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## PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good morning, everyone. It's Day 68. There are some people hung up in traffic, including the witness who was supposed to go first today. But, happily, we have the witness who was scheduled to go second today in position.

Is there anything we need to do before the witness is sworn in?
[No indication given.]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Patnaude. (Whereupon Eric Meth was duly sworn by the Court Reporter.) CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore. MS. FILLMORE: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. You can pull that microphone right up in front of you.

WITNESS METH: Okay. Thank you.
MS. FILLMORE: Rest assured, that if it moves too far away from your face, someone will mention it.

WITNESS METH: Thank you. I'll try to stay close.

ERIC METH, SWORN
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q Please introduce yourself to the Committee and spell your last name for the record.

A Surely. My name is Eric Meth. My last name is
spelled $M-e-t-h$. I'm the Chairman of the
Select Board of the Town of Franconia.
Q And how long have you been the Chairman?
A I've been the Chairman since March of 2017.
Q And how long have you been on the Board of Selectmen?

A Since March of 2015 .
Q And your testifying here today on behalf of the Board of Selectmen?

A Yes, I am.
Q You filed prefiled testimony in this matter
dated November 15 th, 2016 , is that correct?
A That is correct.
MS. FILLMORE: And for the record, that's marked as "Joint Muni 103".

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q And you also filed supplemental prefiled
testimony in this matter dated April 17th,
2017, is that correct?
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is the recall of the Applicants' Construction panel. And I'm on Page 67. And in this part of the transcript, Mr. Pappas is questioning Mr. Johnson about the exception requests that the Applicants have filed with DOT for that location for the Gale River crossing. And on this page, between Lines 5 and 20, Mr. Johnson agrees that, because the plans have changed since the Application was filed, the construction work at some locations will now take longer than they were -- than the original estimates.

And then they took a look at Exception Request Number 108, and Mr. Johnson noted that this request was still pending.

Mr. Meth, do you know today whether this crossing is supposed to be a micro tunnel or an HDD?

A I think that's one of the concerns of the Town of Franconia, the Board of Selectmen. The Application or the plans in the Application have changed quite a bit. My understanding that they have gone from a micro tunnel to a horizontal directional, or an HDD, process,
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}
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back to an exception request for a micro tunnel.

So, at this point, I would have to say I'm a little vague, a little unsure on that point in the Application.

Q And I'm going to scroll up to Page 68, and here between Lines 10 and 20, Mr. Pappas again was questioning Mr. Johnson and Mr. Bowes about the length of construction time if they used a micro tunnel at this location, and they all agreed that it would essentially take 14 to 20 weeks, including the micro tunnel, the entry point and the exit point.

What concerns do you have today about the work taking that long in this location?

A I would hope that the -- that the Committee is aware that this is the center of Franconia. This is the intersection of two major routes. Route 18, which is Main Street in Franconia, and Route 116, which is, basically, the exit for $I-93$. So, it really is the main intersection in Franconia.

What this does is create a blockage for any type of traffic that's going to come
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}
through. Whether it's tourism, whether it's patronizing our business on Main Street. With regards to safety services, it creates a bottleneck, it creates a danger, a detour for our safety services and our Life Squad.

If I could make the point that, not only does it -- would it deter our Life Squad getting to an event, but also the volunteers in our town getting to the station. It would be an impediment to them. We service our neighboring -- our neighbor communities of Easton and Sugar Hill. Our Life Squad is charged with that. We have a Mutual Aid Agreement, which would cause a problem for that.

Our students on their way to the school, that's quite often a bike route for our students to take 116 down to our regional elementary school, Lafayette Regional School, which is off of 18.

So, I could go on and on. But you could see that it really is a -- I'll leave it at this, a real, real concern for our residents and for our town in general.
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Q Does the Town have other projects that are underway or planned for this area that you're concerned about conflicts with?

A At this point, we do have a number of plans. Since I brought up the safety issue in another context, and this one I'll say that we have plans for a crosswalk right at that intersection is what we refer to as "Dow Field". It's a main recreational area in the Town of Franconia. You could see many, many events taking place on that, from a regional soccer tournament, to local concerts, to a center for our Home Day Celebration. Major fireworks take place in July there. So, with regards to the actual route of the high-voltage DC line, we've attempted to create a little bit more of a safe haven for that area. A crosswalk, which would go across the school's -- go across Route 116 from the church, where most people park when we do have an event on the Dow Field, to the Dow Field. So, my opinion, as $I$ hear from our residents, this causes a major problem for the Dow Field and the recreational activities that
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}
go on there.
We have a -- we have a planned pavilion on
Dow Field for next summer. We're hoping that gets built. We have -- our Recreation Director, who's very involved in the Town, has raised a lot of money, a great amount of money to see that this project is done. And the money is there. We're just planning on building it. That's one.

The other one off the top of my head is a bike path. And it's in furtherance of our, again, our continuation to have our safe routes to school for our students.

Q If $I$ can interrupt you for a moment, Mr. Meth?
A Please.
Q I'm going to ask you some questions about the bike path in a few moments.

A Thank you.
Q I'm going to bring up now what is marked as "Joint Muni 344". I apologize that all of these exhibits are somewhat out of order, but --

A That's quite all right.
Q -- we are working somewhat out of order this
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}
morning.
A Okay.
Q And this is Exception Request Number 104 that the Applicants filed with the Department of Transportation.

Is it your understanding that in this
Exception Request the Applicants are asking here to have some of the work in this Gale River crossing area occur under the pavement?

A That's my understanding, yes.
Q And does the Town have infrastructure under the pavement in that area?

A We do.
Q What is that?
A This would be our town infrastructure. It
would include our water lines, electrical
lines, that go under Main Street, which is
Route 18, which is our understanding from the
Application that the high-voltage DC line would go over our municipal infrastructure.

Q And what about that concerns you?
A At this point, $I$ think it's a reasonable person would -- I'm not an engineer, but a reasonable person would realize that this would
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY] \{12-18-17\}
[WITNESS: Meth]
create an impedent -- impediment to access to our water lines, to our electrical lines. I've talked to our Water Commission. Their concerns seem to be, you know, what happens -- we have a new infrastructure in place right now, we have a new improved water structure. But what happens sometime down the road if our -- if a water line breaks and accessing it?

From that Application, and I don't want to get ahead of the question, Ms. Fillmore, but, from what I've seen on the data requests from Municipal Group 2, there doesn't seem to be any mechanism in place for Northern Pass to help us or to maintain this infrastructure. Whether there's continual maintenance needed or whether there's an accident or a disaster, such as a broken water line. And we tend to know in the North Country that tends to happen on occasion.

Q You mentioned a data request response, I'm going to bring that up now. That's marked as "Joint Muni 345".

A I do see it.
Q Okay. And would it be fair to summarize the question here as asking what's the plan for
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}
increased municipal expenditures in the future, if things cost more to fix because this Project is in the way, essentially?

A That's the way $I$ read the question, yes.
Q Okay. And in the response down here, I'm just going to highlight a little bit of it, it says "The Applicants do not expect that there will be any increased costs for municipalities to work around the Project or to relocate existing municipal infrastructure. The Applicants do not anticipate the need to compensate municipalities and private owners for any potential increased expenses" -- I'll slow down -- "related to the construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility." And, finally, "At the appropriate time, the Applicants will work with municipalities, just as EversourceNew Hampshire does today, on new underground infrastructure projects to minimize utility conflicts during the design phase."

So, you had mentioned that, to your knowledge, there isn't any process in place to compensate municipalities for increased expenses, is that correct?
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A That is correct.
Q Okay. And has the Town heard from the Applicants at all about their infrastructure project and coordinating with Northern Pass?

A I'm sorry. Could you please repeat the question?

Q Sorry.
A Thank you.
Q The data request says that "at the appropriate time, the Applicants will work with
municipalities". To your knowledge, has that happened yet with Franconia, regarding the water line?

A It has not, no.
Q We've heard a lot in recent weeks about memorandums of understanding -- memoranda of understanding that the Applicants have entered into with other communities. Have you read any of those?

A Yes. I've reviewed all the member -- I've reviewed the memorandum of understanding, and my understanding, pardon the repeat, but -Q Uh-huh.

A -- my understanding is that there is an MOU
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with Franklin, with Berlin, with Thornton, Canterbury, and $I$ believe the Plymouth Water \& Sewer Department. So, I've had an opportunity, as Chairman, to take a look at those, since it was asked for Franconia to enter into a memorandum of understanding.

Q And particularly with respect to the Plymouth Water \& Sewer District, did you see anything in that $M O U$ regarding what would happen in the future, if the -- if the water lines -- the water and sewer lines needed to be repaired? A Again, $I$ don't mean to get ahead of you, but that was one of our grave concerns about, after reading Plymouth Water \& Sewer Memorandum of Understanding, there does not seem to be any accountability on behalf of Northern Pass to take care of the water and sewer line in Plymouth.

And that, again, since the line is going
over Main -- going over our infrastructure in
Main Street, that was a great concern. A
nonstarter, if $I$ may say.
Q I'm going to shift gears for a moment here, and ask you, has there been a change recently in
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the way that emergency services are provided in your area, and particularly with respect to Sugar Hill? A change that's coming?

A There is a change, again, as $I$ had said earlier, our Life Squad is charged with responding to incidents in Sugar Hill. Sugar Hill is accessed most quickly by our Life Squad, our Life Squad is on the southern end of town, in our Safety Services building. The access to Sugar Hill is on Route 117. They would have to go right through the intersection, the Gale River crossing, which is what we're talking about, whether it's going to be a micro tunnel or whether it's going to be HDD. So, that would -- that would be a real problem in terms of servicing our neighbors under our Mutual Aid Pact. That Sugar -- I apologize, Sugar Hill, as well as Easton.

Q And is it true that recently the emergency services company that had been servicing Sugar Hill announced that they will no longer be serving Sugar Hill?

A That is correct.
And as a result, will Franconia have to provide
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additional services to Sugar Hill?
A That would -- that would be the case, yes.
Q Thank you. It's my understanding, again, shifting gears, that the Applicants have been conducting geotechnical drilling in Franconia, as well as other towns, in connection with further development of the plans.

Are you aware of any issues that have arisen regarding the drilling and things that may have been uncovered?

A Yes. I've received, specifically, an email and the documentation that would go along with the geotechnical drilling, specifically regarding a bore hole, from, again, $I$ can't remember -- I don't know off the top of my head which exception request that would involve, the number, but it did involve geotechnical boring at the site of the Gale River crossing and what resulted from it.

Q And is it your understanding that a -- that information has been requested and a complaint has been filed with DOT about that?

A My understanding is that it has. In other words, I believe there were some hydrocarbons
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detected. And my understanding is that, under best practices, the boring should have stopped at that point. I think that was the nature, that was the gist of the complaint. Do you know if any additional information has been provided about what happened there or a resolution to that problem?

A No. As far as $I$ know, the person, and $I$ will freely say that the person who alerted me to that has not received a response regarding that, regarding the best practices that should have been used.

Q Did the Applicant ever inform the Town about what happened?

A The Applicant did not inform the Town, as far as I know.

Q I'd like to ask you a couple questions about the Gale River Motel, and the owner and operator. What is on the screen now is Grafton County Exhibit Number 51, which is a letter dated October 19th, 2017 , to Jerry Fortier of

Northern Pass, and it is from Kevin Johnson. Are you familiar with this letter?

A I am.
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Q And do you know who Kevin Johnson is?
A I do. I've recently had a conversation, a sit-down with Mr. Johnson. He's the owner of the Gale River Motel.

And he also lives next door, is that correct?
That is correct.
Q Can you please --
A Which may be Sugar Hill, I'm not sure.
Q That's what I mean, yes.
A It's close.
Q It's on the line between Franconia and Sugar
Hill. One of the structures is in Sugar Hill,
one is in Franconia?
A That's correct. Yes.
Q Okay. And would it be fair to summarize this
letter by saying that Mr. Johnson thanks
Northern Pass for sending some representatives
to meet with him when he asked for that, but
also to express his concerns that those
representatives were unable to answer the
questions that he had for them?
A Through this letter, and through my
conversation with Mr. Johnson confirming that,
that's correct.
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Q And then, on Page 2, he lists his questions. Have you discussed this letter with Mr. Johnson?

A I have. In our last meeting, we spoke this past week, and he went into detail. In fact, I don't want to -- he threw a lot of trees, what I call "trees", he through a packet at me, which was supplied to him by Northern Pass. But I think this would represent his position on it, yes.

Q Okay. I'm going to show you now what's marked as Applicants Exhibit 407 , which is a letter from Jerry Fortier of ForwardNH, to Kevin Johnson, dated October 24th, 2017. Have you read this letter before?

A I have. I'm familiar with it.
And would it be fair to summarize this letter as listing responses to the various questions that Mr. Johnson had asked Northern Pass in his original letter?

A That's my understanding. That's how Mr. Johnson explained it to me, in an attempt to answer some of his questions and concerns for the Gale River Motel.
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Q And you mentioned a few moments ago a packet of information that he talked to you and said that had come from Northern Pass, was it as part of this response letter?

A That's correct. And in part of their discussions in trying to allay some of his fears, some of his concerns, and for the nature -- for his business, basically, yes. Yes.

Q Thank you. And I'm now bringing up what is marked as "Joint Muni 346". And this is a letter dated December 7th, 2017, to Jerry Fortier. I'll represent that it is from Kevin Johnson. Have you read this letter?

A I have. And, again, as I said before, I've discussed this letter in detail with Mr. Johnson.

Q Can you read the highlighted portion please?
A I may. I can. "Of the questions that $I$ originally posed, nearly all of the responses reflected a failure to demonstrate any detail or sincere consideration for the concerns of myself as a business owner, and the threat that Northern Pass Project poses for the health,
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safety, and livelihood of our community."
Q And I'm not going to have you go through and read the whole thing, because the Committee can read it --

A Okay.
Q -- at its pleasure.
MR. IACOPINO: Do we have it?
MS. FILLMORE: I'm sorry?
MR. IACOPINO: Do we have it?
MS. FILLMORE: You will this morning.
BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q Would it be fair to say that, based on what's in this letter and your discussion with Mr. Johnson, that he was not satisfied with the response he had received from Northern Pass?

A I would agree with that. I think Mr. Johnson was very descriptive in what he felt to be a lack of cooperation and a nonresponse to his concerns over his business. I could go through a couple of them specifically.

Q If you'd like to briefly describe. Briefly.
A I would do so. I think he is concerned about the claim process for any damages that may -his property may occur during the construction
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phase. I think he was really concerned about the lack of response and the lack of a process set up for him to recoup lost business. I think that's a difficult, you know, after talking to some of the business owners in Franconia, it's certainly not an easy task to try to estimate lost income. I think that is probably the case in any situation.

But Mr. Johnson, in this particular instance, again, was concerned about that, how to compute that, and the lack of process for getting reimbursed for that, if he has to put in a claim.

Q Thank you. I'm now going to bring up what's marked as "Joint Muni 347". And this is a letter dated December 2017 . And have you read this letter?

A I have read this letter.
Q Can you tell us what it is please?
A And this is a letter from one of two owners of the Franconia Inn. It's one of the -- I'm not a brochure for Franconia, but it is one of the iconic legendary inns of New England. It's owned by Alec Morris and Richard Morris. This
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}
[WITNESS: Meth]
is a letter from Alec Morris. I had a discussion with Mr. Morris a couple of days ago, after he wrote this letter. My understanding is he did email it this weekend to the -- to Ms. Monroe. And again, as Mr. Johnson had done in his letter, it details some of his concerns with Northern Pass, that some of the concerns that -- about the responses he received for the line going, as he would -- I won't go through the letter, as Ms. Monroe had said, you'll have an opportunity, if you so choose, to read it. But he has a lot of concerns over his business and the existence of his business. And I'll leave it at that.

Q I'm going to scroll up to the second page. And it says here that Mr. Morris had a phone call last month with two representatives from Northern Pass. And would it be fair to say that Numbers 1 through 5 here are the concerns that he had raised and the responses he had received during that phone call?

A Just wanted to review them real quickly.
Q Certainly.
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A Just to make sure.
Q Please do.
A Yes. His concern that, and the conversation $I$ had with Mr. Morris, highlights -- certainly highlights what he's highlighted in his letter, about the vagueness of how some of his, you know, his concerns would be addressed. And, again, as Mr. Johnson had pointed out in his letter, I'm looking at number (5) in the letter, it says "Does my business qualify for loss of business income due to the line burial construction?" And his answer -- the answer was "unknown" at this point.

Q And to your knowledge here today, have any of those concerns been addressed by Northern Pass?

A No. They have not been, since my last conversation with Mr. Morris was last night. So, that's it.

Q Thank you. I'm going to turn to the new bike trail that you had mentioned a moment ago. And I'm going to bring up Joint Muni 348 , which is a plan. Is this the plan for the new bike path to be constructed?

A That is correct.
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Q And can you just describe that project and what the purpose is?

A It ostensibly is a plan by a local community group, and it's actually -- and I won't digress too much, it's part of a master trail plan that a couple of nonprofits in Franconia are looking to do.

But, again, to answer your question, it's about a mile long trail, approximately a mile long, with a terminus at Bickford Hill Road. And the other terminus is at -- would lead to the proposed Gale River Bridge, a walking bridge, where it's really part of a "Safe Route To School Initiative, to get students from the suburbs of Franconia, if you can call it that, to the school, which again brings them from Bickford Hill, down to the Gale River -proposed Gale River Bridge. They cross the Gale River. It would put them onto Route 18, which is Main Street in Franconia, which is the proposed route for the high-voltage DC line, and they would have to take a short route down toward the school, Lafayette Regional School, which --
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Q Which is up at the top here on this plan?
A That's correct.
Q Okay.
A It's labeled on the top of the map.
Q For ease of reference, I am going to bring up a map that we've all seen before.

A Yes.
Q This is Counsel for the Public's Exhibit 133, and I am looking at Map 36. And is this the right one? This is the right one, correct. Can you confirm for us that the Lafayette School is blue square in the middle of the page?

A Yes, it is. Yes.
Q Okay. And, so, on this map, the proposed bike route would run from somewhere over here on the right, to the right of the green dot?

A I would say a little bit closer than that one. It would come off -- I believe it's the closest road. I'm not -- I'm trying to orient myself right now.

Q It's oriented slightly differently than the other one is.

A Yes. Okay. But, yes, approximately.
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Q Okay.
A That's where -- that's where one of the -- the southern terminus would be, yes, or, the west.

Q And it runs through the wooded area here across easements on private property, is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q Over to -- and it would come out somewhere around here, near the HDD-12?

A That is correct, between the green triangle, which if -- if the green triangle marks the Besaw Iron Furnace, then that is correct.

That's where it would -- it would cross the Gale River at that point.

Q Do you know right now when this project is supposed to be constructed and finished, the bike path?

A Again, that's -- it's a proposed project. We have applied for a grant. It's -- not
ironically, but it is a -- it is a commuter grant, which, depending on the results -- well, I don't want to talk backwards. But we're not sure, it's certainly hoped that we do get the grant.

Q And that's to correct the little foot bridge?
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A Yes, to construct the foot bridge, to help with the bike path. And if that grant doesn't come, one of our Select Board members, Jill Brewer, who is charged with this, is determined to make this happen. So, I think we're looking at a fundraiser if it doesn't -- if the grant does not happen.

Q So, if that path were built and open to use, that would -- am $I$ correct in saying that that would bring the bike traffic of school kids on their way to Lafayette Regional School, out onto or next to Route 18 , where the $H D D$ construction is proposed to occur?

A That is correct.
Q And is the Town concerned about that?
A I think we have a lot of concerns. You know, one of the -- the impetuses for this bike path was to get the students off of Route 16 , which I live on Route 16 -- I'm sorry, I apologize. I live on Route 116. I see the students biking into school all the time along Route 116. It's a designated state bike route. But, nonetheless, it is a -- it's a dangerous bike in and of itself, it's a dangerous
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intersection, any intersection is for students biking to school.

So, yes. It's a concern for our students. And it's a way to mitigate any damage they may run into on their way to school.

Q Thank you. One last topic to ask you about. I'm going to bring up the transcript from Day 22, in the morning, on Page 9. And this is the Applicants' tourism witness, Mr. Nichols. And on Page 9, Line 20, and going onto Page 10, I'm going to read, actually, it was my question to Mr. Nichols: "I believe I heard you say yesterday that you did not consider the impacts that the construction or operation phases would have on particular events in host communities or specific events that bring tourists to these areas, is that correct?"

A That's correct.
Q And the answer is: "I did not specifically analyze the impacts of construction."

And now I am going to bring up Joint Muni 222, which relates to the transcript we just saw. This is a letter dated July 15th, 2017, from Police Chief Monaghan of Franconia to me.
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Are you familiar with this letter?
A I am, I am familiar with the letter from Chief Monaghan to you.

Q And would it be fair to summarize this letter as describing some of the specific events that take place on a regular basis in Franconia in the area where the proposed Northern Pass route would be?

A Yes, I would. I think Chief Monaghan takes a particular pride in being aware of what events, what races, what activities are taking place in Franconia at all times. And, unfortunately, we're due to lose our chief, which is a -- I don't mean to get off topic, but that's a major concern for us right now, in addition to other ones.

Q And does this letter include -- list several bike races that occur on the roads through town?

A It does. After my review of it, yes.
Q Does the Town have concerns about what the impact of the construction phase would be on those and --
[Court reporter interruption.]
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BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q Does the Town have concerns about the impact that the construction phase would have on those events and other similar events that draw tourists to Franconia?

A Oh, absolutely. I know that we have a lot of -- I see right before me now is the Profile High School Road Race. That would definitely, this Project would impact, especially if construction takes place during the warmer months.

Again, Ms. Fillmore, $I$ don't want to get ahead of things. But one off the top of my head is, again, the iconic Race to the Face or the Franconia Notch Triathlon, which starts at Lafayette Regional School, which you were just talking about. It would start there, and I'm not sure how we would accommodate or how the race would be accommodated.

So, yes. Off the top, I'm familiar with a lot of the events that go on, yes.

And have you heard or read anything during the course of these hearings, and since this process started, that addresses the Town's
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concerns about the impact construction would have on those events?

A One of the more troubling -- one of the more troubling statements $I$ read was by the -- as you mentioned earlier, was Mr. Nichols' response on behalf of, again, -CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Meth, that was a "yes" or "no" question.

Would you repeat the question, Ms. Fillmore?

MS. FILLMORE: Certainly.
BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q Have you heard or read anything that's occurred during the course of these hearings that addresses those concerns?

A $\quad$ No.
MS. FILLMORE: Thank you. That's all
I have. The witness is available for cross-examination.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Pappas.
MR. PAPPAS: Good morning, Mr. Meth. My name is Tom Pappas, and I represent Counsel for the Public.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}

BY MR. PAPPAS:
Q I'm going to just ask you just a few questions about that intersection of Route 18 and 116. I'm not going to repeat what Ms. Fillmore just went through. But $I$ want to -- I wonder about detours that you refer to.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record. [Brief off-the-record discussion ensued.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Pappas, you ready?

MR. PAPPAS: I think so, yes.
BY MR. PAPPAS:
Q I'm going to shift gears. I'm going to show you a different exhibit. What's on the screen now -- do you have something on the screen in front of you?

A I do.
Q This is Counsel for the Public Exhibit 658, which is road map of Franconia, and it includes the intersection you talked about. Do you see that?

A I'm just trying to orient myself.
Q Sure. If you look right above "Franconia", do
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A I see that. Yes, I do.
Q And then you see that intersection, that's the intersection of Route 18 and 116 , correct?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And you talked about, first, a crosswalk at the intersection, near this intersection, correct?

A That is correct.
Q This map doesn't really show it. But if you
look right at the left -- where it says "C of C", do you see that?

A Yes. Yes, I do. "C of C", yes.
Q And right to the left of that $C$, is that Dow Field?

A To the left of it, across the road, I'm just trying to take a look, I want to make sure. Yes, it is.

Q Okay. And, so, the crosswalk you're talking about across 116 would go from Dow Field, across 116 , is that where -- the location that you're referring to?

A That is -- that is correct, yes.
Okay. Thank you.
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A I'm sorry, I'm a little -- I was a little disoriented by the post office and where that's located, that threw me, threw me a little bit there for a second. It's misplaced, that's all.

Q Okay.
A Sorry.
Q That's all right.
A Yes.
Q And you mentioned that pavilion in Dow Field?
A Yes.
Q I recognize that the field is not on this exhibit.

A That pavilion is -- approximately would be located across from the -- across 18 and the Gale River, it would -- across 18 and the Gale River, that's -- it would be on the Dow Field. Q Okay. Let me ask you this question. You were shown earlier this morning Counsel for the Public's Exhibit 555, which is the exception request for this intersection.

A Correct.
Q I'll represent to you that part of that exception request includes bringing the
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transmission line down Route 18 , across the intersection, to get to the other side of the intersection in front of the real estate office that's on that corner. Do you understand that?

A Yes. I believe the line would take a -- I'm just trying to confirm, Mr. Pappas. The line would take a right turn off of 18 , onto 116 .

Q Okay. Let me do this, because I want to make sure you understand.

A Okay.
Q Okay. So, what's on the screen in front of you now is a page from Counsel for the Exhibit -Counsel for the Public's Exhibit 555 .

A Okay.
Q And do you see the green line to the left?
A Yes, I do.
Q That's the proposed request, that the green line come down Route 18 , go across the intersection. Do you see where it says
"Wallace Hill Road"?
A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. And then the micro tunnel receiving pit would be on the other side of the intersection in what's shown as "Profile Road", do you see
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that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And at that intersection is that real estate office, correct?

A That is correct. That would be Peabody \& Smith Real Estate Office, correct.

Q Right. So, while this work would be done, obviously Wallace Hill Road would be closed for some period of time, so they could bring the line across the street to where it starts the micro tunnel. Do you see that?

A Yes. I do.
Q Could you tell us what the detour route would be while that Wallace Hill Road is closed?

A That would be -- my understanding would be, but mostly $I$-- that's a new one for me, because mostly I'm concerned with Sugar Hill and Easton, but $I$ should be concerned with Franconia. So, my thought would be that they would have to take it -- take a southern route. So, if it would be a safety service vehicle, our safety service vehicle is located -building is located south of town, they would -- they would have to end up accessing a
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parallel road to $I-93$. They would have to go under I-93 further south, and jump on, I believe it's Lafayette, we have two Lafayettes in Franconia. I think this is Lafayette Drive. And they would have to take a left on Lafayette Drive. So, it would be a little bit circuitous, but -- they could do it, but it would be a detour.

Q Yes. Do you have an estimate about how long that detour would take?

A As opposed to direct, it could add as much as five, five minutes onto the -- to response time.

Q Okay. So, what's on the screen now in front of you is Bates Stamp 45689 from Applicants Exhibit 73. This is the traffic control plan for this intersection we're talking about. Just to orient you, do you see, right at the -sort of the bottom or middle right, that's Dow Field, correct?

A Yes. That is correct, yes.
Q Okay. And then, right along Dow Field is what is -- is Academy Street, do you see that?

A Yes. That's where I live, yes.
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Q Okay. And across from Academy, you see the church and the church parking lot, correct?

A Yes.
Q That you referred to --
A That is correct.
Q And then, if you look at the top, you can see Route 18 goes across the page, correct?

A Route 18 goes across the page, that is correct.
Q And then you see Wallace Hill Road, which comes off the intersection, heading towards I-93, which we just talked about, correct?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Now, in this area, you can see, on Route 116, the arrow going in both directions. Do you see that on the left-hand side?

A Yes, I do.
Q And you see the same for Route 18, Main Street, that goes in front of the real estate office, correct, in that area?

A Yes. I see the arrow going -- an arrow drawn both ways, yes.

Q Okay. So, do you understand that, for those two roads in those areas, because of the micro tunneling that's proposed, there will be
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single-lane alternating traffic going into the intersection. Is that your understanding?

A That's my understanding, yes.
Q Okay. And then the other part of Route 18, which is heading north, will have two-lane traffic. Do you see that?

A I do see that.
Q And the same for Wallace Hill Road, once the line gets across the road, it will have two-lane traffic going up. Do you see that?

A I do see that.
Q Okay. Now, keeping that traffic control in mind, which is alternating traffic for one section of Route 18 and one section of 116 , $I^{\prime} m$ going to now show you back the map, and $I$ just want to ask you a few questions about detour routes that haven't been touched upon, okay?

A Okay. Yes.
Q Okay. So, back on the screen is counsel for the Public's Exhibit 658. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And again, this shows the highways and various roads in that area of Franconia, correct?

A That is correct.
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Q Okay. Now, you testified earlier that that intersection of 116 and 18 has high volume. Is that all year round or is that just certain times of the year?

A It's relative. But commuting time, it has -always has high -- most high traffic in Franconia, and more so in tourism months. Especially in the winter, especially in the summer, the leaf-peepers. So, yes. To answer your question, yes.

Okay. Do you have any sense of the traffic count in that intersection?

A I do. Not off the top -- I cannot answer that off the top of my head. But we've had -- I know DOT has done numerous studies on that. It's a problematic intersection. Just as it is, just because of the crown of the Gale River Bridge, it creates a little bit of a hazard. There's a sightline issue. Cars don't always see -- don't always see a car entering. So, we've had a number of accidents in that intersection.

Given the alternating traffic on two approaches to that intersection, do you believe that, once
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that's instituted for the 14 to 20 weeks that you saw earlier, that there will be a backup in that intersection during the heavy traffic times?

A Oh, absolutely. And I'm just not saying that. Again, I live right off -- I live right around the corner, down the block, in the Dow Academy School -- the old school building. And I see the amount of traffic that passes through that intersection. It's problematic. It's going to cause some issues, some access to our businesses.

I apologize, I don't want to go on. But, yes, it would be a real issue.

Q Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier some impact to providing vital municipal services. And all I want to do is get a sense of the detours that you indicated would be necessary.

So, let's start with the Police Station. Do you see where the Police Station is located?

A Yes. Yes, I do.
Q Okay. If the police -- if the police need to make a call, and they are blocked or prevented somehow or even delayed going through the
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intersection, and if they have to get north to Sugar Hill, or -- let's stay with north to Sugar Hill, what detour route would they take? A Well, first of all, normally, they would go -they would take a left there on -- as I look at the map, they would take a left onto Route 18. That would be a normal response time to Sugar Hill -- a normal response route to Sugar Hill. If there was a major detour blockage in that intersection we're discussing right now, --Uh-huh.
-- they would have to take a right out of the Police Station, travel -- I'm not sure the mileage, I'm not great with that. They would have to travel probably close to a mile down the road, access -- I could be corrected on this, but I think they would have to access Wells Road, which is not shown on the map, make their way down wells Road, which is a scenic local road. They would have to access -- then get onto 116.

From there, I think they would have to go right, and then travel a little ways down, maybe another mile, and take a left onto -- oh,
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this time $I$ can say, Lafayette Drive, and take that up to Sugar Hill.

So, whether $I$ got it exactly right or not, it really is a delay.

Do you have a sense of how much that delay would be?

A We've talked about -- in all honesty, yes. We've talked about it in preparation for my testimony. I think it's a real concern for the Board of Selectmen. It's a real concern for our police chief, and all safety services, probably added a good 15 minutes --

Q Okay.
A -- on to response time.
Q And if the call is going into Easton, because we heard earlier testimony that Easton doesn't have a police department, they rely on Franconia's police department. Give us a sense how long you think that detour would take.

A Probably, maybe a little bit less, because as you exit Wells Road, onto 116, they'll take a left, and it's a straight shot down to Easton. It would still -- it still would be burdenson -- burdensome, excuse me. And yes,
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it would potentially add close to 15 minutes onto their response time.

Q Okay. It's not shown on this map, but could you tell us where the Franconia Fire Station is located?

A Right where the Police Station is. It's in our Safety Services.

Q Okay. So, when you spoke earlier about the Safety Services Building, it's all at that location where it says "police station"?

A That is -- that's correct. It's our Police station, its our Fire station, and it's our Life Squad headquarters.

Q Okay.
A Yes.
Q So, the detours we just talked about would be equally applicable if the Fire Department was responding to a call in Sugar Hill or Easton, or if the EMT were also doing the same, correct?

A Absolutely.
Q Okay.
A And just to reiterate, because it is an essential service. Our Life Squad, which we're
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very proud of, again, is part of a Mutual Aid Agreement, and due to the minimization of services from Littleton. And Calex is one of the private transport services in the area north of -- a little bit north of Littleton, they normally service Sugar Hill. Again I just wanted to reiterate --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We don't need to reiterate.

WITNESS METH: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You've said it once, and maybe even twice now.

WITNESS METH: Okay.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: And, so, please if you feel compelled to say the words "to reiterate" or "to repeat myself", stop.

WITNESS METH: I will.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Pappas.
WITNESS METH: Thank you.
MR. PAPPAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
BY MR. PAPPAS:
Q The last topic I briefly want to touch upon is your testimony about various bridges in the area. Do you recall that testimony?
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A I do.
Q Okay. The first one you talk about, we don't have to go over, was the bridge over the Gale River. The second bridge you talked about was the Lafayette Bridge. Do you recall that?

A I do. Yes.
Q Where is the Lafayette Bridge located?
A The Lafayette bridge is a state bridge.
Q Uh-huh.
A It's on Route 18. It's not shown on this map, it's a little further south. And it's a --

I'll be quick, it's a red listed bridge.
Q Okay. All right. It's south of 18 shown on this map, so that be down, off the map on the bottom?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And that's a red listed bridge?
A That's correct.
Q Are there any restrictions that you're aware of on this bridge?

A There are weight limits on the bridge. I don't think anything at this point that would impede our emergency vehicles. But there are weight limits, yes.
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| Q | Okay. |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | And it is red listed. |
| Q | So, in terms of weight limits, would that only |
|  | potentially affect construction vehicles, it |
|  | won't affect police, fire or EMT? |
| A | My understanding is it would not. We do have a |
|  | -- |
| Q | Okay. |
| A | We do have a new ladder truck, which is a large |
|  | vehicle. And I've inquired about that. I |
|  | haven't gotten a definite answer as to whether |
|  | that would be restricted. |
| Q | Okay. |
| A | Uh-huh. |
| Q | The second -- the next bridge you talked about |
|  | is at the intersection of Route 117 and 18. Do |
|  | you see that on the map, intersection of Route |
|  | 117 and 18? |
| A | I do. |
| Q | Okay. And was that -- was that a red listed |
|  | bridge? |
| A | My understanding is, $I$ believe it is. |
| Q | Was that recently repaired? |
| A | I am not -- I don't have that information. |

Q Okay.
A Yes.
Q Okay.
A Yes.
Q The next bridge you talked about was the intersection of Bickford Hill Road and Route 116. Now, if you look here, you can see Bickford Hill Road. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q And then there's the Ham Bridge/Gale River running across or underneath Bickford Road, do you see that?

A That's correct. Yes. The Ham Branch and the Gale River, yes, that's correct.

Q Okay. Is that the location of the bridge?
A Yes, it is.
Q And is this a municipal red listed bridge?
A Yes, that is.
Q Okay. And does that bridge have any
restrictions?
A I believe it's an E-2 bridge. It's an E-2
bridge, so it would have some restrictions.
Q Do you know if those are weight restrictions?
A Again, $I$ don't want to speak to that. I don't
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have a definite knowledge of whether it does or not.

MR. PAPPAS: Okay. Mr. Chairman, for expediency purposes, I'll just note that we marked Counsel for the Public Exhibit 659, which is a list of the state -- the municipal red listed bridges, and Counsel for the Public Exhibit 660, which is a list of the state owned bridges. I don't think $I$ need to review with the witness. But just so they're in the record and we'll offer them later. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.

MR. PAPPAS: Thanks.
BY MR. PAPPAS:
Q Mr. Meth, my last question is this. You had testified earlier about events in particular using Dow Field, and also some of the bike events and so forth. And you were concerned about the disruption to those events from construction. Do you recall that?

A Yes, I do.
Q Do you believe that any of those events would need to be canceled during the construction of Northern Pass?
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A The Board of Franconia -- Board of Selectmen in Franconia have had a -- have continuing conversations with our Rec Director, who sponsors and who creates a lot of the events. And, yes, in a short word, we realize it would have to be postponed, and maybe at best rescheduled, but worst case postponed.

Q And you mentioned earlier that many people park in the church parking lot for events on Dow Field. Do you recall that?

A That is -- yes, I do.
Q Do you believe that the construction activity, in particular, the micro tunneling, would affect parking for events on Dow Field?

A A reasonable person would -- commonsense would tell you, yes, it would have to cause a discuption in traffic there, foot traffic.

Q And as a result, do you believe that some of the events scheduled for Dow Field would need to be either rescheduled or canceled because of construction?

A Yes. And upon your asking the question, I was trying to think if they could be relocated anywhere within Franconia, and that $I$ don't
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see. So, yes, I think they would have to be canceled and/or rescheduled.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you. I have no other questions.

WITNESS METH: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Saffo.
MS. SAFFO: SO, I think most of my questions have already been asked and answered. So, I'm just kind of going through and canceling some as I go.

WITNESS METH: Thank you.
MS. SAFFO: But $I$ wanted to focus on two quick points.

BY MS. SAFFO:
Q We were just talking about the exception request that involved the intersection on Route 118 [18?] and 116. Do you recall just discussing that with Attorney Pappas?

A I do.
Q Now, do you know if that exception request is going to be granted yet? As a member of the Select Board in the Town of Franconia?

A I'm not familiar with that directly, but I
think it has been granted, yes. Yes.
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Q And do --
A Yes.
Q I'm sorry, go ahead.
A No. I'm trying to process a little bit. Yes, they -- my understanding is that these exception requests -- there was a number of them on my table. And, yes, they have been granted.

Q Are you familiar that some have not been granted already?

A That I'm not familiar with, the ones that have not been granted.

Q Uh-huh.
A I know, and not to digress, I just understand that there was an incomplete survey that was submitted to DOT. And DOT, because it was incomplete, had a problem with it. And just to quickly wrap up, I think we were a little more concerned about the exception requests that were granted, in light of the fact that there was an incomplete survey.

Q And you actually foresaw my next question.
A Sorry.
So, has the Town of Franconia seen completed
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surveys for the route through the Town of Franconia?

A Not that I'm aware of. I believe I haven't -I've seen previous correspondence from BL and Meridian, Meridian Services, the surveyors. And I have not seen a incomplete survey or a indication from New Hampshire DOT that the need for a complete survey or an unambiguous survey has been submitted to DOT.

Q And, so, without --
MR. WAY: Ms. Saffo?
MS. SAFFO: Yes.
MR. WAY: Sorry to interrupt you.
What is the date of the letter that we're seeing here?

MS. SAFFO: This letter, I actually haven't asked him about this yet. I was just setting up before $I$ got to that question. This one is December 18th, 2017. Oh.

MR. WAY: Today?
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ripped from the headlines.

> MS. SAFFO: Right from the headlines.
> MR. WAY: This is real time.
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MS. SAFFO: This is real time. I
think that date might be incorrect. I think it was done a couple of days earlier. But in response to -- the Gale River Motel had an earlier letter that was used, and then Northern Pass responded to that earlier letter. And my understanding is this is the response.

MR. WAY: So, this is the same letter that, Ms. Fillmore, is this the same letter that you had pulled up earlier?

MS. FILLMORE: Yes, it is. I'm trying to find it right now to get you the date. It's marked as "Joint Muni 346". And as soon as $I$ find it, --

WITNESS METH: I think it was
December 7th. December 7th, Ms. Fillmore?
MS. FILLMORE: That sounds right to me.

MS. SAFFO: That sounds right as well. I can try to figure out why and compare it. But we'll just use the Joint Muni one, I think would be the easier version.

MR. IACOPINO: Lara, just for the record, what everybody is looking at is in
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Word. You probably have a feature in Word that changes the date to the present date.

MS. SAFFO: That's what I'm guessing. That's exactly. So, I apologize profusely.

MS. FILLMORE: Mr. Chairman?
MS. SAFFO: And $I$ won't mark this one as an exhibit, since you already have it as an exhibit, and it won't confuse things.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore.
MS. FILLMORE: It is marked as "Joint Muni 346", and the date is December 7th, 2017.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you for sorting that out, Ms. Fillmore.

MS. SAFFO: Thank you.
BY MS. SAFFO:
Q So, you don't have these surveys yet. So, the Town of Franconia hasn't gotten those surveys to clearly indicate, from like a feet-to-feet standpoint, so people can mark it, how far this Project is going into people's property, into a town property and so forth, the business properties and so forth?

A That is correct. I've had -- that is correct, yes.
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Q And as a Select Board member, do you think that uncertainty is causing anxiety in your community?

