## In Re:

SEC 2021-01 PETITION FOR JURISDICTION OVER PROPOSED SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY IN MILFORD, NH

## ADJUDICATIVE HEARING AND DELIBERATIONS August 19, 2021

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR 30 James Pollock Drive Manchester, New Hampshire 03102 (603) 540-2083 shortrptr@comcast.net

Original File 081921 SEC 2021-01.txt

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

FKU	POSED SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY IN WILLFORD, NO		Augu	51 13, 202 1
	Page 1			Page 3
1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE	1	I N D E X (CONT'D)	PAGE
2	SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE	2	STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS:	PAGE
3	August 19, 2021 - 1:45 p.m. [Hybrid Hearing conducted via Webex]	3	DISCUSSION: The assertion of	87
4	•	4	discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee is/is not	
5	RE: SEC 2021-01 Petition for Jurisdiction Over	5	necessary to maintain a balance among those potential signific	ant
6	Proposed Solar Energy Facility in Milford, NH	6	<pre>impacts and benefits in decisi about the siting, construction</pre>	ons
7	(Adjudicative Hearing and Deliberations)	7	and operation of energy facili in New Hampshire.	
8	SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE	8	Poll taken.	90
9	PRESENT:	9	DISCUSSION: The assertion of	90
10	Dianne H. Martin, Chairwoman N.H. Public Utilities (Presiding Officer)	10	discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee is/is not necess	
11	Michael Fitzgerald, Asst. Dir DES-Air Resources Div.	11	to ensure that undue delay in	
12	Victoria Sheehan, Cmsr. Dept. of Pub. Trans. Robert Baines Public Member	12	the construction of new energy facilities is avoided.	
13	Michael York Dept. Natural and Cultural Resources	13	Poll taken.	91
14	Lisa Noe Public Member Daniel C. Goldner, Cmsr. N.H. Public Utilities	14	DISCUSSION: The assertion of	91
15	Doreen Borden, Clerk Corrine Lemay - Web Moderator	15	discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee is/is not necess	arv
16	COUNSEL FOR THE COMMITTEE: J. D. Lavallee, Esquire	16	for the full and timely consideration of environmental	
17	(N.H. Attorney General Office)	17	consequences.	
18	APPEARANCES:		Poll taken.	92
	Reptg. Brox Environmental Citizens Eli Leino, Esq. (Primmer Piper)	18	DISCUSSION: The assertion of	92
19	Reptg. Milford Spartan Solar, LLC	19	discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee is/is not necess	
20	Barry Needleman, Esq. (McLane) Rebecca S. Walkley, Esq. (McLane)	20	to ensure all entities planning to construct facilities in the	
21	Reptg. Town of Milford	21	state be required to provide full and complete disclosure	
22	John T. Ratigan, Esquire	22	to the public of such plans in this case.	
23	Court Reporter: Susan J. Robidas, NH LCR No. 44	23	Poll taken.	93
24	Court Reporter. Busan C. Robidas, An Eck No. 11	24	Toll carell.	,,,
_	Page 2	_		Page 4
1	INDEX	1	I N D E X (CONT'D)	PAGE
2	POSITION STATEMENTS: PAGE	2	DISCUSSION: The assertion of	93
3	BY MR. LEINO 10	3	discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee is/is not	
4	QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:	4	necessary in this case for the State to ensure that the	
5	Mr. Baines 24, 33, 35	5	construction and operation of energy facilities is treated	
6	Chairwoman Martin 26, 35, 41, 43	6	as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which	
7	Mr. Fitzgerald 26, 37, 41	7	all environmental, economic and technical issues are resol	ved
8	Commissioner Sheehan 38	8	in an integrated fashion.	· cu
9	BY MR. RATIGAN 44	9	Poll taken.	94
10	QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:	10	MOTION BY MR. FITZGERALD:	95
11	Chairwoman Martin 54, 57	11	Deny petition and not take jurisdiction.	
12	Mr. Fitzgerald 55	12	SECONDED BY MR. BAINES	95
13	Mr. Baines 56	13	No discussion	95
14	BY MR. NEEDLEMAN 58	14	VOTE TAKEN	96
15	QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:	15	MOTION BY MR. BAINES:	96
16	Mr. Fitzgerald 77	16	Counsel to prepare order.	
17	Chairwoman Martin 78	17	SECONDED BY Commissioner Sheehan	96
18	PUBLIC HEARING CONCLUDED 83	18	No discussion	97
19	* * * * *	19	VOTE TAKEN	97
20	SEC COMMITTEE DELIBERATIONS	20	MOTION TO ADJOURN BY MR. BAINES:	98
21		21	SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER GOLDNER	98
22	PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS Mr. Baines 83	22		98
			No discussion	
23	Mr. Fitzgerald 84	23	VOTE TAKEN	99
24	Chairwoman Martin 86	24		
L	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}		{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-	19-21}

	Davis 5		D 7
	Page 5		Page 7
1	PROCEEDINGS	1	Leino.
2	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.	2	And for Milford Spartan Solar.
3	Good afternoon, everyone. We're here this	3	MR. NEEDLEMÂN: Barry Needleman,
4	afternoon in Docket 2021-01, which is the	4	from McLane Middleton. And with me is
5	petition for jurisdiction over a proposed	5	Rebecca Walkley, also from McLane Middleton,
6	solar facility in Milford, New Hampshire.	6	and Mike Kaplan from Milford Spartan Solar.
7	Let's start by taking attendance of the	7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank
8	Committee.	8	you.
9	My name is Dianne Martin. I am the	9	And I have Attorney Ratigan for the
10	Chairwoman of the Site Evaluation Committee.	10	Town of Milford, I believe.
11	Commissioner Goldner.	11	MR. RATIGAN: That's correct. John
12	COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Hi, Dan	12	Ratigan, from Donahue, Tucker & Ciandella,
13	Goldner, Public Utility Commissioner.	13	representing the Town of Milford, accompanied
14	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner	14	by Mike Daley, who's the development
15	Sheehan.	15	[connectivity issue]
16	COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Good	16	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Do I have
17	afternoon. Victoria Sheehan, Commissioner,	17	anyone else here who is counsel or appearing
18	Department of Public Transportation.	18	in this matter? Would the other folks who
19	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.	19	are here like to introduce themselves?
20	MR. BAINES: Robert Baines, public	20	[connectivity issue]
21	member.	21	[Court Reporter interrupts.]
22	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.	22	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: No, I think you
23	MR. YORK: [connectivity issue] My	23	shut it off.
24	name is Michael York, representing the	24	MS. FOURNIER: Suzanne Fournier.
24	name is whencer Tork, representing the	24	MS. POORWER. Suzainie Pouriller.
	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}		{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 6		Dogo 0
			Page 8
_	•	1	
1	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources.	1	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.
2	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And	2	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that?
2	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe.	2	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you.
2 3 4	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member.	2 3 4	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue]
2 3 4 5	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr.	2 3 4 5	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.]
2 3 4 5 6	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr.  Fitzgerald.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd like to start by taking appearances. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start with counsel who had filed an Assented-to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd like to start by taking appearances. And I do not know many of you, so I'm going to look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start with counsel who had filed an Assented-to Motion for Deliberations. It was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd like to start by taking appearances. And I do not know many of you, so I'm going to look to you to point out to me who you are and who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start with counsel who had filed an Assented-to Motion for Deliberations. It was not entirely clear to me the process that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd like to start by taking appearances. And I do not know many of you, so I'm going to look to you to point out to me who you are and who you're here for. I'm going to start with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start with counsel who had filed an Assented-to Motion for Deliberations. It was not entirely clear to me the process that you envisioned and described in that motion. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd like to start by taking appearances. And I do not know many of you, so I'm going to look to you to point out to me who you are and who you're here for. I'm going to start with Brox, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start with counsel who had filed an Assented-to Motion for Deliberations. It was not entirely clear to me the process that you envisioned and described in that motion. So if you could share with us your proposal for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd like to start by taking appearances. And I do not know many of you, so I'm going to look to you to point out to me who you are and who you're here for. I'm going to start with Brox, please. MR. LEINO: Eli Leino from Primmer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start with counsel who had filed an Assented-to Motion for Deliberations. It was not entirely clear to me the process that you envisioned and described in that motion. So if you could share with us your proposal for how we proceed today, I think that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd like to start by taking appearances. And I do not know many of you, so I'm going to look to you to point out to me who you are and who you're here for. I'm going to start with Brox, please. MR. LEINO: Eli Leino from Primmer Piper Eggleston & Cramer, here for Brox	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start with counsel who had filed an Assented-to Motion for Deliberations. It was not entirely clear to me the process that you envisioned and described in that motion. So if you could share with us your proposal for how we proceed today, I think that would be helpful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd like to start by taking appearances. And I do not know many of you, so I'm going to look to you to point out to me who you are and who you're here for. I'm going to start with Brox, please. MR. LEINO: Eli Leino from Primmer Piper Eggleston & Cramer, here for Brox Environmental.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start with counsel who had filed an Assented-to Motion for Deliberations. It was not entirely clear to me the process that you envisioned and described in that motion. So if you could share with us your proposal for how we proceed today, I think that would be helpful. MR. NEEDLEMAN: Good afternoon,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Department of Natural and Cultural Resources. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Lisa Noe, public member. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Mr. Fitzgerald. MR. FITZGERALD: Good afternoon. Michael Fitzgerald. I am representing the Department of Environmental Services. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We also have counsel today, if you'd like to introduce yourself. MR. LAVALLEE: Good afternoon. I'm J.D. Lavallee from the Department of Justice. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd like to start by taking appearances. And I do not know many of you, so I'm going to look to you to point out to me who you are and who you're here for. I'm going to start with Brox, please. MR. LEINO: Eli Leino from Primmer Piper Eggleston & Cramer, here for Brox	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Did you get that? COURT REPORTER: I did, thank you. [connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] MR. LEINO: That's Tom Gardner, Brox Environmental Citizens. MR. LEBEL: Dominic LeBel, Milford Spartan Solar. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe we may have a couple of members of the public online as well. I'll give them an opportunity for public comment during the proceeding. Okay. So I would like to start with counsel who had filed an Assented-to Motion for Deliberations. It was not entirely clear to me the process that you envisioned and described in that motion. So if you could share with us your proposal for how we proceed today, I think that would be helpful.

