/16 130/11
130/18 130/23
134/12 136/16
142/4 144/10 145/3
Project's [3] 45/6
45/13 65/14
projects [1] 69/4
prominent [1]
10/13
promises [4]
112/20 113/3 113/8
113/10
proof [1] 113/16 properties [19]
7/16 12/5 13/15
61/16 61/20 61/21
61/23 62/7 69/2
69/19 83/22 90/17
91/2 96/16 113/9
122/1 127/17
137/23 139/2
property [111] 9/2
9/10 9/19 10/2 10/6
10/14 11/21 11/22
12/13 35/2 61/1

| $\mathbf{P}$ | 133/7 133/17 | protection [12] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| property... [100] | 133/18 133/20 | 7/17 7/22 8/3 15/ |
| 61/3 61/6 68/4 | 134/11 134/15 | 16/2 42/24 56/10 |
| 84/17 84/23 84/23 | 134/16 134/18 | 56/22 58/7 63/23 |
| 85/13 86/5 86/9 | 137/5 138/15 | 64/24 65/15 |
| 86/13 87/11 87/16 | 138/20 139/1 139/4 | protective [6] 15/4 |
| 89/2 90/5 91/13 | 140/15 140/16 | 15/6 15/7 15/8 49/1 |
| 91/19 92/9 92/11 | 140/22 142/2 145/4 | 49/4 |
| 94/22 96/5 96/7 | 145/7 | provide [2] 68/13 |
| 96/14 96/24 97/5 | property's [2] | 71/9 |
| 97/8 97/10 98/15 | 12/20 96/6 | provided [2] |
| 98/24 99/4 99/14 | proportionally [1] | 102/10 128/22 |
| 100/3 103/3 104/6 | 135/24 | provides [1] 43/1 |
| 104/12 107/4 107/6 | proposal [2] 49/17 | provisions [1] 43/7 |
| 107/13 107/14 | 96/5 | proximity [1] 96/6 |
| 107/18 107/24 | proposed [22] 46/2 | public [15] 1/9 1/13 |
| 108/3 108/4 108/5 | 54/12 85/8 85/16 | 1/14 1/17 15/3 |
| 108/11 110/1 110/1 | 85/19 86/8 86/12 | 15/21 27/16 29/3 |
| 110/3 110/4 110/23 | 86/19 90/21 91/14 | 29/4 29/4 43/2 48/1 |
| 112/22 113/24 | 95/5 95/13 95/21 | 58/22 83/17 131/14 |
| 115/2 116/19 118/3 | 98/15 98/17 101/2 | Public's [1] 9/14 |
| 119/12 120/14 | 101/21 103/21 | PUC [1] 75/19 |
| 121/6 122/2 122/4 | 103/22 115/20 | pull [9] 24/9 27/10 |
| 122/6 123/24 124/9 | 146/12 146/13 | 30/2 34/21 39/11 |
| 125/16 126/2 126/3 | prospect [3] 135/11 | 40/6 111/11 128/17 |
| 126/4 126/6 126/7 | 136/2 146/15 | 131/14 |
| 126/13 126/22 | protect [6] 38/1 | pulled [1] 25/13 |
| 127/16 128/24 | 43/23 54/24 57/15 | pulling [3] 6/2 |
| 130/23 131/15 | 133/8 139/23 | 28/12 28/24 |
| 131/17 131/22 | protected [1] 7/11 | purchase [3] 126/9 |
| 132/8 132/9 132/11 | protecting [2] 58/2 | 126/10 137/24 |
| 132/20 132/24 | 58/2 | purchased [4] |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | 49/2 53/23 142/15 | 79/12 80/4 81/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| purchased... [4] | quarter [1] 98/12 | /9 82/21 83/18 |
| 119/12 126/5 | question [30] 5/2 | 101/5 122/8 122/14 |
| 133/21 133/22 | 8/4 17/5 17/18 | 122/16 122/22 |
| purchasing [1] 7/16 | 18/17 32/17 37/9 | 122/23 123/2 123/8 |
| pure [1] 66/9 | 54/7 59/10 60/10 | 123/18 128/6 |
| purple [2] 86/4 | 62/14 67/5 71/1 | 128/12 129/13 |
| 86/6 | 72/15 81/19 83/ | 130/16 131/5 131/8 |
| purpose [2] 9/23 | 109/6 117/15 119/3 | 140/10 140/24 |
| pu/5 | 124/6 124/7 124/12 | 141/2 141/3 141/5 |
| purposes [2] 80/9 | 124/20 124/23 | quick [1] 60/10 |
| 81/14 | 125/21 130/15 | quiet [3] 126/15 |
| pursue [1] 130/5 | 136/12 138/10 | 126/20 137/14 |
| pursuing [1] | 138/12 145/2 | quite [3] 45/23 |
| 129/22 | questioned [3] 15/2 | 63/24 112/3 |
| put [19] 4/22 10/18 | 16/17 90/24 | quotes [1] 146/9 |
| 12/4 29/13 49/14 | questioning [3] | R |
| 62/7 64/1 73/18 | 120/5 124/1 128/2 | R3 [1] 49/18 |
| 73/20 73/23 84/7 | questions [67] $2 / 9$ $\mathbf{2 / 2 0} 4 / 4$ 4/19 8/19 | radii [3] 64/24 |
| 101/7 102/4 113/5 | 11/3 14/20 14/21 | 65/12 65/24 |
| 113/6 119/23 | 16/15 17/8 18/22 | radius [4] 15/4 15/6 |
| 124/18 125/14 | 19/23 19/4 19/6 | 15/8 15/20 |
| $141 / 15$ | 19/12 25/20 27/4 | Raff [3] 2/6 17/11 |
| putting [4] 5/: | 41/12 45/1 46/20 | 17/14 |
| puzzled [1] 113/13 | 46/22 47/5 47/9 | raise [1] 109/20 <br> raised [13] 21/1 |
| Q | 51/1 54/10 56/5 | 38/4 39/8 40/3 |
| qualities [4] 5/13 | 58/5 58/23 62/21 | 41/14 41/14 45/3 |
| 49/5 50/7 53/3 | 63/7 63/12 64/1 | 71/4 74/11 88/24 |
| quality [7] 41/15 | 64/12 68/1 72/21 | 115/22 117/6 |
| 41/20 42/20 43/23 | 78/10 78/21 78/23 | raising [3] 13/14 |


| $\mathbf{R}$ | 129/14 135/4 | 23/9 24/12 45/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| raising... [2] 13/15 | 135/13 135/17 | 45/22 47/16 50/10 |
| 117/15 | 136/3 136/8 136/12 | 51/8 51/12 52/4 |
| Ramble [4] 77/6 | 136/23 137/6 138/1 | 53/5 53/9 74/8 |
| 77/8 98/4 99/24 | 138/3 138/19 139/6 | 124/2 138/23 |
| ramps [1] 143/16 | 140/5 140/8 140/14 | reading [2] 52/3 |
| RAMSDELL [97] | 140/17 140/19 | 54/15 |
| 2/14 76/4 76/10 | 140/21 142/21 | reads [1] 60/9 |
| 77/4 77/4 79/22 | 142/21 144/15 | real [2] 9/3 139/24 |
| 80/2 80/7 80/11 | Ramsdell's [1] | realize [1] 88/23 |
| 80/14 80/20 80/23 | 138/15 | realized [2] 66/24 |
| 83/4 83/5 84/4 | Ranch [1] 7/17 | 67/1 |
| 84/12 84/13 84/16 | range [26] 22/19 | really [9] 11/8 |
| 84/22 85/3 85/9 | 23/4 23/7 23/9 | 43/13 48/10 60/22 |
| 85/12 85/18 85/21 | 23/12 23/17 23/21 | 74/20 89/22 127/4 |
| 85/23 86/7 86/10 | 35/8 35/9 35/14 | 143/22 144/10 |
| 86/18 86/21 87/1 | 35/15 35/16 35/18 | realtor [3] 89/16 |
| 87/5 87/9 87/12 | 36/7 36/10 36/15 | 90/11 140/15 |
| 87/17 87/20 87/24 | 36/20 36/23 37/2 | rear [1] 146/17 |
| 88/4 88/8 88/14 | 38/12 39/9 49/6 | reason [4] 13/13 |
| 88/19 88/22 89/5 | 60/1 62/3 103/23 | 125/15 127/15 |
| 89/11 89/16 90/14 | 146/15 | 145/10 |
| 90/18 90/22 90/24 | rather [1] 107/19 | reasoning [1] |
| 91/8 91/17 92/10 | rating [1] 8/1 | 137/15 |
| 92/13 92/17 92/22 | RE [1] 1/7 | reasons [2] 90/11 |
| 92/24 93/6 93/20 | reach [6] 25/2 | 104/5 |
| 93/23 94/2 94/6 | 25/22 29/17 33/16 | reassessment [1] |
| 94/11 94/15 94/18 | 119/17 121/5 | 90/17 |
| 94/20 94/23 95/3 | reached [4] 38/20 | Rebecca [1] 128/4 |
| 95/7 95/14 95/19 | 113/2 113/2 139/15 | recall [8] 22/9 33/2 |
| 96/3 96/9 96/12 | reaching [1] 116/18 | 33/8 68/9 79/9 |
| 96/22 120/6 120/7 | read [16] 5/5 14/23 | 125/22 126/5 |