A It's palpable, it is, and I'll answer that question with specifics. There's a couple of exception requests that I've looked at. In particular, one of our residents has asked me to look at an exception request, specifically 114, and the fact that it may encroach and will, it's a legal conclusion, but $I$ don't want to say "trespass", but it could encroach upon private property, and there has been an issue regarding land rights. I think that's a major concern for a lot of our -- especially our abutting property owners in Franconia. And you've actually anticipated my next question, which was, on that particular issue, has the Select Board member written a letter on July 31st, 2017 to the New Hampshire Department of Transportation on that issue?

A I believe so.
So, do you feel, even in your community, boundaries have been established of what may arguably be easement rights, but is currently
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under dispute in your community?
A Yes. That would be correct. Again, I'm not a surveyor, I'm not an engineer. But I hear a lot of concerns from our residents regarding 3 -rod versus 4 -rod roads, and the ambiguity or the incompleteness of the survey, and what that means to our -- the residents, and especially the abutting property owners. You know, does that cause danger, does it cause damage during construction. And then permanently going down what are the implications, basically.

And there's been a phrase used throughout this process that the plans are "30 percent developed".

A That --
Q Go ahead.
A That's the percentage, again, as best $I$ can tell, and from what I've heard, that -- and that's a source of our town's contention, is that especially in relation to our request, and I don't mean to get off topic, but $I$ think it is part of the topic.

Q Uh-huh.
A That we've been asked to do a memorandum of
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understanding, a request by Northern Pass, that what can we do -- you know, the request is, basically, what can we do for Franconia to help grease the wheels to ease this. And I think this is part and parcel of the problem with that Application, is that we don't know. We want to go to the table and we want to make concessions or we want to make a discussion about how this line is going to get constructed, how it's going to be maintained. We don't know.

So, I think that's one of our contentions.
And to answer your question, yes, I think 30 percent comes into mind.

Q So, it's hard to do a memorandum of understanding on a project that is unclear and undefined?

A Correct.
Q And do you, as of right now, know when they plan on having construction go through Franconia?

A $\quad$ No.
Q We just talked about the ability of the roads to handle the construction. I won't reiterate
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the points already discussed. However, when you're considering the detours and the ability of the roads to handle construction, this alternating traffic would include construction vehicles needing to go to staging areas, correct?

A That would be correct.
Q So, on top of having these alternative traffic lanes in downtown Franconia, those alternative traffic you would have to add to to include all the construction vehicles that need to go to a staging area?

A That is correct. I'm only hesitating because you use the words "staging area", and that's another one of our concerns. I, personally, as a Chairman of the Board, I've heard a description of 5 to 50 -acre staging areas.

Q Uh-huh.
A Where do they occur and where will they happen? Do they happen in Franconia specifically, which is what I'm concerned about? Yes.

Q So, you still don't know where the staging areas are proposed to be?

A So, yes. I don't know what the timeline is, I
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don't know any specifics that would affect Franconia in that regard.

Q And there's really two options. They're either not in Franconia, in which case these vehicles are constantly increasing the traffic volume by traveling through Franconia. Or, it's in Franconia, and you're looking at a 5 to 50 area -- staging area, and you haven't even planned for that yet?

A We have not. As it is, it's -- as we discussed earlier, it is a -- it can be a congested intersection. We do -- one of the big presence in our town is Presby Construction. They have their headquarters on Main Street, on Route 18. Q Uh-huh.

A They have a number of facilities out on 116. So, you see a lot of heavy trucks simply from Presby going through. They happen to own -they also happen to own the gas station at the intersection of 18 and 116. So, that is -that's problematic in and of itself, in addition to the construction vehicles you described.

Q So, the traffic volume from the paths that
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people looked into would be -- the construction vehicles would be an addition to it?

A That is correct.
Q And then the Dow Field, to make it clearer -make it clear, that field is used as a community point for children to play, correct?

A Yes.
Q And adjacent to Dow Field, if you go up a few blocks, is there a lot of housing in that area, including some mobile homes and things like that?

A Yes. The Dow Field, the road that runs along the Dow strip, which is sometimes referred to as "Academy Street", --

Q Uh-huh.
A -- we have a large condominium building on Academy Street, directly across Dow Field. Dow Academy Condominiums. There's a row of single-family homes, which run down to Dow Avenue. Which, and then, if you take a right on -- I apologize, if you take a right on Dow Avenue is Fox Hill, which is a mobile home park. So, there are quite a bit of mobile homes down in the area, yes, to answer your
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question.
Q So, this particular park serves all that residential area that's adjacent to this Dow Field, correct?

A That is correct.
Q So, it really is more than just a field. It's a playground, it's a field used by children in the community, that they can generally just get on their bikes and go there?

A Absolutely. We have a -- we have a disc golf course on the field now. So, we're really, you know, I always say that Franconia is going through a transitional period. And I hate to use the word "existential" crisis. But we're trying many ways to try and attract people to make the center of town a little bit more inviting. And $I$ think that the pavilion that we discussed earlier, the disc golf course that offers some other recreational activity, we have a playground on the Dow Field. We have a gazebo, which hosts a lot of concerts --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Meth, the answer to the question was "yes".

WITNESS METH: Yes.
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}

MS. SAFFO: Thank you.
WITNESS METH: I apologize.

MS. SAFFO: That's okay.
BY MS. SAFFO:
Q And then, lastly, as you're look at the construction around private landowners, again, septic systems are all private in Franconia, correct?

A That is correct. There is no -- there is no sewer system in Franconia, downtown Franconia.

Q And although downtown Franconia has some public water, all around Franconia it's wells, correct?

A Correct. Yes.
Q And then my last question, because you've already looked at this letter, $I$ just wanted to point out that is this kind of reflective of the community that you're in, of private citizens concerned about what's going to happen, how it's going to happen, and feeling at a loss?

A I think this letter from Mr. -- from Kevin Johnson, the owner of the Gale River Motel correctly reflects the attitude and commitment
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[WITNESS: Meth]
and opposition to Northern Pass in the Franconia community.

MS. SAFFO: Thank you. No further questions.

WITNESS METH: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Grote.
MR. GROTE: Peter Grote. I'm a resident of Franconia. And I'd like to continue with the discussion of land rights. And $I$ have several questions for Mr. Meth.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You need to get closer to the microphone.

MR. GROTE: Okay. Is that better?
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Much.
MR. GROTE: Sorry about that. Let me put up an exhibit as a reference.

And I'll ask the Applicant, if there's any confusion on this, to pull up the appropriate -- the appropriate document.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Looks like we need ELMO.

BY MR. GROTE:
Q The top of this diagram is basically one of the areas of exception. I believe it's number 114.
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That's on the -- what $I$ call the "dangerous curve", some two and a half miles from the center of town. A curve that over the past has had numerous -- has had accidents --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Grote, what's your question?

MR. GROTE: All right.
BY MR. GROTE:
Q Basically, my question is --
MR. GROTE: You're right, actually.
I apologize.
BY MR. GROTE:
Q My question for Mr. Meth is, basically, has the Town -- does the Town own property with land rights that might be in question?

A I would answer that "yes". And in addition to that, I believe there are landowners, abutting property owners that also do. Yes.

Q Let me ask you, would, just as an ordinary citizen, would it make sense for you -- would it make sense for a project, a large project the size and magnitude and complexity of Northern Pass's proposal, would it make sense for people planning for that to secure land
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[WITNESS: Meth]
rights before they really got into the nitty-gritty of planning, of engineering, and actually constructing such a project?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection.
Relevance. Beyond the scope of the testimony. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Grote.

MR. GROTE: Yes?
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Needleman, has objected saying the question is irrelevant and beyond the scope of this witness's testimony. How should $I$ rule on that objection?

MR. GROTE: Well, I would like to continue. I would like you -- I would like you not to accept the objection.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Why is it relevant? What this --

MR. GROTE: Well, --
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What this
witness thinks of that, and it does not appear to me to be within the scope of his prefiled testimony. Why should he be allowed to answer the question?

MR. GROTE: Well, I think the scope
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of this Project is so large that an attempt to narrow it, and I'm not contradicting you, Mr. Chairman, but my personal opinion is that these are very relevant questions to this process.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The objection is sustained. Ask your next question.

BY MR. GROTE:
Q Has the Applicant signed over -- excuse me, has the Applicant acquired any land rights from the Town of property it owns?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. All the land rights are fully described in the Application. And again, it's beyond the scope of the witness's testimony.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sustained.
MR. GROTE: Well, I guess I really have run out of ammunition on this. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Schibanoff. MS. SCHIBANOFF: Good morning, Mr. Meth. Susan Schibanoff, with the Non-Abutting Property Owners Bethlehem to Plymouth. None of us happen to live in Franconia.

BY MS. SCHIBANOFF:
Q In your supplemental prefiled testimony filed
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on April 17th, 2017, Page 2, Line 14, you list or you mention "Businesses will suffer losses. Property values will be adversely impacted." Do you recall that, Mr. Meth?

A $\quad$ I do.
Q Are you familiar with the testimony of the Applicants' real estate consultant, James Chalmers, who testified here on August the 2 nd?

A I am. In part, yes.
Q Okay. I want to refer you specifically to what he said on Page 117 of that transcript, Day 26 , in the morning, down around Line 20. And I will read this very brief snippet for you. I was questioning him. I asked him "Have you in any way addressed other possible impacts of the buried route in a state road on property values in the Northern Pass Project?" Dr. Chalmers said "Not beyond visibility, no."

I asked him "Why have you not done that?" Dr. Chalmers answered "Because I don't see that there are any property value implications." Does that change your opinion in any way that property values in Franconia will be adversely impacted?
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A I don't see how they would not be impacted. In due deference to Dr. Chalmers, I did read some of his testimony. I believe that he, again, in relation to his commenting on -- or, performing his testimony on views, and since Franconia has a buried part through it, I believe his testimony as an expert wasn't relevant really to how they would affect abutting property owners in a buried portion of the line. So, I think, you know, commonsense says that, one way or the other, Franconia's abutting property owners will be affected and their property values will be affected negatively by the line.

Q Could you specify what you think these ways would be?

A I'm sorry, if you could --
Q Could you specify, you said "in one way or another"?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: I'm going to object, Mr. Chair. This is all information that he could have included in his testimony, if he chose to.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled. I'm
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going to let him answer. Do you understand the question she's asked?

WITNESS METH: I do. I think it -and I'll make it quick.

## BY THE WITNESS:

A Yes. I believe it would be negatively, just the specter of a high-voltage DC line abutting your property, and the unknown nature of what that line really does pose.

MS. SCHIBANOFF: Thank you, Mr. Meth.
That's all $I$ have.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Did I miss any
intervenor group that has questions for Mr.
Meth?
[No indication given.]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
Mr. Needleman.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: Good morning, Mr.
Meth. I'm Barry Needleman. I represent the Applicants in this matter.

WITNESS METH: Good morning, Mr.
Needleman.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: Dawn, could we pull
up Applicant 148 please.
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BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q So, Mr. Meth, while Dawn is pulling this up, this is an exhibit that was previously introduced. It's a summary of the outreach efforts that Northern Pass has engaged in in Franconia.

And, in particular, I wanted to call your attention to the notation about the March 14th letter that the Project sent, which we've heard a little bit about, regarding the request for Franconia to consider a memorandum of understanding. Do you see that?

A I do, Mr. Needleman.
Q And you talked about that a little bit this morning. My understanding is that, after that letter was sent, there was a Select Board meeting in Franconia a few days later to consider that issue. Is that correct?

A That is correct.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: And, Dawn, if you
could put the minutes of that Select Board meeting up please.

BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q And you were present at that meeting, is that
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correct?
A That is correct.
Q And if we could pull up the highlighted portion. This is the position that the Town chose to take at that meeting with respect to the proposed MOU, is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q And, so, at that point in town -- at that point
in time, the Town declined to engage in any
discussions with Northern Pass about pursuing
an MOU, is that right?
A That is correct.
Q And that remained the position of the Town after that point, right up through today, is that correct?

A That is correct.
Now, Ms. Fillmore asked you earlier if the Town had heard from the Project about working to coordinate issues associated with town infrastructure in the streets. Do you remember her asking you that?

A I do.
Q Do you understand that it was the goal of the Project to do exactly that through the MOU
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process?
A I understand, I don't know what the specific goal was, I understand that would be the general goal of a memorandum of understanding, especially after reviewing the other five entities that entered into a memorandum of understanding.

Q And with respect to that, one of those entities, which you specifically mentioned before, was Plymouth Water \& Sewer, is that right?

A That is correct.
Q And you had the opportunity to look at that MOU, I understand, is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q And you said that one of your concerns about it was "it didn't address future issues". Do you remember saying that?

A You know, I do remember saying that. I remember that more in relation to a data question and a response from Northern Pass. Do you understand that it was not the intention of that MOU to address future issues? In fact, Plymouth Water \& Sewer was specifically focused
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on having that MOU work to coordinate efforts between the Project and town?

A I will be honest and say that $I$ don't know what the intent of Plymouth Water \& Sewer was. As I read their MOU, I inferred that this really didn't address or see this as a path to allaying any of the fears that our residents have and expressed concerns about.

Q Well, and notwithstanding whatever the purpose of that MOU may have been, when you were talking about it earlier, the words you used were "grave concerns" that you had about the MOU .

A That is correct.
Q Do you recall that?
A Yes.
And, so, to be clear, what happened here is
Northern Pass took a draft memorandum of
understanding, sent it to the Town as a
starting point and asked if the Town was
interested in having discussions, and, as we saw, the Town said "no", is that right?

A That is correct. Yes.
Q So, I understand, and $I$ think everybody
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understands that Franconia is opposed to the Project. My question is, why didn't Franconia come back to the Northern Pass and say "Look, we oppose this Project. You're not going to do anything to change our minds. No discussions that we engage in with you should in any way be construed as changing that. But notwithstanding any of that, if, for any reason, the Committee decides to approve this Project, then these are the things we would like the Project to consider when it goes through Franconia."

Why didn't Franconia ever do that?
A You know, a good -- it's a question. I think part of it is that what we saw as some of the responses to addressing our concerns, whether it was Franconia or the individual businesses, the responses seem to be "This will be addressed at the completion of the Project."

I'm trying to recall the MOU in my mind as to some of the other troublesome spots that there were. You know, that was a major one. I think a lot of it, and $I$ hate to use the word, it's a catch-all, but $I$ think a lot of it was
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boilerplate, $a$ lot of it was illusory.
Q Oh, I understand that.
A Okay.
Q Let's assume you didn't like any of it. Let's assume you thought what we gave to you was just a nonstarter.

A Assume away.
Why didn't you just come up with your own list, and say "We don't care what you're offering. Here are all the things we need and want you to give us if, and only if, the SEC approves the Project"?

A I think to address that is you have to really understand the nature of the grave concerns that our residents have. Number one, you know, we have a lot of -- we have a lot of -- I always refer to, we have a good human resources in Franconia, we draw on a lot of smart, good people who live there, with second homeowners, people who are residents. They felt that it would give the impression that we're willing to negotiate.

Some of the real points that Franconia wanted were dismissed, and the people who --
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the everyday people in Franconia couldn't understand why certain things couldn't happen. So, why should we, you know, why should we discuss --

Forgive me, I guess I'm not sure I understand. Let me try to be more concrete.

A Okay.
Earlier, Ms. Fillmore put an exhibit up, which was a letter from the Franconia Inn. And it had a list of complaints. But $I$ noticed that one of the things that letter said was that something to the extent that "if this was going to go forward", or some such thing, "it should happen during this season when it will have the least impact on the Town."

So, that was a concern from a business in Franconia about a very specific way to minimize an impact. Why again would the Town not create a list of things like that, and again make it clear for the world "this doesn't mean we're supporting this in any way, but here are the things we have to have if this is going to go forward."

Why didn't you ever give us the chance to
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engage with you like that?
A Again, $I$ don't think you're going to like -- I don't think you'll like the answer that I've formulated from discussions with our residents. And even the passage that you discussed from Mr. Morris, I had a discussion with him about the letter that he wrote. Yes, he would like to see, if this -- if the construction does go through. But, $I$ think, in context, there's -he does not want to concede this. He doesn't see how anyway that even that concession in a memorandum of understanding would alleviate any of the problems that this construction or the placement of the line will cause to his business.

Q One other question about this topic. Do you think it's fair to criticize the Project for refusing to engage with the Town to address these issues at the same time that it doesn't seem like the Town was willing to engage with the Project?

A Is it fair? I think, when you -- when you feel that you have a just cause, that you're confused as to why this high-voltage DC line is
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running down our Main Street and disrupting our business in our downtown area, when we're having trouble, and throughout our main scenic road, 116 , it gets you -- it gets your blood boiling a little bit. I'm sorry to say that. And $I$ really do, $I^{\prime} m$ speaking on $--\quad$ feel like I'm speaking on behalf of our residents. I know I'm speaking before my prefiled testimony, but we've addressed this many, many times, and through passion and commitment that our residents have. We've spent a lot of legal bills on this. Franconia is not a free spending town. We get a lot of people stepping up at town meeting who complain about our budget. And we passed a $\$ 10,000$ fund for Northern Pass, and this past town meeting we passed a $\$ 30,000$ budget.

And also in the course of it, and more relevant to this, is we had passed a warrant article regarding donations. And in the last two months, our new town administer, who's very good, reached out to the community, and we have raised $\$ 22,000$ in the last two months. I think that's an indication of where we -- what are
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY] \{12-18-17\}
the feelings of Franconia and where we stand on Northern Pass.

Q Okay. Let me transition to a new topic. And let me say, as $I$ do that, that $I$ understand that. I don't live there, I'm not living it. But $I$ understand those concerns and I understand the passion, and $I$ respect that.

A Thank you.
Q And in that context, I want to ask you about a specific issue about a way that we actually could, in a very concrete way, address the town concerns. So, let me look at your testimony on Page 1, your supplemental testimony.

You're discussing Franconia's concerns
here, which we heard earlier about crossing the Gale River. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q So, I'm going to put up Exhibit 147, which has been previously introduced. It's a screen shot of this crossing of the Gale River. And I assume you've seen this before?

A I'm sorry. I just want to get oriented. I apologize.

Q This was introduced during the -- when the
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Construction panel was testifying, and in particular on redirect of the Construction panel, showing the plans at that time.

A Yes. I see the orange line of the route of the -- yes, I do.

Q So, this is an exhibit that's been in evidence now here for a couple of months, and $I$ was just wondering whether you looked at it in
preparation for your testimony today?
A Not this. I don't believe this specific panel. But certainly another one that would give me the same point of view.

Q Okay.
A Yes.
Q And in your testimony you said "restricting or preventing access at this intersection will prevent the Town from providing vital municipal services and could result in irreparable harm." Do you remember saying that?

A I do.
Q So, plainly, $I$ think it's fair to say that this intersection is of significant importance to the Town of Franconia, correct?

A Understatement.
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Q Good. So, let me pull up trial transcript Day 12, in the afternoon. This is June 2nd, 2017 . And this is testimony from one of the Applicants' Construction panel witnesses, Mr. Bowes. Did you have the chance to review this testimony?

A I will say no. I will say, no, I have not.
All right. Mr. Bowes was being asked specifically about what could be done to reduce impacts at this intersection in Franconia. And I want to jump to the bottom of Page 92.

And if you look at the bottom, this is Mr. Bowes talking, and about halfway down he says "We talked about that at great length", referring to this intersection, and he says "he's not going to go through it all." But then he says, beginning on Line 20, "Because there is town property right about where that 116 indicator is just over the Gale River, that's town property there. So, either a temporary construction easement, or even a permanent easement to place the shaft", and go to the next page, "for the micro tunnel would take all of the traffic impacts off this
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intersection." And then he says he "realizes it would be a burden to the Town to grant those rights, but it's one example of where cooperation with the Town could greatly reduce these impacts." Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q Were you ever part of any discussions where the Town considered allowing Northern Pass to approach construction at the intersection in this manner to address the concerns you've raised about this crossing?

A Let me make sure $I$ understand the question. So, you're saying that -- or, the expert is saying that the route should go over the Dow Field?

Q No.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: Let's put the exhibit back up, Dawn, the one before.

## WITNESS METH: Okay.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: The aerial
photograph.
WITNESS METH: Yes.
BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q Mr. Bowes was saying that, if the Town granted
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a partial easement right off the side of the road through this intersection, and I'm going to let the record speak for itself.

A Okay.
Q I'm not going to testify for Mr. Bowes.
A Fair enough.
Q What he's saying is, there's adjacent Town land. And if the Town worked with the Project to gain access to this land, it could really dramatically reduce the impacts at that intersection.

And my question to you is, did the Town ever seriously consider granting that access?

A I understand -- now I understand what you're asking. My discussions about this, I remember that the land in question you're talking about is at Dow Field, that this would interfere and encroach upon Dow Field. That raised antenna for our Recreation Department, for a number of residents. And we've had extensive discussions in my testimony here this morning about what a vital and vibrant part of Franconia the Dow Field and the Dow strip is. I think you're -I don't -- go ahead, I'm sorry.
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Q Let me ask it this way. I'm not quite sure that that's -- that it would have the impact you're talking about, but we can shortcut this.

A Okay.
Q Understanding how important this is to the Town of Franconia, and understanding the depth of the concerns you expressed about the proposal at the intersection. Would the Town be willing to have a specific discussion with the Project about this issue to see if we can work out an approach to address this specific concern?

A I cannot speak on behalf of the Board for this specific concern. From what I've -- we've had past discussions about, $I$ feel like you're asking us to, $I$ finally get to use this, $I$ feel like you're asking us if we're going to get punched in the nose or punched in the mouth and what would we prefer? I don't think we prefer -- we go back to the issue of why we didn't sign or we didn't agree to a memorandum of understanding. We have a problem with any way that this -- in this regard, any way this Project gets constructed. Whether it's impeding our safety -- preventing our safety
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services to get to their destination or encroaching upon Town land, in this case, specifically the Dow Field.

I'm not sure that entering into these discussions would be anything but self-serving for Northern Pass. I think that's the problem I have. Well, based on everything you said before, it sounded to me like actually it could provide a dramatic benefit for the Town, if the Committee said yes, by relieving the problem of this intersection.

I understand you can't speak for the Board. So, I will just ask you, take this back to the Board. If you are interested in having this discussion, we will have it with you. If you're not, that's fine. I understand.

A Thank you, Mr. Needleman. I appreciate that. And just very quickly, you know, we're open to meaningful and relevant discussions regarding a memorandum of understanding. Unfortunately, after review of the 5 entities' memorandums of understanding, and some of the non-responses we've gotten from Northern Pass, it's -- that's
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our position.
But, yes, I'll certainly take it back to the Board. Yes.

Q And this doesn't have to be an MOU. This can be a discussion about a single issue.

A Okay.
Q In your testimony on Page 2, Line 10, you talk about these impacts to the Dow Field, and you've talked about that extensively this morning. Earlier, you also talked about bike races that occur in this area. Going back to what you said before about having taken the opportunity to look at the various other memorandums of understanding that have been executed, $I$ wanted to ask you specifically about that.

I'm going to put up an example of one of them, the Thornton MOU.

A Okay.
Q And I'm going to look at Section 2.3 of that MOU. One of the concerns -- 2.3. One of the specific concerns that the Town of Thornton had was the impact that the Project was going to have on particular events in town at certain
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times of the year. Do you recall that?
A I'm reading it right now to refresh my memory and recollect.

Q And earlier today you testified about a whole range of events that occur in Franconia that you would have concerns about with respect to the Project's impacts. Do you remember that?

A Yes, I did.
Q And in response to a question that Mr. Pappas asked, you said you "feared that some events might have to be rescheduled or canceled because of the Project's impacts". Do you remember that?

A I do.
Q So, again, if the Town had taken the opportunity to speak with Northern Pass about this, we could have worked together, like we did with Thornton, to try to coordinate construction around those times, and do what we could to minimize or eliminate those impacts. Is that correct?

A That is correct. I'm look at that -- I apologize, I'm reading this a little bit too much. Your question again, I'm sorry? I
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apologize.
Q Well, I think you answered it. But let me go a step further. Given that we are able to resolve those sorts of issues with Thornton, and, to be honest, $I$ don't understand what the issues are in Franconia, other than what you said in your testimony, because we haven't had the chance to have the discussion. Don't you think, though, that a provision like this in Franconia could help to address the sorts of concerns that you've articulated?

MS. FILLMORE: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to object. Calls for speculation. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled. You can answer.

## BY THE WITNESS:

A I don't know what the -- I'm not intimately involved with Thornton. I'm not aware of Thornton. I don't know what their town looks like. I've never been to Thornton. So, it's difficult for me to compare their situation to ours.

I just see Northern Pass traveling through the -- you know, the nexus, the intersection of
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Franconia, is untenable. I don't know where -I'm not sure where these activities, you know, I can give you an example, Mr. Needleman, if you're looking for --

No. I don't need one at this point.
Okay.
I think you answered my question?
A Okay.
Q Ms. Schibanoff asked you earlier about your concern about property value impacts. And you gave a general explanation of the source of that concern. Do you have any information from any source anywhere showing that a high-voltage transmission line located in a state highway adversely impacts the value of property adjacent to that highway?

A I've been told by a number of people, and told by Northern Pass, that this is a -- this is a unique line. It's a case of first impression. So, I don't know if there are any figures or expert reports on --

Q Well, I don't want to cut you off.
A Yes.
It is the first time a line like this has been
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}
built in New Hampshire, but there are high-voltage lines located in state roads elsewhere in the country. And my question is, in light of that, do you have any evidence from anyplace showing that it adversely impacted property owners?

A I don't -- I'm not an engineer or expert. But I would have to say that a reasonable person and commonsense would tell you that the construction -- $I$ can give you an example of, again, $I$ 'm reflecting what one of our residents talks about, who started a farm, which impacts that --

Q That's actually -- I appreciate that. I don't want to cut you off. You've answered my question. I guess I'll ask you a second question, and maybe that will provide an answer.

Aside from my first question, do you have any studies, any empirical information, anything that you can point the Committee towards to support your concern about this issue?

A I'd have to say I do not.
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| Q | Okay. |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | I do not. |
| Q | I want to pull up Applicants Exhibit 1, which |
|  | is Appendix 44. This is a segment of Dr |
|  | Shapiro's report. I'm not sure you're familiar |
|  | with Dr. Shapiro. She is one of the experts |
|  | that the Project introduced, who talks about |
|  | the impact of property taxes in towns. |
|  | Is this something that you've seen before, |
|  | Mr. Meth? |
| A | I have not, Mr. Needleman. But if I could back |
|  | up, if I could address your -- okay. |
| Q | Let me ask you some questions and maybe we'll |
|  | get to it. |
| A | Okay. |
| Q | Were you familiar with the fact that, according |
|  | to Dr. Shapiro's estimate, a little over |
|  | \$46 million of assessed value would occur in |
|  | Franconia if the Project were built as |
|  | proposed? |
| A | I'm not aware of that figure, no. |
|  | MR. NEEDLEMAN: And let me go over to |
|  | APP23705, Dawn. |
| BY MR. NEEDLEMAN: |  |
|  | 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\} |

[WITNESS: Meth]

1

Q And just above Easton is Franconia. And this shows that, in the first year, if constructed, the local property tax base in Franconia would increase by "15.5 percent". Were you aware of that?

A I wasn't - I am now. But I was not aware of that, of this chart.

Q And if you went across to the end of the table, it shows that number of "13.5 percent", which would be Northern Pass's share of the total property tax base in Franconia. Were you aware of that?

A Now I am, yes. Now I am.
Q So at no point prior to today did you have any familiarity with these numbers?

A Not these specific numbers. I know -- no, not these specific numbers. We've been approached by Northern Pass with some percentages, some hard numbers, you know, regarding the property -- the proposed real estate property taxes that would, you know, would be -- would come with the HDD.

Q To the best of your knowledge, were any other members of the Select Board in Franconia aware
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```
of this information?
A Not this particular information.
Q In your original prefiled testimony, on Page 1,
    Line 12, you testified that Franconia approved
        a warrant article in 2011 opposing the Project.
        Do you recall that?
    A It was actually at the Town Meeting in 2012,
        Mr. Needleman.
    Q I believe that's right.
    A Yes. It was Warrant Article 11, that's
        correct.
    Q And in your testimony, you listed a whole
        series of reasons underlying that article.
        Things like it would make the region less
        attractive for outdoor recreational activities
        and tourism, it would negatively impact
        sightseeing, hiking, skiing, it would blight
        the landscape, things like that.
        Isn't it fair to say that, with respect to
        all of the aesthetic concerns that were raised
        in that warrant article, they have all been
        addressed by the Project now being located
        underground through all of Franconia?
        A I don't see that. You know, the warrant
```
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    article, the one you're referring to, 2012, was prior to the buried line going through Franconia, before it was altered from an overhead line to the buried line in Franconia.

Q Correct.
A So, I -- and $I$ certainly wasn't as involved in town business at that point. But I'd have to say that, no, $I$ don't think a lot of the -those issues have been addressed, and I think they have even been exacerbated.

Q So, the Town still has the same aesthetic concerns as it did at that point, even though the line is all underground?

A I think they have different aesthetic concerns now. The aesthetic concerns that come along with a buried line, through -- whether seen or unseen.

Q Well, and you did say in your testimony, on Page 2, Lines 8 through 11, that despite the decision to bury the line, Franconia remained opposed to the Project. And, in particular, on Lines 11 through 16, you listed some of those concerns. And a lot of them related to potential impacts to municipal and private
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that the concerns that have been expressed in a couple of the workshops and community workshops that we've held hasn't allayed any of the fears and concerns that the citizens do have.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Dawn, could you put up Condition 15 please.

BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q Is Condition 15 --
A Uh-huh.
Q -- of the DOT approval one that you've looked at before and considered?

A I'm trying -- I apologize. I'm just reading this and trying to get refamiliar with it.

So, I just want to make sure that -- so, this is -- I guess the important part of it is "the top of the proposed facility shall be placed under existing utilities and drainage structures to the maximum extent feasible." Yes.

Q I guess my question is, --
A Yes.
Q -- when you express concerns about potential impacts that the Project might have on underground infrastructure in Franconia, did
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you have this condition in mind and the requirements that $D O T$ is imposing on the Project?

A It certainly would be, except for the fact, I don't think it -- whether it's under or over our existing utilities and drainage structures,

I know, from our experts, the people who run our departments, I don't see how that -- we didn't see how this would correct a safety issue for our town and for our infrastructure, again, whether it's under or over.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Let me ask you about
Condition 45. Dawn?
WITNESS METH: Okay.
BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
Q So, Condition 45 requires the Project to fix any damage to drainage structures and to repair it to the Department's satisfaction. Do you see that?

A I do. I do.
Q And I'm going to, if you hold that in your mind, I'm going to ask you about one other condition, 49.

A Okay.
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Q And then, after completion of the Project, DOT will inspect it. And if the Applicant hasn't done it consistent with what DOT expects, then, from DOT's perspective, that's going to be an issue of concern that will have to be addressed. Do you see that?

A I'm just reading that please. I do see that, yes.

Q So, it sounds to me like what you're saying is that the three conditions that I've shown you in the DOT approvals specifically, and the DOT approval in general, still don't address the Town's concerns about the Project being in the road, is that correct?

A That is correct. I think a lot of these -- a lot of these conditions run opposed to some of the -- just like a lot -- I think that creates a lot of concern that we do have. A lot of these conditions run in opposition to some of the other information that we received. And I specifically, and $I$ don't have it at the ready, but Municipal Group 2 asked as a data request about future maintenance, future
construction -- or not, I'm sorry -- future
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maintenance, future repairs. And $I$ believe that answer indicated there wouldn't be any need for that. So, to me, that runs counter to a lot of these conditions that you bring up. This was issued back in April. Since the time it was issued, and in light of all the concerns that you believe Franconia still has, has the Town at any point come up with additional proposed conditions to address the concerns that you think are not adequately addressed here?

A You know, we have had a Northern Pass Advisory Committee, I try to keep abreast of where we're headed. I would have to say, we've thought about it. We haven't -- we just feel we've dug our heels in to take a stand and to reflect how our residents feel.

Q You were shown some correspondence earlier from the Gale River Motel. Do you remember that?

A Yes. I do remember that, yes.
Q I assume you're aware of the fact that the Project has met with the owners of the Gale River Motel?

A Yes. I am familiar with that, with my
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conversations and reading the letters of Mr. Johnson, yes.

Q And you're aware that the Project has responded multiple times to the letters that the Gale River Motel has sent regarding their ongoing concerns?

A I can just relay my conversations and my impressions from Mr. Johnson, the owner of the Gale River Hotel, that his conversations, his correspondence with Northern Pass, has -- again has not quelled -- has not, you know, done away of any of his fears of what it will do to his business.

Q Are you aware of the fact that the Project again sent another response letter to the owner last week trying again to address his concerns?

A The last -- the last chain in the -- the last piece $I$ 've seen in the chain of correspondence back and forth was -- I'm sorry, is the December 7th letter by Mr. Johnson.

Q Do you have any reason to believe that the Project has not made a good faith effort to try to address Mr. Johnson's concerns?

A It's not for me -- I don't think it's for me to
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put myself in the shoes of Northern Pass, whether they have made a good faith effort or not. Maybe they have tried to. But my conclusion is, and I've -- and I have to agree with Mr. Johnson and Mr. Morris that they don't seem to address any of their concerns, when it comes to loss of business, when it comes to damage to property, as a lot of our residents have indicated.

I think, and stop me if $I$ get off topic, I've gone through some of the testimony of Northern Pass's experts, I've gone through their business and their tourism experts. And a lot of what they have come back to the Committee with don't seem to ring true.

You know, specifically, in talking with Mr. Johnson, we discussed Mr. -- I believe it's Mitch Nichols, Mr. Nichols' testimony, about how Northern Pass will not have an impact on New Hampshire. With all due respect, Mr. Nichols' standing as an expert on tourism, it doesn't do good, according to Mr. Nichols' words, it doesn't do any good if Mr. Morris's potential business and Mr. Johnson's potential
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business goes up the road, it's a little more than 3 miles, up to Littleton and stays in the Hampton Inn. It does have an impact.

So, all I'm -- the point I'm trying to make is that, $I$ think that that really does diminish our confidence and the good faith that Northern Pass has.

Q Sounds like what you and Mr. Johnson is saying is not that Northern Pass hasn't tried, you just don't agree with what Northern Pass is saying?

A Again, I don't want to speak to their efforts, I don't want to speak to whether it's good faith or not. But the results are that they don't seem to address a lot of concerns, in this case, our business community. Again, it doesn't help Mr. Ford, who owns our hardware store, if they can't get to his store, and they run up to Lowes and Home Depot in Littleton, it impacts us locally.

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Thank you, Mr. Meth.
WITNESS METH: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record.
[Brief off-the-record discussion
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[WITNESS: Meth]
ensued.]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're going to take a 10-minute break.
(Recess taken at 11:12 a.m. and the hearing resumed at 11:22 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
We're going to pick up with questions from the Subcommittee. Mr. Way.

MR. WAY: Good morning, Mr. Meth.
WITNESS METH: Good morning, Mr. Way.
MR. WAY: Just a couple quick
questions.
BY MR. WAY:
Q And we've had quite a bit of testimony on Franconia in the past. But can you refresh my memory, in terms of your employee base in the town, are you an importer of employees or are you an exporter?

A It's difficult to -- I'm sorry to say, I apologize, it's difficult to wrap that up in a neat package. Some people say our downtown Main Street is depressed. I prefer to use the word -- or, going through an existential crisis
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I prefer to say we're in a "transitional crisis", hoping to attract some new business. We do have a couple of large employers. We do have Presby Construction. Their headquarters is on Main Street. We do have Garnet Hill. They are a large employer in Franconia. They have moved some offices down to southern New Hampshire, but they do maintain a sizable workforce in their office. They do have a retail -- a small retail outlet there. We have a variety of -- a couple of real estate, and we have some sole -- some, not sole proprietors, but some small businesses, such as the Backpack Cafe, our Franconia Hardware. So, it's a mixed bag a little bit. And we send a lot of people out of town to work up in Littleton and the surrounding communities.

Q And I think, is Garnet Hill your largest employer?

A I believe so, yes. I think Garnet Hill is our largest employer.

Q Trying to recall, do you know if their employees are local based or are they coming in from outside of the community?
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A Again, I think it's a mixture. You know, since I've moved to the North Country, it seems like everybody has passed through Garnet Hill at one point or another. So, I think both. I think there's some locals, Sugar Hill and Easton and Franconia, and then $I$ know there are people, in fact, who do travel a ways to come to Garnet Hill.

Q And I appreciate the discussion between you and Mr. Needleman, in terms of the position of the community with regards to participating in discussions. Do you have concerns that, when the community takes that position, maybe of no discussion or at least not to go to far down the path of offering conditions, and I understand that's because you don't want to possibly give solutions for a decision for or against. Do you ever have concerns that you're now putting that decision off onto a committee like this, that may have to rule for or against a project, that we're now put in the position of trying to devise what is right for a community or not?

A A good question. And when it came to us,
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honestly, $I$ don't think it was rejected out-of-hand. But, after discussions amongst the Board members, after discussions, we've had a couple of $I$ want to call them "workshops" that came to Town Hall to discuss this. I just don't think that we saw, especially with the -trying to find the right words for this, where the Application was, whether the SEC deemed it to be a completed Application, I can't remember exactly the month and year when it was deemed to be complete. We looked at the Application, felt there was a lot of deficiencies in the Application, that it wasn't in our interest to come to the table and start a dialogue as to where this could come out well for Franconia in any scenario. And that includes what we saw in the Application, what we saw after the five entities entered into the memorandum of understanding, and our position hasn't changed. MR. WAY: All right. Thank you very much.

WITNESS METH: Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Weathersby. MS. WEATHERSBY: Good morning. Just
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one quick question.
BY MS. WEATHERSBY:
Q I understand from your testimony there's been two town votes on two different warrant articles. Do you happen to know the -- and both of which passed and were anti -- one was an anti-northern trust [Northern Pass?] vote, and $I$ understand the second one was appropriating funds for legal fees to oppose Northern Pass. So, both opposed Northern Pass.

Do you happen to know the vote totals for and against each of those warrant articles?

A I don't know. But, if $I$ can just correct, I believe there were a total of -- what we're referring to is four. In 2012, before Northern Pass came through Franconia, and before I was involved with the Board, the Town voted, it passed a warrant article, not appropriating any funds, but to take a stand and, could I say, solidarity with our neighboring communities, especially Sugar Hill, to do it.

In 2000 -- in the Town Meeting 2015, we had two warrant articles, one where we funded a legal defense fund for $\$ 10,000$, and a second
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one where, actually patterned after a warrant article passed by Sugar Hill, that allowed, according to RSA, to accept donations from the Town, which we never took to at that time. And last year's Town Meeting 2017, we passed a warrant article which appropriated 30,000. Our Town Administrator, as I had indicated earlier, had acted upon the donation warrant article from 2016, which we've now raised another $\$ 22,000$.

I don't know if any of this has answered your question, but --

Q But do you have a sense of the percentages or, you know, ballpark numbers, if you don't have the actual for and against for those articles? A $2000--\quad$ actually have -- I actually have the books, which won't answer my question -- or your question, but $I$ believe it was hand votes. There wasn't a specific vote taken. It was -I don't have the numbers for you. I don't.

Q Do you have a sense, was it 51 percent versus 49? Was it a landslide? Or are you unable to tell me?

A No. From the 2000 -- most recently, from the
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2017 meeting, I could say -- I could honestly say, well, obviously, $I$ could say that the only two people who spoke up against the warrant article were people who felt it was -- were a little more concerned about the budget than about Northern Pass. So, they had more of a money concern than Northern Pass going in, and they just thought it was -- they just thought we were David fighting Goliath. So, that's the sense $I$ got from the warrant articles.

MS. WEATHERSBY: Okay. Thank you very much.

WITNESS METH: Okay. Thank you for your patience.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Oldenburg. MR. OLDENBURG: Thank you.

BY MR. OLDENBURG:
Q Just a quick question about the mutual aid that you do with the Fire Department. You had mentioned that you also are going to, in the future, service Sugar Hill. Did I understand that right?

A That's correct. Well, we do service Sugar Hill. The amount that we're going to we
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deemed -- it's going to increase. There's a service -- there are services that are discontinued that normally -- that service Sugar Hill, and they're reducing their amount of service. So, we'll have to step in and take their place. And that does go along with our Mutual Aid Agreement among the three towns. Okay. So, if you respond, and under a routine mutual aid, not, you know, if there was a gigantic fire and everybody in the North Country responded, but a routine situation, do you respond? Would your Fire Department go up to, say, Bethlehem?

A I believe they're not included in that mutual aid. And you preempted my response, other than being called in the event of a large catastrophe, yes.

Q And you had mentioned you go to Easton. Do you go as far south as Woodstock?

A My understanding is we do not. MR. OLDENBURG: Okay. All right.

Thank you. That's all $I$ have.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Any over
questions from the Committee?
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[No verbal response.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore. MS. FILLMORE: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman. I have just a few questions.
Dawn, may $I$ have the Apple TV?
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q I'm going to bring up Applicants Exhibit 208, which is the MOU with the Town of Thornton that Mr. Needleman brought up earlier. And he was looking at Section 2.3, which we will scroll to, here in the middle of the page. Mr. Meth, you used the word "illusory" earlier in reference to some of the provisions of the MOUs. And do you see anything in this section 2.3 that says that Northern Pass will ensure that events are not negatively impacted by the Project?

A I do not see that. I see the word "minimize".
I guess I don't see that word, to answer your question.

Q Mr. Needleman also asked why, essentially, why Franconia didn't take the draft MOU that it had been given and rediine it or come up with a new
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agreement. Is it your understanding that, if you had submitted your own agreement or a redline version, that Northern Pass would have agreed to all of your requests?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. Calls for speculation.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore.

MS. FILLMORE: I'll rephrase the question.

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q Were you ever told that Northern Pass would accommodate requests that you came up with?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Same objection.
MS. FILLMORE: I'm asking him if he was ever told something.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled. You can answer that.

## BY THE WITNESS:

A $\quad$ No.

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q And is it your understanding that, aside from the five towns that have entered MOUs, four towns/one city, that there are no other -- none of the other towns involved in this matter or
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on the route have entered one of those agreements?

A Yes. I am not aware of any other towns that have entered into a memorandum of understanding.

Q Mr. Needleman also asked you if you thought it was fair for the Town to refuse to negotiate and talk about construction conditions. Does the Town of Franconia think it's fair for this Project to be imposed upon the Town?

A In a short word, no. No.
Q Would the Selectmen be willing to talk with Northern Pass and the Applicants, perhaps at a time when the plans are more complete?

A I think we would, if we saw an application that was, say, close to, you know, 90 percent complete, then we would have a little bit more to work with, and a little bit more to bargain with. As it is, $I$ think at this point with the Application process, I think we feel like we'd be negotiating/bargaining with ourselves. Mr. Needleman also showed you some of the DOT approval conditions. Is one of your concerns, one of Franconia's concerns, that Dot has
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## [WITNESS: Meth]

issued its approval without an acceptable survey yet?

A Absolutely. That is a major concern of ours. It's come up time and again in our discussions.

Q And, finally, property taxes. Have you seen a letter from February 2017 to the Town of Franconia regarding the property taxes?

A I have. I don't have it here in front me, but --

Q That's okay.
A I do, yes.
Q Is it your recollection that that letter included a figure that was an estimate of the first year property taxes that the Project would pay?

A Yes. That one was approximately $I$ believe it was $\$ 574,000$, approximately.

Q And Mr. Needleman also showed you a chart that showed the percentage of tax increase that the Town might experience?

A Yes. He did.
Q So, does either that chart or the letter that you saw earlier from February, do either of those resolve the Town's concerns or change the
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Town's opinion about what -- about the Project?
MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection, Mr. Chair. Mr. Meth testified that neither he nor the Select Board saw that information. So, I'm not sure how he can answer the question.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore.

MS. FILLMORE: I can rephrase that question.

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q Does the chart that you saw earlier today change your opinion?

A Does it change my opinion?
Q About the Project.
A About the Project? It does not. It does not.
Q And did the letter from February of 2017 change the Select Board's opinion about the Project?

A No, we took a look at the letter. We examined it. We saw that the percentages that go to the Town, to the County, to the school, simply does not meet our -- the smell test. So, yes, I would say that it didn't change our minds. MS. FILLMORE: Thank you. That's all I have. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Mr.
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Meth. You can return to your seat then.
WITNESS METH: Thank you. Thank you,
Board. I appreciate your time. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Off the record. [Off-the-record discussion ensued.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: While the changeover is happening, there are orders in the works on four pending motions. Those are the motion of the Forest Society for rehearing; the order regarding the Motion to Compel; the Massachusetts RFP proposals; the Motion for Declaratory Ruling, that only -- that only hydro -- only the hydroelectricity passing along the line can be considered. It's a filing by the NGOs. The motion of the Forest Society for rehearing of rulings from the Bench, and the Grafton County Commissioners' motion for new public hearings and a new application.

Those four motions are all being denied. Orders will come out soon. But, for planning purposes, you work on getting ready for the discussion of exhibits and for
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briefing.
I thought you should know that all those motions are being denied.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Patnaude, would you do the honors please.
(Whereupon Nicholas Coates was duly sworn by the court Reporter.)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore.
NICHOLAS COATES, SWORN
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. FILLMORE:

Q Can you introduce yourself to the committee please, and spell your last name?

A Yes. My last name is Nick Coates, C-o-a-t-e-s. I'm the Town Administrator for the Town of Bristol.

Q And how long have you been the Town
Administrator?

A Just about two years.
Q You submitted prefiled testimony in this matter dated November 15 th, 2016 , is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And supplemental prefiled testimony dated
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April 17th, $2017 ?$
A Yes.
MS. FILLMORE: And for the record, the November 15 th, 2016 testimony is "Joint Muni 115", and the supplemental testimony is "Joint Muni 116", "117", and "118".

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q Do you have any additions or corrections to that testimony here today?

A Not today, no.
Q And do adopt and square to that testimony?
A Yes.
Q I'm going to ask you a few questions. Are you aware that one of the Applicants' witnesses, Mr. Bowes, stated earlier in these hearings that the Applicants' intent is to have the SEC grant permission for the Project to use local roads, and then delegate oversight to DOT, because they have expertise?

A Yes.
Q And are you aware that Mr. Bowes also said that, if a town decided it didn't want to play, "I don't want to play", then they could delay the Project unduly?
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A I am.
Q Does Bristol have a road agent?
A Yes.
Q Has the town ever dealt with construction projects or large vehicles and equipment that damaged or impacted town roads?

A Yes. We've done numerous projects with the Department of Transportation.

Q Do you believe that the road agent would be able to handle whatever might come up as a result of this Project?

A Yes. He's been a road agent for 30 years. He has a pretty good idea of what he's doing.

Q And you said that there have been some projects. Has the Town had experience in the past working with projects that involved both state and town roads?

A Yes. Central square. We redid our central square about $5-6$ years ago, $I$ believe it was.

Q And does the Town -- how does the Town generally handle those kinds of projects?

A So, we have -- we have a staff of five. We have a foreman, a superintendent, who is the road agent, and then three operators, as well
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as we have an on-call engineer. And so the road agent or Highway Superintendent, as well as the engineer, oversee project management of those projects.

And as to Mr. Bowes's statement that a town could decide "I don't want to play", what is your reaction to that statement?

A It's not true. I think we would work with any Applicant with a permitted project. So, we treat everybody fairly, just as anyone else would.

Q One last thing on a slightly different topic. MS. FILLMORE: Dawn, may I have the Apple TV please.

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q What will be coming up on the screen now is marked as "Joint Muni 349". This is the business directory that the Applicant released recently. And if we scroll down a little bit on the first page, you can see there are several -- several businesses in Bristol. Have you spoken with, and we can just use one, the Park $N$ Go Market, have you spoken with to anyone from there?
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A Yes. I have spoken to two off the businesses. Park $N$ Go was one of them.

Q And what was their reaction to finding out they were on the list?

A They had no idea.
MS. FILLMORE: Thank you. The witness is available for questioning.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Pappas.
MR. PAPPAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, Mr. Coates.

WITNESS COATES: Good morning.
MR. PAPPAS: I'm Tom Pappas. I
represent Counsel for the Public in this
matter. I want to ask you questions on one topic, and that's orderly development.

WITNESS COATES: Sure.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PAPPAS:
Q In your prefiled testimony, you stated that the Town of Bristol, its Board of Selectmen, and other boards and commissions believe that the Project would unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region around Bristol. Do you recall that?
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A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, you also testified that Bristol's economy is a tourist-based economy. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q Do you believe that the region around Bristol is also a tourist-based economy?

A Bristol is the hub of probably about a nine-community region. And people use Bristol for the services and for groceries, to everything else in between.

Q Are many of Bristol's businesses small businesses?

A We do have one major employer, and then we have a couple other sort of middle-sized, but predominantly smaller employers, yes. Okay. And would those businesses be a mix of, for instance, you mentioned shopping, restaurants and shops?

A Correct.
Q And then, in addition, do you have businesses that cater specifically to tourists, Bed\&Breakfasts, recreational type businesses?

A That's correct.
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Q Okay. Does Bristol's business community also rely on second homes, people who own second homes?

A Yes, absolutely. Without our second homes, we would be in pretty tough shape.

Q Okay. And I assume that part of Bristol's attraction is things like Newfound Lake and the Pemi River, and the mountains and so forth?

A Absolutely.
Q Okay. Now, the Project is going to go approximately 2.5 miles through Bristol, is that your understanding?

A Yes.
Q Currently, there is one transmission line in the right-of-way through Bristol, is that right?

A Yes.
Q And that transmission line is on 50-foot wooden poles or towers?

A That's right.
Q And the Northern Pass Project will construct a new transmission line on steel lattice towers, is that correct?

A Yes.
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Q And those towers will range anywhere from 70 to one as high as 125 feet?

A That's my understanding, yes.
Q Okay. And do you also understanding the existing wooden towers will be relocated to steel towers that are approximately 70 feet tall?

A Yes.
Q Okay. During the past 20 years or so, and I understand you've only been Town Manager for two years, but do you have an understanding of Bristol's development in the past before you got there?

A Well, Bristol was traditionally a rail town. And it was served by a railroad, which then sort of grew its central square, which then all the service industry grew out of this, which is what became our tourist industry.

Q Okay. Now, the current Bristol development that we talked about just a moment ago has occurred with the existence of the current 50-foot wood transmission poles in the right-of-way, correct?

A Yes.
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Q And could you tell me why you believe that the construction of the Northern Pass lattice towers within the same right-of-way would unduly interfere with the orderly development in that region?

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection, Mr. Chair.
This is just calling for repetition and elaboration on testimony.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Pappas.
MR. PAPPAS: I don't think it's repetition. I think I've laid the groundwork of what the businesses are, how they developed, and the fact that they developed with the existing right-of-way. And $I$ want to dig a little deeper as to why he thinks the new Northern Pass in the same right-of-way would impede. That's his testimony. It's the only topic. I just want to have an opportunity to drill down a little bit --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled. Keep it focused.

MR. PAPPAS: I will.
BY MR. PAPPAS:
Q So, do you recall my question or do you want me
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}

