PRESENT FOR THE SEC: 18

19 Michael J. Iacopino, Esq., Counsel to the SEC Iryna Dore, Esq.

20 (Brennan, Lenehan, Iacopino & Hickey)

21 Pamela G. Monroe, SEC Administrator

22 (No Appearances Taken)

23 COURT REPORTER: Susan J. Robidas, NH LCR No. 44

PROCEEDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good morning, everyone. We're here to talk about a motion for rehearing and a request that we vacate the decisions that were made orally about a month ago and reopen deliberations. We received one or two filings supportive of that motion. We've received a number of filings opposed. I think there were three or four substantive oppositions and a number of people who filed joinders to various objections. We also received at least one motion to strike the Applicant's motion. we have a number of things to discuss. They are all governed by state statutes. ask counsel to remind us of the provisions of the New Hampshire laws that govern what we're doing here today.

MR. IACOPINO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Motions for rehearing, such as that filed by the Applicant, are governed by RSA 541:5, which, essentially for the Committee's sake, says that, "Upon the filing

of such motion, the Commission shall, within ten days, either grant or deny the same, or suspend the order or decision complained of pending further consideration, and any order of suspension may be upon such terms and conditions as the Commission may prescribe."

If there is a suspension, the consideration that the statute's talking about is consideration of the motion for rehearing.

So there is that statute.

There's also -- we have an Administrative Rule, Site 202.29, which also governs mostly the behavior of the parties with respect to motions for rehearing and governs what they must file with us. Today is Day 10 from the filing of the Applicant's motion for rehearing. So that's what governs the motion for rehearing.

The other relief requested in the Applicant's motion is that you, I guess for lack of a better term, reopen your deliberations and continue to deliberate on those matters that were not discussed during -- or those statutory factors that

were not, according to the Applicant, discussed during the course of your deliberations in late January and early

February. There is no governing statute with respect to that, in terms of when you have to rule on that type of request for relief or how you have to consider it or rule upon it. That is just the same as any other motion that comes before the Committee, any other request for relief. If the Committee feels that that is an appropriate action to take, you can do that. If you feel it's inappropriate, you can deny it.

And with respect to the rehearing portion of the motion, again, your options are to grant the motion; you can deny the motion; you can suspend the motion under the terms of the statute -- I'm sorry -- you can suspend the decision, which would be your oral decision since we don't have a written decision out yet; you can suspend your decision pending further consideration; and you could -- there's also suggestions out there from various parties that you could

deny the Applicant's motion for rehearing without prejudice to them re-bringing it after a written order has been issued.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So,

"without prejudice," for the non-lawyers in

the room, just means that it's denied, but it

could be re-brought at another time; right?

MR. IACOPINO: Correct.

"suspend," if I give someone permission -- if
I enter an order giving someone permission to
do something and then I suspend that order,
they no longer have permission to do what I
gave them permission to do; right?

MR. IACOPINO: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: If I've made a decision that says they can't do something, and I suspend that decision, that doesn't mean they can do it; right?

MR. IACOPINO: That's correct.

They would need, in this case, a certificate to do what they want to do. The suspension of a denial of something has little practical effect in the short term.

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All
2	right. You just answered the last follow-up
3	question I had on that.

All right. Does anyone have any other legal questions for counsel? Does anyone want to discuss anything?

Commissioner Bailey.

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I think that we should probably suspend our oral decision for now.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I tend to agree with that. I think it's not practical, given the schedule, the way the motion was filed and the objections have come in, to expect everybody to have processed all the information that was filed and make a decision within ten days. The statute allows for the suspension and allows conditions.

Commissioner Bailey, would you be thinking, then, that we would put conditions on that would contemplate considering this motion and all other motions for rehearing after a written decision has been made by the Subcommittee, all motions

1	for rehearing are in, and all objections to
2	those have been filed? Is that what you're
3	thinking?
4	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes,
5	because I think that we would have to suspend
6	the order again if we didn't do that because
7	it's not practical to receive motions for
8	rehearing and objections and make a decision
9	in ten days without such a suspension. So,
10	yes, I would move that.
11	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You want
12	to turn that into a motion?
13	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Well, we
14	can talk about it.
15	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All
16	right. Other thoughts? Ms. Dandeneau.
17	MS. DANDENEAU: I just have a
18	question about what the time line would be if
19	that's the path that we chose. If somebody
20	could lay that out for me, that would be
21	helpful.
22	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'll try.
23	And counsel, if I get this wrong, correct me.
24	We have to issue a written

decision by the end of March. The statute requires motions for rehearing or reconsideration within 30 days of the written decision. The statute, as counsel just read, contemplates a decision within ten days. in this instance, I think what we would want to do is give people an opportunity to respond to motions for rehearing and then make that a reasonable time and then consider all of them, because the underlying order will have been suspended when we can all get together and have -- let me back up -- get together to consider all of those enough time after the filings have been made so we can read and process them. I don't think that's going to be an attractive sentence or two in the transcript.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DIR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chair, could you try to expand upon that. So if we do as you're suggesting, we would still issue our written order; correct?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Correct.