Page 1   Page 2   From each of the parties: First the 2   From each of the parties: First the 3   Petitioner, followed by the Town, then 4   response by Milford Spartan Solar. You would 5   have the opportunity to ask any of us 6   questions, if you had any, and then proceed 10   deliberate. Or if you feel like you have 8   what you need hased on paper for 9   decliberations, I suppose that's your 10   perogative. 11   CHARWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a 11   question, then, on the evidence. So is there 12   an argement? It wasn't entirely clear to me 14   as to the extent of the agreement to admit 15   evidence, for our consideration. 15   MR. NEEDLEMAN: We haven't 15   specifically spoken to the admission of 18   evidence, but we would have no objection to 19   admitting what's already in the record. 19   admitting what's already in the record. 19   ESEC 2021-01/[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations](08-19-21)   Page 10   MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our 2   perspective, yes. 2   COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 2   perspective, yes. 3   COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 4   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get everyone else? COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 5   Page 10   MR. LEINO: Thank M. Page 10   MR. LEINO: Thank Not. 15   MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 10   objection. 10   MR. LEINO: Thank you. Madam Chair. 11   COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12   MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 10   objection. 10   MR. LEINO: Thank you. Madam Chair. 11   COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12   Page 10   Page 12   Page 10   Page 12   Page 10   Page 12   Page 10	FN	PROSED SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY IN MILPORD, NIT		August 13, 2021
2   from each of the parties: First the   3   petitioner, followed by the Town, then   4   response by Milford Spartan Solar. You would   5   have the opportunity to ask any of us   6   questions, if you had any, and then proceed   7   to deliberate. Or if you feel like you have   8   what you need based on paper for   9   deliberations, I suppose that's your   10   perrogative.   11   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a   12   question, then, on the evidence. So is there   13   an agreement? I wash tentirely clear to me   14   as to the extent of the agreement to admit   15   evidence, but we would have no objection to   19   admitting what's already in the record.   19   exhibits that were attached to the   21   admitting what's already in the record.   19   exhibits that were attached to the   22   supplemental petition. And all of those are   23   admitted by agreement?   10   perspective, yes.   3   COURT REPORTER: Thank you.   2   EXCUENT REPORTER: Tank you.   2   perspective, yes.   3   COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear   1   any one cles after that. People are really   2   going to have to speak up.   MR. LEINO: Thank you. Madam Chair.   2   All right. Then we'll proceed in   3   thank you. That helps to clarify the proceed in   4   All right. Then we'll proceed in   4   All right. Then we'll proceed in   4   All right. Then we'll proceed in   5   All right. Then we'll proceed in   5   thank you. That helps to clarify the proceed in   5   All right. Then we'll proceed in   5   thank you. That helps to clarify the proceed in   5   thank you. That helps to clarify the process.   5   the process.   6   the process.   6   the process.   6   the process.   6   the process   6		Page 9		Page 11
2   from each of the parties: First the   3   petitioner, followed by the Town, then   4   response by Milford Spartan Solar. You would   5   have the opportunity to ask any of us   6   questions, if you had any, and then proceed   7   to deliberate. Or if you feel like you have   8   what you need based on paper for   9   deliberations, I suppose that's your   10   perrogative.   11   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a   12   question, then, on the evidence. So is there   12   an angreemen? I washt entirely clear to me   13   an agreemen? I washt entirely clear to me   14   sa to the extent of the agreement to admit   15   evidence, but we would have no objection to   15   admitting what's already in the record.   19   cErtain Washt	1	envisioned was that the Committee would hear	1	Milford area residents focused on protecting
a petitioner, followed by the Town, then response by Milford Spartan Solar. You would have the opportunity to ask any of us questions, if you feel like you have what you need based on paper for deliberations, I suppose that's your perogative.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a guestion, then, on the evidence. So is there as to the extent of the agreement to admit evidence for our consideration.  MR. NEFDLEMAN: We haven't specifically spoken to the admission of exhibits that were attached to the exhibits that were attached to the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreemen?  (COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. ARTIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection.  All right. Then we'll proceed in that other. Mr. Leino.  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm- CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.  Thank you. That helps to clarify the process.  All right. Then we'll proceed in that noter. Mr. Leino.  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm- I connectivity issue] —  MR. LEINO: Tash kyou, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm- I connectivity issue] —  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm- I connectivity issue] —  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm- I connectivity issue] —  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm- I connectivity issue] —  MR. LEINO: Thank pound that previously —  GOURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: Thave no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: Thave no objection to what Mr. Vedleman said. I agree wi				
4 response by Milford Spartan Solar. You would 5 have the opportunity to ask any of us 6 questions, if you had any, and then proceed 7 to deliberate. Or if you feel like you have 8 what you need based on paper for 9 deliberations, I suppose that's your 10 pereogative. 11 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a 12 question, then, on the evidence. So is there 13 an agreement? It wasn't entirely clear to me 14 as to the extent of the agreement to admit 15 evidence for our consideration. 16 MR. NEEDLEMAN: We haven't 17 specifically spoken to the admission of 19 evidence, but we would have no objection to 19 admitting what's already in the record. 20 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the 21 exhibits that were attached to the 22 supplemental petition. And all of those are 23 admitted by agreement? 24 [Court Reporter interrupts.] 25 (SEC 2021-01]Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21) 26 Page 10 27 MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our 28 perspective, yes. 29 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 40 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get 50 everyone else? 61 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 62 CURT REPORTER: Thank you. 63 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 64 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get 65 everyone else? 66 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 67 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to 68 Wath Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 69 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to 70 MR. LEINO: Thank you of Milford has 71 no objection. 72 MR. REPORTER: Thank you. 73 MR. LEINO: Thank you of Milford has 74 no objection. 75 MR. LEINO: Thank you of Milford has 75 no objection. 76 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 77 MR. LEINO: Thank you of Milford has 78 no objection. 79 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 79 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 70 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm - 71 Leino. 75 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 76 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm - 77 I have the definition of the definition			3	
5				
6 questions, if you had any, and then proceed 8 what you need based on paper for 9 deliberations, I suppose that's your 10 perogative. 11 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a 12 question, then, on the evidence. So is there 13 an agreement? It wasn't entirely clear to me 14 as to the extent of the agreement to admit 15 evidence for our consideration. 16 MR. NEEDLEMAN: We haven't 17 specifically spoken to the admission of 18 evidence, but we would have no objection to 19 admitting what's already in the record. 20 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the 21 exhibits that were attached to the 22 supplemental petition. And all of those are 23 admitted by agreement? 24 [Court Reporter interrupts.] 25 [COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 26 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the 27 calmitted by agreement? 28 [COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 29 challed the proceed in what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 20 CURT REPORTER: I didn't hear 21 anyone else after that. People are really 22 going to have to speak up. 23 GOURT REPORTER: I didn't hear 24 anyone cles after that. People are really 25 going to have to speak up. 26 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear 27 anyone cles after that. People are really 28 going to have to speak up. 29 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to 20 what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 21 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 22 MR. REPORTER: Thank you. 33 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 44 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 55 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 56 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 57 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 58 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 58 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 59 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 61 Day to the we're objection to objection. 50 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 51 Day the Legislature from the kind of those are objection to objection			5	
ro deliberate. Or if you feel like you have what you need based on paper for deliberations, I suppose that's your prerogative.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a are guestion, then, on the evidence. So is there as an agreement? It wasn't entirely clear to me an agreement? It wasn't entirely clear to me as to the extent of the agreement to admit specifically spoken to the admission of evidence, but we would have no objection to admitting what's already in the record. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the exhibits that were attached to the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement?  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up.  MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection.  MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.  Thank you. That helps to clarify the process.  MR. LEINO: How's this?  COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. RATIGAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up.  MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.  Thank you. That helps to clarify the process.  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm -  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.  Thank you. That helps to clarify the process.  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm -  Count reportance demander of Brox  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm -  Count reportance of it has a pertition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity sissue]  Person the question about that previously  Before I proceed, can you hela me mic, that would be great.  MR. LEINO: How's this?  COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  Thank you.  Thank you.  Thank you.  Thank you had admitted by agreement?  Thank you.  Thank you had admitted by agreement?  Thank you.  Thank you had admitted by agreement?  Thank you.  Tha		**		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
## a what you need based on paper for deliberations, I suppose that's your progative.    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a largement of the exidence. So is there as to the extent of the agreement to admit sevidence for our consideration.			7	
deliberations, I suppose that's your prorogative.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a la lright?  question, then, on the evidence. So is there as to the extent of the agreement to admit evidence for our consideration.  MR. NEEDLEMAN: We haven't secifically spoken to the admission of evidence, but we would have no objection to admitting what's already in the record.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the exhibits that were attached to the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement?  COURT REPORTER: Thank you. And certainly let me know if you need me to repeat anything.  Brox is a petitioner under the SEC rules via both a petition signed and endorsed by a prement?  COURT REPORTER: Thank you. And certainly let me know if you need me to repeat anything.  Brox is a petitioner under the SEC rules via both a petition signed and endorsed by a hundred or more registered voters in the town of Milford, as well as a similar (SEC 2021-01)[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations](08-19-21)  Page 10  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 1  Again while the second of the	8		8	*
10 Before I proceed, can you hear me 11	9		9	* *
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a question, then, on the evidence. So is there as to the extent of the agreement to admit evidence for our consideration.  MR. NEEDLEMAN: We haven't specifically spoken to the admission of evidence, but we would have no objection to admitting what's already in the record.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the exhibits that were attached to the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement?  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up.  MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.  Thank you. That helps to clarify the process.  All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino.  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm — [connectivity issue] — as noted by Suzanne fourmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of	10		10	=
question, then, on the evidence. So is there an agreement? It wasn't entirely clear to me as to the extent of the agreement to admit cevidence for our consideration.  MR. NEEDLEMAN: We haven't specifically spoken to the admission of evidence, but we would have no objection to admitting what's already in the record. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the exhibits that were attached to the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement?  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: Okay. Thank you need me to repeat anything. Brox is a petitioner under the SEC rules via both a petition or gistered voters in the town of Milford, as well as a similar  (SEC 2021-01][Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21]  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: Okay. Thank you need me to repeat anything. Brox is a petitioner under the SEC rules via both a petition or signed and endorsed by a hundred or more registered voters in the town of Milford, as well as a similar  (SEC 2021-01][Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21]  Page 10  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: Okay. Thank you need me to repeat anything. Brox is a petitioner under the SEC rules via both a petition or gistered voters in the town of Milford, as well as a similar  (SEC 2021-01][Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21]  Page 10  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. R. LEINO: Okay. Thank you. And certainly let me know if you need me to repeat anything.  Brox is a petitioner under the SEC rules via both a petition or increasing dean dendorsed by a hundred or more registered voters in the town of Milford, as well as a similar  (SEC 2021-01][Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21]  Page 10  MR. NeeDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. R. LEINO: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: Thank you.  MR. LEINO: T	11		11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
an agreement? It wasn't entirely clear to me to exite a stort of the agreement to admit sevidence for our consideration.  MR. NEEDLEMAN: We haven't severifically spoken to the admission of evidence, but we would have no objection to admitting what's already in the record. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the cxhibits that were attached to the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement? [Court Reporter interrupts.]  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes. COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up. MR. LEINO: Thave no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino.  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm— COURT REPORTER: a condition of a mundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity sicsue]  Page 10  Page 12  Page 10  Page 10  Page 12  Page 12  Page 10  Page 12  Page 10  Page	12		12	
15 MR. NEEDLEMAN: We haven't 17 specifically spoken to the admission of 18 evidence, but we would have no objection to 19 admitting what's already in the record. 20 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the 21 exhibits that were attached to the 22 supplemental petition. And all of those are 23 admitted by agreement? 24 [Court Reporter interrupts.] 25 [Court Reporter interrupts.] 26 MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our 27 perspective, yes. 28 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 29 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm — 20 CURT REPORTER: Thank you. 31 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 42 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 43 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 44 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get everyone else? 45 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up. 46 MR. LEINO: Have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 47 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 48 Going to have to speak up. 49 MR. LEINO: Have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 40 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 41 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 41 Thank you. That helps to clarify the proceed in that order. Mr. Leino. 41 All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino. 42 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm — 43 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of the importance environmentally of the Brox 44 this matter. The group is a collaborative of the importance environmentally of the Brox  45 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in the proceed in this matter. The group is a collaborative of the importance environmentally of the Brox  46 Thank Depoker trainer the SEC rules via both a petition signed and endorsed by a hundred or more registered voters in the town of Milford, as well as a similar  47 SEC 2021-01]/Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21]  48 propriet and the specification of purpose recognizes that energy facilities like the one we're discussing today. Furthermore, there's a full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being prov	13	-	13	But if I can have you quite literally eat the
In this process.  In this matter. Then ky out the admission of specifically spoken to the admission of specifically spoken to the admission of specifically spoken to the admission of admitting what's already in the record.  It specifically spoken to the admission of admitting what's already in the record.  It specifically spoken to the admission of admitting what's already in the record.  It characteristic that were attached to the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement?  It court Reporter interrupts.]  It was a page 10  It makes the specifically spoken to the earth of the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement?  It court Reporter interrupts.]  It makes the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement?  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  It petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [conne	14	as to the extent of the agreement to admit	14	mic, that would be great.
17   specifically spoken to the admission of evidence, but we would have no objection to admitting wharfs already in the record.   19	15	evidence for our consideration.	15	MR. LEINO: How's this?
18 evidence, but we would have no objection to 19 admitting what's already in the record. 20 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the 21 exhibits that were attached to the 22 supplemental petition. And all of those are 23 admitted by agreement? 24 [Court Reporter interrupts.] 25 [Court Reporter interrupts.] 26 Page 10  1 MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our 2 perspective, yes. 3 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get everyone else? 6 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear 7 anyone else after that. People are really 8 going to have to speak up. 9 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to 10 what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 11 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12 MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 13 no objection. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 15 Thank you. That helps to clarify the 16 process. 17 All right. Then we'll proceed in 18 that order. Mr. Leino. 19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm - 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of	16	MR. NEEDLEMAN: We haven't	16	COURT REPORTER: That's even