R
recall... [1] 145/19 receipt [1] 30/21 receive [1] 68/2 received [4] 31/1 90/10 119/4 120/23 recent [1] 93/21 recently [2] 41/23
51/20
recess [2] 75/10 147/4
recipe [1] 14/23 recognition [1]

## 141/21

recognize [3] 20/15 101/12 107/3
recognized [1] 45/7 recommendation
[1] 17/1
recommendations
[2] 31/24 46/15
record [9] 5/5 31/6 64/9 70/4 75/14
75/21 75/22 144/23 145/14
records [1] 123/24
rectangular [1]
97/10
red [5] 85/19 87/22 98/20 100/6 109/4 redirect [3] 2/7 63/14 63/21
redoing [1] 51/21 reduce [2] 104/11 105/14
reduced [1] 70/16 reduction [1] 61/5 reevaluation [2] 90/16 91/2
reference [4] $15 / 3$ 67/21 93/9 104/14 referenced [2] 41/3 41/4
referred [1] 60/13 relevant [1] 18/8 referring [1] 110/4 remain [2] 65/18 reflect [2] 7/13 21/2 96/1
reflects [1] 17/2 remains [2] 68/14
regard [3] 30/21
98/14 110/18
regarding [11] 11/4
12/9 17/1 18/6
19/24 20/13 31/11
66/17 71/8 74/13
117/21
regards [1] 45/24
region [1] 107/10
regulations [1]
48/21
rehabs [1] 143/7
relate [1] 5/8
related [6] 5/11
45/13 57/1 71/13
90/21 148/11
relating [2] 41/13

## 90/11

relation [2] 65/5

## 98/15

relationship [1]
124/6
relative [1] 148/13
relatively [3] 66/9
99/4 111/12
release [1] 66/22
Relevance [2] 18/2 30/15
$72 / 6$ [2] 6814 72/6
remember [4] 5/20 24/7 27/3 120/12 removing [1] 95/22 repair [1] 37/10 repeat [3] 18/18 52/14 117/23
report [7] 3/13 10/4 10/16 10/17 52/4
52/8 70/2
REPORTER [5]
1/24 17/22 76/6
148/4 148/18
reporting [2] 43/7
89/22
represent [17]
17/14 19/17 21/2

## R

represent... [14]
22/16 24/11 25/17
27/8 30/4 31/6
34/23 88/9 93/20
102/2 117/14
128/20 132/4
146/10
representation [6] 27/24 31/15 101/2 101/20 102/5 103/9 representative [2] 27/14 102/17 representatives [1] 119/21
represented [4] 20/16 31/23 65/8 141/4
representing [3]
11/7 71/20 102/12
requested [3] 20/7
59/16 129/16
requesting [2] 20/9 30/12
requests [3] 68/4 69/1 69/10
required [3] 35/23 43/5 89/17
requirement [1] 43/9
reside [1] 84/14 residences [1] 9/18
residential [1] 48/18
residents [4] 48/16 48/23 52/17 52/24 resolution [1] 6/5 resolve [1] 73/5 resource [12] 4/20
5/1 7/4 7/6 15/18
40/15 40/18 59/6
59/10 59/18 59/22
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resources [10] 3/15
3/15 3/16 3/17
40/10 40/14 40/23
41/2 55/1 59/4
respect [2] 74/10
78/15
respond [2] 72/22
82/23
responded [1]
44/10
response [12] 16/16 revaluation [2]
63/2 63/3 74/5
123/5 124/13
127/21 127/24
128/11 129/12
130/16 133/13
responses [5] 78/10 revenues [2] 68/2 144/21 145/13 145/19 146/2
responsible [2] 28/5 66/15
rest [1] 139/20 restaurant [2] 5/22
5/22
restored [1] 37/14 restoring [3] 28/5 28/9 36/1
restrictions [3]
36/18 37/18 37/20
result [7] 20/8
36/24 58/11 63/5
109/12 134/12
145/7
results [4] 49/7
50/12 50/14 51/6
resumed [3] 2/4
4/16 75/11
resumé [1] 53/5
retire [2] 126/20
143/23
retired [1] 137/8
return [1] 38/2
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revaluations [1]
68/23
revenue [3] 70/18
71/9 71/17
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review [2] 41/17
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reviewed [2] 41/19

## R

reviewed... [1]
61/22
reviewing [2] 12/11 42/13
revisit [1] 63/2
Rick [2] 7/7 59/5 right [159] right-hand [7] 84/20 86/22 87/3 98/2 108/20 114/16 132/18
right-of-way [44]
9/11 9/18 10/13
12/12 16/20 16/21
22/9 22/18 23/1
27/16 38/12 43/4
56/9 58/6 58/11
67/2 67/6 85/4 85/6
86/4 87/11 99/3
99/5 99/6 100/5
101/18 107/19
107/21 107/24
108/6 109/3 109/21 110/5 110/11 113/4 132/10 132/16
134/15 136/19
136/21 137/19
138/22 139/8
146/11
right-of-ways [1]
25/1
rights [1] $61 / 11$ rights-of-way [1] 61/11
risk [3] 67/3 111/24 112/5
River [6] 7/23 43/1
56/16 65/3 116/3
127/20
rivers [1] 8/2
road [56] 8/21 9/1
9/19 10/12 12/3
21/22 22/2 22/18
22/19 22/19 22/20
22/20 22/21 23/5
23/5 23/7 23/8 23/9
23/14 25/15 28/5
36/23 55/13 77/3
77/4 77/6 77/8
84/15 92/14 98/2
98/2 98/4 98/10
99/24 100/1 100/6
100/8 107/8 107/9
107/14 107/21
108/19 108/21
108/24 109/4
110/24 111/5
112/15 114/12
116/21 117/8 118/2
118/11 125/11
134/3 137/20
roads [63] 22/8
22/16 22/22 23/2

23/19 23/21 23/21 23/23 24/2 24/6 24/14 24/17 24/21 24/22 25/11 27/16 27/18 27/21 28/9
35/8 35/9 35/11
35/14 35/15 35/15
35/17 35/18 35/18
35/21 35/23 35/24
36/1 36/7 36/10
36/15 36/17 36/20
37/2 37/11 37/13
37/18 38/1 38/12
39/9 39/10 39/19
39/23 39/24 40/1
40/11 40/16 59/3 60/1 60/2 60/7 73/8 88/2 88/6 100/7
115/23 116/20
117/2 139/12
roads generally [1] 35/23
Rochon [3] 27/13 27/13 28/2
Rochon's [1] 27/23
room [2] 17/23
95/21
roughly [4] 69/4
70/13 105/15 106/3
route [15] 5/23
22/18 22/20 55/14
85/2 87/21 88/2

## R

route... [8] 116/2
116/6 117/8 117/17 118/1 118/13 119/24 146/12 routed [1] 54/20 row [1] 6/6
RSA [1] 148/19 rules [1] 28/8 run [1] 8/15 rural [16] 5/13 49/2 49/2 49/4 49/12 49/24 50/8 50/9 50/20 51/22 52/12 52/13 52/16 52/18 53/2 111/22 S
sac [3] 97/13 97/21 98/8
safety [1] 48/1
Saffo [1] 122/17 said [33] 20/13
23/11 26/10 33/2
33/3 47/14 47/20
50/11 59/17 59/19
62/1 62/5 62/18
64/16 64/20 72/5
74/10 89/19 91/2
91/21 92/1 92/2
96/16 96/16 103/17
119/6 121/8 121/13

## 122/3 130/9 135/9 139/17 140/2

sale [2] 89/20 138/24
sales [2] 11/2 11/15
same [18] 15/21
56/15 61/20 68/15
72/7 78/21 78/23
79/12 79/14 80/3
80/5 81/10 82/20
83/4 90/1 109/6
132/22 138/13
San [1] 111/21
Sand [1] 22/18
Sandy [7] 84/6 97/1 99/9 101/8 102/22 106/23 113/20
satisfactory [1]
43/10
satisfied [2] 42/9 46/11
satisfy [4] 21/6 21/15 23/18 46/8 saw [5] 24/19 51/5 121/23 126/18 127/1
say [32] 20/19
23/13 25/14 31/3
37/6 37/16 39/2
39/3 45/16 46/6 47/15 47/19 51/12
51/16 52/23 56/19