```
    to repeat it?
A I believe the question was "why do we think
        that taller towers would be problematic in the
        existing right-of-way?"
    Q Correct.
    A Because they're taller. They would be more
        visible. The numbers that I've looked at would
        potentially double the size of the currently
        existing, which would be more visible, we
        believe, from a number of locations, especially
        Inspiration Point, which is one of our primary
        tourist areas.
            Intensity of use. We think that it's akin
        to a cell tower. And we -- our Master Plan and
        our Zoning speaks to cell towers, and cell
        towers are equal or higher in height. And we
        have rules about cell towers. So, this would
        be a similar use, and, in fact, I think a more
        intense use.
    Q Okay. So, the first thing you mentioned was
        the height. So, I take it scale. You think
        that the increased size of the towers has an
        impact, is that right?
    A Correct.
```
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[WITNESS: Coates]

| Q | And when you talk about "intensity of use", do |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | you mind the fact that there will now be two |
|  | transmission lines within the same |
|  | right-of-way? |
| A | Yes. |
| Q | Is that what you're referring to? |
| A | Yes. |
| Q | Okay. And you mentioned also a view from a |
|  | specific point. Is it your view that the new |
|  | towers and the relocated towers, given their |
|  | size and height, not wooden but steel, would |
|  | have more prominence or more dominance of the |
|  | landscape than the current? |
| A | Yes. I've reviewed the photo simulations that |
|  | Northern Pass has put out. And you can see the |
|  | towers from the right-of-way. |
| Q | Okay. So, are those the three reasons why the |
|  | Town of Bristol believes that locating a new |
|  | transmission line within the same right-of-way |
|  | and relocating the existing transmission line |
|  | in that same right-of-way would impede orderly |
|  | development? Did I just cover the basis? |
| A | Yes. That's part it. There's other aspects |
|  | that we're concerned about as well. |
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Q What are those?
A So, we have a -- the right-of-way would include an area that has an historic site or
historically significant from a local
perspective. It was a barn that was built in
1790. Those folks that own that barn are
looking to create more business for themselves, tourism-based business, like weddings and what have you. So, that's a concern for us. We have concerns about just the neighbors and being able to -- the aesthetics of seeing larger towers in their backyards. And that's what -- we have heard that from the residents of Peaked Hill. We also have heard concerns about the water quality and the wetlands that are impacted. I think I counted 26 wetlands that would be impacted by the right-of-way. So, that's a concern for water quality perspective.

And just generally, people who come to the community to spend their time and spend their monies, we think would be impacted heavily from a tourism perspective.

Q Do you think that tourists would go elsewhere
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other than Bristol?

A Well, we heard from one of our bed \& breakfast owners who has been speaking to her people who come to visit. And she has some concerns that they already compete with Vermont and Maine.

This would potentially impact future visitors.
Q Which bed \& breakfast is that?
A It's the Henry Whipple House.

MR. PAPPAS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I have no other questions.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Who else has
questions for this witness?
[No indication given.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no hands.

Mr. Needleman. Oh, wait. Mr. Stamp, do you have questions?

MR. STAMP: Yes, I do. I'm Max
Stamp. I'm with the Pemi River Local Advisory
Committee, Mr. Coates. A couple of questions.
BY MR. STAMP:

Q Could you comment at all on the number of
people who have talked to you about what the
future might bring with this thing,
particularly in the abatement area of property
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taxes, assessments and so forth? Could you flesh that out a little bit?

A Yes. I did speak to the Worthens, Mary Worthen and Nick Jenkins, who own that barn that $I$ had spoken about earlier. They're concern is that, if that tower does come through, that their property value would be decreased. They're viability as a business would be negated. And they said certainly they will be coming in to talk about an abatement if the Project does happen.

We have talked to other property owners along that neighborhood. And that's been our primary concern, from the aesthetics and from the property tax value, is that neighborhood. And we've had most of the residents come up. I believe that the Committee took a visit out there as well, and had a chance to see the neighborhood and what the impacts would be. But we have heard from the neighbors about their concerns relating to property value. Second question would be, there's a lot of activity, $I$ know, in the Pemi corridor dealing with rights-based ordinances. And there's
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activity in Plymouth, there's activity in Ashland, Bridgewater. Are you seeing any of this interest? You're kind of a magnet for those kind of criticisms?

A Yes.
MR. NEEDLEMAN: Objection. Relevance and beyond the scope of his testimony.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Stamp.
MR. STAMP: I would think it would be appropriate for the Committee to hear about very strong sentiments that seem to be generated by --

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Just in case we've missed them to date? Do we expect --

MR. STAMP: I think, at this level, when people are starting to get so concerned about being told what is supposedly good for them and good for their community and so forth, where they are trying to take some more of this as their own responsibility and act on it.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sustained.
What's your next question?
BY MR. STAMP:
Q I know there's activity along the river on a
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[WITNESS: Coates]
new waterfront park, a path, a hiking path, down to Profile Falls and things like that. Could you tell us what's going on there, and how maybe this Project would affect that?

A Yes. Just I guess for context perspective, during the height of the recession, the Town of Bristol had 14 empty storefronts. We now have one empty storefronts. So, we've invested in ourselves heavily to really try to grow our economy locally. And we've had numerous small businesses coming in, which is good to see, especially in our downtown.

And, so, we're looking at the natural progression of our -- for economic growth in our downtown, as an extension into the river. So, we have some abandoned right-of-way that we are going to be extending. We received a \$153,000 grant from Land and Water Conservation Fund to do Phase I of a rail -- a trail project that would connect our downtown to the confluence of our two rivers, which is the Pemi and the Newfound.

From there, we would -- Phase II would extend into a rail trail into Franklin, over
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[WITNESS: Coates]

Army Corps of Engineers land along the Pemi River. So, we're really looking at that. We also have met with the owner of a white water park who is interested in building a white water park along that area, and looked at that area as a critical piece of opportunity for Bristol.

So, our economic development is tied to our tourism, and specifically it's tied to our river. We want to make sure that it's kept protected.

MR. STAMP: That completes my
questions. That's it for the River. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. I didn't miss anybody else, did I?
[No indication given.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Now, Ms. Walkley.

MS. WALKLEY: Good morning, Mr.
Coates.
WITNESS COATES: Good morning.
MS. WALKLEY: My name is Rebecca
Walkley. I'm an attorney for the Applicants in
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this matter.
Dawn, I'd like to pull up what's been marked as "Applicants Exhibit 488". And you can just pull up both pages at the same time, Dawn.

BY MS. WALKLEY:
Q And, Mr. Coates, this is a summary exhibit that was prepared by the Project Team that just summarizes the correspondences that the Project has had with the Town of Bristol. And I wanted to ask you about a couple of the entries in particular. The first one is the March 14th, 2017 correspondence regarding the construction MOU. Were you aware of that correspondence? A Yes.

Q And as you can see, further down in the Outreach Summary there was correspondence from the Town's attorney in this case, noting that the Town was interested in pursuing discussions related to the possibility of entering into a construction MOU. Were you aware of that exchange as well?

A Yes.
Q And $I$ understand that there was some back and
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forth with the Town with respect to the possibility of this MOU and discussions about possible terms. Were you aware of those discussions as well?

A Yes.
Q And my understanding is that following some of these discussions and meetings with the Project relating to the MOU, the Town felt that it couldn't move forward with finalizing any MOU with the Project at that time. Is that correct as well?

A I don't think it was a matter of "couldn't move forward". I think it was just that we felt that the terms weren't agreeable. We didn't feel that the Northern Pass was interested in meeting any of the conditions that we were looking at.

Q I understand that the Town of Bristol did actually provide the Project with redine copies of the MOU to address some of these concerns. Do you feel that those weren't adequately addressed? And my understanding is that the correspondence from the Project was following up on those redline comments.
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A Yes. There were comments back and forth. And, ultimately, we looked at comments, and we just felt a lot of the MOU was pretty wishy-washy.

Q And it's not clear to me, has the Town presented those specific concerns to the Project to potentially address them?

A It's my understanding, yes.
Q And you feel that the Project hasn't adequately responded to those specific concerns?

A No. I think a lot of the comments in the MOU were "if practical", "if feasible". And who defines "practical" and "feasible" is one of our major questions.

Q Okay. So, at this time is the ongoing discussions regarding the MOU something that the Town of Bristol is interested in continuing to pursue with the Project?

A Yes. I think the Town would be interested in having a conversation again, if the Project is willing to meet some of our concerns more -meet some more of our concerns.

Q I'd like to ask you about some environmental issues that you raised in your prefiled testimony, your initial prefiled testimony,
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which is marked as "Jt. Muni 115". On Page 2, Line 18 of your prefiled testimony, you mention --
[Court reporter interruption.]
BY MS. WALKLEY:
Q In your initial prefiled testimony, which was marked as Jt. Muni 115, you mentioned concerns about wetlands. And I think you also raised some of those concerns again today. Have you had a chance to review the DES Final Approval with Conditions, which is marked as Applicants Exhibit 75 in this docket?

A I probably glanced through it. I can't remember exactly, but sure I've glanced through it.

Q So, you've reviewed at least some portions of that?

A Sure.
MS. WALKLEY: Just for ease of reference, can we pull up Bates APP44459.

BY MS. WALKLEY:
Q And as Dawn is pulling this up, were you aware that DES specifically addressed construction near the Pemigewasset River in Bristol in that
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final approval with conditions?
A I'm looking at it now. It seems about reasonable, yes.

Q So, have you reviewed this specific provision before today?

A I don't recall seeing it. But it's possible that I have.

Q And just looking at the document that's before you, were you aware beforehand or would you agree now looking at it, that this approval is conditioned on certain requirements being met with respect to construction-related activities along the Pemigewasset River, in Bristol?

A Okay. Yes.
Q And would you agree, I think you expressed earlier, $I$ think in response to Mr. Stamp's questions, that the Town of Bristol is obviously concerned about protecting the Pemi, and that's one of the top concerns. Would you agree that the purpose for this particular condition is to ensure the protection of the Pemi River in this particular area around Bristol?

A I think it's a condition of the permit. It
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doesn't necessarily appear to me that it's focused on protection.

Q Okay. So, you wouldn't agree that this condition on certain requirements for construction-related activities is intended to protect the Pemi in Bristol?

A I guess, are you referring to number 2?
Q Yes.
A I don't know if $I$ would necessarily -- I don't -- I guess maybe I have a different definition of protection. But, I would say, from this perspective, this is a condition of the permit, to ensure that nothing more gets -or, nothing gets unduly damaged.

Q Mr. Coates, as you sit here today, are there other concerns related to the Pemi that you feel weren't adequately addressed in the final decision by DES?

A Yes. I mean, we have our Pemi overlay district. So, I'm not seeing anything regarding provisions of following the Pemi overlay district. So, in particular, it prohibits structures on slopes which exceed 15 percent. And I don't see that in here.
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Q Okay. So, with respect to what DES has done here, you feel that that was inadequate?

A At this time, yes.
Q I wanted to ask you a couple of questions about orderly development of the region, which Mr. Pappas asked you about as well. On Page 3, Lines 18 through 20 of your prefiled testimony you conclude that the Project as proposed in Bristol would unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region. And I believe you had a similar sentiment in your supplemental testimony, is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And it appears to me that your testimony and your supplemental testimony primarily focused on the Bristol Zoning Ordinance and the Bristol Master Plan, is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Have you had a chance to review the SEC's rules, specifically site 301.15 that relates to orderly development of the region?

A I don't have that memorized, sorry.
Q Were you aware that that particular rule
requires the Committee to consider various
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aspects of orderly development, such as land use, employment, and the economy of the region?

A I would imagine that it would, yes.
Q As a component of that discussion, I wanted to ask you a couple of questions about tax benefits in your community. I didn't see a discussion of tax benefits in your testimony. Is that something that you considered at all when you were drafting your prefiled or supplemental testimony?

A We've talked about it, more recently we've talked about it.

Q Okay. I'd like to pull up Applicants Exhibit 486. And this is a letter that was also noted on the outreach summary that we went over earlier. Have you seen this letter before?

A Yes.
Q And based on the estimates that are provided by the Applicants' expert, Dr. Shapiro, Dr. Shapiro has estimated that the first year tax payments for Bristol is $\$ 158,457$. Do you see that?

A Yes.
MS. WALKLEY: And I'd like to pull up
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Applicants Exhibit 487 please, Dawn.
BY MS. WALKLEY:
Q And I will represent to you that this sheet
that we've just put up is from the Town's
website and is publicly available information.
Would you agree, looking at this exhibit, that
currently Eversource Energy is the highest
taxpayer in the Town of Bristol at $\$ 326,171$ ?
A Yes.
Q And going back to that figure that we don't actually have to pull it back up, but the last figure that was just provided to you was
$\$ 158,457$ for first year taxes for Northern
Pass. Do you recall that as well?
A Uh-huh. Yes.
Q So, would you agree, based on the projections
that at least the Project has come up with, and
the document that we're looking at now, that
Northern Pass would become the second highest
taxpayer in the Town of Bristol?
A Could I see the second page of that letter?
Q Sure.
A Because $I$ saw an asterisk on the first page.
So, the thing that the Board saw that was
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concerning for us is that second line, where it's "and your local spending decisions over time, and may be substantially different -CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Slow down. Slow down.
WITNESS COATES: Sorry.

## BY THE WITNESS:

A So, the asterisk was a concern to the Board, and in particular the second line, "your local spending decisions over time may be substantially different from the scenario modeled here." So, to me, there's no commitment there from Eversource. That's one concern.

It's my understanding as well that that's a first year payment, in that the Project plans on 40 years straight line depreciation of the appraised value. So, we don't expect that we'll be seeing that number in year 2 through 40 .

Q Okay. Just going back to my question, though, I think my question was just specifically related to the figure we provided for the first year.
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A Uh-huh.
Q And you would agree that, with respect to that number, that would put Northern Pass as the second highest taxpayer in the Town of Bristol, correct?

A To the Town, yes.
Q Okay. And have you actually reviewed Dr.
Shapiro's report that was submitted in this
docket, which is Applicants exhibit -- Exhibit
1, Appendix 44 related to taxes?
A I just saw it just now in the previous testimony.

Q So, with respect to that asterisk, were you aware that, in that report, we won't pull it up here, but in that report Dr. Shapiro does provide a range. And it's my understanding that that number that she's provided in this letter to the Town is actually the median number. So, that's where that asterisk is coming from. Were you aware of that?

A No. I guess the other part of the number that's concerting is that $I$ believe it to be more than just the municipal Chair. That it would be actually all taxpayers. So, school
\{SEC 2015-06\}[Day 68/Morning Session ONLY]\{12-18-17\}
[WITNESS: Coates]
district as well, I'm looking from -- something off of your website that talks about municipal and local education. So that number to me doesn't seem to be completely true.

Okay. I'm not exactly sure what you're referring to. But, with respect to the number that was provided to the Town, the 156,000 or so, --

A Uh-huh.
-- would you agree that that's a significant tax payment to the Town of Bristol?

A I would say that it's -- we will certainly welcome any additional revenue if the Project were to get permitted. However, we feel that the costs outweigh the benefits.

MS. WALKLEY: Okay. Thank you. I have no other questions.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Members of the Committee, questions?

Mr. Wright.
DIR. WRIGHT: Good morning, Mr.
Coates.
WITNESS COATES: Good morning.
DIR. WRIGHT: Craig Wright, with DES.
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BY DIR. WRIGHT:
Q You mentioned the Pemigewasset overlay district.

A Uh-huh.
Q And the restriction of construction on slopes of 15 degrees or more and -- 15 percent or more, sorry.

A Yes.
Q Do you know how many structures would not meet that requirement in Bristol?

A How many structures would not meet that requirement right now, in Bristol, in terms of --

Q Or, how many of the proposed Northern Pass structures would -- do you have a count as to how many you believe that might not meet that requirement?

A I don't have a count, no. I don't have the map in front of me.

Q Okay. It's more than one, though, or is it one, do you know?

A I don't have the map in front of me.
Q Does the Town ever grant exceptions to that requirement?
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A Well, it's on a ZBA basis. So, -DIR. WRIGHT: Okay. Thank you. WITNESS COATES: Uh-uh. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Oldenburg. MR. OLDENBURG: Thank you. Hey, good morning.

WITNESS COATES: Good morning.
BY MR. OLDENBURG:
Q I think Bristol is in a pretty unique situation, because there's a hydro dam in Bristol, --

A Yes.
Q -- on the Pemi, correct, that generates power.
A Yes.
Q And if $I$ remember right, we stopped, I think it was on Route 104, and looked down the corridor, and there's either a switching station, I don't know if it's a substation, something that you can actually see down the corridor.

So, is Bristol's main concern the heighth of the towers? If they were lower, would it, I don't want to say in favor of it, but that would be a mitigation effort?

A Yes. The prevailing comment that I've heard in
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town is, if we bury the lines or the Project runs along 93, the opposition stops.

MR. OLDENBURG: All right. Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Iacopino.
BY MR. IACOPINO:
Q You were asked at the beginning of your testimony -- during your direct testimony about your road agent. Do you recall that testimony?

A Yes.
Q All of the Project is above ground in Bristol, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Where is it that you would want your road agent to have some kind of control or delegation over the Project?

A Well, I think every time, if there's going to be traffic pattern changes or there's going to be any road closures or any impact to -whether there's damage to the road, we'd certainly want the road agent to be overseeing that, making sure that there isn't damage being done to the road from construction vehicles going back and forth.
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MR. IACOPINO: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Anything else
from the Committee?
[No indication given.]
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fillmore, do you have any redirect?

MS. FILLMORE: I do. Just a few things, if $I$ could do it from here.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. FILLMORE:
Q You were just asked about the roads and the road agent. Is it your understanding that the Project in Bristol is proposed to cross some local roads?

A Yes.
Q That --
A Peaked Hill.
Q Thank you. And just a few questions about the MOU. Attorney Walkley asked you about the status of MOU discussions, and you explained that the Town of Bristol wasn't satisfied with the terms, despite attempts to negotiate the language. The Town did notify Northern Pass of its proposal, correct?
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A Yes.
Q And it's your understanding that Northern Pass refused most of those requests?

A Correct.
And for that reason negotiations broke down?
I think we would like -- we're always open to talking, but we'd like to see somewhat we feel is a better effort.

Q And, so, as you sit here today, based on the previous discussions that you've had and everything you've heard today, what level of confidence do you have that Northern Pass would agree to modify the MOU to meet any of the requests that the Town has?

A I can go only on the past, and $I$ haven't seen it yet. But we're open to talking.

MS. FILLMORE: Thank you. That's all
I have.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Mr.
Coates. You can return to your seat.
WITNESS COATES: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're going to
break for lunch in a few minutes. I am told
that Mr. Murphy can't be here today. So, when
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we come back from lunch we're going to be hearing from the Bethlehem-Plymouth abutters. Given the way their testimony is set up, it really makes little sense for them to go up as a group, a large group, and then have some of them come back individually. So, once they're up there, we're just going to keep them up there and do all the questioning.

We do want to get a sense of how long they're going to be testifying, because that will tell us whether to have the last potential witness of the day drive here. So, we're going to go off the record and have that conversation.
[Off-the-record discussion ensued.]

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So, now we'll
break for lunch and break for about an hour.
(Lunch recess taken at 12:19
p.m. and concludes the Day 68

Morning Session. The hearing
continues under separate cover
in the transcript noted as
Day 68 Afternoon Session ONLY.)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MR. PAPPAS: | [5] 137/19 137/22 | \$46 [1] 95/18 |
| [11] 34/20 35/11 | 141/18 145/23 | \$46 million [1] |
| 48/19 52/2 52/12 | 149/15 | 95/18 |
| 54/2 125/8 125/11 | MS. | \$574,000 [1] 118/17 |
| 129/9 129/21 133/8 | WEATHERSBY | - |
| MR. STAMP: [4] |  | -- from [1] 66/22 |
| 133/16 135/8 | COA | -- I [1] 105/17 |
| 135/14 137/11 | COATES: 8 ] 125/10 125/15 | 0 |
| 56/10 56/12 56/19 | 137/21 147/5 | 02-24-17 [1] 3/21 |
| 56/23 57/7 107/9 | 149/22 151/2 151/6 | 03-01-17 [1] 3/23 |
| 107/11 110/19 | 154/20 | 052 [1] 1/24 |
| MS. FILLMORE: | WITNESS M | 06 [1] 1/7 |
| [24] 4/14 4/18 5/18 | [22] 4/17 4/21 48/9 | 1 |
| 6/1 6/15 23/7 23/9 | 48/12 48/16 48/18 | 1-17 [1] 3/5 |
| 34/10 34/16 57/10 |  | 10 [3] 8/7 31/10 |
| 57/16 58/4 58/9 | $\begin{aligned} & 65 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | 90/7 |
| 92/11 115/2 116/7 | 86/21 101/1. | 10-minute [1] |
| 116/13 119/6 | 106/21 107/10 | 107/3 |
| 119/21 122/2 | 110/21 113/12 | 103 [2] 3/3 5/20 |
| 124/12 125/5 153/6 154/16 | 120/1 | 104 [4] 3/4 6/3 12/3 |
| MS. SAFFO: [13] | \$ | 151/16 |
| 54/6 54/11 56/11 | \$10,000 [2] 82/15 | 108 [1] 7/14 |
| 56/15 56/22 56/24 | 111/24 | 11 [5] 3/4 97/10 |
| 57/18 58/2 58/5 | \$153,000 [1] 136/18 | 98/19 98/22 99/6 |
| 58/13 65/24 66/2 | \$158,457 [2] 145/21 | 111 [1] 2/9 |
| 67/2 | 146/13 | 113 [1] 2/10 |
| MS. | \$22,000 [2] 82/23 | 114 [2] 59/9 67/24 |
| SCHIBANOFF: | 112/10 | 115 [5] 2/7 3/14 |
| [2] 70/18 73/9 | \$30,000 [1] 82/17 | 122/5 141/1 141/7 |


| 1 | 145 [2] 3/21 3/22 | 45/6 49/10 49/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 [23] 3/15 8/20 | 147 [1] 83/18 | 50/16 50/18 54/17 |
| 9/18 10/19 30/20 | 148 [1] 73/24 | 63/14 63/20 141/2 |