admitting what's already in the record. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement? Court Reporter interrupts.]    SEC 2021-01][Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21]   Fage 10	17	specifically spoken to the admission of	17	better. Thank you.
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the exhibits that were attached to the supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement?  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get everyone else?  COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up.  MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that.  COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.  Thank you. That helps to clarify the process.  All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino.  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 21 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of	18	evidence, but we would have no objection to	18	MR. LEINO: Okay. Thank you. And
21 exhibits that were attached to the 22 supplemental petition. And all of those are 23 admitted by agreement? 24 [Court Reporter interrupts.]  Page 10  1 MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our 2 perspective, yes. 3 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get 5 everyone else? 6 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear 7 anyone else after that. People are really 8 going to have to speak up. 9 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to 10 what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 11 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12 MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 13 no objection. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 15 Thank you. That helps to clarify the 16 process. 17 All right. Then we'll proceed in 18 that order. Mr. Leino. 19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm - 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of	19	admitting what's already in the record.	19	certainly let me know if you need me to
supplemental petition. And all of those are admitted by agreement?  I Court Reporter interrupts.]    SEC 2021-01][Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21]    Respective, yes.   Page 10	20	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So the	20	repeat anything.
23 admitted by agreement? 24 [Court Reporter interrupts.]  Page 10  1 MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes. 2 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get 5 everyone else? 6 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really 8 going to have to speak up. 9 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 11 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12 MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 13 no objection. 13 no objection. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 15 Thank you. That helps to clarify the process. 16 Process. 17 All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino. 18 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm - 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in town of Milford, as well as a similar (SEC 2021-01][Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21]  Page 10  Page 10  Page 12  Page 12  Page 12  Page 12  Petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] -  16 petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] -  16 petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] -  16 petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] -  18 abutting communities. So [connectivity abutting commun	21	exhibits that were attached to the	21	Brox is a petitioner under the SEC
[Court Reporter interrupts.]  {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations](08-19-21)  Page 10    Page 10	22		22	
Page 10   Page 10   Page 12	23		23	
Page 10  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes.  COURT REPORTER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get everyone else? COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up. MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. Thank you. That helps to clarify the process. All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino. MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm Connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN defension and operation of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get abutting communities. So [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay of Purpose recognizes that energy facilities in the average and	24	[Court Reporter interrupts.]	24	town of Milford, as well as a similar
Page 10  MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our perspective, yes. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get everyone else? COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up. MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. Thank you. That helps to clarify the process. All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino. MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm Connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne CHAIRWOMAN day is a collaborative of  Page 12  petition of a hundred registered voters from abutting communities. So [connectivity issue]  In RSA 162-H:1, the SEC Declaration of Purpose recognizes that energy facilities have significant impacts on the environment, air and water quality, and the use of natural resources, among other impacts. Accordingly, the Legislature finds that it's in the public interest to maintain a balance among those decisions about the siting and construction of energy facilities like the one we're discussing today. Furthermore, there's a full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being provided, in that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox		(SEC 2021-01)[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations][08-19-21]	{9	SEC 2021-01\/Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations\/08-19-21\
1 MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our 2 perspective, yes. 3 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get 5 everyone else? 6 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear 7 anyone else after that. People are really 8 going to have to speak up. 9 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to 10 what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 11 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12 MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 13 no objection. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 15 Thank you. That helps to clarify the 16 process. 17 All right. Then we'll proceed in 18 that order. Mr. Leino. 19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 19 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of  10 Deptition of a hundred registered voters from abutiting communities. So [connectivity issue] 24 issue] 25 In RSA 162-H:1, the SEC Declaration of Purpose recognizes that energy facilities 26 have significant impacts on the environment, air and water quality, and the use of natural resources, among other impacts. Accordingly, the Legislature finds that it's in the public interest to maintain a balance among those potential impacts and potential benefits and decisions about the siting and construction of energy facilities like the one we're 2 discussing today. Furthermore, there's a full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being provided, in that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  10 I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox				
2 perspective, yes. 3 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 4 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get 5 everyone else? 6 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear 7 anyone else after that. People are really 8 going to have to speak up. 9 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to 10 what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 11 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12 MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 13 no objection. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 15 Thank you. That helps to clarify the 16 process. 17 All right. Then we'll proceed in 18 that order. Mr. Leino. 19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of  10 In RSA 162-H:1, the SEC Declaration of Purpose recognizes that energy facilities have significant impacts on the environment, air and water quality, and the use of natural resources, among other impacts. Accordingly, the Legislature finds that it's in the public interest to maintain a balance among those potential benefits and decisions about the siting and construction of energy facilities like the one we're 14 discussing today. Furthermore, there's a full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being provided, in that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  26 I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox		Page 10		Page 12
COURT REPORTER: Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get everyone else? COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up. MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. Thank you. That helps to clarify the process. Thank you. That helps to clarify the MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino. MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm Connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of  TINRSA 162-H:1, the SEC Declaration of Purpose recognizes that energy facilities have significant impacts on the environment, air and water quality, and the use of natural resources, among other impacts. Accordingly, the Legislature finds that it's in the public interest to maintain a balance among those potential impacts and potential benefits and decisions about the siting and construction of energy facilities like the one we're discussing today. Furthermore, there's a full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being provided, in that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox	1	MR. NEEDLEMAN: I said from our	1	petition of a hundred registered voters from
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And did you get everyone else? COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up. MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. Thank you. That helps to clarify the process. Thank you. That helps to clarify the that order. Mr. Leino. MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. MR. LEINO: Thank you and the Leg	2		2	abutting communities. So [connectivity
5 everyone else? 6 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear 7 anyone else after that. People are really 8 going to have to speak up. 9 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to 10 what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 11 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12 MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 13 no objection. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 15 Thank you. That helps to clarify the 16 process. 17 All right. Then we'll proceed in 18 that order. Mr. Leino. 19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of 25 of Purpose recognizes that energy facilities 6 have significant impacts on the environment, 7 air and water quality, and the use of natural 8 resources, among other impacts. Accordingly, 9 the Legislature finds that it's in the public 10 interest to maintain a balance among those 11 potential impacts and potential benefits and decisions about the siting and construction 12 discussing today. Furthermore, there's a 13 full and timely consideration of 14 environmental consequences being provided, in 15 that the State ensure that the construction 16 and operation of the energy facilities 17 that the State ensure that the construction 18 that order. Mr. Leino. 19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of	3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	-
6 COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear 7 anyone else after that. People are really 8 going to have to speak up. 9 MR. LEINO: I have no objection to 10 what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. 11 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12 MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 13 no objection. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 15 Thank you. That helps to clarify the 16 process. 17 All right. Then we'll proceed in 18 that order. Mr. Leino. 19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of  6 have significant impacts on the environment, 7 air and water quality, and the use of natural 8 resources, among other impacts. Accordingly, 9 the Legislature finds that it's in the public 10 interest to maintain a balance among those 11 potential impacts and potential benefits and decisions about the siting and construction 12 decisions about the siting and construction 13 of energy facilities like the one we're 14 discussing today. Furthermore, there's a 15 full and timely consideration of 16 environmental consequences being provided, in 17 that the State ensure that the construction 18 and operation of the energy facility is 19 treated as a significant impacts on the environment, 20 all right. Then we'll proceed in 21 that the Legislature finds that it's in the public 22 interest to maintain a balance among those 23 potential impacts and potential benefits and 24 decisions about the siting and construction 25 fenergy facilities like the one we're 26 discussing today. Furthermore, there's a 27 full and timely consideration of 28 environmental consequences being provided, in 29 the Legislature finds that it's in the public 21 interest to maintain a balance among those 22 full and timely consideration of 23 environmental consequences being provided, in 24 that the State ensure that the construction 29 and technical issues are resolved in an 29 i	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	·
anyone else after that. People are really going to have to speak up.  MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. Thank you. That helps to clarify the process. All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino. MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has MR. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. MR. Leino, and I'm CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. MR. Leino. MR. Leino. MR. Leino. MR. Leino. MR. Leino. MR. Leino. MR. Leino, and I'm CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. MR. Leino. MR. Leino, and I'm MR. Leino.	5	•	5	
going to have to speak up.  MR. LEINO: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. Thank you. That helps to clarify the process.  All right. Then we'll proceed in MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. MR. LEINO: Thank you. MR. LEINO	6		6	-
mr. Leino: I have no objection to what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. mr. Needleman said. I agree with that. mr. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. mr. Leino. mr. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. mr. CHAIRWOMAN MAR	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	* •
what Mr. Needleman said. I agree with that.  COURT REPORTER: Thank you.  MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has  no objection.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.  Thank you. That helps to clarify the  process.  All right. Then we'll proceed in  that order. Mr. Leino.  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm  [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne  Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox  Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in  this matter. The group is a collaborative of  mo objection.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.  In the sting and construction of energy facilities like the one we're  discussing today. Furthermore, there's a  full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being provided, in  that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is  treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox	8		8	
11 COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 12 MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has 13 no objection. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. 15 Thank you. That helps to clarify the 16 process. 17 All right. Then we'll proceed in 18 that order. Mr. Leino. 19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of  11 potential impacts and potential benefits and 12 decisions about the siting and construction 13 of energy facilities like the one we're 14 discussing today. Furthermore, there's a 15 full and timely consideration of 16 environmental consequences being provided, in 17 that the State ensure that the construction 18 and operation of the energy facility is 19 treated as a significant aspect of land use 20 planning in which all environmental, economic 21 and technical issues are resolved in an 22 integrated fashion. 23 I'd like to talk today a bit about 24 the importance environmentally of the Brox	9	<del>-</del>	9	-
MR. RATIGAN: Town of Milford has no objection.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. Thank you. That helps to clarify the process.  All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino.  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of  no objection.  decisions about the siting and construction of energy facilities like the one we're discussing today. Furthermore, there's a full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being provided, in that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox				<u> </u>
13 no objection.  14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great.  15 Thank you. That helps to clarify the 16 process.  17 All right. Then we'll proceed in 18 that order. Mr. Leino.  19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.  20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of  13 of energy facilities like the one we're discussing today. Furthermore, there's a full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being provided, in that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  23 I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox		•		
CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. Thank you. That helps to clarify the process. All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino. MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of  Again. Thank you. That helps to clarify the full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being provided, in that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox				
Thank you. That helps to clarify the process.  All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino.  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne  Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox  Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of  full and timely consideration of environmental consequences being provided, in that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox		•		<del>- •</del>
process.  All right. Then we'll proceed in that order. Mr. Leino.  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm  [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne  Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox  Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in that the State ensure that the construction and operation of the energy facility is treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox		•		
17 All right. Then we'll proceed in 18 that order. Mr. Leino. 19 MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. 20 Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm 21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 that the State ensure that the construction 25 and operation of the energy facility is 26 treated as a significant aspect of land use 27 planning in which all environmental, economic 28 and technical issues are resolved in an 29 integrated fashion. 20 I'd like to talk today a bit about 21 that the State ensure that the construction 29 and operation of the energy facility is 20 planning in which all environmental, economic 21 integrated fashion. 22 I'd like to talk today a bit about 23 the importance environmentally of the Brox		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
that order. Mr. Leino.  MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair.  Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm  [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne  Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox  Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in  this matter. The group is a collaborative of  and operation of the energy facility is  treated as a significant aspect of land use  planning in which all environmental, economic  and technical issues are resolved in an  integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about  the importance environmentally of the Brox		•		
MR. LEINO: Thank you, Madam Chair. Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of  treated as a significant aspect of land use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox				
Again, my name is Eli Leino, and I'm [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of  planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox				
21 [connectivity issue] as noted by Suzanne 22 Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox 23 Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in 24 this matter. The group is a collaborative of  21 and technical issues are resolved in an 22 integrated fashion. 23 I'd like to talk today a bit about 24 the importance environmentally of the Brox		<del>-</del>		
Fournier and Tom Gardner of Brox Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of  I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox				
Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of the importance environmentally of the Brox		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
this matter. The group is a collaborative of the importance environmentally of the Brox	.,,,	Fournier and Tom Gardner of Rroy		
{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}	23	Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in	23	I'd like to talk today a bit about
	23	Environmental Citizens, the petitioner in this matter. The group is a collaborative of	23 24	I'd like to talk today a bit about the importance environmentally of the Brox