59/1 60/18 70/19
70/20 94/24 110/3
119/23 121/20
123/10 130/24
132/24 138/5 142/1
142/12 145/17
145/20
saying [4] 16/23
67/5 124/15 130/9
says [10] 5/7 5/14
15/19 30/10 34/11
85/7 87/4 105/2
124/10 134/10
scaling [3] 102/1
102/3 102/6
scattered [1] 47/6 scenic [12] 5/13
39/19 39/23 40/1 40/10 40/11 40/13
40/16 59/3 60/2 60/7 60/9
school [2] 32/17 32/17
scope [1] 47/22
screen [6] 64/5
69/23 70/10 84/10 101/8 114/11
seasonal [2] 37/18 37/20
seated [1] 77/17
SEC [10] 1/6 1/7
1/19 1/20 1/21 2/9

| $\mathbf{S}$ | 123/11 127/6 127/7 | 33/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SEC... [4] 3/5 19/23 | 127/11 127/13 | sense [3] 68/14 72/6 |
| 21/3 58/22 | 127/20 129/8 132/2 | 83/24 |
| second [7] 35/3 | 132/11 132/14 | sensible [1] 116/17 |
| 35/7 104/22 129/5 | 132/21 133/9 | sensitive [3] 36/11 |
| 132/15 132/19 | 133/24 139/15 | 36/22 43/12 |
| 144/24 | 140/3 142/5 142/10 | sent [7] 26/1 29/5 |
| secondary [2] | 142/14 | 29/21 30/5 34/2 |
| 116/20 144/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { seeing [3] 7/13 } \\ & \mathbf{8 7 / 1 3 1 1 6 / 2 0} \end{aligned}$ | 130/4 130/18 |
| section [10] 9/9 23/13 30/8 86/23 | seeking [1] 68/19 | separate [1] 147/7 |
| 23/13 30/8 86/23 | $\text { seem [3] 50/16 } 52$ | September [1] |
| 104/15 106/12 | 137/10 | $128 / 23$ |
| 110/4 114/20 | seems [2] 14/8 55/4 | series [1] 18/21 |
| see [69] 9/9 9/19 | seen [4] 26/11 63/3 | Serv [1] 1/15 |
| 10/9 11/21 11/24 | 68/24 69/12 | serve [2] 15/23 16/5 |
| 21/18 29/3 36/19 | segment [2] 87/4 | served [1] 26/3 |
| 41/24 43/22 49/21 | 87/7 | Service [1] 1/9 |
| 50/2 51/2 55/18 | Selectmen [13] 3/8 | Services [1] 44/4 |
| 61/17 64/5 65/4 | 3/11 30/13 31/1 | serving [1] 15/24 |
| 69/5 70/8 70/9 | 31/7 31/14 33/17 | session [12] 1/4 |
| 70/17 72/22 74/4 | 34/3 59/17 59/22 | 19/18 38/9 40/23 |
| 84/12 85/7 86/4 | 62/22 63/4 69/10 | 111/15 119/2 119/7 |
| 86/14 86/18 86/22 | sell [9] 89/3 122/2 | 130/1 130/6 144/22 |
| 87/4 87/17 87/21 | 122/3 133/15 | 147/6 147/9 |
| 92/14 92/21 93/3 | 139/17 140/14 | sessions [3] 29/5 |
| 93/5 93/6 93/7 | 143/22 143/24 | 48/15 82/11 |
| 96/20 98/1 98/16 | 144/2 | set [8] 15/9 15/11 |
| 100/5 103/6 103/13 | selling [3] 89/15 | 75/9 87/3 87/14 |
| 104/24 105/2 | 96/15 122/5 | 99/12 99/13 148/7 |
| 105/22 106/4 109/2 | send [1] 119/16 | setup [1] 11/8 |
| 114/15 122/18 | sending [2] 30/12 | seven [1] 143/8 |


| S | showed [5] 22/1 | 129/17 129/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| seventy [1] 105/17 | 39/13 111/1 120/1 | sites [1] 65/10 |
| several [2] 103/15 | 127/6 [3] $7 / 21$ | 1] 132/12 |
| 113/11 | showing [3] 7/21 93/14 134/18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sitting [2] 59/11 } \\ & 76 / 17 \end{aligned}$ |
| king [1] 122/13 | shown [9] 85/15 | situated [1] |
| shape [1] 16/6 shaped [2] 97/14 | 86/3 97/23 98/6 | uation [4] |
| shaped [2] 97/14 | 107/7 107/14 108/2 | 144/8 144/15 |
| 103/7 |  | six 99 |
| Shapiro |  |  |
| 34 | sic [1] 17/13 | 21/16 29/4 56/12 |
| Shapiro's [1] 70/2 | side [11] 84/20 85/5 <br> 86/15 87/3 87/18 | 56/21 56/22 65/2 |
| share [1] 68/24 sharing [1] 125/6 | 92/8 107/13 108/21 | Sixth [4] 23/4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sharing [1] } \\ & \text { she [7] } \\ & \text { she/18 } \\ & \text { 143/6 } \end{aligned}$ | 1/4 127/1 132/1 | 23/9 23/21 |
| 143/4 143/6 143/7 | signage [1] 38/11 | Sixty [3] 105/ |
| $3 / 8$ 143/9 | significant [1] 29/6 | 105/17 105/17 |
| [4] 122/19 | significantly [3] | size [2] 15/24 47/ |
| /9 143/10 144/6 | 93/10 135/21 | skill [1] 148/8 |
| sheet [4] 8/1 9/8 | 144/13 | slide [1] 105/20 |
| /21 105/21 | similar [1] 135/16 | small [1] 15/22 |
| Shorelands [1] | simply [1] 24/16 | Smith [1] 140/1 |
| 41/20 | simulations [1] | [ |
| Shorth |  | Society [1] |
| /3 | since [8] 28/17 | soft [1] 57/12 |
| shortly [2] 75/18 | 115/11 116/24 | soft-spoken [1] |
| 120/23 | 119/6 120/2 120/10 | 57/12 |
| should [7] 10/20 | 121/6 143/14 | sold [2] 91/19 12 |
| 82/14 84/9 87/13 | sir [2] 109/1 110/16 | solicited [1] 7/18 |
| 39/20 | sit [4] 32/6 33/18 | some [47] 4/4 8/19 |
| 5/6 | 42/13 43/23 | 11/1 13/6 16/19 |
| show [2] 72 | site [7] 1/2 1/10 | 21/6 25/21 |
| 119/18 | 1/12 26/2 129/16 | 26/16 27/20 35/9 |


| S | /10 | 126/7 142/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| me... [36] 35/16 | SONDRA [4] 2/15 | spectacular [1] |
| 37/5 38/4 38/19 | 76/4 76/11 77/6 | 127/14 |
| 42/9 43/17 45/3 | sorry [7] 23/6 39/14 spend [2] 69/16 |  |
| 46/10 46/18 47/16 | 76/22 84/6 107/2 | 125/3 |
| 54/15 55/8 61/17 | 131/19 144/14 | spill [2] 43/7 43/1 |
| 64/12 73/20 80/14 | sort [7] 50/19 51/6 | spills [1] 58/10 |
| 80/16 82/20 91/1 | 51/6 68/8 74/18 | spoke [1] 135/17 |
| 92/21 95/22 101/ | 124/13 130/4 | spoken [1] 57/12 |
| $1 / 1112 / 5117 /$ | Soucook [3] 43/1 | spreadsheet [1] |
| 7/10 117/15 | $\begin{aligned} & 56 / 1665 / 3 \\ & \text { sound }[1] 44 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | 51/7 |
| 119/15 125/12 |  | squares [4] 85/19 |
| 125/24 127/11 | sounds [8] 13/1 | 86/4 86/6 98/20 |
| 129/12 132/5 | 13/3 13/4 13/5 | stage [1] 62/23 |
| /14 135/4 1 | 32/16 44/9 71/2 | staging [4] 115 |
| somebody [5] 8/15 | 109/24 | 115/14 115/20 |
| 33/3 66/23 91/18 | sou | 116/2 |
| 112/12 | spaced [1] 103/1 | stamp [1] 9/15 |
| someone [4] 53/9 | speak [1] 35/16 | stamped [1] 64/10 |
| 125/15 134/1 | speaking [2] 92/8 | standards [2] 45/7 |
| 134/19 | 145/2 | 45/21 |
| something [17] | special [1] 143/2 | standing [1] 134/4 |
| 15/13 37/14 38/2 | specific [15] 21/1 | standpoint [2] 7/12 |
| 544/23 55/15 | 21/19 27/4 32/3 | 23/23 |
| $60 / 21$ 61/7 66/13 | $136 / 3$ 37/1 | start [9] 4/18 |
| 72/16 102/18 | 42/17 45/12 89/1 | 63/23 76/16 77/13 |
| 6/14 121/11 | 109/17 109/19 | 82/2 83/24 84/1 |
| /23 131/1 141/5 | 110/17 113/14 | 8/2 |
|  | 11 | started [2] 47/16 |
| somewhat [2] 95/5 | specifically [8] | 59/13 |
| 兂 | 20/16 27/14 40/1 | starts [1] |
| ewhere [2] | 90/ | state [12] 1/1 37/5 |