DIR. WRIGHT: Okay. And then
in that order we'd specify the time lines for

1 motions for rehearings and any other
2 considerations?

3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think 4 we would do the latter now.

DIR. WRIGHT: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: They would be keyed off of the issuance of the order. The order might then have dates within it based on whatever we decide today.

Counsel.

MR. IACOPINO: The only thing that I would say about that is, obviously you have to realize that the statute puts a statutory time frame on filing motions for rehearing, and the parties have 30 days within which to do that. And I would not recommend shortening or trying to lengthen that time. In other words, I think that any motions for rehearing should be filed in compliance with the statutory requirements under RSA 541.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.
Weathersby, you want to say something?

MS. WEATHERSBY: Yes. I think

I now understand the process. And I guess I would be supportive of suspension. I don't think we should vacate our oral decision.

I'm pretty confident that that decision was well-reasoned, lawful, made in accordance with the statute and the administrative rules. So I think that suspending the oral decision until such time there's actually a final written decision does sort of add some clarity without just a dismissal.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: To be clear, the suspension would continue through our consideration of motions for rehearing I think. It wouldn't just be suspended through the written decision. I mean, some of it would be moot as a result of the issuance of the written decision, but the written decision would become the operative document.

MS. WEATHERSBY: Yes. No, I understand that. I think at some point we ought to wrap in the discussion about reopening the record -- not the reopening -- reopening deliberations or not and the timing of that if we do and all that. But as far as

just the decision -- I've got a cold, not thinking well. As far as addressing the oral decision to deny the Application, I think that that should be suspended as we've just discussed.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Other thoughts? Someone want to make a motion? Commissioner Bailey grabs the microphone.

that we suspend the decision until such time as the order has -- our written decision has been published, that we've received motions for rehearing or reconsideration consistent with the statute, and allow enough time for objections and our response.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Before taking a second, do you want to put a time frame in for responding to motions for rehearing? You want to make the standard New Hampshire ten days? Do you want to make it ten business days?

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Well,
maybe, Counsel, you could help us out here.
But the party who disagrees with our decision

```
has 30 days. And then people who disagree
1
         with their motion, how long do they usually
2
         get?
3
                         MR. IACOPINO:
                                         In past
4
5
         practice it's been ten days.
                         COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
6
7
         business days or ten --
8
                         MR. IACOPINO:
                                         Ten days.
         there's no requirement for filing objections
9
         for motions for rehearing --
10
11
                         COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
                                                Right,
         but we'll probably get them.
12
                         MR. IACOPINO:
                                         In other words,
13
14
         the parties that oppose the motion for
15
         rehearing, assuming they oppose it, they
16
         don't have to -- they're not required to file
17
         objections, but --
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
18
                                               This is
19
         an enthusiastic bunch, though.
20
                         MR. IACOPINO: Yes, they are.
21
         But the standard is ten days.
22
                         COMMISSIONER BAILEY:
                                                Okay.
23
         So I would say ten days after motions for
         rehearings or objections.
24
```

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there a second to Commissioner Bailey's motion? 2 MS. DANDENEAU: I'll second. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All 4 5 right. Is there further discussion anyone wants to add? 6 7 MS. DANDENEAU: I have a 8 question. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 9 Ms. Dandeneau. 10 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Ms. Weathersby just mentioned a moment ago about talking 12 13 about reopening deliberations. Do we need to have that conversation before we vote on this 14 15 motion, or can we do that afterwards and 16 separately? 17 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I believe we could do that at either time. 18 I believe that the motion on -- that is currently 19 20 pending sweeps the request to reopen deliberations into it. And so the discussion 21 22 of reopening deliberations would happen in 23 connection with all other motions for rehearing sometime in the future. 24

1	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. Thank
2	you.
3	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: So what
4	you mean by that is we would issue under
5	the motion right now, as it stands, without
6	talking about reopening deliberations, we
7	would issue our written decision. Everybody
8	would say you should reconsider and go
9	through all the statutory elements, and you
LO	got this, that and the other thing wrong, and
L1	we would reopen after the written decision,
L2	after all after 60 after 40 days or
L3	more have gone by?
L 4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That is
L5	when we would consider that request to
L6	reopen.
L7	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay.
L8	But we could consider the request today as
L9	well.
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes, we
21	could.
22	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay.
23	So I think by granting the motion to suspend,
24	we haven't really answered that question

C 2015-06} [MOTION FOR REHEARING] {03-12-18}

about if we're thinking about reopening, whether we would do it now or after motions for reconsideration of the written order.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That would be my understanding.

Counsel, you agree with that?

MR. IACOPINO: I think that

the -- you could do it today if the Committee

so voted to do that, or you could -- that

could be relief that is granted subsequent to

the filing of the motions for rehearing.

It's entirely up to the Committee in terms of

how you would want to proceed.