| 30/21 35/3 36/4 | 14th [2] 74/8 | 144/7 |
| 36/20 36/21 38/7 | 138/12 | 18th [1] 56/19 |
| 41/14 42/14 43/2 | 15 [7] 3/17 46/12 | 19th [1] 19/21 |
| 45/21 46/21 51/7 | 47/1 100/6 100/8 | 2 |
| 54/17 63/16 63/20 | 15 degrees [1] | 2.3 [4] 90/20 90/21 |
| 82/4 85/19 122/6 | 15 degrees [1] | 115/11 115/16 |
| 117 [6] 3/16 17/10 | 150/6 15.5 [1] 96/4 | 2.5 [1] 127/11 |
| 50/16 50/18 71/11 | $150[1] 2 / 17$ | 20 [9] 7/7 8/7 8/11 |
| 122/6 | $151[1] 2 / 17$ | 31/10 44/1 71/12 |
| 118 [3] 3/17 54/17 | $152[1] 2 / 18$ | 85/17 128/9 144/7 |
| 122/6 | $153[1] 2 / 15$ | 2000 [3] 111/22 |
| 11:12 [1] 107/4 | $156,000 \text { [1] 149/7 }$ | 112/16 112/24 |
| 11:22 [1] 107/5 | 15th [4] 5/17 31/23 | 2011 [1] 97/5 |
| 12 [3] 29/8 85/2 97/4 | 121/22 122/4 | 2012 [3] 97/7 98/1 |
| 97/4 | $16[4] \text { 30/18 30/19 }$ | 111/15 |
| 12-26-17 [1] 1/6 | $98 / 2299 / 6$ | 2015 [2] 5/12 |
| 121 [1] 2/13 | $\begin{array}{llll}98 / 22 & 99 / 6 & \\ 17 & \text { [4] } & 1 / 6 & 3 / 5 \\ 3 / 21\end{array}$ | 111/22 |
| $122[4] 3 / 143 / 15$ $3 / 163 / 17$ | 17 [4] $3 / 23$ | 2015-06 [1] 1/7 |
| 3/16 3/17 |  | 2016 [6] 3/11 3/13 |
| 124 [1] 3/18 | 17th [3] 5/23 71/1 | 5/17 112/9 121/22 |
| 125 [1] 2/13 125 feet [1] 128/2 | 122/1 | 122/4 |
| 125 feet [1] 128/2 |  | 2017 [20] 1/3 3/8 |
| 12:19 [1] 155/18 | 9/20 12/18 27/19 | 5/9 5/24 19/21 |
| 13 [1] 3/5 | 30/12 35/3 36/1 | 21/14 22/12 24/16 |
| 13.5 [1] 96/9 | $36 / 437 / 1537 / 16$ | 31/23 56/19 58/11 |
| 133 [2] 2/14 28/8 | 38/1 38/7 38/18 | 59/19 71/1 85/2 |
| 138 [2] 2/14 3/19 | 38/1 38/7 38/18 | 112/5 113/1 118/6 |
| 14 [4] 8/11 44/1 | 41/7 41/8 41/17 | 119/15 122/1 |
| 71/1 136/7 | 42/4 42/14 43/2 | 138/13 |


| 2 | 4 | 68 [5] 1/3 4/3 8/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208 [1] 115/8 | 4-rod [1] 60/5 | 155/19 155/23 |
| 22 [2] 3/6 31/8 | 40 [2] 147/17 | 7 |
| 222 [1] 31/22 | 147/20 | 70 [2] 2/6 128/1 |
| 24 [1] 3/7 | 407 [1] 21/12 | 70 feet [1] 128/6 |
| 24th [1] 21/14 | 42 [1] 6/24 | 73 [1] 40/16 |
| 26 [3] 3/9 71/11 | 44 [2] 95/4 148/10 | 75 [1] 141/12 |
| 132/16 | 45 [2] 101/13 | 7th [5] 22/12 57/16 |
| 2nd [2] 71/8 85/2 | 101/16 | 57/16 58/11 104/20 |
| 3 | 45689 [1] 40/15 | 9 |
| 3 miles [1] 106/2 | 487 [2] 3/22 146/1 | 90 [1] 117/16 |
| 3-rod [1] 60/5 | 488 [2] 3/19 138/3 | 92 [1] 85/11 |
| 30 [3] 60/13 61/13 $123 / 12$ | $49 \text { [3] 1/4 101/23 }$ | 93 [5] 8/21 40/1 40/2 41/10 152/2 |
| 30,000 [1] 112/7 | 112/22 | $9: 10[1] 1 / 3$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 301.15 [1] 144/20 } \\ & \text { 310-A:173 [1] } \end{aligned}$ | 5-6 [1] 123/ | A |
| 156/19 | 50 [1] 63/7 | a.m [4] 1/3 6/24 |
| 31st [1] 59/19 | 50-acre [1] 62/17 | 107/4 107/6 |
| 344 [2] 3/4 11/20 | 50-foot [2] 127/18 | A:173 [1] 156/19 |
| 345 [2] 3/5 13/21 | 128/22 | abandoned [1] |
| 346 [4] 3/6 22/11 | 51 [2] 19/20 112/21 | 136/16 |
| 57/13 58/11 | 52 [3] 3/11 3/13 | abatement [2] |
| 347 [2] 3/7 24/15 | 156/18 | 133/24 134/10 |
| 348 [2] 3/9 26/21 | 555 [2] 37/20 38/13 | ability [3] 61/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 349[2] \quad 3 / 18 \quad 124 / 17 \\ & 35[2] \end{aligned} 2 / 53 / 10$ | 6 | 62/2 156/8 <br> able [3] 92/3 123/10 |
| 36 [1] 28/9 <br> 3rd [1] 99/16 |  | $\begin{array}{\|ccc} \hline 132 / 11 \\ \text { about } & {[146]} & 6 / 12 \\ 7 / 4 & 8 / 8 & 8 / 14 \\ 10 / 3 \\ 11 / 16 & 12 / 21 & 15 / 3 \\ 15 / 15 & 16 / 13 & 17 / 13 \end{array}$ |


| A | 102/13 102/23 | 64/17 64/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| about... [135] 18/22 | 103/15 105/18 | Academy School |
| 19/6 19/13 19/17 | 113/5 113/6 113/18 | 44/8 |
| 23/22 24/1 24/10 | 117/8 119/1 119/1 | accept [2] 69/15 |
| 25/8 26/6 27/9 | 119/13 119/14 | 112/3 |
| 30/15 31/6 32/21 | 119/16 121/20 | acceptable [1] |
| 33/2 33/17 34/1 | 123/19 126/8 | 118/1 |
| 35/3 35/5 35/21 | 128/20 130/17 | access [10] 13/1 |
| 36/6 36/20 40/9 | 131/1 131/24 | 17/10 44/11 45/16 |
| 40/17 41/11 42/16 | 132/10 132/15 | 45/17 45/20 84/16 |
| 46/7 46/8 47/8 | 133/22 134/5 | 87/9 87/13 99/18 |
| 47/16 48/23 49/2 | 134/10 134/20 | accessed [1] 17/7 |
| 49/4 50/10 50/15 | 135/10 135/17 | accessing [2] 13/8 |
| 51/5 52/16 52/19 | 138/11 139/2 | 39/24 |
| 54/15 55/19 56/17 | 140/22 141/8 142/2 | accident [1] 13/16 |
| 61/9 61/23 62/21 | 142/18 144/4 144/6 | accidents [2] 43/21 |
| 66/19 67/15 74/8 | 145/5 145/11 | 68/4 |
| 74/10 74/14 75/10 | 145/12 149/2 152/8 | accommodate [2] |
| 75/18 76/16 77/8 | 153/11 153/18 | 33/18 116/12 |
| 77/11 77/12 80/17 | 153/19 155/17 | accommodated [1] |
| 81/6 81/16 82/14 | above [3] 35/24 | 33/19 |
| 83/9 83/10 83/15 | 96/1 152/11 | according [3] 95/16 |
| 85/9 85/13 85/14 | abreast [1] 103/13 | 105/22 112/3 |
| 85/18 86/11 87/15 | absolutely [7] 33/6 | accountability [1] |
| 87/16 87/21 88/3 | 44/5 47/21 65/10 | 16/16 |
| 88/7 88/10 88/14 | 118/3 127/4 127/9 | accurate [1] 156/5 |
| 90/5 90/8 90/9 | abutters [1] 155/2 | acquired [1] 70/9 |
| 90/10 90/12 90/16 | abutting [7] 59/15 | acre [1] 62/17 |
| 91/4 91/6 91/16 | 60/8 68/17 70/20 | across [19] 10/18 |
| 93/9 93/10 94/12 | 72/8 72/12 73/7 | 10/19 29/4 36/16 |
| 94/22 95/7 100/22 | Academy [6] 40/23 | 36/20 36/21 37/15 |
| 101/12 101/22 | 41/1 44/8 64/14 | 37/15 37/16 38/1 |


| A | additional [4] 18/1 | adopt [2] 6/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| across... [9] 38/18 | 19/5 103/8 149/13 | 12 |
| 39/10 41/1 41/7 | additions [2] 6/5 | adversely [4] 71/3 |
| 41/8 42/9 51/11 | 122/8 | 71/24 93/15 94/5 |
| 64/17 96/8 | address [18] 76/17 | Advisory [2] |
| act [1] 135/20 | 76/23 77/6 79/13 | 103/12 133/18 |
| acted [1] 112/8 | 81/18 83/11 86/10 | aerial [1] 86/20 |
| action [2] 156/12 | 88/11 92/10 95/12 | aesthetic [4] 97/20 |
| 156/15 | 102/12 103/9 | 98/11 98/14 98/15 |
| activities [7] 10/24 | 104/16 104/23 | aesthetics [2] |
| 32/11 93/2 97/15 | 105/6 106/15 | 132/11 134/14 |
| 99/8 142/12 143/5 | 139/20 140/6 | Affairs [1] 1/16 |
| activity [6] 53/12 | addressed [12] 26/7 | affect [6] 50/4 50/5 |
| 65/19 134/23 135/1 | 26/15 71/15 78/19 | 53/14 63/1 72/8 |
| 135/1 135/24 | 82/9 97/22 98/9 | 136/4 |
| actual [2] 10/15 | 102/6 103/10 | affected [2] 72/12 |
| 112/15 | 139/22 141/23 | 72/13 |
| actually [20] 27/4 | 143/17 | after [13] 16/13 |
| 31/11 55/22 56/16 | addresses [2] 33/24 | 24/4 25/3 32/20 |
| 59/16 68/10 69/3 | 34/15 | 74/15 75/14 76/5 |
| 83/10 89/9 94/14 | addressing [1] | 89/22 102/1 110/2 |
| 97/7 112/1 112/16 | 78/16 | 110/3 110/17 112/1 |
| 112/16 139/19 | adequately [4] | afternoon [2] 85/2 |
| 146/11 148/7 | 103/10 139/22 | 155/23 |
| 148/18 148/24 | 140/8 143/17 | again [43] 8/7 |
| 151/19 | adjacent [4] 64/8 | 11/12 16/12 16/19 |
| add [3] 40/11 47/1 | 65/3 87/7 93/16 | 17/4 18/3 18/14 |
| 62/10 | administer [1] | 22/15 24/10 25/5 |
| added [1] 46/12 | 82/21 | 26/8 27/8 27/16 |
| addition [5] 32/15 | Administrator [4] | 29/17 33/12 33/14 |
| 63/22 64/2 68/16 | 1/21 112/7 121/16 | 34/6 42/22 44/6 |
| 126/21 | 121/19 | 48/1 48/6 51/24 |


| A | 48/2 114/7 116/1 | 121/2 128/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| again... [21] 60/2 | $116 / 2$ | 133/21 137/15 <br> 145/8 148/24 152/3 |
| 60/17 66/6 70/13 | agreements [1] <br> 117/2 | 145/8 148/24 152/3 <br> 152/4 152/11 |
| 72/3 80/18 80/19 | agrees [1] 7/8 | $\begin{aligned} & 152 / 4152 / 111 \\ & 154 / 17155 / 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 81/2 91/15 91/24 | ahead [6] 13/10 | allay [1] 22/6 |
| 94/11 101/11 |  |  |
| 104/10 104/15 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 16 / 1233 / 1355 / 3 \\ 60 / 1687 / 24 \end{array}$ | allayed [1] 100/3 <br> allaying [1] 77/7 |
| 104/16 106/12 | (eid [7] 9/13 17/17 | allaying [1] 77/7 <br> alleviate [1] 81/12 |
| 106/16 109/1 118/4 | $\begin{array}{rlll} \text { aid }[7] & 9 / 13 & 17 / 17 \\ 48 / 1 & 113 / 18 & 114 / 7 \end{array}$ | allowed [2] 69/22 |
| 140/19 141/9 against [5] 109/18 | 114/9 114/15 | $112 / 2$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { against [5] 109/18 } \\ & 109 / 20 ~ 111 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | akin [1] 130/13 | allowing [1] 86/8 |
| 112/15 113/3 | Alec [3] 3/7 24/24 | along [13] 18/12 |
| agent [9] 123/2 | 25/1 | 30/21 40/22 64/12 |
| 123/9 123/12 | alerted [1] 19/9 | 98/15 114/6 120/15 |
| 123/24 124/2 152/9 | all [58] 8/10 11/20 | 134/13 135/24 |
| 152/15 152/21 | 11/23 15/3 15/20 | 137/1 137/5 142/13 |
| 153/12 | 22/20 28/6 30/21 | 152/2 |
| ago [5] 22/1 25/3 | 32/12 34/17 37/5 | already [6] 54/8 |
| 26/20 123/19 | 37/8 43/3 44/16 | 55/10 58/7 62/1 |
| 128/20 | 45/4 46/7 46/11 | 66/16 133/5 |
| agree [13] 23/16 | 47/9 49/13 62/10 | also [26] 1/19 5/22 |
| 88/20 105/4 106/10 | 65/2 66/7 66/12 | 9/8 20/5 20/19 |
| 142/10 142/15 | 68/7 70/11 72/21 | 47/19 52/17 63/19 |
| 142/20 143/3 146/6 | 73/11 73/16 78/24 | 68/18 82/18 90/10 |
| 146/16 148/2 | 79/10 85/8 85/16 | 113/20 115/22 |
| 149/10 154/13 | 85/24 97/20 97/21 | 117/6 117/22 |
| agreeable [1] | 97/23 98/13 103/6 | 118/18 122/21 |
| 139/14 | 105/20 106/4 107/7 | 126/2 126/7 127/1 |
| agreed [2] 8/11 | 110/20 114/21 | 128/4 131/8 132/14 |
| 116/4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 114/22 116/4 } \\ & 119 / 22 ~ 120 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | 137/3 141/8 145/14 altered [1] 98/3 |


| A | 112/10 | 78/6 78/8 78/8 79/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternate [1] 1/17 | answer [24] 20/20 | 80/21 81/12 86/7 |
| alternating [4] 42/1 | 21/23 26/12 26/12 | 88/21 88/22 93/12 |
| 42/13 43/23 62/4 | 27/8 31/19 43/9 | 93/13 93/20 94/4 |
| alternative [2] 62/8 | 43/13 50/11 59/4 | 94/20 94/20 96/14 |
| 62/9 | 61/13 64/24 65/23 | 96/23 99/20 100/3 |
| although [1] 66/11 | 68/16 69/22 73/1 | 101/17 103/2 103/8 |
| always [6] 43/6 | 81/3 92/15 94/18 | 104/12 104/21 |
| 43/19 43/20 65/12 | 103/2 112/17 | 105/6 105/23 |
| 79/17 154/6 | 115/20 116/17 | 110/16 111/18 |
| am [20] 5/15 19/24 | 119/5 | 112/11 114/23 |
| 28/5 28/9 30/9 | answered [6] 54/8 | 117/3 122/8 124/8 |
| 31/21 32/2 32/2 | 71/20 92/2 93/7 | 135/2 139/9 139/16 |
| 50/24 71/9 96/6 | 94/15 112/11 | 149/13 152/19 |
| 96/13 96/13 103/24 | antenna [1] 87/18 | 152/19 153/6 |
| 117/3 123/1 154/23 | anti [2] 111/6 111/7 | 154/13 156/11 |
| 156/10 156/12 | anti-northern [1] | 156/13 |
| 156/14 | 111/7 | anybody [1] 137/16 |
| ambiguity [1] 60/5 | anticipate [1] 14/11 | anyone [2] 124/10 |
| ammunition [1] | anticipated [1] | 124/24 |
| 70/17 | 59/16 | anyplace [1] 94/5 |
| among [1] 114/7 | anxiety [1] 59/2 | anything [12] 4/8 |
| amongst [1] 110/2 | any [72] 6/5 8/24 | 6/20 16/8 33/22 |
| amount [4] 11/6 | 13/12 14/8 14/12 | 34/13 49/22 78/5 |
| 44/9 113/24 114/4 | 14/22 15/18 16/15 | 89/5 94/21 115/15 |
| analyze [1] 31/20 | 18/8 19/5 22/21 | 143/20 153/2 |
| and/or [1] 54/2 | 23/23 24/8 26/14 | anyway [1] 81/11 |
| announced [1] | 31/1 31/4 43/11 | anywhere [3] 53/24 |
| /21 | 49/19 51/19 52/22 | 93/13 128/1 |
| another [8] 10/5 | 63/1 67/18 70/9 | apologize [13] |
| 45/24 62/15 72/19 | 71/15 71/21 71/22 | 11/20 17/18 30/19 |
| 84/11 104/15 109/4 | 73/12 75/9 77/7 | 44/13 58/4 64/21 |


| A | 137/24 138/3 | 111/9 111/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| apologize... [7] 66/2 | 1 | approval [7] |
| 68/11 83/23 91/23 | 146/1 148/9 | 100/10 102/12 |
| 92/1 100/12 107/21 | Applicants' [7] 7/1 | 117/23 118/1 |
| APP [3] 3/19 3/21 | 31/9 71/7 85/4 | 141/10 142/1 |
| 3/22 | 122/14 122/16 | 142/10 |
| APP23705 [1] | 145/19 | approvals [2] 99/15 |
| 95/23 | application [17] 1/8 | 102/1 |
| APP44459 [1] | 7/9 7/21 7/21 8/5 | approve [1] 78/9 |
| 141/20 | 12/19 13/9 61/6 | approved [1] 97/4 |
| appear [2] 69/20 | 70/13 110/8 110/9 | approves [1] 79/11 |
| 143/1 | 110/11 110/13 | approximately [7] |
| Appearances [1] | 110/17 117/15 | 27/9 28/24 37/14 |
| 1/23 | 117/20 120/20 | 118/16 118/17 |
| appears [1] 144/14 | applied [1] 29/18 | 127/11 128/6 |
| Appendix [2] 95/4 | appraised [1] | April [5] 5/23 71/1 |
| 148/10 | 147/18 | 99/16 103/5 122/1 |
| Apple [2] 115/5 | appreciate [4] | April 17th [2] 71/1 |
| 124/14 | 89/18 94/14 109/9 | 122/1 |
| AppleTV [1] 6/17 | $\begin{aligned} & 120 / 3 \\ & \text { approach [2] 86/9 } \end{aligned}$ | April 3rd [1] 99/16 are [77] 4/3 10/1 |
| applicable [1] | approach [2] 86/9 88/11 | are [77] 4/3 10/1 11/21 11/24 12/7 |
| Applicant [9] 19/13 | approached [1] | 17/1 18/8 19/23 |
| 19/15 67/17 70/8 | 96/17 | 25/20 27/6 32/1 |
| 70/9 73/24 102/2 | approaches [1] | 32/11 44/23 49/19 |
| 124/9 124/18 | 43/23 | 49/21 49/23 51/23 |
| Applicants [22] 7/5 | appropriate [5] | 55/9 60/11 60/13 |
| 12/4 12/7 14/7 | 14/16 15/9 67/19 | 62/23 63/5 64/23 |
| 14/10 14/16 15/3 | 67/19 135/10 | 66/7 68/17 70/4 |
| 15/10 15/17 18/4 | appropriated [1] | 70/12 71/6 71/21 |
| 21/12 40/15 73/20 | 112/6 | 78/10 79/10 79/20 |
| 95/3 115/8 117/13 | appropriating [2] | 80/21 82/24 89/15 |


| A | 62/23 67/24 130/12 | 32/5 34/4 38/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| are... [42] 92/3 92/6 | arguably [1] 59/24 | 40/11 40/11 40/11 |
| 93/20 94/1 103/10 | arisen [1] 18/9 | 43/16 45/5 46/20 |
| 104/14 106/14 | Army [1] 137/1 | 50/11 53/18 54/10 |
| 107/18 107/18 | around [10] 14/9 | 54/21 57/13 57/13 |
| 108/6 108/23 | 29/8 44/6 66/6 | 57/14 57/19 58/7 |
| 108/23 109/6 | 66/12 71/12 91/19 | 58/7 58/10 59/1 |
| 112/22 113/20 | 125/23 126/6 | 60/17 61/19 62/15 |
| 114/2 114/2 115/17 | 142/22 | 63/10 63/10 64/5 |
| 116/23 117/14 | arrow [3] 41/14 | 64/14 66/5 67/16 |
| 120/8 120/9 120/21 | 41/20 41/20 | 68/19 72/7 77/4 |
| 121/3 122/13 | article [11] 82/20 | 77/6 77/19 77/21 |
| 122/21 124/20 | 97/5 97/10 97/13 | 78/7 78/15 78/20 |
| 126/12 128/6 | 97/21 98/1 111/18 | 81/24 83/4 95/19 |
| 129/12 130/16 | 112/2 112/6 112/9 | 98/6 98/12 102/22 |
| 131/17 132/1 132/6 | 113/4 | 105/8 105/21 |
| 132/16 135/2 | articles [5] 111/5 | 108/13 110/14 |
| 135/16 135/19 | 111/12 111/23 | 112/7 114/19 |
| 136/17 143/7 | 112/15 113/10 | 114/19 117/19 |
| 143/15 145/18 | articulated [1] | 123/10 123/24 |
| area [27] 10/2 10/9 | 92/11 | 124/1 124/2 124/3 |
| 10/18 12/9 12/12 | as [124] 1/13 5/20 | 124/5 124/10 |
| 17/2 29/4 32/7 | 6/2 10/8 10/22 | 124/17 128/2 128/2 |
| 41/13 41/19 42/23 | 11/19 13/16 13/20 | 129/15 131/24 |
| 48/4 48/24 62/12 | 13/24 14/17 16/4 | 134/8 134/18 |
| 62/14 63/8 63/8 | 17/4 17/18 17/18 | 135/20 136/15 |
| 64/9 64/24 65/3 | 17/24 18/6 18/6 | 137/6 138/3 138/16 |
| 82/2 90/11 132/3 | 19/8 19/8 19/15 | 138/22 139/4 |
| 133/24 137/5 137/6 | 19/16 21/12 21/18 | 139/11 141/1 141/7 |
| 142/22 | 22/3 22/11 22/15 | 141/11 141/22 |
| areas [7] 31/17 | 22/23 24/15 25/5 | 143/15 144/6 144/8 |
| 41/23 62/5 62/17 | 25/9 25/10 26/8 | 145/1 145/4 146/14 |


| A | assessed [1] 95/18 | 64/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| as... [7] 147/15 | ssments | aware [25] 8/17 |
| 148/3 149/1 150/15 | 134/1 | 18/8 32/10 49/19 |
| 154/9 155/4 155/22 | associated [1] | 56/3 92/18 95/21 |
| Ashland [1] 135/2 | 75/19 | 96/4 96/6 96/11 |
| aside [2] 94/19 | assume [6] 79/4 | 96/24 103/21 104/3 |
| 116/21 | 79/5 79/7 83/21 | 104/14 117/3 |
| ask [25] 6/11 11/16 | 103/21 127/6 | 122/14 122/21 |
| 16/24 19/17 31/6 | assured [1] 4/19 | 138/14 138/21 |
| 35/2 37/18 42/16 | asterisk [4] 146/23 | 139/3 141/22 142/9 |
| 67/17 68/19 70/6 | 147/8 148/13 | 144/23 148/14 |
| 83/9 88/1 89/14 | 148/19 | 148/20 |
| 90/15 94/16 95/13 | attached [1] 99/15 | away [3] 4/20 79/7 |
| 101/12 101/22 | attempt [2] 21/22 | 104/11 |
| 122/13 125/14 |  | B |
| 138/11 140/22 | attempted [1] | back [21] 8/1 42/15 |
| 144/4 145/5 |  | 42/19 78/3 86/18 |
| asked [22] 16/5 | attention [1] 74/8 | 88/19 89/14 90/2 |
| 20/18 21/19 54/8 | attention [1] 74/8 <br> attitude [1] 66/24 | 90/11 95/11 103/5 |
| 56/17 59/7 60/24 | attorney [6] 54/18 | 104/19 105/14 |
| 71/14 71/19 73/2 | attorney [6] 54/18 | 138/24 140/1 |
| 75/17 77/20 85/8 | 137/24 138/18 | 146/10 146/11 |
| 91/10 93/9 102/22 | 153/19 156/10 | 147/21 152/24 |
| 115/22 117/6 144/6 | 156/13 | 155/1 155/6 |
| 152/7 153/11 | attract [2] 65/15 | Backpack [1] |
| 153/19 | attraction [1] 127/7 | 108/14 |
| asking [8] 12/7 | attractive [1] 97/15 | backup [1] 44/2 |
| 13/24 53/22 75/21 |  | backwards [1] |
| 87/15 88/15 88/16 | August [1] 71/8 available [3] 34/18 | 29/21 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} 116 / 14 \\ \text { aspects [2] } \\ 145 / 1 \end{array}$ | 125/7 146/5 <br> Avenue [2] 64/20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { backyards [1] } \\ & 132 / 12 \end{aligned}$ |

Bailey [1] 1/14 ballpark [1] 112/14 bargain [1] 117/18 bargaining [1] 117/21
barn [3] 132/5
132/6 134/4
Barry [1] 73/19
base [3] 96/3 96/11 107/17
based [11] 3/22 23/12 89/8 108/23 126/3 126/7 132/8 134/24 145/18 146/16 154/9 basically [7] 8/20 22/8 60/11 61/3 67/23 68/9 68/13 basis [3] 32/6 131/22 151/1
Bates [2] 40/15 141/20
be [142] 7/17 9/9
12/15 13/4 13/12
13/23 14/8 16/11
16/15 17/14 17/14
17/15 17/21 18/2
20/8 20/15 21/17
23/12 23/17 25/19
26/7 26/23 29/3
29/15 32/4 32/8
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 32/22 } & \text { 33/19 } & \text { 37/14 } \\ \text { 37/17 } & 38 / 23 & 39 / 5\end{array}$ 39/7 39/8 39/14 39/15 39/15 39/18 39/19 39/21 40/6 40/8 41/24 44/2 44/14 44/18 45/7 45/16 46/6 46/23 47/16 49/12 49/14 50/12 52/23 53/6 53/20 53/23 54/1 54/21 57/2 57/22 59/24 60/2 61/10 62/7 62/23 63/11 64/1 64/2 68/15 69/21 69/22 71/3 71/23 72/1 72/12 72/13 72/16 73/6 76/3 77/3 77/17
78/6 78/18 78/18
80/6 85/9 86/2 88/8 89/5 90/4 90/5 91/11 92/5 96/10 96/21 100/16 101/4 102/4 102/5 103/2 110/9 110/11
117/10 117/12
117/21 120/15
123/9 124/16
126/17 127/5 128/5 130/3 130/6 130/9 130/18 131/2

132/17 132/22 134/7 134/8 134/9 134/19 134/22 135/9 135/11 136/17 140/18 147/3 147/10 147/19 148/22 148/24 149/4 151/23 152/18 152/19 152/21
154/24 155/1 155/10
became [1] 128/18 because [24] 7/8 14/2 23/3 38/8 39/16 41/23 43/17 46/15 46/20 47/23
53/20 55/16 62/13 66/15 71/20 85/17 91/12 92/7 109/16 122/19 130/6 146/23 151/10 155/10
become [1] 146/19 bed [3] 126/23 133/2 133/7
been [45] 5/8 5/9 5/10 16/24 17/20 18/4 18/10 18/21 18/22 19/6 19/12 26/15 26/16 42/17 54/8 54/24 55/7

| B | 135/17 142/11 | better [2] 67/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| been... [28] 55/9 |  |  |
| 55/12 56/9 59/12 | believe [42] 16/2 | between [7] 7/7 8/7 |
| 59/23 60/12 60/24 | 18/24 28/19 31/12 | 20/11 29/9 77/2 |
| 77/10 83/19 84/6 | 38/5 40/3 43/24 | 109/9 126/11 |
| 90/14 92/20 93/17 | 50/22 51/21 52/22 | beyond [5] 69/5 |
| 93/24 96/17 97/21 | 53/12 53/18 56/3 | 69/10 70/13 71/18 |
| 98/9 98/10 100/1 | 59/21 67/24 68/17 | 135/7 |
| 111/3 115/24 | 72/3 72/6 73/6 | Bickford [5] 27/10 |
| 121/18 123/12 | 84/10 97/9 99/5 | 27/17 51/6 51/8 |
| 123/14 128/10 | 103/1 103/7 104/21 | 51/11 |
| 133/3 134/13 138/2 | 105/17 108/20 | big [1] 63/12 |
| before [22] 4/9 | 111/14 112/18 | bike [15] 9/17 |
| 21/15 22/15 28/6 | 114/14 118/16 | 11/11 11/17 26/19 |
| 33/7 56/18 69/1 | 123/9 123/19 | 26/22 28/15 29/16 |
| 76/10 82/8 83/21 | 125/21 126/6 129/1 | 30/2 30/10 30/17 |
| 86/18 89/8 90/12 | 130/2 130/10 | 30/22 30/23 32/18 |
| 95/9 98/3 100/11 | 134/17 144/10 | 52/17 90/10 |
| 111/15 111/16 | 148/22 150/16 | bikes [1] 65/9 |
| 128/12 142/5 142/8 | believes [1] 131/18 | biking [2] 30/20 |
| 145/16 | Bench [1] 120/18 | 31/2 |
| beforehand [1] | benefit [1] 89/10 | bills [1] 82/12 |
| 142/9 | benefits [3] 145/6 | bit [23] 7/22 10/17 |
| beginning [2] 85/17 | 145/7 149/15 | 14/6 28/18 37/3 |
| 152/7 | Berlin [1] 16/1 | 40/6 43/18 46/20 |
| behalf [5] 5/13 | Besaw [1] 29/11 | 48/5 55/4 64/23 |
| 16/16 34/6 82/7 | best [6] 19/2 19/11 | 65/16 74/10 74/14 |
| 88/12 | 53/6 60/17 96/23 | 82/5 91/23 107/15 |
| being [11] 32/10 | 156/7 | 108/15 117/17 |
| 85/8 97/22 102/13 | Bethlehem [3] | 117/18 124/19 |
| 114/16 120/21 | 70/21 114/13 155/2 | 129/19 134/2 |
| 121/3 132/11 | Bethlehem-Plymout $h[1] 155 / 2$ | BL [1] 56/4 |

$\qquad$
blight [1] 97/17 block [1] 44/7 blockage [2] 8/23 45/9
blocked [1] 44/23
blocks [1] 64/9 blood [1] 82/4 blue [1] 28/12
Board [28] 3/21 5/7 5/10 5/14 7/20 30/3 46/10 53/1 53/1 54/22 59/1 59/18 62/16 74/16 74/21 88/12 89/14 89/15 90/3 96/24 99/24
110/3 111/17 119/4
120/3 125/20
146/24 147/8
Board's [1] 119/16 boards [1] 125/21 boilerplate [1] 79/1 boiling [1] 82/5
books [1] 112/17 bore [1] 18/14
boring [2] 18/17 19/2
both [8] 6/8 41/14 41/21 109/4 111/6 111/10 123/16 138/4
bottleneck [1] 9/4
bottom [4] 40/19 49/15 85/11 85/12 boundaries [1] 59/23
Bowes [8] 8/8 85/5 85/8 85/13 86/24 87/5 122/15 122/21 Bowes's [1] 124/5 Branch [2] 3/9
51/13
break [4] 107/3
154/23 155/17
155/17
breakfast [2] 133/2 133/7
Breakfasts [1]
126/23
breaks [1] 13/8
Brennan [1] 1/20
Brewer [1] 30/3
bridge [25] 27/12
27/13 27/18 29/24 30/1 43/18 49/3
49/4 49/5 49/7 49/8 49/8 49/12 49/17
49/20 49/21 50/15
50/21 51/5 51/10
51/15 51/17 51/19
51/21 51/22
Bridge/Gale [1] 51/10
bridges [5] 3/12

3/13 48/23 52/7 52/9
Bridgewater [1] 135/2
brief [3] 35/8 71/13 106/24
briefing [1] 121/1
briefly [3] 23/21
23/21 48/22
bring [13] 11/19
13/20 24/14 26/21
28/5 30/10 31/7
31/16 31/21 39/9
103/4 115/8 133/23
bringing [2] 22/10
37/24
brings [1] 27/16
Bristol [42] 3/19
3/21 3/22 121/17
123/2 124/21
125/20 125/23
126/6 126/8 126/9
127/11 127/15
128/14 128/19
131/18 133/1 136/7
137/7 138/10
139/18 140/16
141/24 142/13
142/17 142/23
143/6 144/9 144/16 144/16 145/21
146/8 146/20 148/4
B

Bristol... [8] 149/11
150/10 150/12
151/9 151/11
152/11 153/13
153/21
Bristol's [6] 126/2
126/12 127/1 127/6
128/12 151/20
brochure [1] 24/22 broke [1] 154/5 broken [1] 13/17 brought [2] 10/5 115/10
budget [3] 82/15 82/17 113/5
building [7] 11/9
17/9 39/23 44/8 47/9 64/16 137/4 built [5] 11/4 30/8 94/1 95/19 132/5 burden [1] 86/2 burdensome [1] 46/24
burdenson [1] 46/24
burial [1] 26/11 buried [6] 71/16 72/6 72/9 98/2 98/4 98/16
bury [2] 98/20 152/1

25/13 25/14 26/10
26/11 58/21 80/16
81/15 82/2 98/7
104/13 105/7
105/13 105/24
106/1 106/16 108/2
124/18 127/1 132/7
132/8 134/8
businesses [13]
44/12 71/2 78/17
108/13 124/21
125/1 126/12
126/13 126/17
126/21 126/23
129/12 136/11
C
C-o-a-t-e-s [1]
121/15
Cafe [1] 108/14
Calex [1] 48/3
call [11] 21/7 25/17 25/22 27/15 44/23
46/15 47/18 68/1
74/7 110/4 124/1
called [1] 114/16
calling [1] 129/7
Calls [2] 92/13 116/5
came [4] 109/24

110/5 111/16 116/12
can [47] 4/16 6/16
11/14 20/7 22/18
22/19 23/3 24/19
27/1 27/15 28/11
41/6 41/13 46/1
51/7 57/20 58/19
60/17 61/2 61/3
63/11 65/8 88/3
88/10 90/4 92/15
93/3 94/10 94/21
104/7 107/16
111/13 116/17
119/5 119/7 120/1
120/15 121/13
124/20 124/22
131/15 138/4
138/16 141/20
151/19 154/15
154/20
can't [6] 18/14
89/13 106/18 110/9 141/13 154/24
canceled [4] 52/23
53/20 54/2 91/11
canceling [1] 54/10
cannot [2] 43/13
88/12
Canterbury [1] 16/2
captioned [1] 3/7

| C | 26/ | changeover [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| car [1] 43/20 | 34 | 120/8 |
| care [2] 16/17 79/9 |  | changes $152 / 18$ |
| Cars [1] 43/19 <br> case [10] 18/2 24/8 | $\begin{aligned} & 134 / 91 \\ & 152 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | changing [1] 78/7 |
| $3 / 7 \text { 63/4 89/2 }$ | Certificate [1] 1/10 | charged [3] 9/13 |
| 93/19 106/16 | certify [2] 156/4 | 17/5 30/4 |
| 3 138/1 | 156/10 | chart [4] 96/7 |
|  | CFP [3] 3/10 3/11 | 118/18 118/2 |
| catastrophe [1] | 3/13 | 119/10 |
| 114/17 | chain [2] 104/1 | chief [5] 31/24 |
| [1] | 104/18 | 32/9 32/13 46/1 |
| h-all [1] 78 | Chair [4] 72/21 | children [2] 64/6 |
| cater [1] 126/22 | 119/2 129/6 148/23 | 65/7 |
| cause [7] 9/14 | Chairman [14] | choose [1] 25/12 |
| 44/11 53/16 60/9 | 4/16 5/6 5/8 5/9 | chose [2] 72/23 |
| 9 81/14 81/23 | 48/20 52/3 | 75/5 |
| causes [1] 10/23 | 11670 | Christopher [1] |
| causing [1] 59/2 | 115/4 125 | 1/15 |
| Celebration [1] | 3/9 | Chrmn |
| 13 | Chalmers [4] 71/8 | church [4] 10/20 |
| cell [4] 130/14 | 71/17 71/20 72/2 | 41/2 41/2 53/9 |
| 130/15 130/15 | chance [6] 80/24 | circuitous [1] 40/ |
| 130/17 | 92/8 134/18 | citizen [1] 68/20 |
| center [4] 8/17 | 141/10 144/19 | citizens [2] 66/19 |
| 65/16 68/3 | change [10] 16/24 | 100/4 |
| central [3] 123/18 | 17/3 17/471/22 | city [1] 116/23 |
| 123/18 128/16 | 78/5 118/24 119/11 | claim [2] 23/23 |
| certain [5] 43/3 | 119/12 119/15 | 24 |
| 80/2 90/24 142/11 | changed [3] 7/8 7/22 110/19 | $\mathbf{8 0 / 2 0} 140 / 4$ clearer [1] 64/4 |


| C | 108/23 124/16 | 9/11 15/18 31/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| clearly [1] 58/18 | 134/9 136/11 | 108/17 111/20 |
| close [5] 4/23 20/10 | Comm [2] 1/13 | 23/1 27/3 59/3 |
| 45/15 47/1 117/16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Comm [2] } 1 / 13 \\ & 1 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 / 127 / 359 / 3 \\ & 59 / 2260 / 164 / 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| closed [2] 39/8 | comment [2] | 65/8 66/18 67/2 |
| closer [2] 28/18 | 133/21 151/24 | 82/22 100/2 106/16 |
| 67/12 | commenting [1] | 108/24 109/11 |
| closest [1] 28/19 | 72/4 | 109/13 109/23 |
| closures [1] 152/19 | comments [4] | 126/9 127/1 132/2 |
| Cmsr [1] 1/14 | 139/24 140/1 140/2 | 135/18 145/6 |
| COATES [13] 2/12 | 140/10 | commuter [1] |
| 3/14 3/15 121/6 | Commission [1] | 29/19 |
| 121/10 121/15 | 13/3 | commuting [1] |
| 125/10 133/19 | Commissioners' [1] | 43/5 |
| 137/21 138/7 | 120/18 | company [2] 1/9 |
| 143/15 149/22 | commissions [1] | 17/20 |
| 154/20 | 125/21 | compare [2] 57/20 |
| come [26] 8/24 22/3 | commitment [3] | 92/21 |
| 28/19 29/7 30/2 | 66/24 82/10 147/13 | Compel [1] 120/11 |
| 38/18 78/3 79/8 | committee [20] 1/2 | compelled [1] |
| 96/22 98/15 103/8 | 1/12 2/16 5/3 8/16 | 48/15 |
| 105/14 109/7 | 23/3 78/9 89/10 | compensate [2] |
| 110/14 110/15 | 94/21 103/13 | 14/11 14/23 |
| 115/24 118/4 | 105/15 109/19 | compete [1] 133/5 |
| 120/22 123/10 | 114/24 121/13 | complain [1] 82/14 |
| 132/20 133/4 134/6 | 133/19 134/17 | complaint [2] 18/21 |
| 134/16 146/17 | 135/10 144/24 | 19/4 |
| 155/1 155/6 | 149/19 153/3 commonsense [3] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { complaints [1] } \\ & 80 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| comes [4] 41/9 61/14 105/7 105/7 coming [6] 17/3 | 53/15 72/10 94/9 communities [5] | complete [4] 56/8 <br> 110/11 117/14 |


| C | 131/24 135/16 | concerting [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| complete... [1] | 142/18 | 148/2 |
| 117/17 | $\mathbf{c o l}$ | $\mathbf{c o l}$ |
| completed [2] | concerns [73] 7/19 | conces |
| 55/24 110/9 | 8/14 12/21 13/3 | $81 / 11$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { completely [1] } \\ & 149 / 4 \end{aligned}$ | 16/13 20/19 21/23 | concessions [1] |
| completes [1] | 22/7 22/22 23/19 | 61/8 |
| 137/12 | 25/7 25/8 25/13 | conclude [1] 144/8 |
| completion [2] | 25/20 26/7 26/15 | concludes [1] |
| 78/19 102/1 | 30/16 32/21 33/2 | 155/19 |
| complexity [1] | 34/1 34/15 60/4 | conclusion [2] |
| 68/22 | 62/15 76/16 77/8 | 59/10 105/4 |
| component [1] | 77/12 78/16 79/14 | Concord [1] 1/4 |
| 145/4 | 83/6 83/12 83/14 | concrete [2] 80/6 |
| compute [1] 24/11 | 86/10 88/7 90/21 | 83/11 |
| concede [1] 81/10 | 90/22 91/6 92/11 | condition [10] |
| concern [26] 3/8 | 97/20 98/12 98/14 | 100/6 100/8 101/1 |
| 9/23 16/21 26/3 | 98/15 98/23 99/10 | 101/13 101/16 |
| 31/3 32/15 46/9 | 99/21 100/1 100/4 | 101/23 142/21 |