| $\mathbf{S}$ | 148/3 148/17 | 87/8 88/12 92/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| state... [10] 42/20 | sticking [1] 54/21 | 92/21 93/5 95/2 |
| 55/18 55/20 55/20 | still [10] 21/23 | 95/13 98/18 98/21 |
| 55/21 70/3 90/15 | 33/15 42/14 65/14 | 102/7 103/2 103/4 |
| 94/12 109/24 124/8 | 65/18 117/3 119/7 | 103/6 103/7 103/19 |
| stated [10] 8/20 | 128/12 132/22 | 103/21 103/22 |
| 13/19 57/14 70/15 | 144/12 | 104/3 104/10 106/2 |
| 71/16 93/1 96/19 | stipulations [1] | 112/2 119/23 |
| 124/1 128/8 130/17 | 74/14 | studies [1] 124/22 |
| statement [8] 13/19 | stood [1] 35/5 | study [5] 9/2 9/6 |
| 31/4 89/18 91/13 | stop [2] 13/8 30/11 | 9/8 9/22 9/24 |
| 112/18 129/9 130/8 | stopping [1] 73/22 | studying [1] 52/3 |
| 130/21 | storm [1] 43/8 | style [1] 61/3 |
| statements [1] 73/7 | story [1] 143/18 | SUBCOMMITTEE |
| states [3] 10/4 | stratified [1] 43/20 | [4] 1/12 2/9 2/20 |
| 39/18 129/6 | street [5] 1/4 49/1 | 63/13 |
| station [4] 117/7 | 52/21 52/22 136/17 | SUBCOMMITTEE |
| 117/16 117/22 | stress [1] 146/8 | /COUNSEL [1] |
| 117/24 | stressed [1] 146/18 | 2/20 |
| station's [1] 118/4 | stretch [1] 116/2 | SUBCOMMITTEE |
| statistical [1] 68/23 | stretches [1] 107/1 | /SITE [1] 1/12 |
| status [2] 30/18 | strictly [2] 61/5 | subdivided [1] 97/8 |
| 31/10 | 124/19 | subdivision [1] |
| stay [1] 125/11 | strip [1] 133/24 <br> structure [8] 12/19 | 124/22 <br> subject [1] 11/21 |
| stayed [1] 143/9 | structure [8] 12/19 86/16 86/19 105/14 | subject [1] 11/21 <br> submission [2] 4/20 |
| stenographic [1] | 105/23 106/7 | submission [2] 4/20 24/18 |
| 148/5 | 136/19 137/1 | submissions [1] |
| step [1] 106/19 | structures [31] | 12/9 |
|  | 6/16 12/15 12/17 | submit [1] 69/15 |
| steps [1] 146/1 | 49/22 85/20 86/6 | submitted [9] 18/4 |
| Steven [3] 1/24 | 86/17 86/24 87/7 | 24/4 25/9 47/13 |


| S | supply [1] 66/11 | 9/24 10/11 16/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| submitted... [5] | support [3] 7/21 | 16/13 16/16 27/11 |
| 47/14 78/9 82/14 | 11/3 68/6 | 37/24 48/15 60/15 |
| 93/22 119/14 | supports [1] 89/13 | 75/8 129/17 130/21 |
| subsequent [4] | suppose [1] 118/6 | 144/21 147/1 |
| 120/2 121/13 | sure [8] 16/8 24/7 | taken [6] 1/23 36/9 |
| 121/16 130/5 | 68/21 69/9 88/14 | 75/10 94/17 147/4 |
| success [1] 89/8 | 117/24 136/11 | 148/6 |
| such [4] 32/24 43/6 | 137/15 | taking [2] 73/4 |
| 43/12 146/12 | surprise [2] 25/7 | 137/13 |
| suggest [2] 32/22 | 27/18 | talk [4] 121/24 |
| 32/24 | surprised [1] 17/23 | 122/5 130/13 131/2 |
| suggested [1] 74/17 | surrounding [1] | talked [4] 38/21 |
| suggesting [2] 6/8 | 112/23 | 62/17 95/4 120/14 |
| 72/4 | survey [6] 48/16 | talking [2] 91/6 |
| suggests [1] 60/8 | 49/7 49/7 50/12 | 134/14 |
| suits [1] 55/21 | 50/13 50/14 | talks [1] 60/7 |
| summary [6] 3/7 | suspicious [1] | tall [4] 49/23 50/3 |
| 5/3 29/1 29/8 29/15 | 121/9 | 92/1 103/18 |
| 73/19 | swear [6] 75/15 | taller [4] 93/15 |
| summer [1] 127/9 | 76/1 78/17 79/16 | 93/15 112/23 |
| Suncook [1] 7/23 | 80/8 81/13 | 137/19 |
| supplement [1] | switch [1] 69/20 | taught [1] 57/23 |
| 79/4 | sworn [7] 76/5 76/7 | tax [12] 3/13 10/11 |
| supplemental [16] | 76/8 76/9 76/10 | 11/17 12/4 12/9 |
| 4/20 7/1 8/20 11/4 | 76/11 76/12 | 13/15 33/20 35/2 |
| 12/10 42/3 42/6 | system [1] 83/12 | 60/15 69/24 70/14 |
| 78/3 78/16 79/24 | T | 123/24 |
| 81/5 81/17 104/14 | T.J [1] 52/8 | taxable [1] 34/6 |
| 104/20 111/1 | T.J [1] 52/8 | taxes [1] 34/16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 125 / 23 \\ & \text { supplied [1] } 82 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | 76/18 77/16 82/3 | taxpayer [1] 35/4 <br> Team [2] 26/17 |


| $\mathbf{T}$ | testimonies [1] 81/9 | 117/10 117/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team... [1] 27/11 | testimony [94] 4/21 | 125/23 125/23 |
| tech [3] 19/18 38/9 | 7/1 8/20 11/4 11/5 | 128/9 129/1 134/10 |
| 40/23 | 11/24 12/10 12/23 | 135/5 142/1 142/24 |
| technical [7] 82/11 | 13/7 14/1 14/5 | than [15] 53/19 |
| 111/15 119/2 119/7 | 16/12 22/13 37/17 | 67/1 72/11 86/9 |
| 129/24 130/6 | 41/14 42/4 42/7 | 88/22 93/10 95/12 |
| 144/22 | 45/5 47/14 50/11 | 99/6 112/23 113/15 |
| tell [7] 13/4 13/10 | 61/13 64/13 68/3 | 116/16 119/6 120/5 |
| 67/20 119/22 126/8 | 71/12 72/1 72/6 | 120/18 133/18 |
| 131/17 131/18 | 77/12 77/16 77/19 | thank [71] 5/16 6/7 |
| telling [1] 76/20 | 77/21 77/22 78/2 | 8/13 8/16 11/12 |
| ten [3] 3/13 44/18 | 78/4 78/6 78/13 | 12/4 12/8 14/17 |
| 75/8 | 78/16 78/16 78/18 | 17/16 19/13 28/11 |
| ten-minute [1] 75/8 | 79/4 79/4 79/7 | 29/13 46/19 47/1 |
| tension [2] 10/1 | 79/10 79/16 79/20 | 55/23 58/16 62/9 |
| 10/8 | 79/23 79/24 80/5 | 63/10 65/11 67/22 |
| term [1] 130/12 | 80/8 80/9 80/13 | 69/23 74/16 75/1 |
| terms [5] 11/3 16/7 | 80/21 81/1 81/4 | 75/3 75/4 75/6 |
| 21/18 70/17 73/4 | 81/5 81/13 81/17 | 80/18 83/3 84/14 |
| Terrain [2] 41/21 | 82/1 82/5 82/24 | 90/15 91/9 94/3 |
| 87/15 | 88/24 89/7 90/16 | 96/22 98/1 98/14 |
| terrible [1] 146/19 | 93/2 94/12 100/19 | 100/19 102/14 |
| terrorism [5] | 101/1 101/9 101/13 | 104/4 104/22 |
| 110/15 111/14 | 102/15 104/5 | 106/17 106/18 |
| 111/17 111/17 | 104/14 104/21 | 107/15 108/13 |
| 112/5 | 105/12 106/1 | 109/20 112/16 |
| testified [5] 35/8 | 109/20 110/13 | 113/17 114/8 |
| 37/1 37/17 89/2 | 111/1 112/17 | 118/15 119/10 |
| 91/9 | 112/17 115/1 | 120/6 120/19 |
| testifying [2] 24/14 | 115/11 115/22 | 121/19 122/7 |
| $26 / 13$ | 116/1 116/24 | 122/23 123/2 |