I understand, and I just want to make sure I understand the motion that's pending right now. I understood it to mean that this motion, which has both the request for rehearing, as well as a request for other relief, such as continuing deliberations, vacating the decision that you made, that that entire motion would be decided down the road after the written order is written and after any other motions for rehearing are filed. That's the way I understood your

motion, so that it would include not only the rehearing portion of the motion, but also the other relief requested. I don't know if I understood that correctly or not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I think it wasn't specific, and intentionally so. would like to have a conversation if we think we're going to reopen deliberations. I think it makes sense to do that today. But I don't think we need to do that to decide the motion on suspension, because if we grant the motion to suspend and then we decide to reopen deliberations, it's just going to take longer to get to the written order. So the time frame is going to be longer, but the effect of the suspension is that our decisions are suspended until we've gone through the rehearing process; right?

(Off-the-record discussion between SEC counsel and Chairman)

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think

if that's what you want to do, you should

withdraw your motion and have a -- and we can

have a discussion about whether to resume

1	deliberations, because the process you just
2	outlined I think is inconsistent internally.
3	Either we reopen deliberations and deliberate
4	on everything or we issue the written order
5	contemplated by the actions taken a month
6	ago. We do one of those two things, not
7	both. So if you want to have a discussion
8	about reopening deliberations, I would
9	encourage you to withdraw your motion and
10	then we'll have a discussion about it.
11	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay.
12	Can we table it?
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Sure.
14	That works, too.
15	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: All
16	right.
17	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I assume
18	everybody's okay with tabling that motion?
19	MS. WEATHERSBY: Yeah.
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All
21	right. It's on the table. What do you want
22	to talk about, Commissioner Bailey?
23	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Well, I
24	just want to I think we should talk about

C 2015-06} [MOTION FOR REHEARING] {03-12-18}

reopening deliberations and see where 1 everybody is on that idea. 2 I agree with Ms. Weathersby. I don't think that we should 3 vacate our decision. But I was persuaded by 4 Counsel for the Public's pleading that said 5 that it's better public policy to deliberate 6 7 on all the issues. And so I was wondering if 8 other people were thinking the same thing; and if they are, it might make sense to do 9 10 that before we issue the written decision 11 rather than after. I'm not sure it's going to change the outcome, but from a 12 process-wise what makes sense. 13

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.

Weathersby or Mr. Wright.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DIR. WRIGHT: Well, I was just going to clarify that. Is it -- so do we reopen deliberations on everything or just on what we hadn't already decided, or both on the table?

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Well, I think -- what I was thinking is that we would finish the deliberations that people have criticized us for not finishing.

DIR. WRIGHT: So the final two
factors that we --

3 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Right.

4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms.

Weathersby.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. WEATHERSBY: Just on that point, if we reopen deliberations, I don't think anyone's being precluded from going back and saying, Hey, I want to talk about tourism some more. We may not -- I don't think we really should, but that's -- by reopening deliberations, I think you reopen the whole thing. I think reopening deliberations would be a good idea because I think that understanding where the Committee sits on the other factors that we didn't address would be instructive. I don't think it would be dispositive at all. therefore, I don't really have a preference as to whether we do it before our final decision is issued or after. I don't think we need to do it. I just think that there's a few issues that would -- a lot of work's gone into a number factors we didn't get to.

I think that it would be helpful to all 1 parties to have an analysis of those. 2 There's some legal issues, you know, what's a 3 historic site, the interplay of the 106 4 5 process and state process and -- you know, sort of some of those things that I think 6 7 would just be helpful to review. But I don't think -- my opinion still stands, that they 8 did not meet their burden concerning orderly 9 development of the region. And, you know, I 10 11 don't think we can grant a certificate. 12 Certainly not going to reopen the record and then get new conditions and all of that put 13 14 on.

So I would be in favor of going through the other factors, but, as I said, I don't really have a preference whether it's done before or after a final decision is issued.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What would the context be after? I'm a little confused as to what you think would happen. How would we -- if we go down the road of issuing the decision, the written decision

based on the oral actions taken a month ago, 1 2 what are you -- at what point are you thinking we would then discuss the other 3 factors that are in the statute? 4 5 MS. WEATHERSBY: I mean, procedurally, I think if they still have --6 7 unless we just decide today that there would 8 be a motion to reopen deliberations. would just -- it would be purely instructive. 9 And maybe that's not our role. I'm not -- am 10 11 I answering your question? What was your -tell me again? 12 (Off-the-record discussion between SEC 13 counsel and Chairman.) 14 15 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. 16 Other thoughts on this? 17 [No verbal response] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'11 18 I don't think we're in any way 19 offer mine. 20 obligated to deliberate on the other factors. 21 I said when I voted to continue deliberations 22 that I thought it was probably better 23 administrative practice to do it, but that we weren't obligated to. And I continue to 24

believe that. It's not our role to advise someone on something that's hypothetical. I think the Attorney General's Office, Counsel for the Public's memorandum on this is exactly right: We'd probably be better to have done it, but we're not obligated to do it.

I mean, does anyone want to make a motion to reopen deliberations? I mean, we're going to get an opportunity to talk about it again if we go down the road that we were going with Commissioner Bailey's motion that is currently on the table, to discuss whether to reopen deliberations in connection with all of the motions for rehearing that will be filed after the written decision is issued. So if we don't -- if no one wants to make a motion now -- Mr. Wright, you look like you're grabbing your microphone.