| 46/10 59/14 80/16 | 100/22 102/13 | 142/24 143/4 |
| 88/11 88/13 93/10 | 103/6 103/9 104/6 | 143/12 |
| 93/12 94/22 102/5 | 104/16 104/23 | conditioned [1] |
| 102/18 113/7 118/3 | 105/6 106/15 | 142/11 |
| 132/9 132/18 134/5 | 109/12 109/18 | conditions [14] |
| 134/14 147/8 | 117/23 117/24 | 99/15 99/21 102/10 |
| 147/14 151/20 | 118/24 132/10 | 102/16 102/19 |
| concerned [15] | 132/14 133/4 | 103/4 103/9 109/15 |
| 10/3 23/22 24/1 | 134/21 139/21 | 117/8 117/23 |
| 24/10 30/15 39/17 | 140/5 140/9 140/20 | 139/16 141/11 |
| 39/18 52/18 55/19 | 140/21 141/7 141/9 | 142/1 156/8 |
| 62/21 66/19 113/5 | 142/19 143/16 | condominium [1] |


| C | considering [1] | consultant [1] 71/7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| condominium... [1] | 62/2 | contention [1] |
| 64/16 | consistent [1] 102/3 | 60/19 |
| Condominiums [1] | constantly [1] 63/5 | contentions [1] |
| 64/18 | construct [2] 30/1 | 61/12 |
| conducting [1] 18/5 | 127/21 | context [4] 10/6 |
| confidence [2] | constructed [5] | 81/9 83/9 136/5 |
| 106/6 154/12 | 26/23 29/15 61/10 | continual [1] 13/15 |
| confirm [2] 28/11 | 88/23 96/2 | continuation [1] |
| 38/6 | constructing [1] | 11/12 |
| confirming [1] | 69/3 | continue [2] 67/9 |
| 20/23 | construction [49] | 69/14 |
| conflicts [2] 10/3 | 7/1 7/10 8/9 14/14 | continues [1] |
| 14/20 | 23/24 26/12 30/13 | 155/21 |
| confluence [1] | 31/14 31/20 32/22 | continuing [2] 53/2 |
| 136/21 | 33/3 33/10 34/1 | 140/16 |
| confuse [1] 58/8 | 50/4 52/20 52/23 | contradicting [1] |
| confused [1] 81/24 | 53/12 53/21 60/10 | 70/2 |
| confusion [1] 67/18 | 61/20 61/24 62/3 | control [3] 40/16 |
| congested [1] 63/11 | 62/4 62/11 63/13 | 42/12 152/15 |
| connect [1] 136/20 | 63/22 64/1 66/6 | conversation [6] |
| connection [1] 18/6 | 81/8 81/13 84/1 | 20/2 20/23 26/3 |
| Conservation [1] | 84/2 85/4 85/21 | 26/17 140/19 |
| 136/18 | 86/9 91/19 94/10 | 155/14 |
| consider [6] 31/13 | 102/24 108/4 117/8 | conversations [4] |
| 74/11 74/18 78/11 | 123/4 129/2 138/13 | 53/3 104/1 104/7 |
| 87/13 144/24 | 138/21 141/23 | 104/9 |
| consideration [1] | 142/12 143/5 150/5 | cooperation [2] |
| 22/22 | 152/23 | 23/18 86/4 |
| considered [4] 86/8 | construction-relate | coordinate [3] |
| 100/11 120/15 | d [2] 142/12 143/5 | 75/19 77/1 91/18 |
| 145/8 | construed [1] 78/7 | coordinating [1] |


| C | 77/14 77/23 84/23 | 47/3 53/23 59/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coordinating... [1] | 91/21 91/22 97/11 | 72/15 72/17 72/18 |
| 15/4 | 98/5 101/9 102/14 | 72/22 73/23 74/21 |
| copies [1] 139/20 | 102/15 111/13 | 75/3 83/11 84/18 |
| corner [2] 38/4 | 113/23 121/22 | 85/9 86/4 87/9 89/9 |
| 44/7 | 126/20 126/24 | 91/17 91/20 92/10 |
| Corps [1] 137/1 | 127/23 128/23 | 95/11 95/12 100/5 |
| correct [104] 5/17 | 130/5 130/24 | 110/15 111/19 |
| 5/18 5/24 6/1 14/24 | 139/10 144/12 | 113/1 113/1 113/2 |
| 15/1 17/23 20/5 | 144/17 148/5 | 122/23 124/6 129/1 |
| 20/6 20/14 20/24 | 151/13 152/12 | 133/21 134/1 136/3 |
| 22/5 26/24 28/2 | 153/24 154/4 | 146/21 153/8 |
| 28/10 29/5 29/6 | corrected [1] 45/16 | couldn't [4] 80/1 |
| 29/9 29/11 29/24 | corrections [2] 6/5 | 80/2 139/9 139/12 |
| 30/9 30/14 31/17 | 122/8 | counsel [14] 1/20 |
| 31/18 36/4 36/5 | correctly [1] 66/ | 2/16 28/8 34/22 |
| 36/8 36/9 36/23 | correspondence [8] | 35/19 37/19 38/12 |
| 37/22 39/4 39/5 | 56/4 103/18 104/10 | 38/13 42/19 52/5 |
| 39/6 40/20 40/21 | 104/18 138/13 | 52/7 125/13 156/11 |
| 41/2 41/5 41/7 41/8 | 138/14 138/17 | 156/14 |
| 41/11 41/12 41/19 | 139/23 | count [3] 43/12 |
| 42/23 42/24 47/11 | correspondences | 150/15 150/18 |
| 47/20 49/16 49/18 | [1] 138/9 | counted [1] 132/16 |
| 51/13 51/14 58/23 | corridor [3] 134/23 | counter [1] 103/3 |
| 58/23 60/2 61/18 | 151/16 151/19 | country [4] 13/18 |
| 62/6 62/7 62/13 | cost [1] 14/2 | 94/3 109/2 114/11 |
| 64/3 64/6 65/4 65/5 | costs [2] 14/8 | County [3] 19/20 |
| 66/8 66/9 66/13 | 149/15 | 119/19 120/18 |
| 66/14 74/18 74/19 | could [46] 9/6 9/21 | couple [18] 6/13 |
| 75/1 75/2 75/6 75/7 | 9/21 10/10 15/5 | 19/17 23/20 25/2 |
| 75/12 75/15 75/16 | 23/19 39/9 39/13 | 27/6 57/3 59/5 84/7 |
| 76/12 76/14 76/15 | 40/7 40/11 45/16 | 100/2 107/12 108/3 |



December 2017...
[1] 24/16
decide [1] 124/6 decided [1] 122/22 decides [1] 78/9 decision [4] 98/20 109/17 109/19 143/18
decisions [2] 147/2 147/10
Declaratory [1]

## 120/13

declined [1] 75/9 decreased [1] 134/7 deemed [3] 110/8 110/10 114/1
deeper [1] 129/15
defense [1] 111/24 deference [1] 72/2 deficiencies [1]
110/12
defines [1] 140/12 definite [2] 50/11 52/1
definitely [1] 33/8 definition [1] 143/11
degrees [1] 150/6 delay [3] 46/4 46/5 122/23
delayed [1] 44/24
delegate [1] 122/18
delegation [1]
152/16
demonstrate [1]
22/21
denied [2] 120/22 121/3
department [10]
12/4 16/3 46/17
46/18 47/17 59/19
87/19 113/19
114/12 123/8
Department's [1] 101/18
departments [1] 101/8
depending [1] 29/20
Depot [1] 106/19
depreciation [1]
147/17
depressed [1]
107/23
Dept [3] 1/15 1/15 1/16
depth [1] 88/6
DES [5] 141/10 141/23 143/18
144/1 149/24
describe [2] 23/21 27/1
described [2] 63/23

70/12
describing [1] 32/5 description [1] 62/17
descriptive [1] 23/17
design [1] 14/20
designated [1] 30/22
Designee [3] 1/15 1/15 1/16
despite [2] 98/19 153/22
destination [1] 89/1 detail [3] 21/5 22/16 22/21
detailing [1] 3/9
details [1] 25/6
detected [1] 19/1
deter [1] 9/7
determined [1]
30/4
detour [8] 9/4
39/13 40/8 40/10
42/16 45/3 45/9 46/19
detours [4] 35/6
44/17 47/16 62/2
developed [3] 60/14
129/12 129/13
development [12]
18/7 125/15 125/23

| D | difficult [4] 24/4 | 23/13 25/2 35/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| development... [9] | 92/21 107/20 | 7/9 |
| 128/12 128/19 | 107/2 | 89 |
| 129/4 131/22 137/8 | dig [1] 129/14 | 106/24 109/9 |
| 144/5 144/10 | digress [2] 27/4 | 109/14 120/5 |
| 144/21 145/1 | 55/14 | 120/24 145/4 145/7 |
| devise [1] 109/22 | diminish [1] 106/6 <br> Dir [2] 1/15 2/17 | 155/15 <br> discussions [22] |
| diagram [1] 67/23 | Dir [2] 1/15 2/17 <br> direct [6] $2 / 5$ 2/13 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { discussions [22] } \\ 22 / 675 / 1077 / 21 \end{array}$ |
| dialogue [1] 110/14 |  | 78/5 81/4 86/7 |
| did [25] 16/8 18/17 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 140 \\ & 152 / 8 \end{aligned}$ | 87/15 87/20 88/14 |
| 19/13 19/15 25/4 | directional [1] 7/24 | 89/5 89/20 109/12 |
| 73/12 85/5 87/12 | directions [1] 41/14 | 110/2 110/3 118/4 |
| 91/8 91/18 96/14 | directly [2] 54/23 | 138/19 139/2 139/4 |
| 98/12 98/18 100/24 | 64/17 | 139/7 140/15 |
| 113/21 118/21 | Director [2] 11/5 | 153/20 154/10 |
| 119/15 131/22 | 53/3 | dismissed [1] 79/24 |
| 134/3 137/16 | directory [2] 3/18 | disoriented [1] 37/2 |
| 139/18 153/23 | 124/18 | dispute [1] 60/1 |
| didn't [16] 76/17 | disaster [1] 13/16 | disrupting [1] 82/1 |
| 77/6 78/2 78/13 | disc [2] 65/10 65/18 | disruption [2] |
| 79/4 79/8 80/24 | discontinued [1] | 52/19 53/17 |
| 88/20 88/20 101/9 | 114/3 | district [5] 16/8 |
| 115/23 119/21 | discuss [2] 80/4 | 143/20 143/22 |
| 122/22 137/16 | 110/5 | 149/1 150/3 |
| 139/14 145/6 | discussed [8] 21/2 | do [206] |
| different [7] 35/15 | 22/16 62/1 63/10 | docket [3] 1/7 |
| 98/14 111/4 124/12 | 65/18 81/5 99/23 | 141/12 148/9 |
| 143/10 147/3 | 105/17 | document [5] 3/11 |
| 147/11 | discussing [3] | 3/13 67/19 142/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { differently [1] } \\ & 28 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 45/10 54/18 83/14 discussion [18] | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { 146/18 } \\ \text { documentation [1] } \end{array}$ |

## D

documentation...
[1] 18/12
does [40] 8/23 9/7 10/1 12/11 14/18 16/15 26/10 30/6 32/17 32/20 32/21
33/2 51/19 52/1 60/8 60/9 68/14 69/20 71/22 73/9 81/8 81/10 106/3 106/5 114/6 117/8 118/22 119/10
119/12 119/14
119/14 119/19
123/2 123/20
123/20 127/1 134/6 134/10 148/15 150/23
doesn't [14] 13/12 30/2 30/6 36/10 46/16 80/20 81/10 81/19 90/4 105/22 105/23 106/17 143/1 149/4 doing [3] 47/19 99/20 123/13 dominance [1] 131/12 don't [88] 13/9 16/12 18/15 21/6 29/21 32/14 33/12

43/19 43/20 44/13 48/8 49/2 49/21
50/24 51/24 51/24
52/9 53/24 58/16
59/10 60/21 61/6
61/11 62/22 62/24
63/1 71/20 72/1
76/2 77/3 79/9 81/2
81/3 83/5 84/10
87/24 88/18 92/5
92/8 92/17 92/19
93/1 93/5 93/20
93/22 94/7 94/14
97/24 98/8 99/18
99/19 101/5 101/8
102/12 102/21
104/24 105/5
105/15 106/10
106/12 106/13
106/15 109/16
110/1 110/6 111/13
112/11 112/14
112/20 112/20
115/20 118/8
122/23 124/6
129/10 139/12
142/6 143/9 143/10
143/24 144/22
146/10 147/18
150/18 150/18
150/22 151/17
151/22
donation [1] 112/8 donations [2] 82/20 112/3
done [12] 11/7 25/6 39/7 43/15 57/3 71/19 85/9 102/3
104/11 123/7 144/1 152/23
Donovan [1] 1/4 door [1] 20/5 dot [20] 3/11 3/13 7/5 18/22 28/17 43/15 55/16 55/16 56/7 56/9 99/15 100/10 101/2 102/1 102/3 102/11 102/11 117/22 117/24 122/18 DOT's [1] 102/4 double [1] 130/8 Dow [33] 10/8 10/21 10/21 10/24 11/3 36/14 36/20 37/10 37/17 40/19 40/22 44/7 52/17 53/9 53/14 53/19 64/4 64/8 64/12 64/13 64/17 64/17 64/19 64/21 65/3 65/20 86/14 87/17 87/18 87/22 87/23 89/3 90/8
down [32] 9/18 13/7 14/5 14/13
20/3 27/17 27/22 38/1 38/18 44/7
45/15 45/19 45/23 46/22 49/14 60/10 64/19 64/24 71/12 82/1 85/13 108/7 109/14 124/19
129/19 136/2
138/16 147/4 147/5
151/16 151/19
154/5
downtown [8] 62/9 66/10 66/11 82/2 107/22 136/12
136/15 136/20
Dr [5] 71/17 71/20
72/2 95/4 148/7
Dr. [5] 95/6 95/17
145/19 145/20
148/15
Dr. Shapiro [4]
95/6 145/19 145/20 148/15
Dr. Shapiro's [1]
95/17
draft [2] 77/18 115/23
drafting [1] 145/9 drainage [3] 100/17

101/6 101/17
dramatic [1] 89/10 dramatically [1] 87/10
draw [2] 33/4 79/18 drawn [1] 41/20 drill [1] 129/19 drilling [3] 18/5 18/9 18/13
drive [4] 40/4 40/6 46/1 155/12
due [5] 26/11 32/13 48/2 72/2 105/20 dug [1] 103/15 duly [2] 4/12 121/7 during [14] 14/20 23/24 25/22 33/10 33/22 34/14 44/3 52/23 60/9 80/14 83/24 128/9 136/6 152/8 E

E-2[2] 51/21 51/21 each [1] 111/12 earlier [33] 17/5 34/5 37/19 43/1 44/2 44/15 46/16 47/8 52/16 53/8 57/3 57/5 57/6 57/10 63/11 65/18 75/17 77/11 80/8 83/15 90/10 91/4

93/9 103/18 112/8 115/10 115/13 118/23 119/10 122/15 134/5 142/16 145/16 ease [3] 28/5 61/4 141/19
easement [4] 59/24 85/21 85/22 87/1
easements [1] 29/5
easier [1] 57/22
Easton [10] 9/12
17/18 39/18 46/15
46/16 46/22 47/18
96/1 109/5 114/18
easy [1] 24/6
economic [3] 1/16
136/14 137/8
economy [5] 126/3
126/3 126/7 136/10
145/2
education [1] 149/3
effort [4] 104/22 105/2 151/23 154/8 efforts [4] 3/20 74/5 77/1 106/12 either [6] 53/20 63/3 85/20 118/22 118/23 151/17
elaboration [1] 129/8
electrical [2] 12/16
electrical... [1] 13/2 Electronically [1]

## 1/6

elementary [1] 9/19 eliminate [1] 91/20 ELMO [1] 67/21
else [5] 124/10
126/11 133/11
137/16 153/2 elsewhere [2] 94/3 132/24
email [2] 18/11 25/4
emails [1] 3/17
emergency [3] 17/1 17/19 49/23
empirical [1] 94/20 employed [2]
156/11 156/14
employee [2]
107/17 156/13
employees [2]
107/18 108/23
employer [4] 108/6 108/19 108/21
126/14
employers [2]
108/3 126/16
employment [1]
145/2
empty [2] 136/7

EMT [2] 47/19 50/5 76/9 110/18 encroach [3] 59/9 entities' [1] 89/22
59/11 87/18
encroaching [1]
89/2
end [3] 17/8 39/24 96/8
Energy [2] 1/10 146/7
engage [5] 75/9
78/6 81/1 81/18
81/20
engaged [1] 74/5
engineer [5] 12/23
60/3 94/7 124/1
124/3
engineering [1]
69/2
Engineers [1] 137/1 Esq [1] 1/20
England [1] 24/23
enough [1] 87/6
ensued [4] 35/9
107/1 120/6 155/15
ensure [3] 115/16
142/21 143/13
enter [1] 16/5
entered [6] 15/17
76/6 110/18 116/22
117/1 117/4
entering [3] 43/20 89/4 138/20
entries [1] 138/11 entry [1] 8/12
Environ [1] 1/15 environmental [1] 140/22
equal [1] 130/16 equally [1] 47/17 equipment [1] 123/5
ERIC [5] 2/4 3/3
4/12 4/24 5/5
especially [11] 33/9
43/8 43/8 59/14
60/7 60/20 76/5
110/6 111/21
130/10 136/12
essential [1] 47/24 essentially [3] 8/11 14/3 115/22
established [1]
59/23
estate [7] 38/3 39/3
39/6 41/18 71/7
96/20 108/12
estimate [4] 24/7 40/9 95/17 118/13
estimated [1]
145/20

| E | everyone [1] 4/3 | excuse [2] 46/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| estimates [2] 7/12 | everything [3] 89/8 | 70/ |
| 145/18 | 126/11 154/11 | executed [1] 90/15 |
| etc [1] 3/17 | evidence [2] 84/6 | exhibit [32] 3/2 |
| evaluation [3] $1 / 2$ | 94/4 | 19/20 21/12 28/8 |
| 1/12 99/20 | exacerbated [1] | 35/15 35/19 37/13 |
| even [9] 44/24 | 98/10 | 37/20 38/12 38/13 |
| 48/12 59/22 63/8 | exactly [6] 46/3 | 40/16 42/20 52/5 |
| 81/5 81/11 85/21 | 58/4 75/24 110/10 | 52/8 58/7 58/8 |
| 98/10 98/12 | 141/14 149/5 | 67/16 74/3 80/8 |
| event [3] 9/8 10/21 | examination [17] | 83/18 84/6 86/17 |
| 114/16 | 2/5 2/5 2/6 2/6 $2 / 7$ | 95/3 115/8 138/3 |
| events [22] 10/11 | 2/13 2/13 2/14 2/14 | 138/7 141/12 |
| 31/15 31/16 32/5 | 2/15 5/1 34/19 | 145/13 146/1 146/6 |
| 32/10 33/4 33/4 | 34/24 115/6 121/11 | 148/9 148/9 |
| 33/21 34/2 52/16 | 125/17 153/9 | Exhibit 208 [1] |
| 52/18 52/19 52/22 | examined [1] | 115/8 |
| 53/4 53/9 53/14 | 119/17 | Exhibit 555 [2] |
| 53/19 90/24 91/5 | example [4] 86/3 | 37/20 38/13 |
| 91/10 99/8 115/17 | 90/17 93/3 94/10 | Exhibit 73 [1] |
| ever [11] 19/13 | exceed [1] 143/23 | 40/16 |
| 78/13 80/24 86/7 | except [1] 101/4 | exhibits [2] 11/21 |
| 87/13 99/20 109/18 | exception [16] 3/4 | 120/24 |
| 116/11 116/15 | 7/4 7/13 8/1 12/3 | existence [2] 25/14 |
| 123/4 150/23 | 12/7 18/16 37/20 | 128/21 |
| Eversource [4] 1/9 | 37/24 54/15 54/20 | existential [2] |
| 14/17 146/7 147/13 | 55/6 55/19 59/6 | 65/14 107/24 |
| every [1] 152/17 | 59/8 67/24 | existing [8] 14/9 |
| everybody [5] | exceptions [1] | 100/17 101/6 128/5 |
| 57/24 77/24 109/3 | 150/23 | 129/14 130/4 130/9 |
| 114/10 124/10 | exchange [1] | 131/20 |
| everyday [1] 80/1 | 138/22 | exit [3] 8/13 8/20 |


| E | 136/17 | 95/16 103/24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| exit... [1] 46/21 | extension [1] $136 / 15$ | familiarity 96/15 |
| expect [3] 14/7 | extensive [1] 87/20 | family [1] 64/19 |
| 135/14 147/18 | extensively [1] 90/9 | $\text { far }[6] 4 / 2019 / 8$ |
| expects [1] 102/3 <br> expediency [1] 52/4 | extent [2] 80/12 | 19/15 58/19 109/14 |
| expediency [1] 52/4 expenditures [1] | 100/18 | 114/19 |
| 14/1 | F | farm [1] 94/ |
| expenses [2] 14/13 | face [2] 4/20 33/14 | favor [1] 151/22 |
| 14/24 | facilities [1] 63/16 | feared [1] 91/10 |
| experience [2] | facility [3] 1/10 | fears [4] 22/7 77/7 |
| 118/20 123/15 | 14/15 100/16 | 100/3 104/12 |
| expert [6] 72/7 | fact [12] 21/5 55/20 | 140/11 140/12 |
| 86/13 93/21 94/7 | 59/9 76/23 95/16 | feature [1] 58/1 |
| 105/21 145/19 | 101/4 103/21 | February [3] 118/6 |
| expertise [1] | 104/14 109/7 | 118/23 119/15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 122 / 19 \\ & \text { experts [4] } 95 \end{aligned}$ | 129/13 130/18 | February 2017 [1] |
| 101/7 105/12 | 131/2 | 118/6 |
| 105/13 | fair [13] 13/23 | feel [16] 48/15 |
| explained [2] 21/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fair [15] } 13 / 23 \\ & 20 / 15 ~ 21 / 17 ~ 23 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | 59/22 81/22 82/6 |
| 153/20 | 25/19 32/4 81/17 | 88/14 88/15 103/15 |
| explanation [1] | 81/22 84/21 87/6 | 103/17 117/20 |
| 93/11 | 97/19 117/7 117/9 | 139/15 139/21 |
| exporter [1] 107/19 | fairly [1] 124/10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 140/8 143/17 144/2 } \\ & \text { 149/14 154/7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| express [2] 20/19 | faith [4] 104/22 | feeling [1] 66/20 |
| 100/22 | 105/2 106/6 106/14 | feelings [1] 83/1 |
| expressed [5] 77/8 | Falls [1] 136/2 | fees [1] 111/9 |
| 88/7 99/22 100/1 | familiar [12] 19/23 | feet [4] 58/18 58/18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 142 / 15 \\ & \text { extend [1] 136/24 } \\ & \text { extending [1] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 / 16 ~ 32 / 1 ~ 32 / 2 \\ & 33 / 20 ~ 54 / 23 ~ 55 / 9 \\ & 55 / 11 ~ 71 / 6 ~ 95 / 5 \end{aligned}$ | 128/2 128/6 <br> feet-to-feet [1] |


| F | filing [1] 120/16 | five [6] 40/12 40/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| feet-to-feet... [1] | Fillmore [20] 2/5 | 76/5 110/17 116/22 |
| 58/18 | 2/7 2/13 2/15 4/14 | 123/22 |
| felt [7] 23/17 79/20 | 13/10 33/12 34/10 | fix [2] 14/2 101/16 |
| 110/12 113/4 139/8 | 35/4 57/9 57/16 | flesh [1] 134/2 |
| 139/13 140/3 | 58/9 58/13 75/17 | focus [1] 54/12 |
| few [12] 6/11 11/17 | 80/8 115/2 116/7 | focused [4] 76/24 |
| 22/1 35/2 42/16 | 119/6 121/9 153/5 | 129/21 143/2 |
| 64/8 74/17 115/4 | final [3] 141/10 | 144/15 |
| 122/13 153/7 | 142/1 143/17 | folks [1] 132/6 |
| 153/18 154/23 | finalizing [1] 139/9 | following [3] 139/6 |
| field [32] 10/9 | finally [3] 14/15 | 139/24 143/21 |
| 10/21 10/21 10/24 | 88/15 118/5 | foot [5] 29/24 30/1 |
| 11/3 36/15 36/20 | financially [1] | 53/17 127/18 |
| 37/10 37/12 37/17 | 156/14 | 128/22 |
| 40/20 40/22 52/17 | find [3] 57/12 57/14 | Ford [1] 106/17 |
| 53/10 53/14 53/19 | 110/7 | foregoing [1] 156/4 |
| 64/4 64/5 64/8 | finding [1] 125/3 | foreman [1] 123/23 |
| 64/12 64/17 65/4 | fine [1] 89/17 | foresaw [1] 55/22 |
| 65/6 65/7 65/11 | finished [1] 29/15 | Forest [2] 120/10 |
| 65/20 86/15 87/17 | fire [7] 47/4 47/12 | 120/16 |
| 87/18 87/23 89/3 | 47/17 50/5 113/19 | Forgive [1] 80/5 |
| 90/8 | 114/10 114/12 | formulated [1] 81/4 |
| fighting [1] 113/9 | fireworks [1] 10/14 | forth [11] 52/18 |
| figure [6] 57/20 | first [17] 4/5 36/6 | 58/21 58/22 104/19 |
| $95 / 21118 / 13$ | 45/4 49/2 93/19 | 127/8 134/1 135/18 |
| 146/10 146/12 | 93/24 94/19 96/2 | 139/1 140/1 152/24 |
| 147/23 | 118/14 124/20 | 156/7 |
| figures [1] 93/20 | 130/20 138/12 | Fortier [4] 3/6 |
| filed [8] 1/6 5/16 | 145/20 146/13 | 19/21 21/13 22/13 |
| 5/22 7/5 7/9 12/4 | 146/23 147/16 | forward [4] 80/13 |
| 18/22 70/24 | 147/23 | 80/23 139/9 139/13 |


| F | 83/1 84/23 85/10 | 92/3 138/16 156/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ForwardNH [2] | 87/22 88/6 91/5 | $156 / 12$ |
| 3/21 21/13 | 92/6 92/10 93/1 | furtherance [1] |
| four [4] 111/15 | 95/19 96/1 96/3 | 11/11 |
| 116/22 120/9 | 96/11 96/24 97/4 | future [11] 14/1 |
| 120/21 | 97/23 98/3 98/4 | 16/ |
| Fox [1] 64/22 | 98/20 100/24 103/7 | 02/23 102/23 |
| Franconia [104] | 107/16 108/7 | 102/24 103/1 |
| 3/7 3/10 5/7 6/15 | 108/14 109/6 | 113/21 133/6 |
| 7/20 8/17 8/19 8/22 | 110/15 111/16 | 133/23 |
| 10/10 15/12 16/5 | 1 | G |
| 17/24 18/5 20/11 | Franconia's [4] <br> 46/18 72/11 83/14 | gain [1] 87/9 |
| 20/13 24/6 24/21 | $\begin{aligned} & 46 / 187 \\ & 117 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | Gale [28] 6/15 7/6 |
| 24/22 27/6 27/15 |  | 12/8 17/12 18/18 |
| 27/20 31/24 32/6 | 136/24 | 19/18 20/4 21/24 |
| 32/12 33/5 33/15 | free [1] 82/12 | 27/12 27/17 27/18 |
| 35/20 35/24 39/19 | $\text { freely [1] } 19 / 9$ | 27/19 29/13 37/16 |
| 40/4 42/23 43/7 |  | 37/16 43/17 49/3 |
| 47/4 53/1 53/2 $53 / 2454 / 2255 / 24$ | $35 / 1738 / 338 / 11$ | 51/10 51/14 57/4 |
| 53/24 54/22 55/24 | 40/14 41/18 118/8 | 66/23 83/16 83/20 |
| 56/2 58/17 59/15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40/14 41/18 } 118 \\ & 150 / 19150 / 22 \end{aligned}$ | 85/19 103/19 |
| 61/3 61/21 62/9 | fully [1] 70/12 | 103/22 104/4 104/9 |
| 62/20 63/2 63/4 | fund [3] 82/15 | Garnet [5] 108/6 |
| 63/6 63/7 65/12 | 111/24 136/19 | 108/18 108/20 |
| 66/7 66/10 66/10 | funded [1] 111/23 | 109/3 109/7 |
| 66/11 66/12 67/2 |  | gas [1] 63/19 |
| 67/8 70/22 71/23 | funds [2] 111/9 | gave [2] 79/5 93/11 |
| $72 / 574 / 674 / 11$ $74 / 1778 / 178 / 2$ | 111/19 | gazebo [1] 65/21 |
| 74/17 78/1 78/2 $78 / 1278 / 13$ 78/17 |  | gears [3] 16/23 18/4 |
| $78 / 1278 / 13 ~ 78 / 17$ $79 / 18$ 79/23 80/1 | further [8] 18/7 | 35/14 |
| 79/18 79/23 80/1 80/9 80/17 82/12 | 40/2 49/11 67/3 | general [4] 9/24 |
| 80/9 80/17 82/12 | 40/2 49/11 $67 / 3$ | 76/4 93/11 102/12 |


| $\mathbf{G}$ | 43/23 73/15 92/3 | 13/20 14/6 16/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| generally [3] 65/8 | 115/24 131/10 | 16/20 16/23 17/13 |
| 123/21 132/20 | 133/13 137/17 | 17/14 21/11 23/2 |
| generated [1] | 153/4 155/3 | 24/14 25/9 25/16 |
| 135/12 | glanced [2] 141/13 | 26/19 26/21 28/5 |
| generates [1] | 141/14 | 31/7 31/10 31/11 |
| 151/13 | go [53] 4/5 4/6 9/21 | 31/21 35/2 35/4 |
| geotechnical [3] | 10/18 10/19 11/1 | 35/14 35/14 41/14 |
| 18/5 18/13 18/17 | 12/17 12/20 17/11 | 41/20 42/1 42/10 |
| get [28] 13/10 16/12 | 18/12 23/2 23/19 | 42/15 44/11 44/24 |
| 27/14 29/22 30/18 | 25/10 33/21 36/20 | 46/15 54/9 54/21 |
| 32/14 33/12 38/2 | 38/18 40/1 44/13 | 58/20 60/10 61/9 |
| 44/17 45/1 45/21 | 45/4 45/22 49/3 | 61/10 63/18 65/12 |
| 57/12 60/21 61/9 | 54/10 55/3 60/16 | 66/19 66/20 72/20 |
| 65/8 67/11 82/13 | 61/7 61/20 62/5 | 73/1 78/4 80/12 |
| 83/22 88/15 88/16 | 62/11 64/8 65/9 | 80/22 81/2 83/18 |
| 89/1 95/14 100/13 | 80/13 80/22 81/8 | 85/16 87/2 87/5 |
| 105/10 106/18 | 85/16 85/22 86/14 | 88/16 90/11 90/17 |
| 135/16 149/14 | 87/24 88/19 92/2 | 90/20 90/23 92/13 |
| 155/9 | 95/22 109/14 114/6 | 98/2 101/21 101/22 |
| gets [7] 11/4 42/9 | 114/12 114/18 | 102/4 107/2 107/8 |
| 82/4 82/4 88/23 | 114/19 119/18 | 107/24 113/7 |
| 143/13 143/14 | 124/23 125/2 | 113/20 113/24 |
| gets you [1] 82/4 | 127/10 132/24 | 114/1 115/8 122/13 |
| getting [4] 9/8 9/9 | 154/15 155/4 | 127/10 136/3 |
| 24/12 120/23 | 155/13 | 136/17 146/10 |
| gigantic [1] 114/10 | goal [3] 75/23 76/3 | 147/21 152/17 |
| gist [1] 19/4 | 76/4 | 152/18 152/24 |
| give [8] 46/18 79/11 | goes [5] 41/7 41/8 | 154/22 155/1 155/7 |
| 79/21 80/24 84/11 | 41/18 78/11 106/1 | 155/10 155/12 |
| 93/3 94/10 109/17 | going [88] 6/11 8/6 | golf [2] 65/10 65/18 |
| given [10] 4/10 | 8/24 11/16 11/19 | Goliath [1] 113/9 |


| G | grave [3] 16/13 | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gone [3] 7/23 | 77/12 79/14 | Habitat [1] 3/16 |
| 105/11 105/12 | grease [1] 61/4 | had [64] 14/21 16/3 |
| good [33] 4/2 34/21 | great [4] 11/6 16/21 | 17/4 17/20 20/2 |
| 46/12 70/19 73/18 | 45/14 85/14 | 20/21 21/19 22/3 |
| 73/21 78/14 79/17 | greatly [1] 86/4 | 23/15 25/1 25/6 |
| 79/18 82/22 85/1 | green [5] 28/17 | 25/11 25/17 25/21 |
| 104/22 105/2 | 29/9 29/10 38/15 | 25/21 26/4 26/8 |
| 105/22 105/23 | 38/17 | 26/20 43/14 43/21 |
| 106/6 106/13 | grew [2] 128/16 | 52/15 53/2 55/17 |
| 107/10 107/11 | 128/17 | 57/4 57/10 58/23 |
| 109/24 110/24 | gr | 68/4 68/4 75/18 |
| 123/13 125/10 | g | 76/13 77/12 80/10 |
| 125/11 135/17 | 126/10 | 81/6 82/19 87/20 |
| 135/18 136/11 | Grote [5] 2/6 67/6 | 88/13 90/22 91/15 |
| 137/20 137/22 | 67/7 68/5 69/6 | 92/7 99/14 99/18 |
| 149/21 149/23 | ground [1] 15 | 103/12 107/15 |
| 151/5 151/7 | groundwork [ | 110/3 111/23 112/7 |
| got [5] 46/3 56/18 | 129/11 | 112/8 113/6 113/19 |
| 69/1 113/10 128/13 | group [6] 13/12 | 114/18 115/23 |
| gotten [3] 50/11 | 27/4 73/13 102/22 155/5 155/5 | 116/2 123/15 125/5 |
| 58/17 89/24 | 155/5 155/5 | 134/4 134/16 |
| Grafton [2] 19/19 | grow [1] 136/9 | 134/18 136/7 |
| 120/18 | growth [1] 136/14 | 136/10 138/10 |
| grant [9] 29/18 | guess [10] 70/16 | 141/10 144/11 |
| 29/20 29/23 30/2 | 80/5 94/16 100/15 | 144/19 154/10 |
| 30/6 86/2 122/17 | 100/20 115/20 | half [1] 68/2 |
| 136/18 150/23 | 136/5 143/7 143/10 | halfway [1] 85/13 |
| granted [7] 54/21 |  | Hall [1] 110/5 |
| 54/24 55/8 55/10 | gu | Ham [3] 3/9 51/10 |
| 55/12 55/20 86/24 <br> granting [1] 87/13 |  | 51/13 <br> HAMPSHIRE |

## H

HAMPSHIRE... [9]
1/1 1/4 1/9 14/18 56/7 59/19 94/1 105/20 108/8
Hampton [1] 106/3 hand [3] 41/15 110/2 112/18 handle [4] 61/24 62/3 123/10 123/21 hands [1] 133/14 happen [16] 13/18 16/9 30/5 30/7 62/19 62/20 63/18 63/19 66/20 66/20 70/22 80/2 80/14 111/5 111/11 134/11
happened [4] 15/12 19/6 19/14 77/17 happening [1]

## 120/8

happens [2] 13/4 13/7
happily [1] 4/5 hard [2] 61/15 96/19
hardware [2] 106/17 108/14 harm [1] 84/18 has [64] 11/5 15/2 15/11 15/14 16/24

18/21 18/22 18/23
19/5 19/10 24/12 25/13 43/2 43/5
43/6 43/15 54/24 55/24 56/9 59/7
59/12 59/18 66/11
68/3 68/4 68/13
69/9 70/8 70/8 72/5
73/13 74/5 83/18
93/24 98/11 103/7
103/7 103/22 104/3
104/5 104/10
104/11 104/11
104/22 106/7 109/3
112/11 117/24
123/4 123/13
123/15 128/20
130/22 131/15
132/3 133/3 133/4
133/11 138/10
140/4 144/1 145/20
146/17 154/14
hasn't [6] 58/17
100/3 102/2 106/9 110/19 140/8
hate [2] 65/13 78/23
have [262]
haven [1] 10/17
haven't [8] 42/17 50/11 56/3 56/17 63/8 92/7 103/15

## 154/15

having [8] 61/20
62/8 77/1 77/21
82/3 89/15 90/12 140/19
hazard [1] 43/18
HDD [6] 7/18 7/24
17/15 29/8 30/12
96/22
HDD-12 [1] 29/8 he [42] 20/5 20/18 20/21 21/1 21/5 21/6 21/7 22/2 23/14 23/15 23/17 23/22 24/1 24/12 25/3 25/4 25/9 25/9 25/13 25/21 25/21 69/22 71/11 72/3 72/21 72/22 81/7 81/7 81/10 81/10 85/13 85/15 85/17 86/1 86/1 115/10 116/14 118/21
119/3 119/5 123/12 129/15
he's [6] 20/3 26/5 85/16 87/7 123/12 123/13
head [5] 11/10
18/15 33/14 43/14 99/17
headed [1] 103/14

| H |
| :--- |
| heading [2] 41/10 | 42/5

headlines [2] 56/22 56/23
headquarters [3] 47/13 63/14 108/5 health [1] 22/24
hear [3] 10/22 60/3 135/10
heard [17] 15/2
15/15 31/12 33/22
34/13 46/16 60/18
62/16 74/9 75/18
83/15 132/13
132/14 133/2
134/20 151/24
154/11
hearing [4] $1 / 11$
107/5 155/2 155/20 hearings [4] 33/23
34/14 120/19
122/15
heavily [2] 132/22 136/9
heavy [2] 44/3 63/17
heels [1] 103/16 height [4] 130/16 130/21 131/11 136/6
heighth [1] 151/20
held [1] 100/3 help [5] 13/13 30/1 61/3 92/10 106/17 Henry [1] 133/8 her [2] 75/21 133/3 her people [1]
133/3
here [36] 5/13 6/9 8/6 12/8 13/24 14/5 16/23 25/17 25/20 26/14 28/1 28/16 29/4 29/8 51/7 56/15 71/8 77/17 79/10 80/21 83/15 84/7 87/21 103/11 115/12 118/8 122/9
143/15 143/24
144/2 147/12
148/15 153/8 154/9
154/24 155/12
hereby [1] 156/4
hereinbefore [1] 156/7
hesitating [1] 62/13 Hey [1] 151/5
Hickey [1] 1/20
high [12] 10/16
12/19 27/21 33/8
43/2 43/6 43/6 73/7
81/24 93/13 94/2 128/2
high-voltage [7]

10/16 12/19 27/21
73/7 81/24 93/13
94/2
higher [1] 130/16
highest [4] 3/22
146/7 146/19 148/4
highlight [1] 14/6
highlighted [3]
22/18 26/5 75/3
highlights [2] 26/4 26/5
highway [3] 93/14
93/16 124/2
highways [1] 42/22
hiking [2] 97/17
136/1
Hill [43] 9/12 17/3
17/6 17/7 17/10
17/18 17/21 17/22
18/1 20/8 20/12
20/12 27/10 27/17
38/20 39/8 39/14
39/17 41/9 42/8
45/2 45/3 45/8 45/8
46/2 47/18 48/6
51/6 51/8 64/22
108/6 108/18
108/20 109/3 109/5
109/8 111/21 112/2
113/21 113/24
114/4 132/14
153/17
him [10] 20/18 21/8 24/3 56/17 71/14 71/14 71/19 73/1 81/6 116/14 his [36] 20/19 21/1 21/9 21/19 21/23
22/6 22/7 22/8 23/18 23/19 23/24
25/6 25/7 25/13
25/14 26/3 26/5
26/6 26/7 26/8
26/12 69/21 72/3
72/4 72/5 72/6
72/22 81/14 104/9
104/9 104/12
104/12 104/16
106/18 129/17
135/7
historic [1] 132/3 historically [1] 132/4
hold [1] 101/21 hole [1] 18/14
home [3] 10/13 64/22 106/19
homeowners [1] 79/19
homes [6] 64/10 64/19 64/24 127/2 127/3 127/4
honest [2] 77/3 92/5
honestly [2] 110/1 huh [14] 15/23

113/1
honesty [1] 46/7
Honigberg [1] 1/13
honors [1] 121/5 hope [1] 8/16 hoped [1] 29/22 hoping [2] 11/3 108/2
horizontal [1] 7/24
host [1] 31/15
hosts [1] 65/21
Hotel [1] 104/9
hour [1] 155/17
House [1] 133/8 housing [1] 64/9 how [33] 5/8 5/10 21/21 24/10 26/6 33/18 33/18 40/9 46/5 46/19 58/19 61/9 61/10 66/20 69/11 72/1 72/8 81/11 88/5 101/8 101/9 103/16 105/19 119/5
121/18 123/20
129/12 136/4 150/9
150/11 150/14
150/16 155/9
