

From: Susan Wessels [<mailto:slwessels2010@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 08, 2015 1:33 PM
To: Monroe, Pamela
Subject: Kinder Morgan pipeline

Dear Ms. Monroe

The New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee will soon decide if the Kinder Morgan pipeline is a good deal for New Hampshire and either approve the application to build a 71 mile long, 30" - 36" pipeline through the state or reject it. Most of the arguments for and against tearing up the southern tier of New Hampshire have appeared in newspapers across the state. There is one important consideration that may not be as widely known: Kinder Morgan's stock has been plummeting over the past year and is now teetering on the brink of junk status. An analyst, who is now being considered "prescient", called Kinder Morgan, "a house of cards, completely misunderstood and mispriced."

Since we, New Hampshire electric ratepayers, will be asked to foot the bill for this \$5B+ project, shouldn't we expect a company, to which we would entrust so much, be able to prove its financial viability? With a reputation for scrimping on safety measures and a poor record of responding to accidents, is Kinder Morgan in a position to build the safest pipeline with the best - rather than sub-par

accident prevention practices? They have already indicated that they will use thinner metal in their pipelines than they are required to use in more densely populated areas. Is this a sign of things to come? Would an explosion in a Southern NH town be less devastating because it kills only 500 people rather than 5,000?

The NH Site Evaluation Committee must to do its due diligence and thoroughly investigate the financial viability of Kinder Morgan. The SEC must act to protect all the state's residents and ratepayers from what easily could become a financial, environmental and safety debacle. Based on all the risks associated with this project, I urge to SEC to deny the application of the Kinder Morgan NED pipeline.

Susan Wessels
182 Sunridge Road
Rindge