DIR. WRIGHT: So that's the motion that Commissioner Bailey currently has on the table or not, the one that we tabled?

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: What?

1	DIR. WRIGHT: I'm sorry. I
2	thought we tabled your motion.
3	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We tabled
4	Commissioner Bailey's motion to suspend the
5	decisions that were made a month ago and
6	consider them after the written decision.
7	And at some point we're going to need to take
8	that motion off the table and deal with it.
9	But at this point it's still on the table.
10	The open question is whether anyone wants to
11	make a motion to reopen deliberations at this
12	time.
13	[No verbal response]
13 14	[No verbal response] CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no
14 15	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no one making such a motion.
14 15 16	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no one making such a motion. Commissioner Bailey, would you
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no one making such a motion. Commissioner Bailey, would you like to have your motion removed from the
14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no one making such a motion. Commissioner Bailey, would you like to have your motion removed from the table?
14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no one making such a motion. Commissioner Bailey, would you like to have your motion removed from the table? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no one making such a motion. Commissioner Bailey, would you like to have your motion removed from the table? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I assume
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see no one making such a motion. Commissioner Bailey, would you like to have your motion removed from the table? COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I assume that no one objects to that?

1	Commissioner Bailey's motion?
2	[No verbal response]
3	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing
4	none, all in favor say "aye."
5	[Multiple members indicating "aye".]
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Are there
7	any opposed?
8	[No verbal response]
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: The
10	"ayes" have it. The motion is granted.
11	Mr. Iacopino, is there
12	anything else we need to do?
13	MR. IACOPINO: Did you want to
14	leave the scheduling of the deliberations and
15	whatnot to the administrator?
16	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes.
17	MR. IACOPINO: Then I don't
18	believe there's anything else that we need to
19	do. But for my own clarity, if I
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yeah, why
21	don't you read what you think we just did.
22	MR. IACOPINO: I think what
23	you just did is the Subcommittee has just
24	voted to suspend its oral decision which was

made, I believe, on February 1st, and to do
that to avoid the ten days' requirement of
RSA 541 so that you can give further
consideration to the motion that is pending;
that you will issue your written order; and
subsequent to your written order, the parties
will have the ability to file motions for
rehearing with respect to your written order.
You will -- and the motion contemplates a
ten-day objection period, and then after the
objection period there will be a hearing
scheduled to deliberate on all the motions
for rehearing and any other relief that is
sought in those motions once you receive the
objections.

with that provision of RSA 541, will we need to meet to suspend the final order after motions for rehearing are filed, or is this sufficient to act as that suspension?

Because we clearly will not act on motions for rehearing within ten days of their filing after we issue the written decision here?