However [2] 62/1 149/14
hub [1] 126/8

45/11 49/9 50/14 55/13 60/23 62/18 63/15 64/15 100/9 146/15 148/1 149/9 150/4
human [1] 79/17 hung [1] 4/4
hydro [2] 120/14 151/10
hydrocarbons [1] 18/24
hydroelectricity [1] 120/14

## I

I'd [8] 19/17 67/8 94/24 98/7 138/2 140/22 145/13 145/24
I'll [15] 4/22 9/22 10/6 14/13 22/13 25/14 37/23 49/12 52/4 59/4 67/17
73/4 90/2 94/16 116/8
I'm [117] 5/6 6/11 7/2 8/3 8/6 11/16 11/19 12/23 13/19 14/5 15/5 16/23 20/8 21/11 21/16 22/10 23/2 23/8
24/14 24/21 25/16

| I | 12 133/1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| .. [96] 26/9 | 133/18 137/2 | 12/23 13 |
| 21 28/20 | 142/2 143/20 149/1 | 152/19 |
| /20 30/19 31/7 | 149/5 | impacted [9] 71/3 |
| /10 33/17 33/2 | I've [30] 5/9 1 | 71/24 72/1 94/5 |
| 3/2 35/4 35/14 | 13/11 15/20 15/2 | /17 123 |
| /14 35/23 36/ | 16/3 18/11 20/2 | 2/16 132/1 |
| $137 / 138 / 5$ | 22/15 50/10 56 | 132/22 |
| /17 42/14 44/5 | 58/23 59/6 60/18 | impacts [18] |
| /13 45/14 54/9 | 62/16 81/3 88/1 | 31/20 71/15 85/10 |
| /123 55/3 55/4 | 92/20 93/17 102/10 | 85/24 86/5 87/10 |
| 5/11 56/3 57/1 | 104/18 105/4 | 90/8 91/7 91/12 |
| /3 60/2 60/3 | 105/11 105/12 | 91/20 93/10 93/ |
| 62/13 62/21 67/7 | 109/2 129/11 130/7 | 94/12 98/24 100/23 |
| 70/2 72/17 72/20 | 131/14 141/14 | 106/20 134/19 |
| 72/24 73/19 78/20 | 151/24 | impede [3] 49/22 |
| 80/5 82/5 82/6 82/7 | I-93 [4] 8/21 40/1 | 129/17 131/21 |
| 82/8 83/5 83/18 | /2 41/10 | impedent [1] 13/1 |
| 83/22 87/2 87/5 | Iacopino [4] 1/20 | impediment [2] |
| 88/1 89/4 | 1/20 2/18 152/5 | 9/10 13/1 |
| 90/20 91/2 | iconic [2] 24/23 | impeding [1] 88/2 |
| 91/22 91/23 91/24 | 33/14 | impetuses [1] 30/1 |
| 92/12 92/17 92/18 | idea [2] 123/1 | implications [2] |
| 93/2 94/7 94/11 | 125/5 | $171 / 21$ |
| 95/5 95/21 99/3 | II [1] 136/23 | importance [1] |
| 99/4 99/17 100/12 | illusory [2] 79/1 | 84/22 |
| 12 101/2 | 115/13 | important [2] 88/ |
| 101/22 102/7 | imagine [1] 145/3 | 00/15 |
| 102/24 104/19 | impact [16] 32/22 | importer |
| 106/4 107/20 | 33/2 33/9 34/1 | 107/18 |
| 5/8 116/14 119/4 | 44/15 80/15 80/18 | imposed [1] 117/10 |
| 121/16 122/13 | 88/2 90/23 95/8 | imposing [1] 101/2 |


inadequate [1]

## 144/2

incidents [1] 17/6 include [5] 12/16 32/17 62/4 62/10 132/2
included [3] 72/22
114/14 118/13
includes [3] 35/20 37/24 110/16 including [3] 4/4 8/12 64/10 income [2] 24/7 26/11
incomplete [4]
55/15 55/17 55/21 56/6
incompleteness [1] 60/6
incorrect [1] 57/2 increase [3] 96/4 114/1 118/19
increased [5] 14/1 14/8 14/13 14/23 130/22
increasing [1] 63/5
indicate [1] 58/18 $\quad \operatorname{Inn}[4]$ 3/7 24/21 indicated [4] 44/18 80/9 106/3 103/2 105/9 112/8 inns [1] 24/23 indication [7] 4/10 inquired [1] 50/10 56/7 73/15 82/24 inspect [1] 102/2 133/13 137/17 153/4
indicator [1] 85/19 instance [2] 24/10 individual [1] 78/17
individually [1] 155/6
industry [2] 128/17 intensity [2] 130/13 128/18
inferred [1] 77/5
inform [2] 19/13 19/15
information [14]
6/12 18/21 19/5
22/2 50/24 72/21
93/12 94/20 97/1
97/2 99/19 102/20
119/4 146/5
infrastructure [14]
12/11 12/15 12/20
13/5 13/14 14/10
14/19 15/3 16/20
75/20 99/1 99/11 interrupt [2] 11/14 100/24 101/10
initial [2] 140/24 141/6
Initiative [1] 27/14 intersection [47]

| I | 111/17 116/24 | 30/24 31/3 31/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| intersection... [47] | 12 | 37/4 40/3 43/5 |
| 8/18 8/22 10/8 | Iron [1] 29/11 | 43/16 44/10 44/10 |
| 17/12 31/1 31/1 | ironically [1] 29/19 | 46/9 46/10 46/22 |
| 35/3 35/21 36/3 | irrelevant [1] 69/9 | 47/3 47/6 47/9 |
| 36/4 36/7 36/7 | irreparable [1] | 47/11 47/12 49/10 |
| 37/21 38/2 38/3 | 84/18 | 49/10 49/11 49/11 |
| 38/19 38/23 39/3 | is [392] | 49/12 49/13 51/21 |
| 40/17 41/10 42/2 | isn't [3] 14/22 | 51/21 57/13 59/4 |
| 43/2 43/12 43/16 | 97/19 152/22 | 59/10 61/10 61/15 |
| 43/22 43/24 44/3 | issue [14] 10/5 | 63/6 63/10 65/6 |
| 44/10 45/1 45/10 | 43/19 44/14 59/12 | 65/7 66/12 66/20 |
| 50/16 50/17 51/6 | 59/17 59/20 74/18 | 67/24 70/13 74/4 |
| 54/16 63/12 63/20 | 83/10 88/10 88/19 | 78/14 78/24 81/17 |
| 84/16 84/22 85/10 | 90/5 94/23 101/10 | 83/19 84/21 86/3 |
| 85/15 86/1 86/9 | 102/5 | 88/23 89/24 92/20 |
| 87/2 87/11 88/8 | issued [3] 103/5 | 93/19 99/5 101/5 |
| 89/12 92/24 | 103/6 118/1 | 101/11 104/24 |
| intervenor [1] | issues [10] 18/8 | 104/24 105/17 |
| 73/13 | 44/11 75/19 76/17 | 106/1 106/13 |
| intimately [1] | 76/23 81/19 92/4 | 107/20 107/21 |
| 92/17 | 92/6 98/9 140/23 | 108/15 109/1 114/1 |
| introduce [2] 5/3 | it [277] | 117/9 118/4 120/15 |
| 121/13 | it's [111] 4/3 9/1 | 124/8 129/10 |
| introduced [4] 74/4 | 9/1 10/9 11/11 | 129/17 130/13 |
| 83/19 83/24 95/7 | 12/22 17/13 17/14 | 133/8 137/9 137/10 |
| invested [1] 136/8 | 18/3 20/10 20/11 | 140/4 140/7 142/6 |
| inviting [1] 65/17 | 24/6 24/21 24/23 | 142/24 143/1 147/2 |
| involve [2] 18/16 | 27/4 27/5 27/8 | 147/15 148/16 |
| 18/17 | 27/13 28/4 28/19 | 149/12 150/20 |
| involved [7] 11/5 | 28/22 29/17 29/18 | 151/1 151/18 154/2 |
| 54/16 92/18 98/6 | 29/22 30/21 30/23 | its [6] 23/6 47/12 |


| I | 3/6 3/7 3/9 3/14 | 113/8 113/8 113/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| its... [4] 118/1 | 3/15 3/16 3/17 3/18 | 115/4 121/20 |
| 125/20 128/16 | 141/1 141/7 | 124/10 124/22 |
| 153/24 | July [3] 10/14 | 128/20 129/7 |
| itself [3] 30/24 | 31/23 59/19 | 129/18 131/22 |
| 63/21 87/3 | July 31st [1] 59/19 | 132/10 132/20 |
|  | jump [2] 40/2 85/11 | 135/13 136/5 138/4 |
| J | June [1] 85/2 | 138/8 139/13 140/2 |
| James [1] 71/7 | June 2nd [1] 85/2 | 141/19 142/8 146/4 |
| Jenkins [1] 134/4 | just [95] 11/8 14/5 | 146/12 147/21 |
| Jerry [3] 19/21 | 14/17 25/23 26/1 | 147/22 148/11 |
| 21/13 22/12 | 27/1 31/22 33/16 | 148/11 148/23 |
| Jill [1] 30/3 | 35/2 35/2 35/4 | 153/7 153/11 |
| Johnson [28] 3/6 | 35/23 36/16 38/6 | 153/18 155/7 |
| 7/4 7/7 7/14 8/8 | 40/18 41/11 42/15 | K |
| 19/22 20/1 20/3 | 43/3 43/16 43/17 |  |
| 20/16 20/23 21/3 | 44/5 47/16 47/23 | keep [3] 103/13 |
| 21/14 21/19 21/22 | 48/6 52/4 52/10 | 129/20 155/7 |
| 22/14 22/17 $23 / 14$ 23/16 24/9 25/6 | 54/9 54/15 54/17 | keeping [1] 42/12 |
| 23/16 24/9 25/6 26/8 66/23 104/2 | 55/14 55/17 56/17 $\mathbf{5 7 / 2 1} 57 / 23$ 61/23 | kept [1] 137/10 |
| 26/8 66/23 104/2 104/8 104/20 105/5 | 57/21 57/23 61/23 | Kevin [5] 19/22 |
| 105/17 106/8 | 68/19 73/6 79/5 | 20/1 21/13 22/13 |
| Johnson's [2] | 79/8 81/23 83/22 | 66/22 |
| 104/23 105/24 | 84/7 85/19 89/14 | kids [1] 30/10 |
| Joint [15] 1/8 5/20 | 89/19 92/23 96/1 | kind [5] 54/9 66/17 |
| 6/3 11/20 13/21 | 99/3 99/18 100/12 | 135/3 135/4 152/15 |
| 22/11 24/15 26/21 | 100/14 102/7 | kinds [1] 123/21 |
| 31/21 57/13 57/21 | 102/17 103/15 | know [67] 7/16 |
| 58/10 122/4 122/6 | 104/7 106/10 | 13/4 13/17 18/15 |
| 124/17 | 107/12 110/5 | 19/5 19/8 19/16 |
| Jt [13] 3/3 3/4 3/5 | 110/24 111/13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20/1 24/4 26/7 } \\ & \text { 29/14 30/16 33/6 } \end{aligned}$ |


| K | 27/23 28/11 30/11 | 6/13 21/4 25/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| know... [54] 43/15 | 33/16 40/3 40/4 | 26/16 26/17 31/6 |
| 51/23 54/20 55/14 | 40/5 46/1 49/5 49/7 | 48/22 52/15 66/15 |
| 60/8 61/2 61/6 | 49/8 | 82/20 82/23 104/16 |
| 61/11 61/19 62/22 | Lafayettes [1] 40/3 | 104/17 104/17 |
| 62/24 63/1 65/12 | laid [1] 129/11 | 104/17 112/5 |
| 72/10 76/2 76/19 | Lake [1] 127/7 | 121/14 121/15 |
| 77/3 78/14 78/22 | land [13] 59/13 | 124/12 146/11 |
| 79/15 80/3 82/8 | 67/9 68/14 68/24 | 155/11 |
| 89/19 92/17 92/19 | 70/9 70/12 87/8 | lastly [1] 66/5 |
| 92/24 93/1 93/2 | 87/9 87/16 89/2 | later [2] 52/11 |
| 93/20 96/16 96/19 | 136/18 137/1 145/1 | 74/17 |
| 96/21 97/24 101/7 | landowners [2] | lattice [2] 127/22 |
| 103/12 104/11 | 66/6 68/17 | 129/2 |
| 105/16 108/22 | landscape [2] 97/18 | LCR [3] 1/24 |
| 109/1 109/6 111/5 | 131/13 | 156/17 156/18 |
| 111/11 111/13 | landslide [1] | lead [1] 27/11 |
| 112/11 112/14 | 112/22 | leaf [1] 43/9 |
| 114/9 117/16 121/2 | lane [3] 42/1 42/5 | leaf-peepers [1] |
| 134/23 135/24 | 42/10 | 43/9 |
| 143/9 150/9 150/21 | lanes [1] 62/9 | learned [1] 6/13 |
| 151/18 | language [1] | least [4] 80/15 |
| knowledge [5] | 153/23 | 109/14 141/16 |
| $14 / 2215 / 1126 / 14$ | Lara [1] 57/23 | 146/17 |
| 52/1 96/23 | large [9] 50/9 64/16 | leave [2] 9/22 25/14 |
| L | 68/21 70/1 108/3 | left [10] 36/11 |
|  |  |  |
| labeled [1] 28/4 | 155/5 | 40/5 41/15 45/5 |
| lack [4] 23/18 24/2 | larger [1] 132/12 | 45/6 45/24 46/22 |
| 24/2 24/11 | largest [2] 108/18 | left-hand [1] 41/15 |
| ladder [1] 50/9 | 108/21 | legal [4] 59/10 |
| Lafayette [12] 9/19 | last [23] 5/4 5/5 | 82/11 111/9 111/24 |

legendary [1] 24/23 Lenehan [1] $\mathbf{1 / 2 0}$ length [2] 8/9 85/14 less [2] 46/20 97/14 let [17] 37/18 38/8 67/15 68/19 73/1 80/6 83/3 83/4 83/12 85/1 86/12 87/3 88/1 92/2 95/13 95/22 101/12 let's [5] 44/19 45/2 79/4 79/4 86/17 letter [58] 3/6 3/7 3/21 19/20 19/23 20/16 20/22 21/2 21/12 21/15 21/17 21/20 22/4 22/12 22/14 22/16 23/13 24/16 24/17 24/18 24/20 25/1 25/3 25/6 25/10 26/5
26/9 26/10 31/23
32/1 32/2 32/4
32/17 56/14 56/16 57/5 57/6 57/8 57/9 59/18 66/16 66/22 74/9 74/16 80/9 80/11 81/7 104/15 104/20 118/6
118/12 118/22
119/15 119/17

145/14 145/16 146/21 148/18 letters [2] 104/1 104/4
level [2] 135/15 154/11
Licensed [2] 156/3 156/18
Life [8] 9/5 9/7 9/12 17/5 17/7 17/8 47/13 47/24
light [3] 55/20 94/4 103/6
like [42] 19/17
23/21 58/18 64/10
67/8 67/20 69/13
69/14 69/14 78/11
79/4 80/19 81/1
81/2 81/3 81/7
81/20 82/6 88/14 88/16 89/9 91/17
92/9 92/20 93/24
97/14 97/18 102/9
102/17 106/8 109/2 109/20 117/20
127/7 132/8 136/2
138/2 140/22
145/13 145/24
154/6 154/7
limits [3] 49/21
49/24 50/3
line [51] 10/16

12/19 13/8 13/17
15/13 16/17 16/19
20/11 25/9 26/11
27/21 31/10 38/1
38/5 38/6 38/15
38/18 39/10 42/9
61/9 71/1 71/12
72/9 72/14 73/7
73/9 81/14 81/24
84/4 85/17 90/7
93/14 93/19 93/24
97/4 98/2 98/4 98/4
98/13 98/16 98/20
120/15 127/14
127/18 127/22
131/19 131/20
141/2 147/1 147/9

## 147/17

Line 10 [1] 90/7
Line 12 [1] 97/4
Line 14 [1] 71/1
Line 20 [2] 71/12
85/17
lines [15] 7/7 8/7
12/16 12/17 13/2
13/2 16/10 16/11
94/2 98/19 98/22
99/5 131/3 144/7 152/1
list [10] 3/12 3/13
32/17 52/6 52/8
71/1 79/8 80/10
list... [2] 80/19

## 125/4

listed [8] 49/12
49/17 50/2 50/20
51/17 52/7 97/12 98/22
listing [1] 21/18 lists [1] 21/1
little [33] 8/4 8/4
10/17 14/6 28/18 29/24 37/1 37/1 37/3 40/6 43/18 45/23 46/20 48/5 49/11 55/4 55/18 65/16 74/10 74/14 82/5 91/23 95/17 106/1 108/15 113/5 117/17 117/18 124/19 129/15
129/19 134/2 155/4 Littleton [5] 48/3 48/5 106/2 106/19 108/17
live [8] 30/19 30/20 40/24 44/6 44/6 70/22 79/19 83/5
livelihood [1] 23/1 lives [1] 20/5
living [1] 83/5
LLC [1] $1 / 8$
local [12] 10/12

27/3 45/20 96/3 108/23 122/17
132/4 133/18 147/2
147/9 149/3 153/14 locally [2] 106/20 136/10
locals [1] 109/5
located [10] 37/3
37/15 39/22 39/23
44/20 47/5 49/7
93/14 94/2 97/22
locating [1] 131/18
location [6] 7/6
8/10 8/15 36/21 47/10 51/15
locations [2] 7/10
130/10
long [9] 5/8 5/10
8/15 27/9 27/10
40/9 46/19 121/18
155/9
longer [2] 7/11
17/21
look [19] 7/13 16/4 35/24 36/11 36/17 41/6 45/5 51/7 59/8 66/5 76/13 78/3 83/12 85/12 90/13 90/20 91/22 99/14 119/17
looked [10] 59/6 64/1 66/16 84/8

100/10 110/11 130/7 137/5 140/2 151/16
looking [19] 26/9 27/6 28/9 30/5
57/24 63/7 93/4
99/7 115/11 132/7
136/13 137/2
139/17 142/2 142/8
142/10 146/6
146/18 149/1
looks [2] 67/20 92/19
lose [1] 32/13
loss [3] 26/11 66/21 105/7
losses [1] 71/2
lost [2] 24/3 24/7
$\operatorname{lot}[39] 11 / 615 / 15$
21/6 25/13 30/16
33/6 33/21 41/2
53/4 53/9 59/14
60/4 63/17 64/9
65/21 78/23 78/24
79/1 79/16 79/16
79/18 82/11 82/13
98/8 98/23 102/15 102/16 102/17
102/18 102/18
103/4 105/8 105/14 106/15 108/16
110/12 134/22

| L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 45/9 59/13 78/22 } \\ & 118 / 3126 / 14 \\ & 140 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March [4] 5/9 5/12 } \\ & \mathbf{7 4 / 8} 138 / 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lot... [2] 140/3 |  |  |
| 140/10 |  | mark [2] 58/6 |
| lower [1] 151/21 | make [23] 9/6 26/1 | 58/19 |
| Lowes [1] 106/19 | 30/4 36/17 38/8 | marked [15] 5/20 |
| lunch [4] 154/23 | 44/23 45/18 61/7 | 6/2 11/19 13/20 |
| 155/1 155/17 | 61/8 64/4 64/5 | 21/11 22/11 24/15 |
| 155/18 | 65/16 68/20 68/21 | 52/5 57/13 58/10 |
| M | 68/23 73/4 8 | 124/17 138/3 141/1 |
| M-e-t-h [1] 5/6 | 100/14 106/5 | Market [1] 124/23 |
| made [2] 104/22 | 137/10 | marks [1] 29/10 |
| 105/2 | makes [1] 155/4 | Martin [1] 1/13 |
| magnet [1] 135/3 | making [1] 152/22 | Mary [1] 134/3 |
| magnitude [1] | management [1] | Massachusetts [1] |
| 68/22 | 124/3 | 120/12 |
| main [15] 8/19 8/21 | Manager [1] | master [3] 27/5 |
| 9/2 10/9 12/17 | 128/10 | 130/14 144/17 |
| 16/20 16/21 27/20 | manner [1] 86/10 | matter [8] 5/16 |
| 41/17 63/14 82/1 | many [11] 10/10 | 5/23 73/20 116/24 |
| 82/3 107/23 108/5 | 10/10 53/8 65/15 | 121/21 125/14 |
| 151/20 | 82/9 82/9 126/12 | 138/1 139/12 |
| Maine [1] 133/5 | 150/9 150/11 | Max [1] 133/17 |
| maintain [2] 13/14 | 150/14 150/16 | maximum [1] |
| 108/8 | map [18] 3/9 3/10 | 100/18 |
| maintained [1] | 28/4 28/6 28/9 | may [16] 3/8 16/22 |
| 61/10 | 28/15 35/20 36/10 | 18/10 20/8 22/19 |
| maintenance [4] | 42/15 45/6 45/18 | 23/23 23/24 31/4 |
| 13/15 14/15 102/23 | 47/3 49/10 49/14 | 59/9 59/23 77/10 |
| 103/1 | 49/14 50/17 150/18 | 109/20 115/5 |
| major [10] 8/18 | 150/22 | 124/13 147/3 |
| 10/13 10/23 32/14 | Maps [1] 3/16 | 147/10 |


| M | median [1] 148/18 | 144/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| maybe [10] 45/24 | meet [8] 20/18 | memory [2] 91/2 |
| 46/20 48/12 53/6 | 119/20 140/20 | 107/17 |
| 94/17 95/13 105/3 | 140/21 150/9 | mention [3] 4/21 |
| 109/13 136/4 | 150/11 150/16 | 71/2 141/3 |
| 143/10 | 154/13 | mentioned [16] |
| me [48] 19/9 21/7 | meeting [12] 21/4 | 13/19 14/21 22/1 |
| 21/22 31/24 33/7 | 74/17 74/22 74/24 | 26/20 34/5 37/10 |
| 37/3 37/3 37/18 | 75/5 82/14 82/16 | 44/15 53/8 76/9 |
| 38/8 39/16 46/24 | 97/7 111/22 112/5 | 113/20 114/18 |
| 57/18 59/7 67/15 | 113/1 139/16 | 126/18 130/20 |
| 68/19 69/21 70/8 | meetings [2] 99/24 | 131/8 141/7 150/2 |
| 80/5 80/6 83/3 83/4 | 139/7 | Meridian [2] 56/5 |
| 83/12 84/11 85/1 | member [6] 1/17 | 56/5 |
| 86/12 88/1 89/9 | 1/17 15/20 54/21 | merits [1] 1/11 |
| 92/2 92/21 95/13 | 59/1 59/18 | met [3] 103/22 |
| 95/22 101/12 102/9 | members [6] 2/8 | 137/3 142/11 |
| 103/3 104/24 | 2/16 30/3 96/24 | METH [25] 2/4 3/3 |
| 104/24 105/10 | 110/3 149/18 | 4/12 4/24 5/5 7/16 |
| 112/23 118/8 129/1 | MEMBERS/COUN | 11/14 34/7 34/21 |
| 129/24 140/4 143/1 | SEL [1] 2/16 | 52/15 65/22 67/10 |
| 144/14 147/12 | memoranda [1] | 68/13 70/20 71/4 |
| 149/3 150/19 | 15/16 | 73/10 73/14 73/19 |
| 150/22 | memorandum [14] | 74/2 95/10 106/21 |
| mean [6] 16/12 | 15/21 16/6 16/14 | 107/10 115/12 |
| 20/9 32/14 60/21 | 60/24 61/15 74/11 | 119/3 120/1 |
| 80/20 143/19 | 76/4 76/6 77/18 | MG2 [1] 3/5 |
| meaningful [1] | 81/12 88/20 89/21 | Michael [1] 1/20 |
| 89/20 | 110/18 117/4 | micro [11] 7/17 |
| means [1] 60/7 | memorandums [3] | 7/23 8/1 8/10 8/12 |
| mechanism [1] | 15/16 89/22 90/14 | 17/14 38/22 39/11 |
| $13 / 13$ | memorized [1] | 41/23 53/13 85/23 |

microphone [2] 4/16 67/12
middle [4] 28/12 40/19 115/12
126/15
middle-sized [1] 126/15
might [9] 57/2
68/15 91/11 99/17
100/23 118/20
123/10 133/23
150/16
mile [4] 27/9 27/9 45/15 45/24
mileage [1] 45/14 miles [3] 68/2 106/2 127/11
million [1] 95/18 mind [6] 42/13 61/14 78/20 101/1 101/22 131/2 minds [2] 78/5 119/21
minimization [1] 48/2
minimize [4] 14/19 80/17 91/20 115/19 minute [1] 107/3 minutes [5] 40/12 46/12 47/1 74/21 154/23
misplaced [1] 37/4 miss [2] 73/12

## 137/16

missed [1] 135/14
Mitch [1] 105/18
mitigate [1] 31/4
mitigation [1]
151/23
mix [1] 126/17
mixed [1] 108/15
mixture [1] 109/1
mobile [3] 64/10 64/22 64/23
modeled [1] 147/12 morning [26] 1/4 modify [1] 154/13 moment [4] 11/14 16/23 26/20 128/20 moments [2] 11/17 22/1
Monaghan [3]
31/24 32/3 32/9
Mondays [1] 99/24
money [4] 11/6
11/6 11/8 113/7
monies [1] 132/22
Monroe [3] 1/21
25/5 25/11
month [2] 25/18

## 110/10

months [6] 6/13
33/11 43/7 82/21 82/23 84/7
more [32] 10/17
14/2 34/3 34/3 43/7
55/18 65/6 65/16
76/20 80/6 82/18
106/1 113/5 113/6 117/14 117/17
117/18 130/6 130/9
130/18 131/12
131/12 132/7
135/19 140/20
140/21 143/13
145/11 148/23
150/6 150/7 150/20
4/2 12/1 23/10 31/8
34/21 37/19 70/19
71/12 73/18 73/21
74/15 87/21 90/10 107/10 107/11
110/24 125/10
125/11 137/20
137/22 149/21
149/23 151/6 151/7
155/20
Morris [10] 3/7
24/24 24/24 25/1
25/2 25/17 26/4
26/17 81/6 105/5
Morris's [1] 105/23
most [8] 3/23 10/20
17/7 43/6 54/7
112/24 134/16
most... [1] 154/3 mostly [2] 39/16 39/17
Motel [8] 19/18
20/4 21/24 57/4 66/23 103/19
103/23 104/5
motion [5] 120/10
120/11 120/12
120/16 120/19
motions [3] 120/9
120/21 121/3
MOU [29] 15/24
16/9 75/6 75/11
75/24 76/14 76/23
77/1 77/5 77/10
77/13 78/20 90/4 90/18 90/21 115/9
115/23 138/14
138/21 139/2 139/8
139/9 139/20 140/3
140/10 140/15
153/19 153/20
154/13
mountains [1] 127/8
MOUs [2] 115/15 116/22
mouth [1] 88/17 move [2] 139/9 139/12
moved [2] 108/7 109/2
moves [1] 4/20 Mr [63] 2/5 2/6 2/9
2/10 2/13 2/14 2/17
2/18 4/11 4/15 7/16
11/14 25/17 26/4
31/9 34/7 34/20
34/21 35/10 48/20
52/3 52/15 58/5
65/22 66/22 67/10
68/13 70/2 70/19
71/4 72/21 73/10
73/13 73/18 73/21
74/2 81/6 91/9
92/12 95/10 105/5
105/17 105/23
106/21 107/9
107/10 107/11
109/10 115/3
115/12 119/3
119/24 125/8
125/10 129/6 133/9
133/19 135/8
137/20 138/7
143/15 149/21
154/19
Mr. [73] 7/3 7/4 7/7
7/14 8/7 8/8 8/8
20/3 20/16 20/23
21/3 21/19 21/22
22/17 23/14 23/16

24/9 25/2 25/6 26/8 26/17 31/12 34/5
38/6 48/18 67/6 68/5 69/6 69/8
73/17 74/13 85/5
85/8 85/13 86/24
87/5 89/18 93/3
95/11 97/8 104/2
104/8 104/20
104/23 105/5
105/17 105/18
105/21 105/22
105/24 106/8
106/17 113/15
115/10 115/22
117/6 117/22
118/18 119/2 121/4
122/15 122/21
124/5 125/9 129/9
133/15 133/15
142/16 144/6
149/20 151/4 152/5 154/24
Mr. Bowes [8] 8/8
85/5 85/8 85/13
86/24 87/5 122/15
122/21
Mr. Bowes's [1] 124/5
Mr. Chair [1]
119/2
Mr. Chairman [1]

| M | Mr. Nichols' [4] | Ms. Monroe [2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mr. Chairman... [1 | 34/5 105/18 105/21 | 25/5 25/11 |
| 5/9 | 105/22 | Ms. Schibanoff |
| Mr. Ford [1] | Mr. Oldenburg [2] | 70/1 |
| 106/17 | 113/15 151/4 | Ms. Walkley [1] |
| Mr. Grote [3] 67/6 | Mr. Pappas [6] 7/3 | 137/19 |
| 68/5 69/6 | 8/7 38/6 48/18 | much [7] 27/5 |
| Mr. Iacopino [1] | 129/9 144/6 | 40/11 46/5 67/14 |
| 152/5 | Mr. Patnaude [ | /24 110 |
| Mr. Johnson [22] | 121/4 | 13/12 |
| 7/4 7/7 7/14 8/8 | Mr. Sta | multiple [1] 104/4 |
| 20/3 20/16 20/23 | 133/15 | Muni [27] 3/3 3/4 |
| 21/3 21/19 21/22 | Mr. Stamp's [1] | 3/5 3/6 3/7 3/9 3/1 |
| 22/17 23/14 23/16 | 142/16 | 3/15 3/16 3/17 3/18 |
| 24/9 25/6 26/8 | Mr. Wright [1] | 5/20 6/3 11/20 |
| 104/2 104/8 104/20 | 149/20 | 13/21 22/11 24/1 |
| 105/5 105/17 106/8 | Ms [16] 2/5 2/6 2/7 | 26/21 31/21 57/13 |
| Mr. Johnson's [2] | 2/9 2/13 2/14 2/15 | 57/21 58/11 122/ |
| 104/23 105/24 | 33/12 54/6 56/11 | 122/6 124/17 14 |
| Mr. Morris [2] | 58/9 80/8 93/9 | 141/7 |
| 25/2 26/17 | 110/23 119/6 121/9 | municipal [12] |
| Murph | Ms. [15] 4/14 13/10 | 12/20 13/12 14/1 |
| 154/24 | 25/5 25/11 34/10 | 14/10 44/16 51/17 |
| Needle | 35/4 57/9 57/16 | 52/6 84/17 98/24 |
| [13] 69/8 73/17 | 58/13 70/18 75/17 | 102/22 148/23 |
| 74/13 89/18 93/3 | 115/2 116/7 137/19 | 149/2 |
| 95/11 97/8 115/10 | 153/5 | municipalities [5] |
| 115/22 117/6 | Ms. Fillmore [11] | 14/8 14/12 14/17 |
| 117/22 118/18 | 4/14 13/10 34/10 | 14/23 15/11 |
| 133/15 | 35/4 57/9 57/1 | Municipally |
| Mr. Nichols [1] | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 58/13 75/17 115/2 } \\ & 116 / 7 \text { 153/5 } \end{aligned}$ | 3/12 <br> Murphy [1] 154/24 |


| $\mathbf{M}$ | 156/5 156/7 | 109/10 115/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mutual [7] 9/13 | myself [5] 22/23 | 115/22 117/6 |
| 17/17 48/1 113/18 | 28/20 35/23 48/16 | 117/22 118/18 |
| 114/7 114/9 114/14 | 105/1 | 133/15 |
| my [76] 5/5 5/5 | N | negated [1] 134 |
| 7/22 10/22 11/10 | N.H [1] 156/18 | gatively [4] |
| 12/10 15/22 15/24 | Name [7] 5/4 5/5 | 72/14 73/6 97/16 |
| 18/3 18/15 18/23 | 5/5 34/22 121/14 |  |
| 19/1 20/22 21/21 | 121/15 137/23 | $117 / 7 \text { 153/22 }$ |
| 25/3 26/10 26/16 $31 / 1132 / 20 ~ 33 / 13$ | narrow [1] 70/2 | negotiating [1] |
| $\begin{array}{lll}31 / 11 & 32 / 20 & 33 / 13 \\ 34 / 22 & 39 / 15 & 39 / 19\end{array}$ | natural [1] 136/13 | $117 / 21$ |
| $34 / 22 ~ 39 / 15 ~ 39 / 19$ $42 / 3 ~ 43 / 1446 / 8$ | nature [4] 19/3 |  |
| 42/3 43/14 46/8 50/6 50/22 52/15 | 22/8 73/8 79/14 | ing [1] 117/21 |
| 54/7 55/5 55/7 | near [3] 29/8 36/7 | negotiations [1] |
| 55/22 57/6 59/16 | nearly [1] 22/20 | 154/5 |
| 66/15 68/9 68/13 | neat [1] 107/22 |  |
| 70/3 74/15 78/2 | necessarily [2] | neighborhood [3] |
| 78/20 82/8 87/12 | 143/1 143/9 | 134/13 134/15 |
| 87/15 87/21 91/2 | necessary [1] 44/18 | neighboring [2] |
| 93/7 94/3 94/15 | need [14] 4/8 14/11 | $9 / 11111 / 20$ |
| 94/19 99/17 100/20 | 44/22 48/8 52/9 | neighbors [3] 17/16 |
| 103/24 104/7 104/7 | 52/23 53/19 56/7 | 132/10 134/20 |
| 105/3 107/16 | 62/11 67/11 67/21 | neither [2] 119/3 |
| 112/17 114/15 | 79/10 93/5 103/3 | 156/10 |
| 114/20 119/12 121/15 128/3 | needed [2] 13/15 | never [2] 92/20 |
| 129/24 137/12 | 16/11 | 112/4 |
| 137/23 139/6 | Needleman [16] | new [28] 1/1 1/4 1/9 |
| 139/22 140/7 | 69/8 73/17 73/19 | 13/5 13/6 14/18 |
| 147/15 147/21 | 73/22 74/13 89/18 | 14/18 24/23 26/19 |
| 147/22 148/16 | 73/22 74/13 89/18 93/3 95/11 | 26/22 39/16 50/9 |


| $\mathbf{N}$ | nitty-gritty [1] 69/2 | nonresponse [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| new... [16] 56/7 | no [53] 1/7 1/23 | 23/18 |
| 59/19 82/21 83/3 | 1/24 2/3 3/2 3/2 3/4 | nonstarter [2] |
| 94/1 105/20 108/2 | 4/10 15/14 17/21 | 16/22 79/6 |
| 108/8 115/24 | 19/8 26/16 34/8 | normal [2] 45/7 |
| 120/19 120/19 | 34/16 54/3 55/4 | 45/8 |
| 127/22 129/15 | 61/22 66/9 66/9 | normally [3] 45/4 |
| 131/9 131/18 136/1 | 67/3 71/18 73/15 | 48/6 114/3 |
| Newfound [2] | 77/22 78/5 85/7 | north [8] 13/18 |
| 127/7 136/22 | 85/7 86/16 93/5 | 42/5 45/1 45/2 48/5 |
| next [10] 11/3 20/5 | 95/21 96/14 96/16 | 48/5 109/2 114/10 |
| 30/12 50/15 51/5 | 98/8 109/13 112/24 | northern [67] 1/8 |
| 55/22 59/16 70/6 | 115/1 116/19 | 13/13 15/4 16/16 |
| 85/23 135/22 | 116/23 117/11 | 19/22 20/17 21/8 |
| nexus [1] 92/24 | 117/11 119/17 | 21/19 22/3 22/24 |
| NGOs [1] 120/16 | 122/10 125/5 | 23/15 25/7 25/19 |
| NH [2] 3/11 3/13 | 133/10 133/13 | 26/15 32/7 52/24 |
| NICHOLAS [4] | 133/14 137/17 | 57/5 61/1 67/1 |
| 2/12 3/14 121/6 | 140/10 147/12 | 68/23 71/17 74/5 |
| 121/10 | 148/21 149/17 | 75/10 76/21 77/18 |
| Nichols [3] 31/9 | 150/18 153/4 | 78/3 82/16 83/2 |
| 31/12 105/18 | 156/18 | 86/8 89/6 89/24 |
| Nichols' [4] 34/5 | non [2] 70/20 89/23 | 91/16 92/23 93/18 |
| 105/18 105/21 | Non-Abutting [1] | 96/10 96/18 103/12 |
| 105/22 | 70/20 | 104/10 105/1 |
| Nick [2] 121/15 | non-responses [1] | 105/12 105/19 |
| 134/4 | 89/23 | 106/7 106/9 106/10 |
| night [1] 26/17 | none [2] 70/21 | 111/7 111/7 111/10 |
| nine [1] 126/9 | 116/23 | 111/10 111/15 |
| nine-community [1] | nonetheless [1] | 113/6 113/7 115/16 |
| 126/9 | 30/23 <br> nonprofits [1] 27/6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 116/3 116/11 } \\ & \text { 117/13 127/21 } \end{aligned}$ |


| $\mathbf{N}$ | 94/7 94/24 95/2 | November [3] 5/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| northern... [11] | 95/5 95/11 95/21 | 121/22 122/4 |
| 129/2 129/16 | 96/6 96/16 96/16 | November 15th [3] |
| 131/15 139/15 | 97/2 99/17 102/24 | 5/17 121/22 122/4 |
| 146/13 146/19 | 103/10 104/11 | now [54] 6/19 7/10 |
| 148/3 150/14 | 104/11 104/22 | 11/19 13/5 13/20 |
| 153/23 154/2 | 104/24 105/3 | 19/19 21/11 22/10 |
| 154/12 | 105/19 106/9 | 24/14 28/21 29/14 |
| nose [1] 88/17 | 106/14 108/12 | 31/21 32/15 33/7 |
| not [114] 6/7 9/6 | 109/14 109/23 | 35/16 38/12 40/14 |
| 12/23 14/7 14/11 | 111/18 114/9 | 41/13 42/12 42/15 |
| 15/14 16/15 19/10 | 114/14 114/20 | 43/1 44/15 45/10 |
| 19/15 20/8 23/2 | 115/17 115/19 | 48/12 51/7 54/20 |
| 23/14 24/6 24/21 | 117/3 119/4 119/14 | 57/12 61/19 65/11 |
| 26/16 28/20 29/18 | 119/14 119/20 | 75/17 84/7 87/14 |
| 29/21 30/7 31/13 | 122/10 124/8 | 91/2 96/6 96/13 |
| 31/19 33/18 35/4 | 131/11 140/4 | 96/13 97/22 98/15 |
| 37/12 43/13 44/5 | 143/20 149/5 150/9 | 109/19 109/21 |
| 45/13 45/14 45/18 | 150/11 150/16 | 112/9 124/16 126/2 |
| 46/3 47/3 49/10 | 156/13 | 127/10 128/19 |
| 50/6 50/24 52/2 | notation [1] 74/8 | 131/2 136/7 137/18 |
| 54/23 55/9 55/11 | Notch [1] 33/15 | 142/2 142/10 |
| 55/12 55/14 56/3 | note [1] 52/4 | 146/18 148/11 |
| 56/6 60/2 60/3 63/4 | noted [3] 7/14 | 150/12 155/16 |
| 63/10 69/15 69/20 | 145/14 155/22 | NP [1] 3/18 |
| 70/2 71/18 71/19 | notes [1] 156/6 | number [24] 7/14 |
| 72/1 76/22 78/4 | nothing [2] 143/13 | 10/4 12/3 18/17 |
| 80/5 80/18 81/10 | 143/14 | 19/20 26/9 43/21 |
| 82/12 83/5 84/10 | noticed [1] 80/10 | 55/6 63/16 67/24 |
| 85/7 85/16 87/5 | notify [1] 153/23 | 79/15 87/19 93/17 |
| 88/1 89/4 89/17 | noting [1] 138/18 | 96/9 130/10 133/21 |
| 92/17 92/18 93/2 | notwithstanding [2] 77/9 78/8 | 143/7 147/19 148/3 |


| $\mathbf{N}$ | 21/14 | 6/22 12/2 13/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| number... [5] | October 19th [1] | 14/5 15/2 20/15 |
| 148/17 148/19 | 19/21 | 21/11 23/5 28/3 |
| 148/21 149/3 149/6 | off [32] 9/20 11/10 | 28/15 28/24 29/1 |
| Number 51 [1] | 18/15 28/19 30/18 | 36/6 36/19 36/24 |
| 19/20 | 32/14 33/13 33/20 | 37/6 37/18 38/8 |
| numbers [8] 25/20 | 35/7 35/8 38/7 | 38/10 38/11 38/14 |
| 96/15 96/16 96/17 | 41/10 43/13 43/14 | 38/22 40/14 40/22 |
| 96/19 112/14 | 44/6 49/14 60/21 | 41/1 41/13 41/22 |
| 112/20 130/7 | 85/24 87/1 93/22 | 42/4 42/12 42/17 |
| numerous [5] 43/15 | 94/15 99/17 105/10 | 42/18 42/19 43/1 |
| 68/4 99/7 123/7 | 106/23 106/24 | 43/11 44/15 44/22 |
| 136/10 | 109/19 120/4 120/5 | 46/13 47/3 47/8 |
| O | 125/1 149/2 155/13 | 47/14 47/22 48/10 |
| object [2] 72/20 |  | 50/1 50/8 |
| 92/13 | 35/8 106/24 120/5 | 50/13 50/20 51/1 |
| objected [1] 69/9 | 155/15 | 51/3 51/15 51/19 |
| objection [10] 69/4 | offer [1] 52/11 | 52/3 52/12 66/3 |
| 69/12 69/15 70/5 | offering [2] 79/9 | 67/13 71/10 79/3 |
| 70/11 116/5 116/13 | 109/15 | 80/7 83/3 84/13 |
| 119/2 129/6 135/6 | offers [1] 65/19 | 86/19 87/4 88/4 |
| obviously [3] 39/8 | office [6] 37/2 38/3 | 90/6 90/19 93/6 |
| 113/2 142/18 | 39/4 39/6 41/18 | 93/8 95/1 95/12 |
| occasion [1] 13/18 | 108/9 | 95/15 99/7 101/14 |
| occur [8] 12/9 | Officer [1] 1/13 | 101/24 113/11 |
| 23/24 30/13 32/18 | offices [1] 108/7 | 113/13 114/8 |
| 62/19 90/11 91/5 | often [1] 9/17 | 114/21 118/10 |
| 95/18 | oh [6] 33/6 44/5 | 126/2 126/17 127/1 |
| occurred [2] 34/13 | 45/24 56/19 79/2 | 127/6 127/10 128/4 |
| 128/21 | 133/15 | 128/9 128/19 |
| October [2] 19/21 | okay [106] 4/18 | 130/20 131/8 |



| 0 | 16/1 | 136 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oriented [2] 28/22 | 17/7 17/8 17 | 136/21 137/8 |
| 83/22 | 17/16 17/17 21/4 | 137/9 140/13 |
| original [3] 7/11 | 23/1 30/3 31/3 | 140/20 140/21 |
| 21/20 97/3 | 32/13 39/22 44/11 | 143/19 |