Page 13 Page 15 1 site, as well as -- [connectivity issue] the 1 States Fish and Wildlife Service, or any 2 town thus far has or has not treated this as 2 species listed as threatened, endangered or 3 a significant aspect of land use planning. 3 of special concern by the New Hampshire 4 The site is an important environmental 4 Department of Fish and Game. Three important resource and habitat that should be species exist on Brox land: The Blanding's 5 5 protected. Since the signing of the 2018 Turtle, the Hognose Snake, and the Spotted 6 6 7 Letter of Intent, the Town of Milford, 7 Turtle. As part of the evidence that we had 8 through its various boards, municipal 8 presented previously, Exhibits 3A, B and C 9 planning boards, has treated Spartan Solar 9 are cards about these species, but I will like a partner, which it basically is, rather speak briefly about them. 10 10 than trying to seek the Legislature's The Blanding's Turtle is listed in 11 11 12 required balance, adequately applying the 12 the New Hampshire Natural Heritage Bureau's Rare Animal List, as published in July 2020, 13 Town's own land-use planning standards. 13 for New Hampshire as an S1 endangered 14 So far on the record, and I'll go 14 species. The Eastern Hognose Snake is listed 15 more into this, the planning board has issued 15 a variance using dubious logic -- or excuse as an S1 endangered species. "S1" means that 16 16 17 me, the ZBA. And the appointed planning 17 at the state level, it is critically in peril board has a number of conflicts globally and because of extreme rarity or some factor of 18 18 -- [connectivity issue]. We are aware of the its biology makes it particularly vulnerable 19 19 developer's contention that multiple to extinction. That is the highest threat 20 20 state-level agencies be involved in the level for those two animals. And 21 21 permitting of this, but the lead in these is furthermore, the Spotted Turtle exists on the 22 22 often taken at the local level. And right 23 23 property, which is listed as S2 threatened, now there are certain conflicts and questions "S2" being imperiled because rarity or other 24 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 14 Page 16 that SEC jurisdiction could overcome to factors demonstrably make it vulnerable to 1 1 ensure the balance between environment and -extinction. 2 2 The threats to these animals, as [connectivity issue] 3 3 [Court Reporter interrupts.] listed on those exhibits, are that loss of 4 4 MR. LEINO: -- and economic impacts habitat from rapidly developing Southern New 5 5 Hampshire and terrestrial and wetland habitat 6 of this project. 6 loss and fragmentation. This Committee The SEC does have the option to 7 7 assert jurisdiction on this matter based on defines "fragmentation" as the loss of 8 8 9 the petitions, as mentioned previously, and 9 habitat that results from the division of the fact that under RSA 162-H:2, Subsection relatively large, continuous habitats into 10 10 smaller, more isolated remnants, which is 11 XII, the 16-megawatt name plate capacity of 11 exactly what is proposed here for the Spartan 12 the proposed facility. 12 Solar project. So while in its filings the So to speak briefly about the Brox 13 13 site, the site is roughly 220 contiguous developer clearly downplays turtle mortality, 14 14 acres, and this proposal would affect 83 of these are endangered species. Every single 15 15 them directly. The State's Wildlife Action one of them matters. And this is a 16 16 17 Plan ranks the Brox site as the highest level critically important piece of property for 17 these species. wildlife habitat for a portion of it, the 18 18 19 highest in the state, and is the highest in 19 With jurisdiction, the SEC could the region for the remainder of the property. dispassionately waive the environmental 20 20 impact of this proposal, something that the The Brox land is home to, quote, 21 21 22 significant wildlife species, end quote, as 22 Town thus far has had a hard time doing, defined by the SEC, which are any species based on the public record of its hearings. 23 23 24 listed as threatened or endangered by United So if I could talk briefly about 24