MR. IACOPINO: No, I think

```
1
          your -- I think that under 541:5, RSA 541:5,
          this suspension can contain the terms and
 2
 3
          conditions that will permit us not to have to
          suspend again once the written order is
 4
5
          issued.
                          CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG:
                                                Okay.
 6
                                                        So
 7
          if there's nothing else we need to do, we
         will adjourn.
8
                          Off the record.
9
10
                (Hearing adjourned at 11:36 a.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public
of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
certify that the foregoing is a true and
accurate transcript of my stenographic
notes of these proceedings taken at the
place and on the date hereinbefore set
forth, to the best of my skill and ability
under the conditions present at the time.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR
Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter
Registered Professional Reporter
N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)

-				
	Application (1)	Certainly (1)	consider (6)	decided (2)
r	12:3	21:12	5:7;9:9,13;15:15,	16:21;19:19
[appropriate (1)	certificate (2)	18;24:6	decision (39)
55 14 1 (6)	5:11	6:21;21:11	consideration (6)	4:3;5:19,20,21,22;
[Multiple (1)	assume (2)	Chair (1)	4:4,7,9;5:22;11:13;	6:17,18;7:10,17,23;
25:5	18:17;24:20	9:18	26:4	8:8;9:1,4,5;11:3,4,8,
[No (5)				
22:17;24:13,22;	assuming (1)	CHAIRMAN (48)	considerations (1)	9,15,17,18;12:1,3,10,
25:2,8	13:15	3:2,20;6:4,9,16;	10:2	11,24;15:7,11;16:20;
	Attorney (1)	7:1,11;8:11,15,22;	considering (1)	19:4,10;20:21;21:19,
\mathbf{A}	23:3	9:22;10:3,6,22;	7:22	24,24;23:17;24:6;
	attractive (1)	11:11;12:6,16;13:18;	consistent (1)	25:24;26:23
ability (1)	9:16	14:1,4,9,17;15:14,20;	12:13	decisions (3)
26:7	avoid (1)	16:4;17:20,21;18:13,	contain (1)	3:5;17:16;24:5
accordance (1)	26:2	17,20;19:14;20:4;	27:2	deliberate (5)
11:5	aye (1)	21:20;22:14,15,18;	contemplate (1)	4:22;18:3;19:6;
according (1)	25:4	23:24;24:3,14,20,23;	7:21	22:20;26:12
5:1	aye] (1)	25:3,6,9,16,20;26:16;	contemplated (1)	deliberations (26)
act (2)	25:5	27:6	18:5	3:6;4:22;5:3;
	ayes (1)	change (1)	contemplates (2)	11:23;14:13,21,22;
26:20,21	25:10	19:12	9:5;26:9	15:6;16:19;17:8,13;
action (1)	20110	chose (1)	context (1)	18:1,3,8;19:1,18,23;
5:11	В	8:19	21:21	20:7,12,14;22:8,21;
actions (2)		clarify (1)	continue (4)	23:9,14;24:11;25:14
18:5;22:1	back (2)	19:17	4:22;11:12;22:21,	denial (1)
actually (1)	9:12;20:9	clarity (2)	24	6:23
11:8	Bailey (24)	11:10;25:19	continuing (1)	denied (1)
add (2)	7:7,8,19;8:4,13;	clear (1)	16:19	6:6
11:9;14:6	12:8,9,22;13:6,11,22;	11:12	conversation (2)	deny (5)
address (1)		clearly (1)	14:14;17:7	4:2;5:13,16;6:1;
20:17	15:3,17,22;17:5;	26:21		12:3
addressing (1)	18:11,15,22,23;	cold (1)	correctly (1) 17:4	
12:2	19:21;20:3;23:22;	12:1		development (1) 21:10
adjourn (1)	24:16,19		counsel (11)	DIR (7)
27:8	Bailey's (4)	Commission (2)	3:16;7:5;8:23;9:4;	
adjourned (1)	14:2;23:12;24:4;	4:1,6	10:10;12:23;16:6;	9:18,23;10:5;
27:10	25:1	Commissioner (28)	17:20;19:5;22:14;	19:16;20:1;23:21;
Administrative (3)	based (2)	7:7,8,19;8:4,13;	23:3	24:1
4:12;11:6;22:23	10:9;22:1	12:8,9,22;13:6,11,22;	course (1)	disagree (1)
administrator (1)	become (1)	14:2;15:3,17,22;	5:2	13:1
25:15	11:18	17:5;18:11,15,22,23;	criticized (1)	disagrees (1)
advise (1)	behavior (1)	19:21;20:3;23:12,22;	19:24	12:24
23:1	4:13	24:4,16,19;25:1	currently (3)	discuss (4)