| inally [1] 22/20 | 46/11 47/6 47/1 | ours [2] 92/22 |
| ostensibly [1] 27/3 | 47/12 47/12 47/2 | 118/3 |
| other [38] 10/1 | 49/23 53/3 5 | ourselves [2] |
| 11/10 15/18 18/6 | 59/14 59/1 | 117/21 136/9 |
| 18/23 27/11 28/23 | 60/7 60/19 6 | out [22] 11/21 |
| 32/15 33/4 38/2 | 61/12 62/15 63/1 | 11/24 26/8 29 |
| 38/23 42/4 54/4 | 77/778/5 78/16 | 30/11 45/12 57 |
| 65/19 71/15 72/11 | 79/15 81/4 82/1 | 58/13 63/16 66 |
| 76/5 78/21 81/16 | 82/1 82/2 82/3 82/7 | 70/17 82/22 88 |
| 90/13 92/6 96/23 | 82/10 82/14 82/21 | 108/16 110/2 |
| 99/7 101/22 102/20 | 87/19 88/2 | 22 |
| 114/15 116/23 | 90/1 94/11 | 3 |
| 116/24 117/3 | 101/6 101/7 101/ | 131/15 13 |
| 125/21 126/15 | 101/10 101/10 | 134/18 |
| 131/23 133/1 | 103/16 103/17 | out-of-hand [1] |
| 133/10 134/12 | 105/8 106/6 106/16 | 110/2 |
| 143/16 148/21 | 10 | outdoor [1] 97/1 |
| 149/17 | 108/14 108 | outlet [1] 108/10 |
| our [123] 9/2 9/5 | 110/13 110/19 | outreach [4] 3/19 |
| 9/5 9/7 9/9 9/10 | 111/20 112/7 114/6 | 74/4 138/17 145/1 |
| 9/11 9/12 9/16 9/17 | 118/4 119/20 | outside [1] 108 |
| 9/18 9/23 9/24 | 119/21 123/18 | outweigh [1] |
| 10/13 10/22 11/4 | 127/4 128/1 | 149/15 |
| 11/11 11/12 11/12 | 130/11 130/14 | over [23] 12/20 |
| 11/13 12/15 12/16 | 130 | 16/20 16/20 23/ |
| 12/ | 13 | 25/13 28/16 29/7 |
| 13/2 13/3 13/7 | 136/12 136/14 | 70/8 |


| 0 | 134/12 | 125/8 125/12 129/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| over... [12] 85/19 | owns [2] 70/10 | 144/6 |
| 86/14 95/17 95/22 |  |  |
| 101/5 101/11 | P | parcel [1] 61/5 |
| 114/23 136/24 | p.m [1] 155/19 |  |
| 145/15 147/2 | package [1] 107/22 | 64/23 65/2 124/23 |
| 147/10 152/16 | packet [2] 21/7 | 64/23 65/2 124/23 <br> 125/2 136/1 137/4 |
| overhead [1] 98/4 | 22/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 125/2 136/1 137/4 } \\ & 137 / 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| overlay [3] 143/19 143/22 150/2 | Pact [1] 17/17 | parking [3] 41/2 |
| 143/22 150/2 Overruled [4] | page [30] 2/3 3/2 | 53/9 53/14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Overruled [4] } \\ & 72 / 2492 / 1411 \end{aligned}$ | 7/2 7/7 8/6 21/1 | part [19] 7/2 22/3 |
| $\text { 72/24 92/14 } 11$ $129 / 20$ | 25/16 28/13 31/8 | 22/5 27/5 27/13 |
| oversee [1] 12 | 31/10 31/10 38/12 | 37/23 42/4 48/1 |
| overseeing [1] | 41/7 41/8 71/1 <br> 71/11 83/13 85/11 | 60/22 61/5 71/9 |
| 152/21 | 85/23 90/7 97/3 | 72/6 78/15 86/7 |
| oversight [1] | 98/19 99/3 99/5 | 87/22 100/15 127/6 |
| 122/18 | 115/12 124/20 | 131/23 148/21 |
| own [9] 63/18 | 141/1 144/6 146/21 | partial [1] 87/1 participating [1] |
| 63/19 68/14 79/8 | 146/23 | participating [1] $109 / 11$ |
| 116/2 127/2 132/6 | Page 10 [1] 31/10 | particular [19] |
| 134/4 135/20 | Page 92 [1] 85/11 | 24/9 31/15 32/10 |
| owned [4] 3/12 $\mathbf{3 / 1 3} 24 / 24$ $52 / 8$ | pages [4] 3/16 3/17 | 52/16 53/13 59/7 |
| 3/13 24/24 52/8 <br> owner [7] 19/18 | 3/20 138/4 | 59/17 65/2 74/7 |
| 20/3 22/23 66/23 | palpable [1] 59/4 | 84/2 90/24 97/2 |
| 104/8 104/15 137/3 | Pamela [1] 1/21 | 98/21 138/12 |
| owners [13] 14/12 | panel [5] 7/2 84/1 | 142/20 142/22 |
| 24/5 24/20 59/15 | Pappas [15] 2/5 | 143/22 144/23 |
| 60/8 68/18 70/21 | 2/13 7/3 8/7 34/20 | particularly [3] |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 72/9 72/12 94/6 } \\ & 103 / 22133 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | 34/22 35/10 38/6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { particularly [3] } \\ & \text { 16/7 17/2 133/24 } \end{aligned}$ |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | 111/6 111/18 112/2 | 145/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| parties [1] 156/12 | 112/6 | Peabody [1] 39/5 |
| Pass [63] 1/8 13/13 | passes [1] 44/9 | Peaked [2] 132/14 |
| 15/4 16/16 19/22 | passing [1] 120/14 | 153/17 |
| 20/17 21/8 21/19 | passion [2] 82/10 | peepers [1] 43/9 |
| 22/3 22/24 23/15 | 83/7 | Pemi [12] 127/8 |
| 25/7 25/19 26/15 | past [9] 21/5 68/3 | 133/18 134/23 |
| 32/7 52/24 57/6 | 82/16 88/14 107/16 | 136/21 137/1 |
| 61/1 67/1 71/17 | 123/16 128/9 | 142/18 142/22 |
| 74/5 75/10 76/21 | 128/12 154/15 | 143/6 143/16 |
| 77/18 78/3 82/16 | path [11] 11/11 | 143/19 143/21 |
| 83/2 86/8 89/6 | 11/17 26/22 29/16 | 151/13 |
| 89/24 91/16 92/23 | 30/2 30/8 30/17 | Pemigewasset [3] |
| 93/18 96/18 103/12 | 77/6 109/15 136/1 | 141/24 142/13 |
| 104/10 105/1 | 136/1 | 150/2 |
| 105/19 106/7 106/9 | paths [1] 63/24 | pending [2] 7/15 |
| 106/10 111/7 | patience [1] 113/14 | 120/9 |
| 111/10 111/10 | Patnaude [5] 1/24 | people [25] 4/3 |
| 111/16 113/6 113/7 | 4/11 121/4 156/3 | 10/20 53/8 58/19 |
| 115/16 116/3 | 156/17 | 64/1 65/15 68/24 |
| 116/11 117/13 | Patricia [1] 1/17 | 79/19 79/20 79/24 |
| 127/21 129/2 | patronizing [1] 9/2 | 80/1 82/13 93/17 |
| 129/16 131/15 | pattern [1] 152/18 | 101/7 107/22 |
| 139/15 146/14 | patterned [1] 112/1 | 108/16 109/6 113/3 |
| 146/19 148/3 | pavement [2] 12/9 | 113/4 126/9 127/2 |
| 150/14 153/23 | 12/12 | 132/20 133/3 |
| 154/2 154/12 | pavilion [4] 11/2 | 133/22 135/16 |
| Pass's [3] 68/23 | 37/10 37/14 65/17 | people's [1] 58/20 |
| 96/10 105/12 | pay [1] 118/15 | percent [8] 60/13 |
| passage [1] 81/5 | payment [2] 147/16 | 61/14 96/4 96/9 |
| passed [8] 82/15 | 149/11 | 112/21 117/16 |
| 82/17 82/19 109/3 | payments [1] | 143/24 150/6 |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | 143/12 | planning [4] 11/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| percentage [2] | Peter [1] 67/7 | 68/24 69/2 120/23 |
| 60/17 118/19 | phase [6] 14/20 | plans [9] 7/8 7/21 |
| percentages [3] | 24/1 32/22 33/3 | 10/4 10/7 18/7 |
| 96/18 112/13 | 136/19 136/23 | 60/13 84/3 117/14 |
| 119/18 | phases [1] 31/14 | 147/16 |
| performing [1] | phone [2] 25/17 | play [4] 64/6 |
| 72/4 | 25/22 | 122/22 122/23 |
| perhaps [1] 117/13 | photo [1] 131/14 | 124/6 |
| period [2] 39/9 | photograph [1] | playground [2] |
| 65/13 | $86 / 21$ | 65/7 65/20 |
| permanent [1] | phrase [1] 60/12 | playgrounds [1] |
| 85/22 | pick [1] 107/8 piece [2] 104/18 | please [17] 5/3 6/17 |
| permanently [1] | 137/6 | 11/15 15/5 20/7 |
|  | pit [1] 38/22 | 22/18 24/19 26/2 |
| $122 / 17$ | place [12] 6/14 | 48/14 73/24 74/22 |
| permit [2] 142/24 | 10/11 10/14 13/5 | 100/6 102/7 121/5 |
| 143/13 | 13/13 14/22 32/6 | 121/14 124/14 |
| permitted [2] 124/9 | 32/11 33/10 85/22 | 146/1 |
| 149/14 | 114/6 156/6 | pleasure [1] 23/6 |
| person [6] 12 | placed [1] 100/17 | Plymouth [10] 16/2 |
| 12/24 19/8 19/9 | placement [1] | 16/7 16/14 16/18 |
| 53/15 94/8 | 81/14 | 70/21 76/10 76/24 |
| person would [1] | plainly [1] 84/21 | 77/4 135/1 155/2 |
| $12 / 23$ | plan [11] 3/21 | point [30] 6/7 8/3 |
| personal [1] 70/3 | 13/24 26/22 26/22 | 8/4 8/13 8/13 9/6 |
| personally [1] | 27/3 27/5 28/1 | 10/4 12/22 19/3 |
| 62/15 | 40/16 61/20 130/14 | 26/13 29/13 49/22 |
| perspective [6] | 144/17 | 64/6 66/17 75/8 |
| $102 / 4132 / 5 \quad 132 / 19$ | planned [3] 10/2 | 75/8 75/14 77/20 |
| 132/23 136/5 | 11/2 63/9 | 84/12 93/5 94/21 |


| P | 53/7 | present [5] 1/12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| point... [9] 96/14 | potential [6] 14/13 | 1/19 58/2 74/24 |
| 98/7 98/12 103/8 | 98/24 100/22 | 156/8 |
| 106/4 109/4 117/19 | 105/24 105/24 | presented [1] 140/5 |
| 130/11 131/9 | 155/11 | Presiding [2] 1/13 |
| pointed [1] 26/8 | potentially [5] 47/1 | 1/13 |
| points [3] 54/13 | 50/4 130/8 133/6 | pretty [4] 123/13 |
| 62/1 79/23 | 140/6 | 127/5 140/3 151/9 |
| poles [2] 127/19 | power [1] 151/13 | prevailing [1] |
| 128/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { practical [2] 140/11 } \\ & 140 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | 151/24 <br> prevent [1] 84/17 |
| police [13] 31/24 |  | prevented [1] 44/23 |
| 44/19 44/20 44/22 | $19 / 11$ | preventing [2] |
| 44/22 45/13 46/11 46/17 46/18 47/6 | predominantly [1] | 84/16 88/24 |
| 46/18 47/6 | 126/16 | previous [3] 56/4 |
| portion [3] 22/18 | preempted [1] | 148/11 154/10 |
| 72/9 75/4 | 114/15 | previously [2] 74/3 |
| portions [1] 141/16 | prefer [4] 88/18 | 83/19 |
| pose [1] 73/9 | 88/19 107/23 108/1 | pride [1] 32/10 |
| posed [1] 22/20 | prefiled [15] 5/16 | primarily [1] |
| poses [1] 22/24 | 5/22 69/21 70/24 | 144/15 |
| position [9] 4/7 | 82/8 97/3 121/21 | primary [2] 130/11 |
| 21/9 75/4 75/13 | 121/24 125/19 | 134/14 |
| 90/1 109/10 109/13 | 140/23 140/24 | prior [2] 96/14 98/2 |
| 109/21 110/19 | 141/2 141/6 144/7 | private [8] 14/12 |
| possibility [2] | 145/9 | 29/5 48/4 59/12 |
| 138/20 139/2 | preparation [2] | 66/6 66/7 66/18 |
| possible [3] 71/15 | 46/8 84/9 | 98/24 |
| 139/3 142/6 | prepared [1] 138/8 | probably [7] 24/8 |
| possibly [1] 109/17 | Presby [3] 63/13 | 45/15 46/12 46/20 |
| post [1] 37/2 | 63/18 108/4 | 58/1 126/8 141/13 |
| postponed [2] 53/6 | presence [1] 63/12 | problem [9] 9/14 |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | 79/12 81/17 81/21 | 123/15 123/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| problem... [8] | 87/8 88/9 88/23 | 123/21 124 |
| 10/23 17/16 19/7 | 90/23 95/7 95/1 | pr |
| 55/17 61/5 88/21 | 97/5 97/22 98/21 | 131/12 |
| 89/6 89/11 | 99/16 100/23 101/3 | properties [1] |
| problematic [4] | 101/16 102/1 | 58/22 |
| 43/16 44/10 63/21 | 102/13 103/22 | property [38] 23/24 |
| 130/3 | 104/3 104/14 | 29/5 58/20 58/21 |
| problems [1] 81/13 | 104/22 109/21 | 59/12 59/15 60/8 |
| proceedings [1] | 115/18 117/10 | 68/14 68/18 70/10 |
| 156/6 | 118/14 119/1 | 70/21 71/3 71/16 |
| process [11] 7/24 | 119/13 119/14 | 71/21 71/23 72/8 |
| 14/22 23/23 24/2 | 119/16 122/17 | 72/12 72/13 73/8 |
| 24/11 33/24 55/4 | 122/24 123/11 | 85/18 85/20 93/10 |
| 60/13 70/4 76/1 | 124/3 124/9 125/22 | 93/15 94/6 95/8 |
| 117/20 | 127/10 127/21 | 96/3 96/11 96/20 |
| Profile [3] 33/7 | 134/10 136/4 | 96/20 105/8 118/5 |
| 38/24 136/2 | 136/19 138/8 138/9 | 118/7 118/14 |
| profusely [1] 58 | 139/7 139/10 | 133/24 134/7 |
| progression [1] | 139/19 139/23 | 134/12 134/15 |
| 136/14 | 140/6 140/8 140/17 | 134/21 |
| prohibits [1] | 140/19 144/8 | proposal [3] 68/23 |
| 143/23 | 146/17 147/16 | 88/7 153/24 |
| project [84] 11/7 | 149/13 152/1 | proposals [1] |
| 14/2 14/9 15/4 | 152/11 152/16 | 120/12 |
| 22/24 27/1 29/14 | 153/13 | proposed [20] 3/9 |
| 29/17 33/9 58/20 | Project's [2] 91/7 | 6/14 27/12 27/18 |
| 61/16 68/21 68/21 | 91/12 | 27/21 28/15 29/17 |
| 69/3 70/1 71/17 | projections [1] | 30/13 32/7 38/17 |
| 74/9 75/18 75/24 | 146/16 | 41/24 62/23 75/6 |
| 77/2 78/2 78/4 | projects [8] 10/1 | 95/20 96/20 100/16 |
| 78/10 78/11 78/19 | 14/19 123/5 123/7 | 103/9 144/8 150/14 |


| $\mathbf{P}$ | Public's [4] 28/8 | quelled [1] 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| proposed... [1] | 37/20 38/13 42/20 | question [59] 13/10 |
| 153/13 | publicly [1] 146/5 | 13/24 14/4 15/6 |
| proprietors [1] | pull [13] 4/16 67/18 | 27/8 31/11 34/8 |
| 108/13 | 73/23 75/3 85/1 | 34/9 37/18 43/10 |
| protect [1] 143/6 | 95/3 138/2 138/4 | 52/15 53/22 55/22 |
| protected [1] | 141/20 145/13 | 56/18 59/5 59/17 |
| 137/11 | 145/24 146/11 | 61/13 65/1 65/23 |
| protecting [1] | 148/14 | 66/15 68/6 68/9 |
| 142/18 | pulled [1] 57/10 | 68/13 68/15 69/9 |
| protection [3] | pulling [2] 74/2 | 69/23 70/6 73/2 |
| 142/21 143/2 | 141/22 | 76/21 78/2 78/14 |
| 143/11 | punched [2] 88/17 | 81/16 86/12 87/12 |
| proud [1] 48/1 | 88/17 | 87/16 91/9 91/24 |
| provide [5] 17/24 | purpose [3] 27/2 | 93/7 94/3 94/16 |
| 89/9 94/17 139/19 | 77/9 142/20 | 94/17 94/19 100/20 |
| 148/16 | purposes [2] 52/4 | 109/24 111/1 |
| provided [7] 17/1 | 120/23 | 112/12 112/17 |
| 19/6 145/18 146/12 | pursue [1] 140/17 | 112/18 113/18 |
| 147/23 148/17 | pursuing [2] 75/10 | 115/21 116/9 119/5 |
| 149/7 | 138/19 | 119/8 129/24 130/2 |
| providing [2] 44/16 | put [14] 24/12 | 134/22 135/22 |
| 84/17 | 27/19 67/16 74/21 | 147/21 147/22 |
| provision [2] 92/9 | 80/8 83/18 86/17 | questioning [5] 7/3 |
| 142/4 | 90/17 100/5 105/1 | 8/8 71/14 125/7 |
| provisions [2] | 109/21 131/15 | 155/8 |
| 115/14 143/21 | 146/4 148/3 | questions [37] 2/8 |
| public [12] 1/9 1/13 | putting [1] 109/19 | 2/16 6/12 11/16 |
| 1/14 1/17 1/17 | Q | 19/17 20/21 21/1 |
| 34/23 35/19 52/5 | qualify [1] 26/10 | 21/18 21/23 22/19 |
| 52/7 66/11 120/19 | quality [2] 132/15 | 35/2 42/16 54/4 |
| 125/13 | 132/18 | 54/8 67/4 67/10 |


| Q | 87/18 97/20 112/10 | 65/6 65/11 69/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| questions... [21] | 140/23 141/8 | 70/16 72/7 73/9 |
| 70/4 73/13 95/13 | range [3] 91/5 | 77/5 79/13 82/6 |
| 107/8 107/13 | 128/1 148/16 | 87/9 106/5 136 |
| 114/24 115/4 | RE [1] 1/7 | 137/2 155/4 |
| 122/13 125/14 | reached [1] 82/22 | reason [3] 78 |
| 133/10 133/12 | reaction [2] 124/7 | 104/21 154/5 |
| 133/16 133/19 | 125/3 | reasonable [5] |
| 137/13 140/13 | read [17] 14/4 | 12/22 12/24 53/15 |
| 142/17 144/4 145/5 | 15/18 21/15 22/14 | 94/8 142/3 |
| 149/17 149/19 | 22/18 23/3 23/4 | reasons [2] 97/13 |
| 153/18 | 24/16 24/18 25/12 | 131/17 |
| quick [6] 49/12 | 31/11 33/22 34/4 | Rebecca [1] 137/23 |
| 54/13 73/4 107/12 | 34/13 71/13 72/2 | Rec [1] 53/3 |
| 111/1 113/18 | $77 / 5$ | recall [22] 7/1 |
| quickly [4] 17/7 | reading [6] 16/14 | 48/24 49/5 52/2 |
| 25/23 55/18 89/19 | 91/2 91/23 100/12 | 53/10 54/17 71/ |
| quite [6] 7/22 9/17 | 102/7 104/ | 78/20 83/1 |
| 11/23 64/23 88/1 | ready [3] 35/11 | /197/6 99/2 |
| 107/15 | 102/21 120/23 | 99/12 99/20 108/2 |
| R | real [17] 9/23 9/ | 5/24 126/4 |
| race [3] 33/8 33/1 |  | 129/24 142/6 |
| 33/19 | 44/14 46/9 46/10 | received [7] 18/11 |
| races [3] 32/11 | 56/24 57/1 71/7 | 19/10 23/15 25/9 |
| 32/18 90/11 | 79/23 96/20 108/11 | 25/22 102/20 |
| Rachel [1] 1/17 | realize [2] 12/24 | 136/17 |
| rail [3] 128/14 |  | ceiving [1] 38/22 |
| 136/19 136/24 | realizes [1] 86/1 | recent [2] 3/23 |
| railroad [1] 128/15 | really [21] 8/21 | /15 |
| raised [9] 11/6 | 9/22 24/1 27/13 | recently [7] 16/24 |
| 25/21 82/23 86/11 | 36/10 46/4 63/3 | 17/19 20/2 50/23 |

recently... [3]
112/24 124/19
145/11
recess [2] 107/4
155/18
recession [1] 136/6 recognize [1] 37/12 recollect [1] 91/3 recollection [1]
118/12
record [14] 5/4
5/19 35/7 35/8
52/10 57/24 87/3
106/23 106/24
120/4 120/5 122/3
155/13 155/15
recoup [1] 24/3
Recreation [2] 11/4 87/19
recreational [5]
10/9 10/24 65/19
97/15 126/23
red [8] 3/12 3/13
49/12 49/17 50/2
50/20 51/17 52/7
redid [1] 123/18
redirect [6] 2/7
2/15 84/2 115/6

## 153/6 153/9

redline [4] 115/24
116/3 139/19

139/24
reduce [3] 85/9
86/4 87/10
reducing [1] 114/4
refamiliar [1]
100/13
refer [4] 10/8 35/6
71/10 79/17
reference [4] 28/5
67/16 115/14
141/20
referred [2] 41/4 64/13
referring [7] 36/22
85/15 98/1 111/15
131/6 143/7 149/6
reflect [1] 103/16
reflected [1] 22/21
reflecting [1] 94/11 reflective [1] 66/17
reflects [1] 66/24
refresh [2] 91/2 107/16
refuse [1] 117/7
refused [1] 154/3
refusing [1] 81/18
regard [2] 63/2
88/22
regarding [19] 6/13 15/12 16/9 18/9
18/13 19/10 19/11 59/13 60/4 74/10

82/20 89/20 96/19
104/5 118/7 120/11
138/13 140/15
143/21
regards [3] 9/3
10/15 109/11
region [9] 97/14
125/23 126/6 126/9
129/5 144/5 144/10
144/21 145/2
regional [6] 9/18
9/19 10/11 27/23
30/11 33/16
regular [2] 32/6
99/24
rehearing [2]
120/10 120/17
reimbursed [1]
24/12
reiterate [5] 47/23
48/7 48/9 48/16
61/24
rejected [1] 110/1
related [9] 14/14
98/23 138/20
142/12 143/5
143/16 147/23
148/10 156/11
relates [2] 31/22
144/20
relating [2] 134/21 139/8

## R

relation [4] 60/20 72/4 76/20 99/21 relative [2] 43/5 156/13
relay [1] 104/7 released [1] 124/18 Relevance [2] 69/5 135/6
relevant [5] 69/17 70/4 72/7 82/19 89/20
relieving [1] 89/11 relocate [1] 14/9 relocated [3] 53/23 128/5 131/10 relocating [1] 131/20
rely [2] 46/17 127/2 remained [2] 75/13 98/20
remember [14]
18/14 75/20 76/18
76/19 76/20 84/19
87/15 91/7 91/13
103/19 103/20
110/9 141/14
151/15
repair [1] 101/17 repaired [2] 16/11 50/23
repairs [1] 103/1
repeat [6] 15/5
15/22 34/9 35/4 48/16 130/1
repetition [2] 129/7 129/11
rephrase [2] 116/8 119/7
report [4] 95/5
148/8 148/14
148/15
reporter [7] 1/24
4/13 32/24 121/8
141/4 156/4 156/18
reports [1] 93/21
represent [7] 21/9
22/13 34/22 37/23
73/19 125/13 146/3
representatives [3]
20/17 20/20 25/18
Req [1] 3/5
request [20] 3/4
7/14 7/15 8/1 12/3
12/7 13/19 15/9
18/16 37/21 37/24
38/17 54/16 54/20
59/8 60/20 61/1
61/2 74/10 102/22
requested [1] 18/21
requests [9] 7/4
13/11 55/6 55/19
59/6 116/4 116/12
154/3 154/14
requirement [4]
150/10 150/12
150/17 150/24
requirements [3]
101/2 142/11 143/4
requires [2] 101/16
144/24
rescheduled [4]
53/7 53/20 54/2 91/11
resident [1] 67/8
residential [1] 65/3
residents [17] 9/23
10/23 59/7 60/4 60/7 77/7 79/15
79/20 81/4 82/7
82/11 87/20 94/11
103/17 105/8
132/13 134/16
resolution [1] 19/7 resolve [2] 92/4 118/24
resources [1] 79/17 respect [14] 16/7
17/2 75/5 76/8 83/7
91/6 97/19 105/20 139/1 142/12 144/1 148/2 148/13 149/6 respond [2] 114/8 114/12
responded [4] 57/6 104/3 114/11 140/9

| $R$ |
| :--- |
| responding [2] 17/6 | 47/18

response [21] 3/5
13/19 14/5 19/10
22/4 23/15 24/2
34/6 40/12 45/7
45/8 46/14 47/2
57/4 57/7 76/21
91/9 104/15 114/15 115/1 142/16 responses [7] 21/18 22/20 25/9 25/21
78/16 78/18 89/23 responsibility [1] 135/20
Rest [1] 4/19 restaurants [1] 126/19
restricted [1] 50/12 restricting [1] 84/15
restriction [1]

## 150/5

restrictions [4] 49/19 51/20 51/22 51/23
result [4] 17/24
53/18 84/18 123/11 resulted [1] 18/19 results [2] 29/20 106/14
resumed [1] 107/5 retail [2] 108/10 108/10
return [2] 120/1 154/20
revenue [1] 149/13 review [7] 25/23
32/20 52/9 85/5 89/22 141/10
144/19
reviewed [6] 15/20 15/21 131/14 141/16 142/4 148/7 reviewing [1] 76/5 RFP [1] 120/12
Richard [1] 24/24 right [76] 4/16 10/7 11/23 13/5 17/11 28/10 28/10 28/17 28/17 28/21 29/14 32/15 33/7 35/24 36/11 36/14 37/8 38/7 39/7 40/18 40/19 40/22 44/6 44/6 45/10 45/12 45/23 46/3 47/6 49/13 56/23 57/12 57/17 57/19 61/19 64/20 64/21 68/7 68/10 73/16 75/11 75/14 76/11 77/22 85/8 85/18 87/1

91/2 97/9 99/10 107/7 109/22 110/7 110/20 113/22 114/21 127/15 127/16 127/20 128/23 129/3
129/14 129/16
130/4 130/23 131/4
131/16 131/19
131/21 132/2
132/17 136/16
137/15 150/12
151/15 152/3
right-of-way [13]
127/15 128/23
129/3 129/14
129/16 130/4 131/4
131/16 131/19
131/21 132/2
132/17 136/16
rights [9] 59/13
59/24 67/9 68/15
69/1 70/9 70/12
86/3 134/24
rights-based [1]
134/24
ring [1] 105/15
Ripped [1] 56/21
river [38] 6/15 7/6
12/9 17/12 18/18
19/18 20/4 21/24
27/12 27/17 27/18

| $\mathbf{R}$ | 99/11 122/18 123/6 | Ruling [1] 120/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| river... [27] 27/19 | 123/17 153/1 | rulings [1] |
| 29/13 37/16 37/17 | 153 | [8] |
| 43/17 49/4 51/10 | rod [2] 60/5 60/5 | 64/19 70/17 101/7 |
| 51/14 57/4 66/23 | round [1] 43/3 | 102/16 102/19 |
| 83/16 83/20 85/19 | route [47] 6/14 8/19 | 106/19 |
| 103/19 103/23 | 8/20 9/17 10/15 | running [2] 51/1 |
| 104/5 104/9 127/8 | 10/19 12/18 17/10 | 82/1 |
| 133/18 135/24 | 27/13 27/19 27/21 | runs [4] 29/4 64/12 |
| 136/15 137/2 | 27/22 28/16 30/12 | 103/3 152/2 |
| 137/10 137/13 | 30/18 30/19 30/20 | S |
| 141/24 142/13 | 30/21 30/22 32/7 | safe [3] 10/17 11/12 |
| 142/22 | 38/18 39/13 39/ | 27/13 |
| rivers [1] 136/21 | 31/7 41/8 41/13 | safety [13] 9/3 9/5 |
| road [40] 13/7 | 41/7 41/8 42/4 42/14 | 10/5 17/9 23/1 |
| 27/10 28/20 33/8 35/20 36/16 38/20 | $45 / 345 / 645 / 8$ | 39/21 39/22 46/11 |
| $35 / 20$ $38 / 24$ $39 / 16$ $39 / 14$ | 49/10 50/16 50/17 | 47/7 47/9 88/24 |
| 38/24 39/8 39/14 | 51/6 54/16 56/1 | 88/24 101/9 |
| 40/1 41/9 42/8 42/9 $45 / 1645 / 1845 / 19$ | 63/14 71/16 84/4 | Saffo [2] 54/6 56/11 |
| 45/16 45/18 45/19 | 86/14 117/1 151/16 | said [20] 17/4 22/2 |
| 45/20 46/21 51/6 $\mathbf{5 1 / 8} 51 / 11$ 64/12 | routes [3] 8/18 | 22/15 25/11 48/11 |
| 51/8 51/11 64/12 | 11/12 42/17 | 71/11 71/18 72/18 |
| 71/16 82/4 87/2 | routine [2] 11 | 76/16 77/22 80/11 |
| 102/14 106/1 123/2 | $114 / 11$ | 84/15 89/8 89/11 |
| 123/9 123/12 |  | 90/12 91/10 92/7 |
| 123/24 124/2 152/9 | RSA [2] 112/3 | 122/21 123/14 |
| 152/15 152/19 | 156/19 | 134/9 |
| 152/20 152/21 | $\text { rule [3] } 69 / 11$ | same [15] 41/17 |
| 152/23 153/12 | $109 / 20144 / 23$ | 42/8 47/19 57/8 |
| roads [13] 32/18 $41 / 23$ 42/23 60/5 | rules [2] 130/17 | 57/9 81/19 84/12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 41/23 42/23 60/5 } \\ & 61 / 2362 / 394 / 2 \end{aligned}$ | $144 / 20$ | 98/11 116/13 129/3 |


| $\mathbf{S}$ | 86/13 86/14 86/24 | 42/19 83/19 124/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| same... [3] 131/19 | 87/7 102/9 106/8 | scroll [4] 8/6 25/16 |
| 131/21 138/4 | 106/11 | 115/11 124/19 |
| satisfaction [1] | says [13] 14/6 15/9 | season [1] 80/14 |
| 101/18 | 25/17 26/10 36/11 | seat [2] 120/1 |
| satisfied [2] 23/14 | 38/19 47/10 72/10 | 154/20 |
| 153/21 | 85/14 85/15 85/17 | SEC [8] 1/6 1/7 |
| saw [15] 31/23 44/2 | 86/1 115/16 | 1/19 1/20 1/21 |
| 77/22 78/15 110/6 | scale [1] 130/21 | 79/11 110/8 122/16 |
| 110/16 110/17 | scenario [2] 110/16 | SEC's [1] 144/19 |
| 117/15 118/23 | 147/11 | second [18] 4/7 |
| 119/4 119/10 | scenic [2] 45/19 | 25/16 37/4 49/4 |
| 119/18 146/23 | 82/3 | 50/15 79/19 94/16 |
| 146/24 148/11 | scheduled [2] 4/6 | 111/8 111/24 127/2 |
| say [39] 8/3 10/6 | 53/19 | 127/2 127/4 134/22 |
| 16/22 19/9 23/12 | Schibanoff [4] 2/6 | 146/19 146/21 |
| 25/19 28/18 31/12 | 70/18 70/20 93/9 | 147/1 147/9 148/4 |
| 46/1 48/15 59/11 | school [20] 9/16 | section [5] 42/14 |
| 65/12 77/3 78/3 | 9/19 9/19 11/13 | 42/14 90/20 115/11 |
| 79/9 82/5 83/4 | 27/14 27/16 27/23 | 115/15 |
| 84/21 85/7 85/7 | 27/23 28/12 30/10 | Section 2.3 [1] |
| 94/8 94/24 97/19 | 30/11 30/21 31/2 | 115/11 |
| 98/8 98/18 103/14 | 31/5 33/8 33/16 | secure [1] 68/24 |
| 107/20 107/22 | 44/8 44/8 119/19 | see [73] 6/19 9/22 |
| 108/1 111/19 113/1 | 148/24 | 10/10 11/7 13/22 |
| 113/2 113/2 114/13 | school's [1] 10/19 | 16/8 30/20 33/7 |
| 117/16 119/21 | scope [6] 69/5 | 35/21 36/1 36/2 |
| 143/11 149/12 | 69/10 69/21 69/24 | 36/3 36/12 38/15 |
| 151/22 | 70/13 135/7 | 38/19 38/24 39/11 |
| saying [14] 20/16 | screen [10] 6/19 | 40/18 40/23 41/1 |
| 30/9 44/5 69/9 | 6/20 19/19 35/15 | 41/6 41/9 41/13 |
| 76/18 76/19 84/19 | 35/16 38/11 40/14 | 41/15 41/17 41/20 |


| S | 56/6 83/21 95/9 | seriously [1] 87/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [47] 42/6 42/7 | 98/16 104/18 118/5 | Serv [1] 1/15 |
| 42/10 42/11 42/20 | 145/16 154/15 | served [1] 128 |
| 43/20 43/20 44/9 | segment [1] 95/4 | serves [1] 65/2 |
| 44/20 50/17 51/7 | Select [10] 5/7 30/3 | service [12] 1/9 |
| 51/8 51/12 54/1 | 54/22 59/1 59/18 | 9/10 39/21 39/22 |
| 63/17 71/20 72/1 | 74/16 74/21 96/24 | 47/24 48/6 113/21 |
| 74/12 77/6 81/8 | 119/4 119/16 | 13/23 114/2 11 |
| 81/11 84/4 86/5 | Selectmen [8] 3/21 | 4/5 128/17 |
| 88/10 92/23 97/24 | 5/11 5/14 7/20 | services [17] 9/3 |
| 101/8 101/9 101/19 | 46/10 53/1 117/12 | 9/5 17/1 17/9 17/2 |
| 102/6 102/7 115/15 | 125/20 | 18/1 44/16 46/1 |
| 115/19 | self [1] 89/5 | 7/7 47/9 48/3 |
| 115/20 124/20 | self-serving [1] | /5 84/18 89/1 |
| 131/15 133/14 | $89 / 5$ | 4/2 126/1 |
| 134/18 136/11 | send [1] 108 | servicing [2] 17/1 |
| 138/16 143/24 | sending [1] 20/17 | 7/20 |
| 145/6 145/22 | sense [12] 43/11 | serving [2] 17/ |
| 146/21 151/19 | 44/17 46/5 46/18 | 89/5 |
| 154/7 | 68/20 68/21 68/23 | Session [3] 1/4 |
| seeing [6] 56/15 | 112/13 112/21 | 155/20 155/23 |
| 132/11 135/2 142/6 | 113/10 155/4 155/9 | set [3] 24/3 155/3 |
| 143/20 147/19 | sent [5] 74/9 74/16 | 156/7 |
| seem [10] 13/4 | 77/19 104/5 104/15 | sets [1] 6/8 |
| 13/12 16/15 78/ | sentiment [1] | setting [1] 56/18 |
| 105/6 105/15 | 144/11 | several [4] 32/17 |
| 106/15 135/11 | sentiments [1] | 67/10 124/21 |
| 149/4 | 135/11 | 124/21 |
| seems [2] 109/2 | separate [1] 155/21 | sewer [9] |
|  | septic [1] 66/7 | 16/11 16/14 16 |
|  | series [2] 3/17 | 66/10 76/10 7 |
| 28/6 55/24 56/4 | 97/1 | 77/4 |


| $\mathbf{S}$ | show [4] 21/11 | single [3] 42/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shaft [1] 85/22 | 35/14 36/10 42/15 | 64/19 90/5 |
| shall [1] 100/16 | showed [3] 117/22 | single-family [1] |
| shape [1] 127/5 | 118/18 118/19 | 64/19 |
| Shapiro [4] 95/6 | showing [3] 84/3 | single-lane [1] 42/1 |
| 145/19 145/20 | 93/13 94/5 <br> shown [8] 37/19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sit [3] } 20 / 3143 \\ & 154 / 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 148/15 | 38/24 45/1847/3 | 154/9 |
| Shapiro's [3] 95/5 | 38/24 45/18 47/3 | sit-down [1] 20/3 |
| 95/17 148/8 | 49/10 49/13 102/10 | site [6] 1/2 1/10 |
| share [1] 96/10 | 103/18 <br> shows [3] 42/22 | 1/12 18/18 132/3 $144 / 20$ |
| she [2] 95/6 133/4 <br> she's [2] 73/2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { shows [3] 42/22 } \\ & \mathbf{9 6 / 2 ~ 9 6 / 9} \end{aligned}$ | situation [4] 24/8 |
| 148/17 | side [4] 38/2 38/23 | 92/21 114/11 |
| sheet [1] 146/3 | 41/15 87/1 | 151/10 |
| shift [2] 16/23 | sightline [1] 43/19 | sizable [1] 108/9 |
| 35/14 | sightseeing [1] | size [4] 68/22 130/8 |
| shifting [1] 18/4 | 97/17 | 130/22 131/11 |
| shoes [1] 105/1 | sign [1] 88/20 | sized [1] 126/15 |
| shopping [1] | signed [1] 70/8 | skiing [1] 97/17 |
| 126/18 | significant [3] | skill [1] 156/8 |
| shops [1] 126/19 | 84/22 132/4 149/10 | slightly [2] 28/22 |
| short [3] 27/22 53/5 | similar [3] 33/4 | 124/12 |
| 117/11 | 130/18 144/11 | slopes [2] 143/23 |
| shortcut [1] 88/3 | simply [2] 63/17 | 150/5 |
| Shorthand [1] | 119/19 | slow [3] 14/13 |
| 156/3 | simulations [1] | 147/4 147/4 |
| shot [2] 46/22 83/19 | 131/14 | small [4] 108/10 |
| should [11] 19/2 | since [12] 5/9 5/12 | 108/13 126/12 |
| 19/11 39/18 69/11 | 7/9 10/5 16/4 16/19 | 136/10 |
| 69/22 78/6 80/3 | 26/16 33/23 58/7 | smaller [1] 126/16 |
| 80/3 80/13 86/14 | 72/5 103/5 109/1 | smart [1] 79/18 |
| 121/2 | sincere [1] 22/22 | smell [1] 119/20 |


| S | 98/6 98/11 99/7 | solidarity [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smith [1] 39/5 | 100/14 100/14 | 111/20 |
| snippet [1] 71/13 | 101/16 102/9 103/3 | solutions [1] 109/17 |
| so [151] 8/3 8/21 | 106/4 108/14 | some [67] 4/3 6/12 |
| 9/21 10/15 10/22 | 108/20 109/4 | 7/10 11/16 12/8 |
| 14/21 16/3 17/15 | 111/10 113/6 113/9 | 18/24 20/17 21/23 |
| 23/22 25/12 26/18 | 114/5 114/8 118/22 | 22/6 22/7 24/5 25/7 |
| 28/15 30/5 30/8 | 119/4 119/20 | 25/8 26/6 32/5 39/9 |
| 31/3 33/20 35/12 | 123/22 124/1 124/9 | 44/11 44/11 44/15 |
| 36/19 38/11 39/7 | 127/8 128/9 129/24 | 51/22 52/17 53/18 |
| 39/9 39/19 39/21 | 130/17 130/20 | 54/10 55/9 64/10 |
| 40/6 40/14 41/22 | 130/21 131/17 | 65/19 66/11 68/2 |
| 42/19 43/7 43/9 | 132/2 132/9 132/18 | 72/2 78/15 78/21 |
| 43/20 44/19 46/3 | 134/1 135/16 | 79/23 80/13 89/23 |
| 47/8 47/16 48/14 | 135/18 136/8 | 91/10 95/13 96/18 |
| 49/14 50/3 51/22 | 136/13 136/16 | 96/18 98/22 102/16 |
| 52/10 52/18 54/1 | 137/2 137/8 140/14 | 102/19 103/18 |
| 54/7 54/9 55/24 | 141/16 142/4 143/3 | 105/11 107/22 |
| 56/10 57/8 58/4 | 143/20 143/22 | 108/2 108/7 108/12 |
| 58/16 58/16 58/19 | 144/1 146/16 | 108/12 108/13 |
| 58/21 58/22 59/21 | 146/24 147/8 | 109/5 115/14 |
| 59/22 61/12 61/15 | 147/12 147/18 | 117/22 123/14 |
| 62/8 62/22 62/24 | 148/13 148/19 | 133/4 135/19 |
| 63/17 63/20 63/24 | 148/24 149/3 149/8 | 136/16 138/24 |
| 64/23 65/2 65/6 | 151/1 151/20 154/9 | 139/6 139/20 |