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

PRO	POSED SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY IN MILFORD, NH		August 19, 202
	Page 17		Page 19
1	the local process thus far. This Committee	1	see in their July 2 minutes, allowed the
2	noted in the Timbertop Wind decision that	2	variance based on the farcical reasoning that
3	past exercises of discretionary jurisdiction	3	the hardship is the zone the property is in.
4	have been at the request of the host	4	That's an opportunity where maybe it makes
5	community. And we understand that. And	5	sense to re-draw the zoning map in the town
6	generally the host community will take the	6	of Milford so that it could be right size for
7	lead in these matters, as they are the group	7	uses. But to grant a variance based on the
8	and people most in contact with their own	8	hardship being the zone that it's in is
9	communities. But because this petitioner has	9	basically the opposite of having a zoning
10	essentially partnered up and will serve as	10	ordinance and zoning map under Euclidian
11	the landlord and receive payments through	11	zoning. We all understand that because you
12	this PILOT program, it's basically allowing	12	can't have something in the zone, the reason
13	the Town, through its various municipal	13	you can't permit a convenience store in your
14	boards, to serve as the arbiter to its own	14	residential zone, the hardship certainly is
15	petition. And in the filings by the	15	because of the zone you are in. And the Town
16	developer, there's notes that take the absurd	16	has made the decision as to how they zone
17	position that we believe that towns shouldn't	17	these things. So to basically spot-zone half
18	be able to permit things that are beneficial	18	of this to allow their partner, the
19	for the town, which we obviously know is not	19	Applicant, to get this project going is a
20	true. But this is a situation where, if the	20	clear breach of the duties of this appointed,
21	town permits a grocery store or a public park	21	non-elected board. And I think that's
22	that the citizens enjoy, that's great for the	22	something that should be considered. And it
23	town. The Town isn't directly making money	23	is something that, if the SEC were to take
24	off of those things, where they will have	24	jurisdiction, they can overcome these
{	SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}	{	SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 18		Page 20
1	serve as the landlord and be able to take	1	conflicts.
2	money in this payment in lieu of taxes	2	Furthermore, the Town of Milford
3	agreement. So this is different. And it's a	3	now has to, through its planning board, grant
4	situation where, if the SEC asserts	4	a conditional use permit which is from the
5	jurisdiction, they can overcome these	5	filings will be based on the zoning ordinance
6	conflicts of interest.	6	and the different regulations the Town has at
7	The first piece to go into the	7	a local level for solar facilities. However,
8	record of what has happened so far in the	8	if there's a question of how faithfully
9	town of Milford is that the permitting is	9	they're willing to apply their standards, and
10	portioned in the Industrial District, as well	10	if this application has already been
11	as part in the Residential District, and	11	pre-judged, the capability of both the
12	under the town's by-laws or zoning ordinance,	12	Applicant and the capability of the board to
13	"utility solar," which is solar above 50	13	apply its own rules is already brought into
14	acres, which this project qualifies for, and	14	question. So that's a situation where, if
15	I believe it's regardless of name plating	15	the SEC were to take jurisdiction, it
16	capabilities, is not permitted in	16	overcomes all of those questions whereby the
17	Residential; Residential A, B; Commercial	17	developer and the Town stand as their own
18	Limited, or the ICI District. It is allowed in the ICI2 Industrial District with a	18	planning and zoning and discretionary boards
19	in the ICI2 Industrial District with a	19	for granting the necessary permits while they sit on both sides of the aisle as both
20	conditional use permit. Half of this zone is	20	
21	ICI2, and half of the land is zoned Residential.	21	partners with the developer through their role as landlord and receiving \$9 million
22	So in granting the Applicant's	22 23	is the number that's been bandied around
	variance request on this, the board, you'll	24	repeatedly and is in the record of both the
24			

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

Page 21 Page 23 1 joint planning and zoning board hearing, as 1 As of now, this has been prejudged. It's 2 well as in various other filings and notes 2 unclear that the Town is willing to make 3 that currently -- [connectivity issue] in 3 those evaluations clearly and unbiasedly. 4 current use. So it's a very low tax 4 And rather than relying on the local zoning and natural resources permits, the SEC 5 producer. Part of the reason that the 5 Applicant has pushed it is that it would be a process allows for that necessary holistic 6 6 7 good economic benefit to the town. 7 look, where all aspects of this can be taken 8 So as noted in our supplemental 8 as one. 9 filing, our state constitution demands that 9 So in conclusion, this is a 10 all judges be as impartial as the law of 10 situation where the process clearly will humanity will admit. And the Supreme Court affect, if it goes forward as planned, the 11 11 12 opined that this applies similarly to members 12 environmental aspects of the Brox land which 13 of boards acting in their quasi-judicial 13 contains, as noted, two endangered species and a threatened species. And right now it's 14 capacity. Right now we don't have this 14 unclear that without SEC jurisdiction, that 15 impartiality that is required by both our 15 anyone will take a cold, hard look at the constitution and ruled upon by our state 16 16 17 courts. 17 project and require that setbacks be 18 So I don't certainly want to impugn followed, that certain things might be able 18 the honor of the members of these boards. I to be better sited. Right now, if they're 19 19 understand how difficult it is. I have willing to essentially ad hoc rezone property 20 20 served as a planning board member in my town for this Applicant, it's unclear that the 21 21 and realize that it's hard work. But we have needs and the balance as described in 162-H 22 22 23 an opportunity to overcome certain 23 will be met. Thank you. credibility issues with this application in CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. 24 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 22 Page 24 determining that it's not already been Leino. Questions from the Committee of Mr. 1 1 prejudged, because the Town does have such a Leino? Go ahead. 2 2 strong input and strong rationale **QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:** 3 3 economically to be involved with this MR. BAINES: My question is that 4 4 this partnership acquisition just kind of 5 project. 5 intrigues me, because you were a member of a 6 So we respectfully ask that you 6 planning board, so -- [connectivity issue] take jurisdiction because the SEC has an 7 7 unbiased perspective and the expertise to MR. LEINO: Not in this town. 8 8 9 evaluate the project. Counsel for the Public 9 [Court Reporter interrupts.] and the Attorney General's Office will act as COURT REPORTER: I'm having a very 10 10 11 an advocate for the public's interest if 11 hard time understanding the SEC member. I'm jurisdiction is taken rather than just our 12 12 really sorry. poorly funded citizens group. The financial MR. BAINES: Okay. I'm going to go 13 13 benefits to the town and the region depend on 14 14 back again. I'm a little confused about this understanding energy markets, which the Town partnership argument. You know, planning 15 15 is not qualified to evaluate. The large boards and zoning boards are separate from 16 16 project could have significant impact on the 17 selectmen or aldermen or mayors, whatever it 17 electric grid, which the Town is not be. So what is the basis of that argument? 18 18 19 qualified to evaluate. And the SEC process 19 MR. LEINO: If I understand your allows for recommendations and participation question, it's what is the basis of me 20 20 from the utilities. The SEC is in a better alleging that there's basically a de facto 21 21 22 position to evaluate whether a developer has 22 partnership -the financial, technical and managerial MR. BAINES: Yes. 23 23 24 capability to pull off such a large project. MR. LEINO: -- in the town? 24