afterwards (1)	better (4)	Committee (5)	14:19;23:13,22	3:14;7:6;22:3;
14:15	4:21;19:6;22:22;	5:9,10;16:8,12;	_	23:14
again (5)	23:5	20:15	D	discussed (3)
5:15;8:6;22:12;	both (3)	Committee's (1)		4:23;5:2;12:5
23:11;27:4	16:17;18:7;19:19	3:24	Dandeneau (7)	discussion (9)
ago (5)	bunch (1)	complained (1)	8:16,17;14:3,7,10,	11:21;14:5,21;
3:6;14:12;18:6;	13:19	4:3	11;15:1	17:19,24;18:7,10;
22:1;24:5	burden (1)	compliance (1)	dates (1)	22:13;24:24
agree (3)	21:9	10:20	10:8	dismissal (1)
7:12;16:6;19:2	business (2)	comply (1)	Day (1)	11:10
	12:21;13:7	26:16	4:16	dispositive (1)
allow (1)	,	concerning (1)	days (16)	20:18
12:14	C	21:9	4:2;7:17;8:9;9:3,5;	document (1)
allows (2)		conditions (5)	10:15;12:20,21;13:1,	11:18
7:17,18	can (16)	4:6;7:18,21;21:13;	5,7,8,21,23;15:12;	done (2)
analysis (1)	5:12,13,16,17,19,	27:3	26:22	21:18;23:6
21:2	21;6:19;8:14;9:11,	confident (1)	days' (1)	down (3)
answered (2)	14;14:15;17:23;	11:4	26:2	16:21;21:23;23:11
7:2;15:24	18:12;21:11;26:3;	confused (1)	deal (1)	during (2)
Applicant (2)	27:2	21:22	24:8	4:24;5:2
3:22;5:1	case (1)	connection (2)	decide (4)	7.27,3.2
Applicant's (4)		14:23;23:15	10:9;17:10,12;22:7	
3:13;4:16,20;6:1	6:21	14.23,23.13	10.7,17.10,12,22.7	
		1		•

	II.			March 12, 2018
	8:2;10:19;16:24;	3:17;12:20	issued (5)	making (1)
T	23:16;26:19	happen (2)	6:3;20:21;21:19;	24:15
${f E}$	- filing (6)	14:22;21:22	23:17;27:5	March (1)
• (4)	3:24;4:16;10:14;	hearing (2)	issues (3)	9:1
early (1)	13:9;16:11;26:22	26:11;27:10	19:7;20:23;21:3	matters (1)
5:3	filings (3)	help (1)	issuing (1)	4:23
effect (2)	3:7,9;9:14	12:23	21:24	may (3)
6:24;17:15	* *		21.24	4:5,6;20:10
either (3)	final (5)	helpful (3)	J	
4:2;14:18;18:3	11:9;20:1,20;	8:21;21:1,7	J	maybe (2)
elements (1)	21:18;26:18	Hey (1)	T (4)	12:23;22:10
15:9	finish (1)	20:9	January (1)	mean (7)
else (3)	19:23	historic (1)	5:3	6:19;11:15;15:4;
25:12,18;27:7	finishing (1)	21:4	joinders (1)	16:16;22:5;23:8,10
encourage (1)	19:24	HONIGBERG (45)	3:11	means (1)
18:9	follow-up (1)	3:2;6:4,9,16;7:1,	***	6:6
end (1)	7:2	11;8:11,15,22;9:22;	K	meet (2)
9:1	four (1)	10:3,6,22;11:11;12:6,		21:9;26:18
enough (2)	3:10	16;13:18;14:1,4,9,17;	keyed (1)	members (1)
9:13;12:14	frame (3)	15:14,20;16:4;17:21;	10:7	25:5
enter (1)	10:14;12:18;17:15	18:13,17,20;19:14;		memorandum (1)
6:11	further (5)	20:4;21:20;22:15,18;	\mathbf{L}	23:4
enthusiastic (1)	4:4;5:22;14:5;	23:24;24:3,14,20,23;		mentioned (1)
13:19	24:24;26:3	25:3,6,9,16,20;26:16;	lack (1)	14:12
entire (1)	future (1)	27:6	4:21	microphone (2)
16:21	14:24	hypothetical (1)	last (1)	12:8;23:20
entirely (1)		→ 23:2	7:2	might (2)
16:12	G		late (1)	10:8;19:9
essentially (1)		– I	5:3	mine (1)
3:23	gave (1)	_	latter (1)	22:19
	6:14	IACOPINO (15)	10:4	moment (1)
everybody (3)	General's (1)	3:19;6:8,15,20;	lawful (1)	14:12
7:15;15:7;19:2	23:3	10:11;13:4,8,13,20;	11:5	month (4)
everybody's (1)	given (1)	16:7;25:11,13,17,22;	laws (1)	3:6;18:5;22:1;24:5
18:18	7:13	26:24	3:17	moot (1)
everyone (1)	giving (1)	idea (2)	lay (1)	11:16
3:3	6:11	19:2;20:14	8:20	more (2)
exactly (1)	Good (2)	inappropriate (1)	least (1)	15:13;20:10
23:5	3:2;20:14	5:13	3:12	morning (1)
expand (1)	*	include (1)		3:3
9:19	govern (1) 3:17	17:1	leave (1)	mostly (1)
expect (1)			25:14	4:13
7:15	governed (2)	inconsistent (1)	legal (2)	
	3:15,22	18:2	7:5;21:3	motion (51)
${f F}$	governing (1)	indicating (1)	lengthen (1)	3:4,8,13,13;4:1,9,
	5:4	25:5	10:17	17,18,20;5:8,15,16,
factors (7)	governs (3)	information (1)	line (1)	17,17;6:1;7:13,22;
4:24;20:2,16,24;	4:13,15,17	7:16	8:18	8:12;12:7;13:2,14;
21:16;22:4,20	grabbing (1)	instance (1)	lines (1)	14:2,15,19;15:5,23;
far (2)	23:20	9:6	9:24	16:15,17,21;17:1,2,