| $\mathbf{T}$ | 108/22 108/23 | 116/21 119/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thank... [16] 125/21 | 113/15 114/3 | 122/4 127/7 127/20 |
| 127/18 131/4 131/6 | 114/14 115/5 116/8 | 134/19 137/7 |
| 134/23 136/9 138/6 | 120/9 120/14 | 137/17 137/20 |
| 140/9 140/12 | 121/15 124/10 | 137/21 138/4 |
| 140/23 141/19 | 128/12 128/14 | 139/15 145/15 |
| 142/17 146/3 146/7 | 129/4 130/20 131/1 | themselves [2] |
| 146/21 146/23 | 131/20 131/24 | 60/21 137/14 |
| thanks [1] 141/14 | 132/7 132/8 132/19 | then [26] 32/7 |
| that [679] | 132/21 133/2 | 48/20 60/10 61/15 |
| that's [90] 5/6 5/14 | 135/13 137/22 | 63/2 63/8 67/20 |
| 13/8 13/11 15/10 | 140/9 | 70/5 73/20 77/16 |
| 21/24 23/24 28/19 | their [31] 6/1 7/21 | 87/6 89/17 89/21 |
| 31/18 34/11 37/7 | 21/5 33/13 44/15 | 92/14 98/10 99/23 |
| 37/14 45/8 45/16 | 47/15 50/7 50/8 | 101/20 106/10 |
| 50/5 52/5 52/22 | 50/9 51/19 51/19 | 108/5 108/14 114/9 |
| 53/10 55/23 61/7 | 52/18 52/22 53/1 | 133/15 135/5 140/5 |
| 63/12 67/14 70/19 | 53/4 53/4 53/18 | 142/21 143/14 |
| 71/11 74/19 74/22 | 53/23 57/9 66/6 | there [103] 6/18 |
| 74/24 79/17 80/2 | 77/16 80/21 96/6 | 15/3 16/1 19/5 23/7 |
| 80/20 84/22 84/22 | 101/8 102/12 125/7 | 25/8 28/3 32/20 |
| 85/12 85/13 86/5 | 135/21 136/18 | 35/17 35/24 36/9 |
| 86/10 86/21 87/10 | 137/15 139/18 | 36/22 37/12 40/3 |
| 89/5 89/11 91/24 | 145/15 | 43/13 43/14 43/21 |
| 92/10 92/22 92/24 | them [34] 20/9 | 45/11 49/9 50/3 |
| 94/7 94/15 94/21 | 28/16 36/18 38/2 | 50/23 54/16 56/9 |
| 95/7 95/7 97/9 | 46/17 47/10 47/11 | 56/21 56/21 58/9 |
| 97/18 99/20 99/20 | 52/20 53/2 54/6 | 58/10 59/3 59/12 |
| 100/2 100/3 101/22 | 54/17 60/3 62/22 | 60/5 61/19 62/20 |
| 103/5 104/1 104/15 | 75/16 77/15 90/24 | 62/20 63/6 63/24 |
| 104/18 105/9 106/4 | 92/15 93/6 111/10 | 64/6 64/12 64/13 |
| 107/17 108/11 | 111/11 111/11 | 65/2 65/23 66/10 |


| $\mathbf{T}$ | 112/16 114/17 | 89/22 90/2 90/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| there... [62] 67/8 | 118/24 122/2 127/8 | 90/7 90/18 91/2 |
| 69/2 70/8 70/10 | 127/10 128/21 | 91/4 91/6 92/13 |
| 71/16 72/16 74/7 | 134/7 135/19 139/2 | 92/14 92/17 93/24 |
| 79/2 79/17 86/5 | 141/5 | 94/6 96/13 96/16 |
| 86/23 90/8 93/12 | thereafter [1] 75/18 | 96/17 103/13 106/5 |
| 95/8 95/16 95/20 | these [23] 6/19 12/5 | 115/16 118/10 |
| 96/1 96/21 100/15 | 14/21 25/10 27/1 | 119/16 119/16 |
| 102/7 102/19 | 30/14 31/6 48/15 | 119/18 119/22 |
| 102/19 102/20 | 49/22 51/12 63/7 | 119/22 119/23 |
| 103/2 103/7 103/11 | 73/5 83/17 93/20 | 119/24 120/12 |
| 104/16 104/22 | 102/8 103/5 103/10 | 121/5 121/7 121/11 |
| 110/17 113/10 | 109/12 113/3 113/7 | 134/2 134/20 135/9 |
| 114/19 116/9 | 121/22 142/10 | 135/15 135/18 |
| 117/14 118/7 118/9 | 148/6 | 136/19 137/2 137/9 |
| 119/7 120/11 | they [104] 5/21 | 137/10 137/12 |
| 121/24 125/7 125/9 | 5/22 6/4 6/6 6/15 | 137/17 137/20 |
| 126/18 127/5 | 6/22 7/20 12/7 18/8 | 138/4 138/4 138/5 |
| 127/11 128/9 129/9 | 20/19 20/19 20/20 | 139/10 139/13 |
| 132/3 132/3 132/21 | 20/23 21/3 22/23 | 139/16 139/17 |
| 133/5 133/10 | 26/15 27/20 28/8 | 140/20 141/22 |
| 133/23 134/8 | 33/4 40/1 44/12 | 143/12 |
| 134/22 136/5 | 44/14 45/9 45/16 | they're [26] 20/22 |
| 137/18 139/7 | 48/14 49/13 50/6 | 37/5 49/3 50/3 50/4 |
| 139/21 141/10 | 51/22 52/20 53/22 | 51/17 56/14 56/15 |
| 144/20 145/12 | 53/24 54/2 56/17 | 56/19 56/23 59/15 |
| 145/12 146/5 | 56/17 59/19 61/1 | 65/5 65/6 66/5 73/8 |
| there's [23] 6/22 | 62/24 63/1 65/18 | 73/9 83/8 93/13 |
| 8/8 22/5 25/15 | 66/20 66/22 66/22 | 103/13 103/14 |
| 50/24 57/20 65/2 | 67/1 67/1 73/7 | 114/23 137/3 137/8 |
| 65/23 86/16 95/20 | 77/14 83/22 89/17 | 137/13 137/18 |
| 108/9 109/15 | 89/18 89/19 89/19 | 140/21 |


| T | 146/23 | 113/10 118/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| thing [4] 57/22 | thinking [1] 126/9 | 118/22 121/2 |
| 126/19 138/13 | third [1] 110/12 | 121/13 121/14 |
| 143/19 | this [194] | 121/16 129/17 |
| things [15] 13/6 | Thomas [1] 3/4 | 133/24 134/2 |
| 33/6 41/24 45/22 | thoroughness [1] | 144/22 145/19 |
| 48/2 50/10 57/16 | 141/17 | 146/2 |
| 58/10 63/19 66/2 | those [85] 20/17 | though [6] 32/20 |
| 66/6 66/8 71/4 | 21/2 21/14 21/15 | 61/23 68/12 68/14 |
| 102/19 144/18 | 22/21 23/2 23/23 | 116/15 146/10 |
| think [57] 4/22 6/9 | 24/1 24/20 24/22 | thought [10] 33/4 |
| 6/22 13/23 13/24 | 25/21 35/5 35/17 | 52/5 55/8 56/8 |
| 14/3 15/23 16/24 | 36/3 36/7 36/15 | 56/11 59/13 94/4 |
| 17/10 18/7 43/11 | 36/17 37/21 38/1 | 96/17 126/24 133/6 |
| 47/11 47/20 49/14 | 40/20 40/20 43/10 | thoughtfulness [1] |
| 49/20 51/5 52/6 | 44/7 45/9 46/18 | 141/17 |
| 52/10 52/12 54/8 | 48/1 50/5 53/24 | thousand [2] 15/16 |
| 55/21 56/4 56/5 | 54/4 60/9 61/2 | 15/17 |
| 60/3 60/14 63/12 | 61/16 62/6 65/1 | threat [1] 16/4 |
| 66/12 68/17 71/24 | 65/4 65/9 66/1 66/7 | threats [2] 8/10 |
| 75/3 80/15 83/23 | 67/8 67/11 69/4 | 8/10 |
| 93/6 96/18 96/20 | 69/5 72/24 78/22 | three [14] 56/11 |
| 96/23 104/1 105/4 | 78/23 79/3 81/9 | 56/13 65/2 65/2 |
| 105/20 113/17 | 82/14 82/20 86/13 | 72/22 86/17 86/20 |
| 114/15 115/16 | 86/17 86/18 86/19 | 97/22 97/24 111/18 |
| 116/11 116/16 | 86/20 86/24 88/1 | 119/21 120/10 |
| 118/10 120/1 | 88/5 88/9 95/22 | 135/19 143/18 |
| 122/18 129/11 | 96/11 97/22 98/23 | three-story [1] |
| 137/11 138/12 | 101/6 102/2 102/8 | 143/18 |
| 138/12 138/14 | 103/4 103/18 104/1 | through [36] 16/13 |
| 138/17 141/1 | 104/24 111/9 | 20/5 21/5 22/12 |
| 145/16 146/18 | 111/20 112/13 | 24/12 37/23 42/24 |

through... [29] 49/10 49/11 50/10 50/13 50/14 51/8 52/15 55/5 56/10 58/6 60/14 60/20 61/1 61/4 68/22 69/10 69/17 69/17 74/12 90/2 104/24
113/5 118/12
127/11 132/10
134/15 134/20
142/4 142/15
tied [2] 71/6 110/21 till [1] 59/18 tilted [1] 146/12 time [25] 26/20 28/3 31/13 34/19 42/7 43/11 49/14 62/5 66/7 66/7 72/1 89/4 89/23 93/15 100/18 121/14 121/23 125/4 141/9 141/14 143/1 143/5 143/9 146/17 148/9 times [1] 57/14 today [19] 26/13 42/13 43/23 78/18 78/23 79/13 79/17 80/5 81/9 81/22 81/22 82/21 92/11 115/19 122/20