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

August 19, 2021 Page 25 Page 27 1 Right now, the Town physically owns 1 MR. LEINO: I think that's a very 2 37 acres of the 83 acres that are going to be 2 fair question. And I don't think that we are permitted here. They have re-zoned the other 3 3 limited to only -- [connectivity issue] 4 half of this, acting through their board. 4 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. "Limited to only what," please? 5 And now the board, the planning board -- the 5 MR. LEINO: -- only one remedy in 6 zoning board did the re-zoning through the 6 7 variance, and the planning board has the 7 this situation, where the SEC has the 8 ability to grant the permit necessary for the 8 option -- [connectivity issue] -- capability 9 solar. If this solar is granted, it changes 9 and expertise to basically remove those the property from a low tax-income-generating conflicts. But we will also look into what 10 10 farmland under current use to a PILOT program other remedies exist. 11 11 12 that's already been negotiated on a 12 [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Any development of this 13 high-earning property on land that the Town 13 property beyond its current use in terms of 14 stands to serve as landlord and owner of the 14 the tax base there will have a significant 15 parcel. 15 benefit to the town. There's still --And furthermore, and as noted in 16 16 17 our supplementary filing, on the 17 [connectivity issue]. But what I think the concern is, privately-owned piece of land, one of the 18 18 planning board members is the former owner and about 25 percent of my presentation, is 19 19 that still has significant contacts with the how important, fairly and inarguably 20 20 important the natural resources are here with owner of it. It at least creates the 21 21 suspicion that there's been prejudgment under the threatened species, that if the figure of 22 22 \$9 million is on the scale of them weighing 23 the legal framework and case law in the state 23 of New Hampshire. what's looked for under 162-H:1, if no one is 24 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 26 Page 28 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Just one going to consider the environmental aspects 1 1 follow-up question and then I'll get to you, of this with as much due care as is required 2 2 Mr. Fitzgerald. in this situation, because that \$9 million is 3 3 Is that member still involved a shiny object off in the horizon, that PILOT 4 4 directly? program, having already been negotiated, is 5 5 6 MR. LEINO: He has not recused 6 the board being -- is the town -- is the goal of getting to the finish line here through himself at this point as a member. But Mr. 7 7 Amato is the former owner of that, and on the those variances and through permits that's 8 8 9 record at various of the hearings has 9 required by the zoning ordinance. So it's mentioned that he does have those not that any of these are inherently --10 10 11 involvements that I mentioned. 11 [connectivity issue] -- it's that there's CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank this indication that it's already been 12 12 prejudged and we are just hurdling toward the 13 you. 13 14 Mr. Fitzgerald. 14 finish line for --MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you. Would [Court Reporter interrupts.] 15 15 you expand a little bit upon the -- why the COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 16 16 remedy for the problems -- I mean --"Hurdling towards the finish line for...' 17 17 [connectivity issue] I would say 75 percent MR. LEINO: Permitting this 83-acre 18 18 19 19 project without respect to habitats, wetland

[connectivity issue] I would say 75 percent of your discussion is on these conflicts as opposed to environmental and other concerns. So why the remedy for that would not be to do things such as seek a requirement to recuse or to go to court and appeal decisions, why the remedy should be to go to the SEC?

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

crossings, the 15 vernal pools, the setbacks

that should be required between these two

parcels, any of these things, that if the

goal and the gravity is the \$9 million as

opposed to a very minimal current use tax

20

21

22

23

24

20

21

22

23

Page 29 Page 31 1 treatment, it's something that clearly intent in honoring the statutes and various 1 2 indicates that the Town has an alternate --2 ordinances and so on and their planning board 3 [connectivity issue] -- other than striking 3 process. It seems to me that we're being 4 the balance required by the Legislature. 4 asked to say that they're not capable of 5 MR. FITZGERALD: So, again, it 5 making a good decision on this, despite the 6 seems to me we're not talking about the 6 situations that you have outlined. And so, 7 difference between current use and \$9 7 again, I think it's sort of the same 8 million. We're talking the difference 8 question. 9 between the increased tax value that would be 9 But why are you asking us to take jurisdiction in advance of that happening, 10 achieved as a result of this versus PILOT --10 because of the potential for some conflict, again, a use -- [connectivity issue] -- the 11 11 12 magnitude of that would be -- [connectivity 12 as opposed to seeking the other relief you 13 issue] seems to me we're not discussing what 13 might have because the conflict is actually -- may have or may not have actually 14 it is today, but we're discussing what it 14 15 would be tomorrow with this PILOT agreement. 15 occurred? So I'm trying to understand why the nature of MR. LEINO: Again, I don't think 16 16 17 that agreement is different than increase in 17 Brox Environmental Citizens' presence in this 18 value under the normal tax structure. 18 forum -- [connectivity issue] -- there are MR. LEINO: I'm not sure that it other remedies, not a lot. But I do think 19 19 that this, under the laws of this state, is a 20 would, other than that these agreements have 20 already been negotiated and indicate that the committee that has the ability and the 21 21 22 Town, if it leaves it the way it is, receives 22 authority and the capacity to judge this type 23 a very low amount of money -- [connectivity 23 of application in light of the rules issue] -- on current use land tax received prescribed by RSA 162-H. And at this time, 24 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 30 Page 32 versus knowing or having a general sense of the Town has already indicated its conflicts 1 1 how much money will be received, where the \$9 through these public hearings and public 2 2 million is certainly a higher value than is 3 records. And we have the opportunity to 3 currently being achieved. So with the supersede those conflicts and that give a 4 4 understanding that \$9 million is out there fair and balanced -- [connectivity issue] --5 5 6 and will not be achieved or realized without 6 where this Committee certainly has no basis, these permits, starting with the ZBA granting has no piece of that \$9 million on land it 7 7 that variance based on the hardship being owns and can be permitted through its own 8 8 9 what zone the property is in, and the 9 boards. So we have a remedy here in this planning board having the requirement of a state where we, through the jurisdiction that 10 10 11 conditional use permit, again, if the gravity 11 you have the opportunity to take, and the 12 here is where the \$9 million is the finish 12 legislation that enables this Committee, we line, and all it takes is trampling these have the two different conditions -- the 13 13 14 endangered species to permit it, it seems 14 hundred signatures from inside Milford and abutting towns, and the name plate capacity like there's an indication so far, based on 15 15 that zoning board variance, that this town is of 16 megawatts -- gives this board the 16 16 willing to walk over whatever it takes to get opportunity to take jurisdiction. So just 17 17 to that finish line of \$9 million. because another opportunity to right size or 18 18 19 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Go ahead. 19 push back on what seems to be a runaway train of permitting in Milford exists, this is the 20 MR. FITZGERALD: This question may 20 border on deliberations, so feel free, right forum to look into this. Because this 21 21 22 Counsel, to stop me if you feel necessary, or 22 very well may be a good project, but the Chairwoman. But it seems to me that we're conflicts are so staggering at this point, 23 23 24 being asked to prejudge the Town's good that we have the opportunity to set those 24

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

Page 33 Page 35 1 aside before it really reduces the public issue] -- jurisdiction under the laws as 1 2 trust in what is being permitted in this town 2 allowed. 3 on 83 acres with endangered species present. 3 MR. BAINES: But you don't have any 4 MR. FITZGERALD: So a couple of 4 evidence that the Town is not dealing with 5 decisions have already been made, variances 5 these endangered species or they're unwilling 6 and so on. Have you chosen to challenge 6 to deal with that, that they're just going to 7 7 run over them? those? 8 MR. LEINO: The variance was the 8 MR. LEINO: We are still in the 9 only decision that's actually been granted so 9 preliminary aspects of all that, sir. far, and we did not appeal that. I came 10 MR. BAINES: But you don't have any 10 later to this proceeding. So I've known evidence that that's the case, that the Town 11 11 12 about that. So I was able to advise my 12 is not willing to deal with the law related 13 client that the grounds for that were 13 to endangered species? 14 specious at best. So that is just a fault of 14 MR. LEINO: At this time, no, we do 15 timing, if nothing else. 15 not. MR. BAINES: Can I follow up to MR. BAINES: Thank you. 16 16 17 that line of questioning? 17 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I'd like to CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Go right ahead. 18 18 follow up on the discussion with Mr. MR. BAINES: Yeah. What evidence Fitzgerald around the PILOT program and the 19 19 do you have that the Town is not capable of 20 20 pre-negotiated, as you said, agreement there. dealing with mitigation around these issues How is that any different from any 21 21 that you've cited in your testimony? 22 22 other project that would be under the 30 23 MR. LEINO: The evidence right now megawatts as it related to a town or a 23 is that the only thing permitted of record is municipality? How does that serve as a basis 24 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 34 Page 36 that ZBA variance, which was the first here? I would like you to highlight more 1 1 indication that they were going to give this what's different about Milford and this 2 2 a [connectivity issue] look at how we grant 3 project that we should be looking at. 3 variances under the statute. And then the MR. LEINO: Again, I think the 4 4 next piece is just the indication -- and biggest difference here, it's all right that 5 5 6 there is significant case law, and I didn't 6 if a town has the opportunity to expand green brief that in my supplement -- about what energy and one of those things or other type 7 7 [connectivity issue] -- decisions by a board of energy, figures out what the tax 8 8 9 member with a conflict, or in this case, 9 realization will be, is certainly a part of where that conflict is potentially the whole permitting these facilities. But again, this 10 10 11 board acting to try to get this money, and 11 is land that doesn't perform and is in part those conflicts with Mr. Amato having been a owned by the Town. The Town has the 12 12 former owner and still being friendly with opportunity both to permit something, have 13 13 14 the owner [connectivity issue] -- stands to 14 the PILOT program, have a lease on this. It make a substantial amount of money on this, has the opportunity to dip in multiple times 15 15 may be impugned to an entire board. to different economic streams here. It 16 16 So it's -- we are here now. And I shouldn't be able to be its own arbiter --17 17 realize that some of this is forward-looking, [connectivity issue] 18 18 19 and some pieces of this has already happened. 19 [Court Reporter interrupts.] But we come before this board and raise these COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I 20 20 didn't hear. "It shouldn't be able to be..." now -- [connectivity issue] -- before species 21 21 22 go extinct in New Hampshire. It certainly 22 MR. LEINO: Be its own arbiter on would feel like a missed opportunity if we these agreements. It's fine that the Town 23 23 24 weren't to try to get this -- [connectivity can realize these higher-performing and 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

