

**From:** Cherylann Pierce [<mailto:cherylannbp56@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 28, 2016 12:10 PM  
**To:** [attorneygeneral@doj.nh.gov](mailto:attorneygeneral@doj.nh.gov); Monroe, Pamela  
**Subject:** RE: SEC Docket 2015-08

Cherylann Pierce  
23 Mayflower Drive  
Londonderry, NH 03053  
January 28<sup>th</sup>, 2016

Attorney General Joseph Foster

C/O [attorneygeneral@doj.nh.gov](mailto:attorneygeneral@doj.nh.gov)

New Hampshire Site Evaluation Committee c/o [Pamela.Monroe@sec.nh.gov](mailto:Pamela.Monroe@sec.nh.gov)

RE: NH SEC Docket 2015-08

Dear Attorney General Foster and SEC: You have both moved to intervene in the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") proceedings assigned Docket CPI6-21 pertaining to the application of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company LLC ("TG") for a certificate of public convenience and necessity regarding the Northeast Energy Direct ("NED") project. This is also the subject of the above-referenced SEC docket. I greatly appreciate the motions to intervene that you have filed in the FERC proceedings but implore you to both file motions to stay the matter until such time as the SEC has reached its decision. Neither of you have to take a position on the merits, but demanding a fully-informed decision which includes the SEC's decision is indisputably in the public interest. The earliest that TG could have filed was more than three weeks ago. (January 5th, 2016). Nothing (according to the SEC docket) has been filed. It is becoming increasingly apparent that TG may be intentionally delaying its SEC application to avoid a potentially adverse ruling prior to the determination. The SEC has a statutory obligation under R.S.A. Chapter 162-H to protect the public's interest regarding the NED project, This includes insisting that the FERC withhold action on its TG application until the SEC has been afforded the opportunity to reach its determination such that it may be considered in the FERC's decision-making. The Attorney General also has the obligation to protect the interests of New Hampshire and its citizens in the matter-which, likewise, requires insistence that the FERC proceeding not conclude without consideration of the SEC/State's ultimate position (decision) as to whether the NED project is in the state's best interests. I greatly appreciate the motions to intervene that you have filed in the FERC proceeding but implore you to both file motions to stay the matter until such time as the SEC has reached its decision. Neither of you have to take a position on the merits, but demanding a fully-informed decision which includes the SEC's decision is indisputably in the public interest.

Best,

Cherylann Pierce