## 123/21 128/13 129/15 141/6

 together [1] 103/14 told [6] 25/7 66/2295/8 95/19 96/9 127/2
tons [1] 126/18 too [1] 46/13
took [5] 27/10 60/21 62/24 91/4 120/10
top [9] 3/13 48/13
74/6 74/8 107/10 114/1 114/11 114/16 132/4
topic [4] 6/24 35/6 39/4 45/2
topics [3] 18/23 28/12 35/7
tops [1] 127/7
totally [1] 38/20 touch [1] 63/8
touching [1] 43/4
toward [2] 95/9
138/15
towards [4] 60/6 101/17 116/3 118/2 tower [11] 6/20 47/22 69/6 94/7 104/17 104/21 105/14 105/19
111/6 111/7 136/21
towers [40] 6/13 6/19 6/19 51/18 61/4 85/8 86/11 86/12 91/14 92/6 93/3 93/8 93/8 93/9 93/15 95/9 96/21 101/3 101/21 102/2 102/8 102/9 102/12 104/23 111/3 112/23 113/4 114/21 116/13 116/14 120/15 126/18 127/6 127/10 134/22 137/19 142/4 142/10 142/14 146/13
town [91] 3/9 3/11 5/14 5/16 5/23 8/6 22/8 24/5 24/14 25/11 26/18 28/2 28/17 28/22 29/2 29/7 29/10 29/17 29/21 29/22 30/19 31/6 32/3 32/4 32/21 32/23 34/9 34/24 35/4 35/24 36/2 36/8 37/18 37/19 38/22 39/22 39/23 40/14 42/20 44/2 48/9 48/10 48/13 48/23 49/3

| T | 117/16 117/21 | trying [9] 8/6 13/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| town... [46] 49/6 | 117/24 118/4 <br> transmission [11] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13/10 51/12 55/18 } \\ & \mathbf{6 0 / 4} \mathbf{6 0 / 1 1} 111 / 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| 49/10 49/12 49/18 | transmission [11] $1 / 86 / 746 / 1349 / 9$ | 60/4 60/11 111/11 142/8 |
| 50/1 50/1 50/8 50/9 | $1 / 86 / 746 / 13 ~ 49 / 9$ $49 / 1049 / 13 ~ 60 / 13$ | turn [5] 20/2 69/9 |
| 50/17 51/2 51/16 | 49/10 49/13 60/13 $85 / 1687 / 1987 / 23$ | 74/6 125/18 132/1 |
| 52/16 53/13 53/20 | 140/6 | turns [1] 43/17 |
| 56/11 56/12 59/11 | Transportation [3] | two [13] 29/5 32/9 |
| 59/15 60/14 60/21 | 1/16 46/4 47/8 | 78/22 81/9 100/6 |
| 61/7 63/3 66/11 | traveling [1] 93/7 | 105/7 111/20 114/9 |
| 66/14 66/21 68/2 | treatment [1] 66/10 | 120/9 123/9 123/10 |
| 68/6 68/19 70/1 | tree [2] 92/5 95/16 | 123/19 135/19 |
| 70/8 70/17 71/18 | treeline [3] 93/11 | type [3] 49/16 |
| 73/19 73/20 73/21 | 137/17 140/1 | 53/10 66/12 |
| 73/24 74/17 90/16 | trees [14] 6/6 50/3 | typical [1] 92/5 |
| 91/7 123/21 135/9 | 91/22 91/22 92/2 | typically [1] 123/22 |
| 135/23 136/4 | 92/4 92/7 93/9 | U |
| town's [7] 17/2 | 95/22 96/21 112/24 | U.S [3] 46/4 46/7 |
| 30/11 40/9 40/10 | $\begin{aligned} & 127 / 9139 / 23 \\ & 130 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | 111/21 |
| 40/12 44/14 54/24 | tremendous [1] | Uh [5] 50/21 53/21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { towns [2] 47/13 } \\ & 57 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | 125/3 | 98/3 136/14 136/23 <br> Uh-huh [5] 50/21 |
| townwide [2] 61/1 68/23 | trends [1] 7/13 <br> Trial [1] 77/22 <br> triangles [2] 64/21 | 53/21 98/3 136/14 136/23 |
| 126/19 <br> transaction [1] | $\begin{aligned} & 65 / 8 \\ & \text { tried [1] } 137 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { unanswered [1] } \\ \hline 62 / 22 \end{array}$ |
| 133/16 | true [4] 8/23 68/15 $88 / 13148 / 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { uncertain [1] } 145 / 5 \\ & \text { unclear [2] } 13 / 24 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|cc\|} \hline \text { transcript [3] } & 124 / 3 \\ 147 / 8 & 148 / 5 \\ \text { transfer [1] } & 113 / 11 \\ \text { transition [5] } & 117 / 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 / 13148 / 5 \\ & \text { truth [1] 126/23 } \\ & \text { try [3] 84/1 122/5 } \\ & 147 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 14/5 } \\ \text { uncontaminate [1] } \\ 57 / 20 \\ \text { under [3] } \\ 116 / 10 \end{array}$ |

under... [2] 147/7
148/8
underline [1] 74/9 underlined [1] 74/7 underneath [1] 110/5
understand [26] 4/5 14/4 14/9 14/14 20/6 45/8 51/13 60/11 64/15 65/8 82/13 85/14 85/15 86/2 87/6 88/1
91/15 94/8 101/16 102/14 105/12 107/8 112/20 113/6 128/23 129/2 understanding [22] 29/23 31/11 32/8
33/5 33/11 47/17
63/6 67/13 67/14
77/10 88/5 88/15
95/11 95/15 95/24
100/10 109/7
109/11 113/3
113/15 114/20
128/11
Understood [4]
14/10 14/16 22/7
72/13
undertaken [1] 100/15
undertaking [1] 141/16
unknown [1] 90/6 unless [1] 72/16 unnecessary [1] 14/9
unsettling [1] $117 / 5$ updates [1] 68/23 unstable [1] 23/24
unsuccessful [2] 89/6 96/15
until [1] 26/12
up [58] 4/23 7/6
10/18 11/17 15/11
16/7 16/16 19/21
24/9 24/9 25/13
27/5 27/10 27/22
28/13 28/24 29/13
30/2 33/20 34/21
39/5 39/11 40/6
48/14 52/6 54/19
58/23 63/20 64/1
73/18 73/20 73/23
75/9 76/18 77/16
84/4 84/7 87/10
88/2 92/17 101/6
102/1 105/18
105/21 111/11
113/18 123/19
126/12 126/20
128/17 131/14
131/16 136/11
138/10 139/1

139/10 141/7 142/13
update [3] 59/8 142/24 143/20
updated [4] 3/5 7/3 19/24 80/17
updating [1] 80/14
upon [6] 26/3 51/1
60/21 82/5 82/9
83/1
upper [1] 98/1
uppermost [1] 84/2 upstairs [2] 127/13 143/17
us [18] 7/16 12/4
14/13 16/16 36/10
67/17 76/20 82/10
113/1 125/11 126/8
130/5 133/24
139/22 139/23
144/13 144/20
145/16
use [14] 16/20
16/22 22/8 23/14
23/19 27/15 35/23
36/13 38/5 72/8
73/9 85/1 124/24 130/12
used [9] 5/10 22/9
22/17 23/8 24/23
25/11 57/11 88/6

| $\mathbf{U}$ | VAN [53] 2/14 7/7 | 51/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| used... [1] 88/11 | 59/5 76/3 76/9 77/2 | vegetative [3] 6/8 |
| uses [4] 5/4 5/7 | 77/2 79/6 79/11 | 6/10 6/14 |
| 5/10 49/15 | 79/15 79/18 79/2 | vehicles [11] 24/1 |
| USGS [1] 57/11 | 82/24 83/3 113/17 | 24/24 36/13 36/14 |
| using [8] 23/20 24/1 | 113/23 114/1 | 73/2 88/10 88/17 |
| 24/5 24/13 24/17 | 114/10 114/14 | 100/11 100/11 |
| 27/17 27/20 100/12 | 114/19 114/23 | 109/9 139/11 |
| utilities [4] 1/13 | 115/5 115/10 | verbal [3] 123/5 |
| 1/14 54/20 54/22 | 115/16 115/21 | 127/21 127/24 |
| V | 116/4 116/8 116/11 | VERDILE [54] 2/4 |
| vague [2] 28/7 33/6 | 117/18 117/23 | 16/10 17/3 20/12 |
| Valley [2] 77/3 | 118/6 119/10 | 20/19 21/8 21/10 |
| 114/12 | 119/11 120/4 | 21/17 21/20 22/3 |
| valuable [1] 59/14 | 123/21 124/15 | 22/5 22/11 25/6 |
| valuation [1] 34/19 | 125/2 129/13 | 25/12 26/1 26/7 |
| value [24] 8/5 12/14 | 131/14 131/24 | 26/14 28/16 28/17 |
| 12/20 61/5 73/6 | 132/2 132/7 132/11 | 28/19 28/23 29/11 |
| 89/2 90/19 96/7 | 132/17 133/2 133/4 | 29/19 39/8 47/11 |
| 104/11 115/2 | 133/20 134/7 | 47/24 48/4 48/6 |
| 123/22 123/23 | 134/13 134/17 | 48/12 50/18 50/21 |
| 124/5 124/6 124/9 | vandal [1] 111/17 | 51/19 53/8 53/12 |
| 124/14 124/16 | vandalism [4] | 53/15 53/22 56/20 |
| 124/23 125/3 125/8 | 110/14 145/1 145/2 | 58/13 64/14 64/19 |
| 126/4 134/11 135/8 | 145/7 | 65/9 65/12 65/16 |
| 135/22 | vandals [1] 145/8 | 65/18 65/21 65/22 |
| valued [2] 5/13 | various [4] 31/3 | 67/15 73/1 73/12 |
| 5/14 | 62/21 83/22 116/1 | 74/22 |
| values [7] 10/2 35/5 | Varney [2] 16/17 | very [37] 5/3 7/9 |
| 61/1 62/7 69/19 | 16/23 | 36/10 36/21 43/13 |
| 91/1 142/2 | Varney's [2] 16/24 | 43/18 47/9 49/4 |