1 2	Page 37		Page 39
2	hattan narranna annata durana fan ita manantu.	_	manulated by [assumentialty issue]
	better revenue-created uses for its property.	1	regulated by [connectivity issue]
	But it's an obvious conflict prima facie that	2	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: Commissioner
3	the Town will serve as the landlord, the	3	
4	landowner, and the permitting authority on	4	Sheehan, I'm sorry. You're breaking up. Can
5	this, while we have a committee in the state	5	I have you speak up loudly, please?
6	that has the opportunity to assert	6	COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: So you had
7	jurisdiction. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: So the	7	indicated that not all environmental impacts are adequately [connectivity issue] by
8		8	*
9	distinction is really more about the Town being the landlord than the PILOT.	9	New Hampshire Fish and Game and by New
10	MR. LEINO: The Town	10	Hampshire DES. So could you articulate where those gaps might be in terms of regulation
11 12	[connectivity issue] we wouldn't let a	11 12	and why we need for the SEC to be involved as
13	general landowner grant its own permits to		opposed to allowing those state agencies to
	itself. And that's what the Town has the	13	conduct their review.
14		14	MR. LEINO: I'm not sure that that
15 16	opportunity to do through owning this land. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.	15 16	was the position we took in this. We
17	Do you have another question on	17	understand that there will be some
18	this topic?	18	state-level review. But again
19	MR. FITZGERALD: Yeah, I just	19	[connectivity issue] by the town level
20	wanted to follow up on your response to	20	[connectivity issue] by the town level
21	Mr. Baines. It seems to me that you implied	21	COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you
22	that Mr. Amato stands to profit somehow, even	22	satisfied that the other state agencies in
23	though he's not a no longer an owner. He	23	their roles would identify the best
24	stands to profit from future development of	24	mitigation for [connectivity issue] as
21	stands to profit from future development of	24	initigation for [connectivity issue] as
	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}	{	SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 38		Page 40
1	this. Is there some	1	this project moves forward?
2	MR. LEINO: No, I'm not making that	2	MR. LEINO: It would certainly
3	implication. And if that's what it sounded	3	[Court Reporter interrupts.]
	like [connectivity issue]		[Court Reporter Interrupts.]
4		4	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I
4 5	[Court Reporter interrupts.]	4 5	
			COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I
5	[Court Reporter interrupts.]	5	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify
5 6	[Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like"	5 6	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard.  [connectivity issue]  MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry
5 6 7	[Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like"  MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke.	5 6 7	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That
5 6 7 8	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else	5 6 7 8	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I
5 6 7 8 9 10	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that?	5 6 7 8 9 10	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question.
5 6 7 8 9	[Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like"  MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that?  [No verbal response]	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response]	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would address any of the impacts?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr. Fitzgerald, would you like to go ahead, or	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would address any of the impacts? MR. LEINO: We certainly respect
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr. Fitzgerald, would you like to go ahead, or Commissioner Sheehan?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would address any of the impacts? MR. LEINO: We certainly respect the value and capability of those two
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr. Fitzgerald, would you like to go ahead, or Commissioner Sheehan? COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: And so	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue]     MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry     COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question.     COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would address any of the impacts?     MR. LEINO: We certainly respect the value and capability of those two entities. And there's a requirement of a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr. Fitzgerald, would you like to go ahead, or Commissioner Sheehan? COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: And so shifting gears to the other entities here	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would address any of the impacts? MR. LEINO: We certainly respect the value and capability of those two entities. And there's a requirement of a holistic approach generally with the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr. Fitzgerald, would you like to go ahead, or Commissioner Sheehan? COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: And so shifting gears to the other entities here involved in review of this project in your	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would address any of the impacts? MR. LEINO: We certainly respect the value and capability of those two entities. And there's a requirement of a holistic approach generally with the permitting authority along with those
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr. Fitzgerald, would you like to go ahead, or Commissioner Sheehan? COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: And so shifting gears to the other entities here involved in review of this project in your petition and supplemental information. Is it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would address any of the impacts? MR. LEINO: We certainly respect the value and capability of those two entities. And there's a requirement of a holistic approach generally with the permitting authority along with those state-level agencies. But I'm not sure that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr. Fitzgerald, would you like to go ahead, or Commissioner Sheehan? COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: And so shifting gears to the other entities here involved in review of this project in your petition and supplemental information. Is it correct that not all environmental impacts	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue]     MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry     COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question.     COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would address any of the impacts?     MR. LEINO: We certainly respect the value and capability of those two entities. And there's a requirement of a holistic approach generally with the permitting authority along with those state-level agencies. But I'm not sure that the Town of Milford, as it's currently
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear what you just said. "If that's what it sounded like" MR. LEINO: Then I misspoke. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Anything else on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any other questions on that topic? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Mr. Fitzgerald, would you like to go ahead, or Commissioner Sheehan? COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: And so shifting gears to the other entities here involved in review of this project in your petition and supplemental information. Is it	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I didn't hear. "In their roles would identify mitigation for" that's what I heard. [connectivity issue] MR. LEINO: Excuse me. Sorry COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. That was Commissioner Sheehan. I'm so sorry. I didn't hear the question. COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Are you satisfied that the review by New Hampshire Fish and Game and DES would identify adequate mitigation and permit stipulations that would address any of the impacts? MR. LEINO: We certainly respect the value and capability of those two entities. And there's a requirement of a holistic approach generally with the permitting authority along with those state-level agencies. But I'm not sure that

