

SEC COMMENTS COLLECTION

SECDocket	2015-08
CommenterName	Tammy Fareed
CommenterCity	Hollis
DateReceived	02-22-2016

COMMENTERWORDS

Hello SEC,

I have been watching the TGP/KM NED pipeline project fairly closely since its inception and I find it alarming that New Hampshire has such lax laws overseeing the siting of such projects. I expect that in your upcoming siting considerations you will be taking a very careful look at how projects like NED can harm too many citizens, destroy too many natural resources, and and take too much private property under the current regulatory environment for an unsubstantiated claim of 'need.' I expect that you will make every effort to protect New Hampshire from the abuse and destruction that could accrue under your watch should NED be implemented along the path of least resistance that currently exists.

One of the most egregious circumstances surrounding this kind of project is the fact that each project is regulated unto itself out of context of other similar projects. I expect that you will look to history and to the 'middle distance' of the future as you consider your role in green lighting each project separately that comes before you. Cumulate impact must become it's own filter for the consideration of projects vying for the private and public resources of our beautiful state.

Further, be it one project or multiple, any project falling under your aegis should be required to document and submit rigorous scientific health impact studies., not only for the construction and maintenance of the pipelines themselves, but also for the compressor stations.

Your names will forever be associated with the outcomes of your decisions. I expect you will choose wisely to protect New Hampshire by requiring projects to submit evidence that their methods, plans, and follow-through will keep New Hampshire healthy for generations to come.