| V | 40/11 | 127/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| very... [29] 49/6 | viewshed [1] 103/3 | Walkley [5] |
| 52/11 53/19 55/23 | VII [2] 3/17 40/7 | /128/4 |
| 57/7 57/11 57/18 | violate [1] 48/3 |  |
| 8/1 58/3 65/19 | visibility [4] 12/16 | want [23] |
| 66/4 66/10 66/10 | 12/16 12/18 13/16 | 19/21 48/10 48/ |
| $182 / 23$ 96/22 | visible [1] 102/20 | 7 48/1 |
| 100/15 102/18 | vision [1] 48/8 | /2 51/4 5 |
| 103/14 108/8 | visioning [1] 48/15 | /23 75/16 |
| 108/13 112/14 | visit [2] 119/18 | 1/11 122/3 |
| 120/19 132/3 | 129/22 | /22 12 |
| 133/23 139/2 | visits [2] 129/16 | 127/3 131/18 |
| 141/17 141/17 | 129/17 | 10 141/2 |
| 144/18 | vistas [1] 40/11 | wanted [23] 13/18 |
| VI [14] 22/21 23/2 | visual [10] 6/11 | 14/12 17/24 18/22 |
| 23/5 23/8 23/19 | 8/21 52/1 52/1 52/7 | 32/22 33/7 45/2 |
| 23/21 24/1 27/18 | 52/9 118/8 126/1 | 71/9 72/17 72/21 |
| 27/21 35/11 35/13 | 126/2 139/22 | 73/23 101/5 112/1 |
| 15 39/10 39/ | vital [1] 66/13 | 121/11 122/2 |
| [ [1] 30/8 | volatile [1] 57/12 | 126/13 126/15 |
| vicinity [3] 56/15 | voltage [2] 10/1 | /1 |
| 1/3 107/4 |  | 140/3 |
| w [10] 69/8 | volume [1] 145/15 | wanting [1] 122/1 |
| 91/14 91/23 92/1 | volunteer [1] 8/14 | wants [2] 48/9 |
| 102/17 126/7 | W |  |
| $4127 / 13$ | Wagner [7] |  |
| 4 146/14 | 91/12 91/18 92/7 |  |
| views [7] 91/12 | 96/12 12 | 90/1 107/6 124/19 |
| 100/20 104/6 104/11 127/2 127/4 | wait [1] 59/17 | 139/17 139/21 |
| 127/12 <br> viewscapes [1] | waiting [1] 31/16 walk [1] 134/19 walked [2] 103/11 | watch [1] 93/7 watched [1] 42/20 |


| $\mathbf{W}$ | 134/15 134/24 | 15/4 15/6 15/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| water [18] 8/10 | 136/19 136/21 | /12 24/8 24/11 |
| 15/13 15/22 41/15 | 137/19 138/22 | 25/16 29/13 45/7 |
| 41/20 42/19 42/21 | 139/8 142/15 | 54/15 57/24 66/18 |
| 42/22 43/2 43/8 | 146/11 | 66/24 68/22 69/2 |
| 43/23 53/23 57/9 | ways [1] 25/1 | 71/11 71/24 82/20 |
| 58/4 58/14 64/23 | we [152] | 85/14 88/9 92/6 |
| 66/9 66/11 | we'd [2] 110/23 | 102/3 115/11 |
| way [73] 1/15 2/11 | 119/6 | 117/14 123/22 |
| 2/21 9/11 9/18 | we'll [8] 14/23 | 125/2 125/22 |
| 10/13 12/12 16/6 | 76/16 82/23 104/22 | 129/14 134/17 |
| 16/20 16/21 17/11 | 142/21 144/6 147/1 | 135/18 |
| 22/9 22/18 23/1 | 147/2 | well-attended [ |
| 24/23 27/16 36/20 | we're [12] 51/21 | 7/21 |
| 37/12 38/12 43/4 | 60/4 69/16 75/3 | wellbeing [1] 58/3 |
| 43/24 52/21 56/9 | 75/14 75/17 94/10 | wellhead [10] 15/15 |
| 58/6 58/11 61/11 | 125/7 130/24 142/5 | 15/19 16/2 42/24 |
| 62/11 67/2 67/6 | 144/7 144/14 | 56/10 56/22 58/7 |
| 70/20 78/23 84/3 | we've [11] 7/9 18/6 | 63/23 64/24 65/15 |
| 85/4 85/6 86/4 | 24/9 29/5 36/18 | wells [19] 16/1 16/5 |
| 87/11 99/3 99/5 | 39/10 40/20 40/20 | 56/11 56/12 56/22 |
| 99/6 100/5 101/18 | 69/12 81/23 115/24 | 58/2 64/14 64/17 |
| 102/4 107/18 | Weathersby [7] | 64/21 64/23 65/1 |
| 107/19 107/21 | 1/17 2/11 2/22 | 65/4 65/24 66/1 |
| 107/24 108/6 109/3 | 58/17 58/22 136/10 | 66/6 66/8 66/21 |
| 109/21 110/5 | 138/13 | 67/5 67/11 |
| 110/11 112/5 | weeks [1] 143/7 | went [6] 6/4 22/12 |
| 112/14 113/4 113/6 | weight [1] 37/20 | 51/8 91/1 125/24 |
| 113/18 115/15 | weird [1] 126/24 | 26/18 |
| 116/16 116/23 | welcome [1] 14/18 | were [117] 5/21 |
| 118/4 122/2 132/10 | welfare [1] 48/1 | 5/22 6/1 6/2 6/3 |
| 132/16 132/21 | well [32] 6/12 7/21 | 7/20 11/23 12/10 |


| W | 121/5 121/6 126/6 | 71/12 72/23 74/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| were... [109] 13/6 | 126/7 126/8 126/9 | 77/12 81/6 83/21 |
| 14/4 14/9 15/2 | 126/10 126/11 | 88/5 90/6 90/7 93/3 |
| 17/20 17/24 18/8 | 126/13 127/5 128/9 | 93/24 95/7 95/8 |
| 20/1 20/5 20/8 | 129/11 129/18 | 97/9 101/2 101/21 |
| 20/20 21/3 21/14 | 129/21 129/23 | 102/17 106/12 |
| 22/8 24/14 24/16 | 130/18 134/1 | 109/14 113/5 |
| 24/20 24/21 24/22 | 134/18 136/16 | 118/18 119/18 |
| 24/24 24/24 26/15 | 137/8 139/13 | 120/16 121/2 |
| 26/22 27/1 27/5 | 139/20 139/23 | 121/12 124/10 |
| 27/20 28/8 32/20 | 141/6 143/2 143/6 | 124/12 125/6 126/8 |
| 35/11 35/18 35/24 | weren't [2] 137/12 | 137/5 137/8 137/15 |
| 42/7 44/7 49/14 | 139/18 | 139/19 140/5 145/5 |
| 54/16 56/9 61/23 | wetland [3] 8/9 | 145/16 145/22 |
| 62/20 62/20 62/21 | 133/10 134/5 | 146/1 |
| 63/1 64/12 64/21 | Wetlands [2] 41/19 | what's [14] 14/5 |
| 65/9 66/6 66/20 | 44/5 | 54/6 56/12 57/8 |
| 66/22 67/1 67/2 | what [84] 5/23 6/14 | 66/18 81/21 83/1 |
| 71/4 73/7 76/5 | 11/7 11/8 13/4 13/8 | 87/10 88/16 95/20 |
| 78/21 79/12 80/3 | 13/10 14/4 15/5 | 95/21 102/6 137/18 |
| 80/4 81/8 82/10 | 15/24 17/24 20/5 | 145/15 |
| 89/6 90/5 91/3 | 21/18 34/11 35/13 | when [59] 5/21 6/1 |
| 93/15 93/21 94/3 | 38/2 42/17 45/16 | 9/13 11/17 12/10 |
| 96/17 96/21 103/12 | 46/11 48/9 48/10 | 15/2 16/17 17/20 |
| 108/17 111/20 | 48/13 49/15 49/16 | 24/14 26/8 28/9 |
| 112/19 112/21 | 50/6 50/16 51/1 | 33/2 35/16 42/6 |
| 113/8 113/11 | 51/1 51/3 51/4 51/9 | 43/18 48/14 48/24 |
| 116/11 117/11 | 54/5 54/12 61/22 | 49/20 49/24 51/5 |
| 117/11 117/19 | 62/3 64/14 64/16 | 52/4 52/24 54/15 |
| 117/24 118/10 | 64/16 65/1 65/8 | 57/15 59/9 59/15 |
| 119/4 119/16 | 67/17 68/9 68/18 | 60/18 66/21 66/24 |
| 119/18 119/23 | 69/6 69/7 71/5 | 69/1 71/16 72/22 |