| 65/11 70/1 72/10 | 154/24 155/6 | 140/20 140/21 |
| 74/2 75/8 77/17 | 155/12 155/16 | 140/22 141/9 |
| 77/24 80/3 80/16 | soccer [1] 10/12 | 141/16 152/15 |
| 83/12 83/18 84/6 | Society [2] 120/10 | 153/13 155/5 |
| 84/21 85/1 85/20 | 120/17 | somehow [1] 44/24 |
| 86/13 89/14 91/15 | sole [2] 108/12 | someone [1] 4/20 |
| 92/20 93/20 96/14 | 108/12 | something [8] |



| $\mathbf{S}$ | step [2] 92/3 114/5 | 150/9 150/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| standpoint [1] | stepping [1] 82/13 | 150/15 |
| 58/19 | Steven [3] 1/24 | students [8] 9/16 |
| start [3] 33/17 | 156/3 156/17 | 9/18 11/13 27/14 |
| 44/19 110/14 | still [7] 7/15 46/23 | 30/18 30/20 31/1 |
| started [2] 33/24 | 46/23 62/22 98/11 | 31/3 |
| 94/12 | 102/12 103/7 | studies [2] 43/15 |
| starting [2] 77/20 | stop [2] 48/16 | 94/20 |
| 135/16 | 105/10 <br> stopped [2] 19/2 | SUBCOMMITTEE <br> [3] 1/12 2/8 107/9 |
| starts [2] 33/15 | 151/15 | SUBCOMMITTEE |
| $\begin{aligned} & 39 / 10 \\ & \text { state }[10] \end{aligned} 1 / 13 / 13$ | stops [1] 152/2 | /SITE [1] 1/12 |
| $30 / 22 \text { 49/8 52/6 }$ | store [2] 106/18 | submitted [5] 55/16 |
| 52/8 71/16 93/14 | 106/18 | 56/9 116/2 121/21 |
| 94/2 123/17 | storefronts [2] | 148/8 |
| stated [2] 122/15 | 136/7 136/8 | substantially [2] |
| 125/19 | straight [2] 46/22 | 147/3 147/11 |
| statement [2] 124/5 | 147/17 | substation [1] |
| 124/7 | street [15] 1/4 8/19 | 151/18 |
| statements [1] 34/4 | 9/2 12/17 16/21 | suburbs [1] 27/15 |
| station [11] 9/9 | 27/20 39/10 40/23 | such [5] 13/16 69/3 |
| 44/19 44/20 45/13 | 41/17 63/14 64/14 | 80/13 108/13 145/1 |
| 47/4 47/6 47/10 | 64/17 82/1 107/23 | suffer [1] 71/2 |
| 47/12 47/12 63/19 | 108/5 | Sugar [27] 9/12 |
| 151/17 | streets [1] 75/20 | 17/3 17/6 17/6 |
| status [1] 153/20 | strip [2] 64/13 | 17/10 17/17 17/18 |
| stay [2] 4/23 45/2 | 87/23 | 17/20 17/22 18/1 |
| stays [1] 106/2 | strong [1] 135/11 | 20/8 20/11 20/12 |
| steel [3] 127/22 | structure [1] 13/6 | 39/17 45/2 45/3 |
| 128/6 131/11 | structures [8] | 45/7 45/8 46/2 |
| stenographic [1] | 20/12 100/18 101/6 | 47/18 48/6 109/5 |
| 156/5 | 101/17 143/23 | 111/21 112/2 |


| $\mathbf{S}$ | 80/5 86/12 88/1 | 16/17 27/22 32/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugar... [3] 113/21 | 89/4 93/2 95/5 99/4 | 36/17 38/5 38/7 |
| 113/23 114/4 | 100/14 119/5 | 39/20 39/20 40/5 |
| summarize [4] | 125/16 137/10 | 40/10 45/3 45/5 |
| 13/23 20/15 21/17 | 141/14 141/18 | 45/6 45/12 45/24 |
| 32/4 | 146/22 149/5 | 46/1 46/19 46/21 |
| summarizes [1] | 152/22 | 64/20 64/21 75/5 |
| 138/9 | Surely [1] 5/5 | 85/24 89/14 90/2 |
| summary [5] 3/19 | surrounding [1] | 103/16 107/3 |
| 74/4 138/7 138/17 | 108/17 | 111/19 114/5 |
| 145/15 | survey [7] 55/15 | 115/23 130/21 |
| summer [2] 11/3 | 55/21 56/6 56/8 | 135/19 |
| 43/9 | 56/8 60/6 118/2 | taken [7] 1/23 |
| Sup [1] 3/15 | surveyor [1] 60/3 | 90/12 91/15 107/4 |
| superintendent [2] | surveyors [1] 56/5 | 112/19 155/18 |
| 123/23 124/2 | surveys [3] 56/1 | 156/6 |
| supplemental [8] | 58/16 58/17 | takes [3] 32/9 33/1 |
| 5/22 70/24 83/13 | Susan [1] 70/20 |  |
| 121/24 122/5 | sustained [3] 70/6 | taking [3] 8/15 |
| 144/11 144/15 | 70/15 135/21 | 10/11 32/11 |
| 145/10 | swear [1] 6/8 | talk [7] 29/21 49/2 |
| supplied [1] 21/8 | switching [1] | 90/7 117/8 117/12 |
| support [1] 94/22 | 151/17 | 131/1 134/10 |
| supporting [1] | sworn [5] 4/9 4/13 | talked [21] 13/3 |
| 80/21 | 4/24 121/7 121/10 | 22/2 35/21 36/6 |
| supposed [3] 4/5 | system [1] 66/10 | 41/11 46/7 46/8 |
| 7/17 29/15 | systems [1] 66/7 | 47/16 49/4 50/15 |
| supposedly [1] | T | 51/5 61/23 74/14 |
| 135/17 | table [4] 55/7 61/7 | 85/14 90/9 90/10 |
| sure [24] 20/8 26/1 | 96/8 110/14 | 128/20 133/22 |
| 29/22 33/18 35/24 | take [36] 7/11 8/11 | 134/12 145/11 |
| 36/17 38/9 45/13 | 9/18 10/14 16/4 | 145/12 |

talking [13] 17/13
24/5 33/17 36/19
40/17 54/15 77/11 85/13 87/16 88/3
105/16 154/7
154/16
talks [3] 94/12 95/7 149/2
tall [1] 128/7
taller [2] 130/3
130/6
task [1] 24/6
tax [8] 96/3 96/11 118/19 134/15
145/5 145/7 145/21 149/11
taxes [8] 95/8 96/21 118/5 118/7 118/14 134/1 146/13
148/10
taxpayer [3] 146/8 146/20 148/4
taxpayers [2] 3/22 148/24
Team [1] 138/8 tell [10] 24/19
39/13 47/4 53/16 60/18 94/9 112/23 129/1 136/3 155/11 temporary [1] 85/21
tend [1] 13/17 tends [1] 13/18 terminus [3] 27/10 27/11 29/3
terms [8] 17/16 50/3 107/17 109/10 139/3 139/14 150/12 153/22 test [1] 119/20 testified [7] 43/1 52/16 71/8 91/4 97/4 119/3 126/2 testify [1] 87/5 testifying [3] 5/13 84/1 155/10
testimony [65] 3/3 3/14 3/15 5/16 5/23 6/6 6/9 46/9 46/16 48/23 48/24 69/5 69/11 69/22 70/14 70/24 71/6 72/3 72/5 72/7 72/22 82/8 83/12 83/13 84/9 84/15 85/3 85/6 87/21 90/7 92/7 97/3 97/12 98/18 99/5 99/22 105/11 105/18 107/15 111/3
121/21 121/24
122/4 122/5 122/9 122/11 125/19

129/8 129/17 135/7 140/24 140/24
141/2 141/6 144/7
144/12 144/14
144/15 145/7
145/10 148/12
152/8 152/8 152/9
155/3
than [14] 7/11 7/11
28/18 28/22 65/6
92/6 106/2 113/5
113/7 114/15
131/13 133/1
148/23 150/20
Thank [53] 4/15 4/18 4/22 6/11
11/18 15/8 18/3
22/10 24/14 26/19
31/6 34/17 36/24 48/19 48/20 54/3
54/5 54/11 58/12
58/14 66/1 67/3
67/5 70/17 73/10
83/8 89/18 106/21
106/22 110/20
110/22 113/11
113/13 113/16
114/22 115/3
119/22 119/24
120/2 120/2 125/6
125/9 133/9 137/13
149/16 151/2 151/5

| T | 113/9 113/23 | 125/2 135/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thank... [6] 152/3 | 114/22 118/10 | 135/18 140/6 155/4 |
| 153/1 153/18 | 119/22 125/15 | 155/6 155/7 |
| 154/17 154/19 | 126/24 127/20 | themselves [1] |
| 154/21 | 128/3 129/17 | 132/7 |
| thanks [2] 20/16 | 131/23 132/9 | then [34] 7/13 21/1 |
| 52/13 | 132/12 132/18 | 29/11 36/3 38/22 |
| that [810] | 134/13 137/13 | 40/22 41/6 41/9 |
| that's [98] 5/20 6/2 | 142/8 142/19 | 42/4 45/20 45/23 |
| 7/19 8/24 9/17 11/9 | 147/13 147/15 | 51/10 57/5 60/10 |
| 11/23 12/10 13/20 | 148/19 148/22 | 64/4 64/20 66/5 |
| 14/4 17/3 20/9 | 149/10 152/4 | 66/15 78/10 85/17 |
| 20/14 20/24 21/21 | 154/17 | 86/1 102/1 102/3 |
| 21/21 22/5 24/4 | their [35] 9/16 13/3 | 109/6 117/17 120/1 |
| 26/18 28/2 29/2 | 15/3 22/5 30/11 | 122/18 122/23 |
| 29/2 29/12 29/17 | 31/5 45/19 47/2 | 123/24 126/14 |
| 29/24 31/18 32/14 | 63/14 65/9 72/13 | 126/21 128/15 |
| 34/13 34/17 36/3 | 77/5 89/1 92/19 | 128/16 155/5 |
| 36/5 37/2 37/4 37/8 | 92/21 104/5 105/6 | there [71] 4/3 4/8 |
| 37/17 38/4 38/17 | 105/13 105/13 | 10/14 11/1 11/8 |
| 39/16 40/19 40/24 | 106/12 108/4 108/9 | 13/12 14/7 14/22 |
| 41/24 42/3 44/1 | 108/22 114/4 114/6 | 15/24 16/15 16/24 |
| 47/11 49/16 49/17 | 125/3 131/10 | 17/4 18/24 19/6 |
| 49/18 51/13 51/14 | 132/12 132/21 | 33/17 37/4 41/24 |
| 58/3 58/4 59/13 | 132/21 134/6 | 44/2 45/5 45/9 |
| 60/17 60/19 61/12 | 134/21 135/18 | 45/22 49/19 49/21 |
| 62/14 63/21 65/3 | 135/20 155/3 | 49/23 53/17 55/6 |
| 66/3 68/1 73/11 | them [20] 9/10 | 55/15 55/20 59/12 |
| 82/24 84/6 85/20 | 20/21 23/20 25/23 | 64/9 64/23 65/9 |
| 88/2 89/6 89/17 | 27/16 27/19 52/11 | 66/9 66/9 68/17 |
| 89/24 94/14 97/9 | 55/7 90/18 98/23 | 71/21 74/16 78/22 |
| 97/10 102/4 109/16 | 99/18 99/23 110/4 | 79/19 83/5 85/18 |


| $\mathbf{T}$ | 96/15 96/16 96/17 | 151/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| there... [30] 85/20 | 1 | y'll [1] 46/21 |
| 93/20 94/1 103/2 | 102/19 103/4 | they're [9] 52/10 |
| 108/10 109/6 | 122/15 139/7 | 63/3 114/4 114/14 |
| 110/12 111/14 | 139/20 156/6 | 130/6 134/5 134/7 |
| 112/19 114/2 114/9 | they [78] 7/11 7/13 | 155/6 155/10 |
| 116/23 120/8 | 7/23 8/9 8/10 17/10 | thing [6] 23/3 80/13 |
| 123/14 124/20 | 17/21 26/16 27/18 | 124/12 130/20 |
| 124/24 127/14 | 27/22 31/4 39/9 | 133/23 146/24 |
| 128/13 131/2 | 39/19 39/23 39/24 | things [16] 14/2 |
| 134/18 136/3 | 40/1 40/5 40/7 | 18/9 33/13 58/8 |
| 136/23 138/17 | 44/23 45/1 45/3 | 64/10 78/10 79/10 |
| 138/24 140/1 | 45/4 45/5 45/6 | 80/2 80/11 80/19 |
| 143/15 147/13 | 45/12 45/14 45/17 | 80/22 97/14 97/18 |
| 152/22 155/7 155/8 | 45/20 45/22 46/17 | 127/7 136/2 153/8 |
| there's [25] 13/15 | 48/6 53/23 54/1 | think [106] 7/19 |
| 13/16 43/19 51/10 | 55/5 55/7 61/19 | 12/22 19/3 21/9 |
| 59/5 60/12 63/3 | 62/19 62/19 62/20 | 23/16 23/22 24/1 |
| 64/18 67/18 81/9 | 63/13 63/16 63/18 | 24/4 24/7 30/5 |
| 87/7 109/5 111/3 | 63/19 65/8 69/1 | 30/16 32/9 35/12 |
| 114/1 131/23 | 72/1 72/8 79/20 | 40/4 45/17 45/22 |
| 134/22 134/24 | 97/21 98/10 98/14 | 46/9 46/19 49/22 |
| 135/1 135/24 | 105/2 105/3 105/5 | 52/9 53/23 54/1 |
| 147/12 151/10 | 105/14 106/14 | 54/7 54/24 55/18 |
| 151/17 152/17 | 106/18 106/18 | 57/2 57/2 57/15 |
| 152/18 152/20 | 108/6 108/7 108/8 | 57/22 59/1 59/13 |
| these [27] 11/21 | 108/9 108/23 113/6 | 60/21 61/4 61/12 |
| 31/16 33/23 34/14 | 113/8 113/8 122/19 | 61/13 65/17 66/22 |
| 55/5 58/16 62/8 | 122/23 125/3 125/5 | 69/24 72/10 72/15 |
| 63/4 70/3 72/15 | 129/12 129/13 | 73/3 77/24 78/14 |
| 78/10 81/19 86/5 | 130/6 133/5 134/9 | 78/23 78/24 79/13 |
| 89/4 90/8 93/2 | 134/9 135/19 | 81/2 81/3 81/9 |


| T | 92/4 92/18 92/19 | through [47] 9/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| think... [57] 81/17 | 92/20 115/9 | 17/11 20/22 20/22 |
| 81/22 82/23 84/21 | those [46] 15/19 | 21/7 23/2 23/19 |
| 87/23 88/18 89/6 | 16/4 20/19 26/15 | 25/10 25/20 29/4 |
| 92/2 92/9 93/7 98/8 | 32/23 33/3 34/2 | 32/18 35/5 44/9 |
| 98/9 98/14 99/24 | 34/15 41/22 41/23 | 44/24 54/9 56/1 |
| 101/5 102/15 | 51/23 52/19 52/22 | 61/20 63/6 63/18 |
| 102/17 103/10 | 58/17 62/9 76/8 | 65/13 72/6 75/14 |
| 104/24 105/10 | 83/6 86/2 91/19 | 75/24 78/12 81/9 |
| 106/5 108/18 | 91/20 92/4 98/9 | 82/10 85/16 87/2 |
| 108/20 109/1 109/4 | 98/22 99/21 111/12 | 92/23 97/23 98/2 |
| 109/4 110/1 110/6 | 112/15 117/1 | 98/16 98/19 98/22 |
| 117/9 117/15 | 118/24 120/9 | 99/6 105/11 105/12 |
| 117/19 117/20 | 120/21 121/3 | 107/24 109/3 |
| 124/8 129/10 | 123/21 124/4 | 111/16 127/11 |
| 129/11 130/2 | 126/17 128/1 | 127/15 134/6 |
| 130/13 130/18 | 131/17 132/1 132/6 | 141/13 141/14 |
| 130/21 132/16 | 135/4 139/3 139/21 | 144/7 147/19 |
| 132/22 132/24 | 139/24 140/5 140/9 | throughout [2] |
| 135/9 135/15 | 141/9 154/3 | 60/12 82/3 |
| 139/12 139/13 | though [4] 92/9 | tied [2] 137/8 137/9 |
| 140/10 140/18 | 98/12 147/21 | time [31] 8/9 14/16 |
| 141/8 142/15 | 150/20 | 15/10 30/21 39/9 |
| 142/16 142/24 | thought [7] 39/19 | 40/13 43/5 45/7 |
| 147/22 151/9 | 79/5 103/14 113/8 | 46/1 46/14 47/2 |
| 151/15 152/17 | 113/8 117/6 121/2 | 56/24 57/1 75/9 |
| 154/6 | threat [1] 22/23 | 81/19 84/3 93/24 |
| thinks [2] 69/20 | three [4] 102/10 | 103/5 112/4 117/14 |
| 129/15 | 114/7 123/24 | 118/4 120/3 132/21 |
| this [253] | 131/17 | 138/4 139/10 |
| Thornton [9] 16/1 | threw [3] 21/6 37/3 | 140/14 144/3 147/3 |
| 90/18 90/22 91/18 | 37/3 | 147/10 152/17 |


| T | topic [11] 31/6 | 127/22 128/1 128/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| time... [1] 156/9 | 32/14 48/22 60/21 | 128/6 129/3 130/3 |
| timeline [1] 62/24 | 60/22 81/16 83 | 130/15 130 |
| times [7] 32/12 43/4 | 105/10 124/12 | 130/17 130/22 |
| 44/4 82/9 91/1 | 125/15 129/18 | 131/10 131/10 |
| 91/19 104/4 | total [2] 96/10 | 131/16 132/12 |
| titled [2] 3/11 3/13 | 111/14 | 151/21 |
| today [22] 4/5 4/7 | totals [1] 111/11 | town [126] 5/7 7/19 |
| 5/13 6/9 7/16 8/14 | touch [1] 48/22 | 9/9 9/24 10/1 10/10 |
| 14/18 26/14 56/20 | touched [1] 42/17 | 11/5 12/11 12/15 |
| 75/14 84/9 91/4 | tough [1] 127/5 | 15/2 17/9 19/13 |
| 96/14 119/10 122/9 | tourism [9] 9/1 | 19/15 30/15 32/19 |
| 122/10 141/9 142/5 | 31/9 43/7 97/16 | 32/21 33/2 39/23 |
| 143/15 154/9 | 105/13 105/21 | 54/22 55/24 56/1 |
| 154/11 154/24 | 132/8 132/23 137/9 | 58/17 58/21 63/13 |
| together [1] 91/17 | tourism-based [1] | 65/16 68/3 68/14 |
| told [6] 93/17 93/17 | 132/8 | 68/14 70/10 75/4 |
| 116/11 116/15 | tourist [4] 126/3 | 75/8 75/9 75/13 |
| 135/17 154/23 | 126/7 128/18 | 75/17 75/19 77/2 |
| Tom [2] 34/22 | 130/12 | 77/19 77/20 77/22 |
| 125/12 | tourist-based [2] | 80/15 80/18 81/18 |
| too [3] 4/20 27/5 | 126/3 126/7 | 81/20 82/13 82/14 |
| 91/23 | tourists [4] 31/16 | 82/16 82/21 83/11 |
| took [5] 7/13 77/18 | 33/5 126/22 132/24 | 84/17 84/23 85/18 |
| 112/4 119/17 | tournament [1] | 85/20 86/2 86/4 |
| 134/17 | 10/12 | 86/8 86/24 87/7 |
| top [14] 11/10 | toward [1] 27/23 | 87/8 87/12 88/5 |
| 18/15 28/1 28/4 | towards [2] 41/10 | 88/8 89/2 89/10 |
| 33/13 33/20 41/6 | 94/22 | 90/22 90/24 91/15 |
| 43/13 43/14 62/8 | tower [2] 130/14 | 92/19 97/7 98/7 |
| 67/23 99/17 100/16 | 134/6 | 98/11 99/11 101/10 |
| 142/19 | towers [16] 127/19 | 103/8 107/18 |


| T | towns/one [1] | travel [4] 45/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| town... [52] 108/16 | 116/23 | 45/15 45/23 |
| 110/5 111/4 111/17 | traditionally [1] | traveling [2] 63/6 |
| 111/22 112/4 112/5 | 28/14 | 92/23 |
| 112/7 115/9 117/7 | traffic [23] 4/4 8/24 | treat [1] 124/10 |
| 117/9 117/10 118/6 | 30/10 40/16 42/1 | trees [2] 21/6 21/7 |
| 118/20 119/19 | 42/6 42/10 42/12 | trespass [1] 59/11 |
| 121/16 121/16 | 42/13 43/6 43/11 | trial [1] 85/1 |
| 121/18 122/22 | 43/23 44/3 44/9 | triangle [2] 29/9 |
| 123/4 123/6 123/15 | 53/17 53/17 62/4 | 29/10 |
| 123/17 123/20 | 62/8 62/10 63/5 | Triathlon [1] 33/15 |
| 123/20 124/5 | 63/24 85/24 152/18 | tried [2] 105/3 |
| 125/20 128/10 | trail [6] 3/9 26/20 | 106/9 |
| 128/14 131/18 | 27/5 27/9 136/19 | trouble [1] 82/3 |
| 136/6 138/10 | 136/24 | troublesome [1] |
| 138/19 139/1 139/8 | transcript [8] 6/24 | 78/21 |
| 139/18 140/4 | 7/3 31/7 31/22 | troubling [2] 34/3 |
| 140/16 140/18 | 71/11 85/1 155/22 | 34/4 |
| 142/17 146/8 | 156/5 | truck [1] 50/9 |
| 146/20 148/4 148/6 | transition [1] 83/3 | trucks [1] 63/17 |
| 148/18 149/7 | transitional [2] | true [5] 17/19 |
| 149/11 150/23 | 65/13 108/1 | 105/15 124/8 149/4 |
| 152/1 153/21 | transmission [10] | 156/5 |
| 153/23 154/14 | 1/8 38/1 93/14 | trust [1] 111/7 |
| town's [7] 33/24 | 127/14 127/18 | try [9] 4/22 24/7 |
| 60/19 102/13 | 127/22 128/22 | 57/20 65/15 80/6 |
| 118/24 119/1 | 131/3 131/19 | 91/18 103/13 |
| 138/18 146/4 | 131/20 | 104/22 136/9 |
| towns [7] 18/6 95/8 | transport [1] 48/4 | trying [18] 22/6 |
| 114/7 116/22 | Transportation [4] | 28/20 35/23 36/17 |
| 116/23 116/24 | 1/16 12/5 59/20 | 38/6 53/23 55/4 |
| 117/3 | 123/8 | 57/12 65/15 78/20 |


| $\mathbf{T}$ | 60/23 62/18 63/15 | undergrounding [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| trying... [8] 100/12 | 64/15 100/9 146/15 | 99/1 |
| 100/13 104/16 | 148/1 149/9 150/4 | underlying [1] |
| 106/4 108/22 | 151/3 151/3 | 97/13 |
| 109/22 110/7 | Uh-huh [14] 15/23 | underneath [1] |
| 135/19 | 45/11 49/9 50/14 | 51/11 |
| tunnel [9] 7/17 7/23 | 55/13 60/23 62/18 | understand [31] |
| 8/2 8/10 8/12 17/14 | 63/15 64/15 100/9 | 38/4 38/9 41/22 |
| 38/22 39/11 85/23 | 146/15 148/1 149/9 | 55/14 73/1 75/23 |
| tunneling [2] 41/24 | 150/4 | 76/2 76/3 76/14 |
| 53/13 | Uh-uh [1] 151/3 | 76/22 77/24 79/2 |
| turn [2] 26/19 38/7 | ultimately [1] | 79/14 80/2 80/5 |
| TV [2] 115/5 | 140/2 | 83/4 83/6 83/7 |
| 124/14 | unable [2] 20/20 | 86/12 87/14 87/14 |
| twice [1] 48/12 | 112/22 | 89/13 89/17 92/5 |
| two [22] 8/18 24/20 | unambiguous [1] | 109/16 111/3 111/8 |
| 25/18 40/3 41/23 | 56/8 | 113/21 128/10 |
| 42/5 42/10 43/23 | uncertainty [1] | 138/24 139/18 |
| 54/13 63/3 68/2 | 59/2 | understanding [54] |
| 82/21 82/23 111/4 | unclear [1] 61/16 | 7/22 12/6 12/10 |
| 111/4 111/23 113/3 | uncovered [1] | 12/18 15/16 15/17 |
| 121/20 125/1 | 18/10 | 15/21 15/22 15/24 |
| 128/11 131/2 | undefined [1] 61/17 | 16/6 16/15 18/3 |
| 136/21 | under [13] 12/9 | 18/20 18/23 19/1 |
| two-lane [2] 42/5 | 12/11 12/17 17/17 | 21/21 25/4 39/15 |
| $42 / 10$ | 19/1 40/2 60/1 | 42/2 42/3 50/6 |
| type [2] 8/24 | 100/17 101/5 | 50/22 55/5 57/7 |
| 126/23 | 101/11 114/8 | 61/1 61/16 74/12 |
| U | 155/21 156/8 | 74/15 76/4 76/7 |
|  |  |  |
| uh [16] 15/23 45/11 | 14/18 97/23 98/13 | 88/6 88/21 89/21 |
| 49/9 50/14 55/13 | 100/24 | 89/23 90/14 110/19 |

46/2 55/18 56/18 57/10 64/8 67/16 67/18 73/24 74/2 74/22 75/3 75/14 79/8 80/8 82/14 83/18 85/1 86/18 90/17 95/3 95/12 100/6 103/4 103/8 106/1 106/2 106/19 107/8 107/21
108/16 113/3
114/12 115/8
115/10 115/24
116/12 118/4
123/10 124/16
134/17 138/2 138/4
139/24 141/20
141/22 145/13
145/24 146/4
146/11 146/17
148/14 155/3 155/4 155/7 155/7
upon [8] 42/17
48/22 53/22 59/11 87/18 89/2 112/8

## 117/10

us [18] 13/13 24/19 28/11 32/15 39/13 46/18 47/4 70/22 79/11 80/24 88/15 88/16 106/20
109/24 132/9 136/3

147/1 155/11 use [15] 30/8 57/21 62/14 65/14 78/23 88/15 107/23
122/17 124/22
126/9 130/13
130/18 130/19
131/1 145/2
used [8] 8/9 19/12
57/5 60/12 64/5
65/7 77/11 115/13 using [1] 52/17
utilities [4] 1/13
1/14 100/17 101/6 utility [1] 14/19
utilize [1] 99/8
vague [1] 8/4 vagueness [1] 26/6 value [8] 71/21
93/10 93/15 95/18 134/7 134/15 134/21 147/18 values [4] 71/3
71/16 71/23 72/13
variety [1] 108/11 various [5] 21/18 42/22 48/23 90/13 144/24
vehicle [3] 39/21 39/22 50/10
vehicles [9] 49/23

| $\mathbf{V}$ | volume [3] 43/2 | /22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 63/5 63/24 | 1415 |
|  | volunteers [1] 9/8 | 155/9 |
|  | vote [3] 111/7 | wanted [10] 25/ |
|  | 111/11 112/19 | 48/7 54/12 66/16 |
|  | voted [1] 111/1 | 74/7 79/24 90/15 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { votes [2] 111/4 } \\ & 112 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | 138/10 144/4 1 |
|  |  | warrant [15] |
|  | W | 2/1997/5 97/10 |
|  | wait [1] 133/15 | 97/21 97/24 11 |
|  | walking [1] 27/12 | 111/12 111/18 |
|  | Walkley [4] 2/14 | 111/23 112/1 112/6 |
|  | 137/19 137/24 | 112/9 113/3 113/10 |
|  | Wal | was [133] 4/5 4/6 |
|  | 39/8 39/14 41/9 | 4/12 7/9 7/15 8/7 |
|  | 42/8 | 16/5 16/13 16/2 |
|  | want [43] 13/9 | 19/3 19/4 21/8 22/3 |
|  | 29/21 33/12 35/5 | 23/14 23/17 24/1 |
|  | 36/17 38/8 42/16 | 24/10 26/13 26/17 |
|  | 44/13 44/17 48/22 | 30/18 31/11 34/4 |
|  | 51/24 59/10 61/7 | 34/5 34/8 37/1 45/9 |
|  | 61/7 61/8 71/10 | 47/17 49/3 49/4 |
|  | 79/10 81/10 83/9 | 50/20 50/20 50/23 |
|  | 83/22 85/11 93/22 | 51/5 53/22 55/6 |
|  | 94/15 95/3 99/3 | 55/15 55/15 55/16 |
|  | 94/15 95/3 99/3 100/14 106/12 | 55/21 56/17 57/3 |
|  | 106/13 109/1 | 57/5 57/15 59/17 |
|  | 110/4 122/22 | 65/23 71/14 74/3 |
|  | 122/23 124/6 | 74/16 74/16 75/23 |
|  | 125/14 129/14 | 76/3 76/10 76/17 |
|  | 129/18 129/24 | 76/22 76/24 77/4 |


| W | washy [1] 140/3 | we [183] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| was... [77] 77/20 | wasn't [6] 72/7 | we'd [3] 117/20 |
| 78/17 78/22 78/24 | 96/6 98/6 110/13 | 152/20 154/7 |
| 79/1 79/5 80/9 | 112/19 153/21 | we'll [6] 52/11 |
| 80/11 80/12 80/16 | water [22] 12/16 | 57/21 95/13 114/5 |
| 81/20 83/24 84/1 | 13/2 13/3 13/6 13/8 | 147/19 155/16 |
| 84/7 85/8 86/24 | 13/17 15/13 16/2 | we're [35] 11/3 |
| 90/23 90/23 96/6 | 16/8 16/10 16/11 | 11/8 17/13 29/21 |
| 97/7 97/10 98/1 | 16/14 16/17 66/12 | 30/5 32/13 40/17 |
| 98/3 103/5 103/6 | 76/10 76/24 77/4 | 45/10 47/24 56/14 |
| 104/19 110/1 110/8 | 132/15 132/18 | 65/11 65/14 79/21 |
| 110/10 110/12 | 136/18 137/3 137/5 | 80/20 82/2 88/16 |
| 111/6 111/8 111/16 | waterfront [1] | 89/19 99/7 103/13 |
| 112/18 112/19 | 136/1 | 107/2 107/8 108/1 |
| 112/21 112/22 | way [38] 1/15 2/9 | 109/21 111/14 |
| 113/4 113/8 114/9 | 9/16 14/3 14/4 17/1 | 113/24 131/24 |
| 115/10 116/15 | 30/11 31/4 31/5 | 136/13 137/2 |
| 117/7 117/16 | 45/19 71/15 71/22 | 146/18 154/6 |
| 118/13 118/16 | 72/11 72/18 78/6 | 154/16 154/22 |
| 118/17 121/6 | 80/17 80/21 83/10 | 155/1 155/7 155/12 |
| 123/19 125/2 125/3 | 83/11 88/1 88/22 | we've [31] 6/12 |
| 128/14 128/15 | 88/22 107/9 107/11 | 10/16 15/15 28/6 |
| 130/2 130/20 132/5 | 127/15 128/23 | 43/14 43/21 46/7 |
| 132/5 138/8 138/17 | 129/3 129/14 | 46/8 60/24 74/9 |
| 138/19 138/24 | 129/16 130/4 131/4 | 82/9 82/11 87/20 |
| 139/12 139/13 | 131/16 131/19 | 88/13 89/24 96/17 |
| 139/15 139/23 | 131/21 132/2 | 99/23 100/3 103/14 |
| 140/3 141/6 144/2 | 132/17 136/16 | 103/15 107/15 |
| 145/14 146/12 | 155/3 | 110/3 112/9 123/7 |
| 146/12 146/24 | ways [5] 41/21 | 134/16 135/14 |
| 147/8 147/22 148/8 | 45/23 65/15 72/15 | 136/8 136/10 |
| 149/7 151/16 | 109/7 | 145/11 145/11 |


| W | 96/8 145/15 | 32/10 32/11 32/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| we've... [1] 146/4 | were [47] 7/11 | 32/21 35/4 39/13 |
| Weathersby [3] | 18/24 20/20 30/8 | 40/22 45/3 56/14 |
| 1/17 2/9 110/23 | 33/16 37/18 47/19 | 57/24 58/3 59/23 |
| website [2] 146/5 | 52/18 54/15 55/18 | 60/6 60/11 60/18 |
| 149/2 | 55/20 74/24 77/10 | 61/2 61/3 62/21 |
| weddings [1] 132/8 | 77/12 78/22 79/24 | 62/24 68/1 69/17 |
| week [2] 21/5 | 86/7 95/16 95/19 | 69/19 71/10 72/15 |
| 104/16 | 96/4 96/11 96/23 | 73/8 76/2 77/3 |
| weekend [1] 25/4 | 97/20 103/18 111/6 | 77/17 78/15 79/5 |
| weeks [3] 8/12 | 111/14 113/4 113/4 | 79/9 82/24 85/9 |
| 15/15 44/1 | 113/9 116/11 125/4 | 87/7 87/14 87/21 |
| weight [4] 49/21 | 138/14 138/21 | 88/13 88/18 90/12 |
| 49/23 50/3 51/23 | 139/3 139/16 140/1 | 91/19 92/5 92/6 |
| welcome [1] 149/13 | 140/11 141/22 | 92/17 92/19 94/1 |
| well [34] 17/18 18/6 | 142/9 144/23 145/9 | 102/3 102/9 104/12 |
| 29/20 45/4 57/20 | 148/13 148/20 | 105/14 106/8 |
| 69/13 69/18 69/24 | 149/14 151/21 | 106/10 109/22 |
| 70/16 77/9 89/8 | 152/7 153/11 | 110/16 110/17 |
| 92/2 93/22 98/18 | weren't [3] 139/14 | 111/14 119/1 |
| 99/10 99/23 110/15 | 139/21 143/17 | 123/13 124/6 |
| 113/2 113/23 | west [1] 29/3 | 124/16 125/3 |
| 123/24 124/2 | wetlands [3] 132/15 | 128/18 129/12 |
| 128/14 131/24 | 132/16 141/8 | 131/6 132/1 132/8 |
| 133/2 134/18 | what [95] 6/19 8/14 | 132/13 133/22 |
| 138/22 139/4 | 8/23 10/8 11/19 | 134/19 135/17 |
| 139/11 144/6 | 12/14 12/21 13/4 | 144/1 149/5 154/11 |
| 146/14 147/15 | 13/6 13/11 16/9 | what's [13] 13/24 |
| 149/1 151/1 152/17 | 17/13 18/18 19/6 | 21/11 23/12 24/14 |
| wells [4] 45/18 | 19/14 19/19 20/9 | 35/15 38/11 38/24 |
| 45/19 46/21 66/12 | 21/6 22/10 23/17 | 40/14 66/19 68/6 |
| went [4] 21/5 35/5 | 24/19 26/5 27/1 | 135/22 136/3 138/2 |


| W | 17/13 17/14 46/3 | 143/23 144/5 148/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| whatever [2] 77/9 | 50/11 52/1 78/16 | while [4] 39/7 |
| 123/10 | 84/8 88/23 98/16 | 39/14 74/2 120/7 |
| wheels [1] 61/4 | 101/5 101/11 105/2 | Whipple [1] 133/8 |
| when [24] 10/20 | 106/13 110/8 | white [2] 137/3 |
| 20/18 29/14 47/8 | 152/20 155/11 | 137/4 |
| 61/19 62/1 77/10 | which [77] 6/24 | who [29] 4/4 4/6 |
| 78/11 80/14 81/22 | 8/19 8/20 9/14 9/20 | 19/9 20/1 30/4 53/3 |
| 81/22 82/2 83/24 | 10/18 12/17 12/18 | 53/4 71/8 79/19 |
| 100/22 105/6 105/7 | 17/12 18/15 19/20 | 79/20 79/24 82/14 |
| 109/12 109/24 | 20/8 21/8 21/12 | 94/12 95/7 101/7 |
| 110/10 117/14 | 26/21 27/16 27/20 | 106/17 109/7 113/3 |
| 131/1 135/16 145/9 | 27/20 27/24 28/1 | 113/4 123/23 127/2 |
| 154/24 | 29/10 29/20 30/18 | 132/20 133/3 133/3 |
| where [38] 6/14 | 31/22 32/13 33/15 | 133/11 133/22 |
| 10/20 27/13 29/2 | 33/16 35/20 37/20 | 134/4 137/4 140/1 |
| 29/2 29/12 30/12 | 41/9 41/11 42/5 | who's [2] 11/5 |
| 32/7 36/11 36/21 | 42/13 45/18 45/19 | 82/21 |
| 37/2 38/19 39/10 | 47/24 50/9 52/6 | whole [3] 23/3 91/4 |
| 40/24 44/20 47/4 | 52/8 59/17 62/20 | 97/12 |
| 47/6 47/10 49/7 | 63/4 64/13 64/19 | whom [1] 3/8 |
| 62/19 62/19 62/22 | 64/20 64/22 65/21 | why [20] 57/20 |
| 82/24 83/1 85/18 | 74/9 76/9 80/8 | 69/16 69/22 71/19 |
| 86/3 86/7 93/1 93/2 | 83/15 83/18 94/12 | 78/2 78/13 79/8 |
| 103/13 110/7 | 95/3 96/9 99/8 | 80/2 80/3 80/3 |
| 110/15 111/23 | 111/6 112/4 112/6 | 80/18 80/24 81/24 |
| 112/1 135/19 147/1 | 112/9 112/17 115/9 | 88/19 115/22 |
| 148/19 152/14 | 115/11 128/15 | 115/22 129/1 |
| Whereupon [2] | 128/16 128/17 | 129/15 130/2 |
| $4 / 12 \text { 121/6 }$ | 130/9 130/11 133/7 | 131/17 |
| whether [21] 7/16 | 136/11 136/21 | Wildlife [1] 3/16 |
| 9/1 9/1 13/14 13/15 | 141/1 141/6 141/11 | will [49] 4/21 7/10 |


| W | witness [16] $2 / 4$ | 12/8 14/9 14/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| will... [47] 14/7 | 2/12 4/4 4/6 4/9 | 15/10 39/7 77/1 |
| 14/16 15/10 17/21 | 31/9 34/18 52/10 | 88/10 108/16 |
| 17/24 19/8 23/10 | 69/20 73/5 92/16 | 117/18 120/23 |
| 41/24 42/5 42/9 | 116/18 125/7 | 124/8 |
| 44/2 48/17 59/10 | 133/12 147/7 | worked [2] 87/8 |
| 62/19 71/2 71/3 | 155/12 | 91/17 |
| 71/13 71/23 72/12 | witness's [2] 69/10 | workforce |
| 72/13 77/3 78/18 | 70 | 108 |
| 80/14 81/14 84/16 | witnesses [2] 85/4 | working [3] 11/24 |
| 85/7 85/7 89/14 | 122/14 | 75/18 123/16 |
| 89/16 94/17 102/2 | won't [8] 25/10 | works [1] 120/9 |
| 102/5 104/12 | 27/4 50/5 58/6 58/8 | workshops [3] |
| 105/19 115/11 | 61/24 112/17 | 100/2 100/2 110/4 |
| 115/16 120/22 | 148/14 | world [1] 80/20 |
| 124/16 127/21 | wonder [1] 35/5 | worst [1] 53/7 |
| 128/1 128/5 129/22 | wondering [1] 84/8 | Worthen [1] 134/3 |
| 131/2 134/9 146/3 | wood [1] 128/22 | Worthens [1] 134/3 |
| 149/12 155/11 | wooded [1] 29/4 | would [224] |
| William [1] 1/16 | wooden [3] 127/18 128/5 131/11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wouldn't [2] } 103 / 2 \\ & 143 / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| willing [5] 79/21 81/20 88/8 $117 / 12$ | Woodstock [1] | wrap [2] 55/18 |
| 140/20 | 114/19 | 107/21 |
| winter [1] 43/8 | word [10] 53/5 58/1 | Wright [4] 1/15 |
| wishy [1] 140/3 | 58/1 65/14 78/23 | 2/17 149/20 149/24 |
| wishy-washy [1] | 107/24 115/13 | written [1] 59/18 |
| 140/3 | 115/19 115/20 | wrote [2] 25/3 81/7 |
| within [5] 53/24 | 117/11 | Y |
| 69/21 129/3 131/3 |  | year [11] 43/3 43/4 |
| 131/19 | 105/23 110/7 | 91/1 96/2 110/10 |
| without [3] 56/10 |  | 118/14 145/20 |
| 118/1 127/4 | work [13] 7/10 8/15 | 146/13 147/16 |


| Y | 94/15 95/9 99/22 | 144/7 144/11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year... [2] 147/19 | 100/10 128/10 | 144/14 144/1 |
| 147/24 | 141/16 154/10 | 145/6 145/7 145/9 |
| year's [1] 112/5 | 154/11 | 147/2 147/9 149/2 |
| years [6] 121/20 | your [91] 4/20 5/4 | 152/7 152/8 152/9 |
| 123/12 123/19 | 5/13 6/20 12/6 | 152/14 153/12 |
| 128/9 128/11 | 14/21 15/11 17/2 | 154/2 154/20 |
| 147/17 | 18/20 23/13 26/14 | yourself [2] 5/3 |
| yes [183] | 27/8 42/2 43/10 | 121/13 |
| yesterday [1] 31/13 | 48/23 53/22 59/2 | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| yet [7] 15/12 54/21 | 59/22 60/1 61/13 | ZBA [1] 151/1 |
| 56/17 58/16 63/9 | 64/24 68/6 70/6 <br> 70/24 71/22 73/8 | Zoning [2] 130/15 |
| 118/2 154/16 | 74/7 76/16 79/8 | 144/16 |
| you [513] you'd [1] 23/21 | 82/4 83/12 83/13 |  |
| you'd [1] $23 / 21$ you'll [2] $25 / 11$ | 84/9 84/15 90/7 |  |
| you'll [2] 25/11 $\mathbf{8 1 / 3}$ | 91/24 92/7 93/9 |  |
| you're [33] 10/2 | 94/22 95/12 96/23 |  |
| 36/19 36/22 49/19 | 97/3 97/12 98/18 |  |
| 62/2 63/7 66/5 | 99/5 99/22 101/21 |  |
| 66/18 68/10 78/4 | 107/17 108/18 |  |
| 79/9 81/2 81/23 | 111/3 112/12 |  |
| 83/14 86/13 87/14 | 112/18 113/14 |  |
| 87/16 87/23 88/3 | 114/12 115/20 |  |
| 88/14 88/16 89/17 | 116/1 116/2 116/4 |  |
| 93/4 95/5 98/1 99/3 | 116/21 117/23 |  |
| 102/9 103/21 104/3 | 118/12 119/11 |  |
| 109/18 131/6 135/3 | 120/1 120/3 121/14 |  |
| 149/5 | 124/7 125/19 |  |
| you've [15] 48/11 | 127/12 131/9 |  |
| 59/16 66/15 83/21 | 135/22 140/23 |  |
| 86/10 90/9 92/11 | 140/24 141/2 141/6 |  |