11:24;12:2	grabs (1)	instructive (2)	little (2)	10,11,23;18:9,18;
favor (2)	12:8	20:17;22:9	6:23;21:21	22:8;23:9,13,18,22;
21:15;25:4	grant (4)	intentionally (1)	long (1)	24:2,4,8,11,15,17;
February (2)	4:2;5:16;17:11;	17:6	13:2	25:1,10;26:4,9
5:4;26:1	21:11	internally (1)	longer (3)	Motions (25)
feel (1)	granted (2)	18:2	6:13;17:13,15	3:21;4:14;7:22,24;
5:12	16:10;25:10	interplay (1)	look (1)	8:7;9:2,8;10:1,14,19;
feels (1)	granting (1)	21:4	23:19	11:13;12:12,18;
	15:23	into (3)	lot (1)	13:10,23;14:23;16:2,
5:10	guess (2)	8:12;14:21;20:24	20:23	11,23;23:15;26:7,12,
few (1)	4:20;11:1	issuance (2)	20.23	14,19,21
20:23				
	4.20,11.1		M	move (2)
file (3)		10:7;11:16	M	move (2) 8:10:12:9
file (3) 4:15;13:16;26:7	H	10:7;11:16 issue (8)		8:10;12:9
file (3)		10:7;11:16	M makes (2) 17:9;19:13	

	12:2;22:1;25:24	20:19;21:17	reconsideration (3)	right (16)
N	orally (1)	prejudice (2)	9:3;12:13;16:3	6:7,14,19;7:2,4;
	3:5	6:2,5	record (3)	8:16;13:11;14:5;
1 (0)	order (21)	prescribe (1)	11:22;21:12;27:9	15:5;16:16;17:18;
need (9)				
6:21;14:13;17:10;	4:3,4;6:3,11,12;	4:6	region (1)	18:16,21;20:3;23:5;
20:22;24:7;25:12,18;	8:6;9:10,21,24;10:8,	pretty (1)	21:10	24:24
26:17;27:7	8;12:11;16:3,22;	11:4	rehearing (31)	road (3)
New (3)	17:14;18:4;26:5,6,8,	probably (4)	3:4,21;4:9,14,17,	16:22;21:23;23:11
3:17;12:19;21:13	18;27:4	7:9;13:12;22:22;	18;5:15;6:1;7:23;8:1,	role (2)
	orderly (1)	23:5	8;9:2,8;10:15,19;	22:10;23:1
none (1)	21:9	procedurally (1)	11:13;12:13,19;	room (1)
25:4	ought (1)	22:6	13:10,15;14:24;	6:6
non-lawyers (1)				
6:5	11:21	proceed (1)	16:11,18,23;17:2,18;	RSA (5)
number (4)	out (4)	16:13	23:16;26:8,13,19,22	3:23;10:21;26:3,
3:8,10,14;20:24	5:21,23;8:20;12:23	process (6)	rehearings (2)	17;27:1
	outcome (1)	9:15;11:1;17:18;	10:1;13:24	Rule (3)
0	19:12	18:1;21:5,5	relief (7)	4:12;5:6,7
	outlined (1)	processed (1)	4:19;5:6,10;16:10,	rules (1)
abiantian (2)	18:2	7:15	19;17:3;26:13	11:7
objection (2)	own (1)	process-wise (1)	remind (1)	11.7
26:10,11	25:19	19:13	3:16	S
objections (9)	23.17			3
3:12;7:14;8:1,8;		provision (1)	removed (1)	
12:15;13:9,17,24;	P	26:17	24:17	sake (1)
26:15		provisions (1)	reopen (16)	3:24
objects (1)	parties (6)	3:16	3:6;4:21;14:20;	same (3)
24:21	4:13;5:24;10:15;	public (1)	15:11,16;17:8,12;	4:2;5:8;19:8
	13:14;21:2;26:6	19:6	18:3;19:18;20:7,12;	saying (1)
obligated (3)	party (1)	Public's (2)	21:12;22:8;23:9,14;	20:9
22:20,24;23:6			24:11	
obviously (1)	12:24	19:5;23:4		schedule (1)
10:12	past (1)	published (1)	reopening (11)	7:13
off (3)	13:4	12:12	11:22,22,23;14:13,	scheduled (1)
10:7;24:8;27:9	path (1)	purely (1)	22;15:6;16:1;18:8;	26:12
offer (1)	8:19	22:9	19:1;20:12,13	scheduling (1)
22:19	pending (5)	put (3)	request (8)	25:14
	4:4;5:22;14:20;	7:20;12:17;21:13	3:4;5:6,10;14:20;	SEC (2)
Office (1)	16:16;26:4	puts (1)	15:15,18;16:17,18	17:19;22:13
23:3		10:13		,
Off-the-record (2)	people (5)	10:13	requested (2)	second (3)
17:19;22:13	3:11;9:7;13:1;19:8,	.	4:19;17:3	12:17;14:2,3
once (2)	23	R	required (1)	Seeing (1)
26:14;27:4	period (2)		13:16	25:3
one (7)	26:10,11	rather (1)	requirement (2)	sense (3)
3:7,12;18:6;23:18,	permission (4)	19:11	13:9;26:2	17:9;19:9,13
	6:10,11,13,14	read (3)	requirements (1)	sentence (1)
23;24:15,21	permit (1)	9:4,15;25:21	10:20	9:16
only (2)	27:3	realize (1)	requires (1)	
10:11;17:1				separately (1)
open (1)	persuaded (1)	10:13	9:2	14:16
24:10	19:4	really (4)	respect (4)	shall (1)
operative (1)	pleading (1)	15:24;20:11,19;	4:14;5:5,14;26:8	4:1
11:18	19:5	21:17	respond (1)	short (1)
opinion (1)	point (5)	reasonable (1)	9:8	6:24
	11:20;20:7;22:2;	9:9	responding (1)	shortening (1)