when... [27] 80/18
84/10 89/19 92/13
92/14 93/7 94/24
96/12 96/19 103/14
110/2 120/14
123/24 126/5 126/9
126/10 126/11
126/24 127/8 134/9
134/20 135/7
135/23 136/16
139/9 142/6 142/7 whenever [1] 93/7 where [33] 31/22
38/17 42/22 48/16 48/17 48/18 50/6 50/24 54/21 58/10 65/4 65/5 65/6 66/21 85/7 87/4 92/20 97/17 97/18 102/8 104/16 108/11 108/11
109/2 113/1 115/19
119/22 120/13
131/17 136/5 136/6 139/10 140/20
Whereupon [1]
76/2
whether [20] 12/5
16/19 20/22 20/23
21/1 25/23 28/8 29/21 38/10 42/8

51/13 53/13 82/17 93/4 95/16 96/1 107/6 117/16 126/6 135/14
which [50] 5/8 6/6 6/17 7/22 7/23 8/10 19/22 21/2 22/8
22/14 23/8 29/1 32/9 43/1 49/18
50/1 50/8 52/2
63/24 69/8 73/8
73/21 74/1 78/4
79/7 84/18 89/1
91/22 94/8 97/5
97/7 101/1 101/9
102/7 103/12
103/12 103/22
113/12 114/6
116/17 117/7 118/2
119/12 121/8
125/10 132/3
132/13 143/19
145/4 146/17
while [2] 49/8 68/21
Whitefield [10]
76/23 77/1 77/4
77/7 77/9 84/15
95/9 104/16 127/17 129/1
Whites [1] 129/15
Whitley [12] 2/7

17/22 18/1 20/9
21/13 21/16 22/15 63/14 67/16 71/6 72/3 122/10
Whitley's [1] 31/24 who [18] 7/19 19/6
26/1 33/2 38/20
38/21 46/22 54/9
57/10 96/5 116/18
118/9 118/9 127/2
129/15 133/14
140/18 141/10
who's [1] 125/15
whole [13] 55/2
55/17 55/20 59/12
59/19 118/15 124/9
127/9 135/23 136/4
138/24 143/9 145/2
whom [3] 113/2
113/9 113/9
whoops [1] 76/21
whose [1] 28/8
why [7] 21/9 37/7
65/20 75/7 106/5
125/16 145/10
wide [1] 140/1
wife [4] 143/9
143/11 144/5
146/18
wildlife [2] 125/5 133/10
will [42] 8/21 12/19

| W | 136/21 138/21 | 137/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| will... [40] 19/11 | without [4] 20/24 | worth [1] 116/13 |
| 22/16 22/17 23/1 | 64/19 95/21 145/14 | would [137] 6/17 |
| 25/17 27/8 37/24 | witness [11] 2/4 | 8/17 13/12 15/13 |
| 61/15 61/19 62/3 | 2/13 4/7 13/5 30/24 | 16/21 21/6 21/15 |
| 69/7 69/7 69/8 | 44/19 46/22 50/18 | 21/18 22/1 23/18 |
| 69/16 74/14 80/14 | 70/22 71/7 75/15 | 23/22 24/21 25/7 |
| 80/18 83/24 86/8 | witnesses [2] 116/1 | 25/10 25/12 25/13 |
| 87/8 88/6 88/11 | 123/14 | 28/4 29/22 31/24 |
| 88/17 93/4 93/4 | won't [4] 27/10 | 32/5 32/7 32/20 |
| 95/12 95/16 96/1 | 43/14 43/15 85/1 | 32/23 33/17 34/7 |
| 100/11 102/19 | wondered [1] 15/5 | 34/10 34/18 35/2 |
| 102/20 104/11 | wondering [2] 5/5 | 35/3 36/2 37/6 |
| 111/24 112/4 112/8 | 137/4 | 37/13 37/22 39/2 |
| 114/21 117/14 | wooden [4] 50/4 | 39/2 39/21 40/12 |
| 134/21 137/20 | 102/7 103/6 103/7 | 43/22 45/20 46/3 |
| 138/23 | woodland [1] 134/4 | 46/17 46/17 48/3 |
| William [1] 1/16 | woodlot [1] 125/10 | 48/21 49/20 49/21 |
| willing [9] 32/2 | word [1] 84/21 | 52/6 52/7 52/23 |
| 32/6 33/18 36/2 | work [5] 6/16 10/21 | 53/13 53/15 53/16 |
| 38/10 119/5 129/7 | 17/22 47/7 84/2 | 53/18 53/24 54/5 |
| 130/12 130/24 | worked [1] 53/17 | 54/11 54/18 54/19 |
| windows [2] 127/14 | Workers [1] 17/16 | 54/22 55/15 58/13 |
| 142/7 | working [5] 7/9 | 69/3 69/5 69/14 |
| wintertime [1] | 33/15 38/16 43/11 | 70/16 73/6 74/12 |
| 127/8 | 144/4 | 74/19 74/21 76/1 |
| wish [1] 82/13 | Works [3] 15/13 | 76/19 78/22 79/1 |
| within [14] 9/18 | 58/15 64/23 | 79/13 80/5 80/7 |
| 16/2 16/20 43/3 | worried [2] 57/6 | 80/16 81/10 82/4 |
| 56/22 58/11 64/24 | 134/10 | 88/20 89/20 89/21 |
| 65/12 65/24 87/22 | worse [4] 37/8 | 89/24 90/3 90/7 |
| 95/16 111/18 | 88/22 115/17 | 90/7 91/14 92/6 |


| W | wrong [2] 27/13 | 50/2 51/19 53/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| would... [49] 93/3 | 105/5 | 56/20 56/23 58/8 |
| 93/6 93/12 95/8 | Y | 59/5 59/24 61/18 |
| 95/9 96/13 96/20 | yard [1] 101/17 | 64/8 |
| 100/14 100/15 | year [13] 3/13 | 66/20 67/8 |
| 105/5 110/1 110/24 | 32/17 34/6 34/14 | 71/5 73/11 73/12 |
| 111/5 112/7 112/11 | 35/2 44/14 59/5 | 73/13 74/22 78/19 |
| 113/10 116/11 | 93/22 115/12 | 78/24 79/18 80/7 |
| 116/16 116/17 | 116/24 143/1 143/6 | /23 81 |
| 116/18 117/19 | 143/23 | 81/11 81/12 81/15 |
| 118/2 118/3 118/12 | year's [2] 69/24 | 82/12 84/13 85/3 |
| 118/13 119/5 | 70/14 | 85/9 85/18 85/18 |
| 119/16 119/24 | years [8] 36/9 37/2 | 85/21 85/23 85/24 |
| 129/21 130/2 130/9 | 53/17 111/18 | 86/7 86/18 87/1 |
| 131/16 133/7 | 112/19 120/10 | 87/5 87/9 87/12 |
| 134/19 139/1 | 125/17 126/17 | 87/17 87/20 87/24 |
| 139/11 139/16 | yellow [5] 97/18 | 88/4 88/22 91/8 |
| 142/3 143/22 | 108/9 114/3 114/8 | 91/17 94/3 94/23 |
| 143/22 144/20 | 132/3 | 95/3 97/7 97/24 |
| 145/3 145/6 145/12 | yes [143] 4/14 | 98/3 98/19 98/22 |
| 146/8 146/13 | 11/16 12/2 17/9 | 99/2 99/8 99/12 |
| 146/16 146/19 | 18/19 19/2 20/12 | 99/16 100/4 100/9 |
| 147/3 | 18/11 22/23 23/16 | 100/22 100/23 |
| wouldn't [5] 22/1 | 24/9 25/12 26/7 | 101/4 101/14 |
| 50/22 52/12 90/8 | 28/19 28/23 29/11 | 101/15 101/19 |
| 91/22 | 29/19 31/19 35/5 | 101/24 103/20 |
| Wow [1] 133/7 | 35/13 37/4 37/7 | 104/8 104/13 |
| Wright [4] 1/15 | 38/8 38/14 39/20 | 104/22 105/18 |
| 2/10 55/24 56/2 | $38 / 838 / 14$ $40 / 541 / 1 / 11$ | 105/22 106/22 |
| write [1] 48/21 | 41/18 41/24 44/6 | 107/22 108/2 109/1 |
| writing [1] 136/5 | 44/12 44/23 48/6 | 109/23 110/6 |
| Written [1] 5/8 | 49/1 49/13 49/19 | 110/16 114/1 |