21:8	24:7,9	re-bringing (1)	12:18	10:17
opportunity (2)		6:2		Site (2)
9:7;23:10	policy (1)		response (1)	, ,
oppose (2)	19:6	re-brought (1)	12:15	4:12;21:4
13:14,15	portion (2)	6:7	response] (5)	sits (1)
opposed (2)	5:15;17:2	receive (2)	22:17;24:13,22;	20:16
3:9;25:7	practical (3)	8:7;26:14	25:2,8	somebody (1)
oppositions (1)	6:23;7:12;8:7	received (4)	result (1)	8:19
				someone (4)
		3:7.8.12:12:12	11:10	
3:10	practice (2)	3:7,8,12;12:12 recommend (1)	11:16 resume (1)	
3:10 options (1)	practice (2) 13:5;22:23	recommend (1)	resume (1)	6:10,11;12:7;23:2
3:10 options (1) 5:16	practice (2) 13:5;22:23 precluded (1)	recommend (1) 10:17	resume (1) 17:24	6:10,11;12:7;23:2 sometime (1)
3:10 options (1) 5:16 oral (7)	practice (2) 13:5;22:23 precluded (1) 20:8	recommend (1) 10:17 reconsider (1)	resume (1) 17:24 review (1)	6:10,11;12:7;23:2 sometime (1) 14:24
3:10 options (1) 5:16	practice (2) 13:5;22:23 precluded (1)	recommend (1) 10:17	resume (1) 17:24	6:10,11;12:7;23:2 sometime (1)

				IV.
5:18;13:12;24:1			wondering (1)	15:12
sort (2)	T	U	19:7	
11:9;21:6		C	word (1)	
sought (1)	table (8)	under (4)	6:9	
26:14	18:12,21;19:20;	5:17;10:21;15:4;	words (2)	
specific (1)	23:13,23;24:8,9,18	27:1	10:18;13:13	
17:6	tabled (3)	underlying (1)	works (1)	
specify (1)	23:23;24:2,3	9:10	18:14	
9:24	tabling (1)	understood (3)	work's (1)	
standard (2)	18:18	16:16,24;17:4	20:23	
12:19;13:21	talk (6)	unless (1)	wrap (1)	
stands (2)	3:3;8:14;18:22,24;	22:7	11:21 WRIGHT (9)	
15:5;21:8 state (2)	20:9;23:11	up (2)	9:18,23;10:5;	
3:15;21:5	talking (3)	9:12;16:12	19:15,16;20:1;23:19,	
statute (10)	4:8;14:12;15:6	Upon (4)	21;24:1	
4:10;5:4,18;7:17;	ten (14)	3:24;4:5;5:7;9:19	written (27)	
9:1,4;10:13;11:6;	4:2;7:17;8:9;9:5;	usually (1) 13:2	5:20;6:3;7:23;	
12:14;22:4	12:20,21;13:5,6,7,8, 21,23;26:2,22	15:2	8:24;9:3,21;11:9,15,	
statutes (1)	tend (1)	\mathbf{v}	17,17;12:11;15:7,11;	
3:15	7:11	V	16:3,22,22;17:14;	
statute's (1)	ten-day (1)	vacate (3)	18:4;19:10;21:24;	
4:8	26:10	3:5;11:3;19:4	23:17;24:6;26:5,6,8,	
statutory (4)	term (2)	vacating (1)	23;27:4	
4:24;10:14,20;15:9	4:21;6:24	16:20	wrong (2)	
still (4)	terms (5)	various (2)	8:23;15:10	
9:20;21:8;22:6;	4:5;5:5,18;16:12;	3:11;5:24		
24:9	27:2	verbal (5)	1	
strike (1)	therefore (1)	22:17;24:13,22;	10 (1)	
3:13	20:19	25:2,8	10 (1)	
Subcommittee (2)	thinking (7)	vote (1)	4:16	
7:24;25:23	7:20;8:3;12:2;	14:14	106 (1) 21:4	
subsequent (2) 16:10;26:6	16:1;19:8,22;22:3	voted (3)	11:36 (1)	
substantive (1)	though (1)	16:9;22:21;25:24	27:10	
3:10	13:19	\mathbf{W}	1st (1)	
sufficient (1)	thought (2) 22:22;24:2	VV	26:1	
26:20	thoughts (3)	wents (3)		
suggesting (1)	8:16;12:7;22:16	wants (3) 14:6;23:18;24:10	2	
9:20	three (1)	way (3)		
suggestions (1)	3:9	7:13;16:24;22:19	202.29 (1)	
5:23	timing (1)	Weathersby (10)	4:12	
supportive (2)	11:23	10:23,24;11:19;		
3:7;11:2	today (7)	14:11;18:19;19:3,15;	3	
sure (3)	3:18;4:15;10:9;	20:5,6;22:5	20 (2)	
16:15;18:13;19:11	15:18;16:8;17:9;22:7	well-reasoned (1)	30 (3)	
suspend (16)	together (2)	11:5	9:3;10:15;13:1	
4:3;5:17,19,21; 6:10,12,18;7:9;8:5;	9:12,13	weren't (1)	4	
12:10;15:23;17:12;	tourism (1)	22:24	4	
24:4;25:24;26:18;	20:10	whatnot (1)	40 (1)	
27:4	transcript (1)	25:15	15:12	
suspended (4)	9:17	what's (1)	13.12	
9:11;11:14;12:4;	try (2)	21:3	5	
17:17	8:22;9:19	whole (1)		
suspending (1)	trying (1) 10:17	20:13 withdraw (2)	541 (3)	
11:7	turn (1)	17:23;18:9	10:21;26:3,17	
suspension (11)	8:12	within (7)	541:5 (3)	
4:5,7;6:22;7:18;	two (4)	4:1;7:17;9:3,5;	3:23;27:1,1	
8:9;11:2,12;17:11,	3:7;9:16;18:6;20:1	10:9,16;26:22	, ,	
16;26:20;27:2	type (1)	without (5)	6	
sweeps (1)	5:6	6:2,5;8:9;11:10;		
14:20		15:5	60 (1)	