	Page 41		Page 43
_	holistic approach. And it does create gaps	-	request made to a utility, and a utility
1 2	here where the Town is so focused on	1 2	would evaluate those impacts, no matter
	permitting, that I think at the risk that the	3	whether it's through the SEC process, and
3	state agencies will fall short on these	4	they would be guided in that, the PUC's
4	things, although I don't have specific pieces		requirements for utility connections? Would
5	about this preliminarily.	5	it be any different under the SEC?
6	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Do you have a	6 7	MR. LEINO: Not sure.
7	follow-up? I have a follow-up on that one.		CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Other questions
8	So am I understanding that you	8	from the Committee?
9	would expect that the review and potential	9 10	[No verbal response]
10 11	mitigation decisions made by the state	11	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think I want
12	agencies would be different if it were to be	12	to follow up one more time on the question
13	done in collaboration with the Town as	13	related to the turtles and the other species
14	opposed to with the Site Evaluation	14	to be protected there.
15	Committee?	15	What layer of protection does the
16	MR. LEINO: There's certainly more	16	Site Evaluation Committee add related to that
17	of an opportunity for that delta to appear if	17	specifically if the state agency that has
18	the Town is pushing this in one direction as	18	jurisdiction would participate in either
19	opposed to a neutral [connectivity issue]	19	forum?
20	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Other	20	MR. LEINO: You've seen the math as
21	questions?	21	provided in the supplement showing how much
22	Mr. Fitzgerald.	22	and how fully the Applicant is proposing to
23	MR. FITZGERALD: In your	23	cover all its territory. Right now, it's
24	submittal [connectivity issue] that	24	moving toward [connectivity issue]
	• •		
	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}	{\$	SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 42		Page 44
1	this project would have potential negative	1	Page 44 [Court Reporter interrupts.]
1 2	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could	1 2	
	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt		[Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving"
2	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the	2	[Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving"  MR. LEINO: Toward providing this
2	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would	2	[Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving"  MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state
2 3 4	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the	2 3 4	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have
2 3 4 5	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?	2 3 4 5	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of
2 3 4 5 6	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we	2 3 4 5 6	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this
2 3 4 5 6 7	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site	2 3 4 5 6 7	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	[Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving"  MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. One last request. Any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the town level. It's unclear to me that these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. One last request. Any questions? [No verbal response]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the town level. It's unclear to me that these will be questions like that [connectivity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  One last request. Any questions? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the town level. It's unclear to me that these will be questions like that [connectivity issue] will approach to making sure that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. One last request. Any questions? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Then why don't we move on. I believe that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the town level. It's unclear to me that these will be questions like that [connectivity issue] will approach to making sure that this is the best project possible if it moves	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. One last request. Any questions? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Then why don't we move on. I believe that the Town was going to go next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the town level. It's unclear to me that these will be questions like that [connectivity issue] will approach to making sure that this is the best project possible if it moves forward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. One last request. Any questions? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Then why don't we move on. I believe that the Town was going to go next. MR. RATIGAN: Yes. John Ratigan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the town level. It's unclear to me that these will be questions like that [connectivity issue] will approach to making sure that this is the best project possible if it moves forward.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  One last request. Any questions? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Then why don't we move on. I believe that the Town was going to go next.  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. John Ratigan. For the record, we would be happy to go next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the town level. It's unclear to me that these will be questions like that [connectivity issue] will approach to making sure that this is the best project possible if it moves forward.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Go ahead. MR. FITZGERALD: Wouldn't there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. One last request. Any questions? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Then why don't we move on. I believe that the Town was going to go next. MR. RATIGAN: Yes. John Ratigan. For the record, we would be happy to go next. I have a few comments, and then I'd like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the town level. It's unclear to me that these will be questions like that [connectivity issue] will approach to making sure that this is the best project possible if it moves forward.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  One last request. Any questions? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Then why don't we move on. I believe that the Town was going to go next.  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. John Ratigan. For the record, we would be happy to go next.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this project would have potential negative [connectivity issue] electric grid. Could you [connectivity issue] so a 16-megawatt project [connectivity issue] has the utility indicated that this project would [connectivity issue] ability to accept the power or any basis for that statement?  MR. LEINO: I stated that if we had [connectivity issue] the Site Evaluation Committee, those utilities would have the opportunity here. It's another advantage to a holistic permitting process through the SEC. Not that I'm certainly an expert capable of making that statement. But its review [connectivity issue] conflicts there currently on remedy at the town level. It's unclear to me that these will be questions like that [connectivity issue] will approach to making sure that this is the best project possible if it moves forward.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Go ahead. MR. FITZGERALD: Wouldn't there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	[Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I just lost you. "It's moving" MR. LEINO: Toward providing this conditional use permit. Obviously the state agencies with their jurisdiction would have the opportunity to push back on some level of this. But we have the opportunity with this Committee to have that approach, where one portion of the a large portion being that it provides the opportunity to permit this [connectivity issue] guided by the \$9 million.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. One last request. Any questions? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Then why don't we move on. I believe that the Town was going to go next. MR. RATIGAN: Yes. John Ratigan. For the record, we would be happy to go next. I have a few comments, and then I'd like to

Page 45 1 opportunity to answer any questions you may 2 have. 3 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: So you're going 4 to put him on as a witness? MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Although I 5 6 think he can sit here if that's acceptable to 7 vou or --8 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I'll 9 just need to have him sworn before you start 10 asking questions. MR. RATIGAN: Sure, sure. 11 12 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Go ahead. 13 MR. RATIGAN: For those of you who 14 don't know, we're one of the largest municipal law firms in the state of New 15 Hampshire. We've worked on scores of solar 16 17 projects. The largest project was in 18 Peterborough, which we did about six or seven years ago. And as Mr. Fitzgerald correctly 19 pointed out, these projects start with 20 interaction with local utilities explaining 21 22 what types of improvements can be made to 23 connect the solar facility location to the 24 grid. So this is entirely, you know, {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

either on private property, and many times on public property. The observations made by the board about the negotiations regarding lease payments and the agreement on what the taxes are going to be on a moving-forward basis go forward all the time. And they're, you know, very routine. And most of these projects are, you know, actually advanced by people in the municipalities because they understand it's solar and having local power is, you know, a very good thing.

Also, board members, the accusation that there's something wrong with the variance that's been granted, that was never appealed, is unsubstantiated. Applicant's attorney may have an opinion about it that's not shared by me, obviously not shared by the zoning board. And there's no request for rehearing, and no appeal was ever taken on the decision that's been made.

There has been no application for a conditional use permit or for -- or an application made to the planning board yet. So these accusations that a former owner of

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

Page 46

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

Page 48

Page 47

supervised and interacted with the local 1 utility governing. A large number of these 2 large projects are on municipal property. 3 They're on other types of property that were 4 maybe gifted to the municipality for long 5 6 periods of time, and they haven't had the opportunity to develop. So, you know, 7 there's a lot of these projects. This is not 8 9 a nuclear plant. This is not a gas station power plant. This is a project that is 10 11 reviewed by local planning boards routinely across New Hampshire, whether it's being done 12 by Revision Energy, you know, for a 13 14 private -- you know, they're an example.

They're not the only one. Whether it's done

COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. You

MR. RATIGAN: Oh, I said that this

by Revision Energy for private property

type of project is routinely reviewed by

local planning boards, which are presented

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

owners or whether it's done by other

companies -- [connectivity issue] --

including Revision. So we're --

cut out at the very end.

- the property has been acting -- you know, there's a conflict, there's no basis for that
- 2 3 allegation because that person has never --
- nor has that board had an application before 4
- it. And these issues of conflict do not --5
- 6 cannot be raised until such time as an
- application comes before the board. When it 7 does, the board members will be responsible 8
- 9 and address that individually and as a board, as they do with all land-use applications
- 10 11 that come before it.

The Town agrees entirely that, as some of the observations and accounts made by the board, that it will be working, the planning board, with its own consultants and with the State to ensure that environmental issues and wildlife issues are properly addressed.

At this point, those are the only comments I have. I would like to now ask that the Chair swear in Mr. Lincoln Daley so I could ask him a few questions.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Actually, the stenographer will swear him in. But I want

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

- 18 19

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	Prosed Solar Energy Facility in Mileford, NH		
	Page 49		Page 51
1	to just check with the parties and make sure	1	would make sense for us to take a quick break
2	that this is consistent with what	2	and let's talk among ourselves rather than
3	was[connectivity issue]	3	trying to do this in real time.
4	MR. LEINO: I would object	4	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that
5	[connectivity issue]	5	would be wise. I will add, also, it did
6	[Court Reporter interrupts.]	6	appear that there was a suggestion that there
7	COURT REPORTER: I can't hear you,	7	would be no testimony. But it was not a
8	Mr. Leino.	8	hundred percent clear. It did also mention
9	MR. LEINO: We stipulated in the	9	waiver of cross-examination. And so that's
10	agreed-to motion that we'd not be	10	why I started at the beginning to say, I
11	cross-examining witnesses and that the	11	would say that at least as written, it was
12	testimony [connectivity issue] from our	12	not entirely clear. So let's go off the
13	oral argument and from the briefs already	13	record and take a ten-minute recess until
14	filed. This is the first I'm hearing about	14	2:45.
15	them having witnesses.	15	(Brief recess was taken at 2:35 p.m.,
16	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Needleman,	16	and the hearing resumed at 2:47 p.m.)
17	anything?	17	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Let's go back
18	MR. NEEDLEMAN: No, we don't	18	on the record. What did we arrive at?
19	have any [connectivity issue]	19	MR. NEEDLEMAN: My understanding is
20	[Court Reporter interrupts.]	20	that Mr. Ratigan is going to just make his
21	COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. "We	21	argument rather than put a witness on.
22	don't have any" what?	22	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank
23	MR. NEEDLEMAN: We don't have a	23	you.
24	position on this issue.	24	Mr. Ratigan, go ahead.
	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}	{	SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 50		Page 52
	1 490 00		. ago 62
	CITA IDINO AANTA AADMIN T. '11		MD DATECAN W. T. T.
1	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I will say	1	MR. RATIGAN: Yes. The Town's
2	that, as I said at the outset, the	2	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to
2	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely	2	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about
2 3 4	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that	2 3 4	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit
2 3 4 5	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it	2 3 4 5	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting
2 3 4 5 6	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony.	2 3 4 5 6	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires,
2 3 4 5 6 7	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular	2 3 4 5 6 7	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Sir, I can't hear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] AOT permit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Sir, I can't hear you. I'm so sorry. Speak up into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] AOT permit.  So there will be a wildlife study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Sir, I can't hear you. I'm so sorry. Speak up into the microphone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] AOT permit.  So there will be a wildlife study done. There will be an analysis of, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Sir, I can't hear you. I'm so sorry. Speak up into the microphone.  MR. RATIGAN: I'm asking the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] AOT permit.  So there will be a wildlife study done. There will be an analysis of, you know, how best to protect wetlands, wildlife
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Sir, I can't hear you. I'm so sorry. Speak up into the microphone.  MR. RATIGAN: I'm asking the question of Attorney Needleman.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] There will be an analysis of, you know, how best to protect wetlands, wildlife species [connectivity issue] through that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Sir, I can't hear you. I'm so sorry. Speak up into the microphone.  MR. RATIGAN: I'm asking the question of Attorney Needleman.  Did we reach an agreement that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] HOT permit.  So there will be an analysis of, you know, how best to protect wetlands, wildlife species [connectivity issue] through that process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Sir, I can't hear you. I'm so sorry. Speak up into the microphone.  MR. RATIGAN: I'm asking the question of Attorney Needleman.  Did we reach an agreement that there would be no presentation of witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] AOT permit.  So there will be an analysis of, you know, how best to protect wetlands, wildlife species [connectivity issue] through that process.  So the other thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Sir, I can't hear you. I'm so sorry. Speak up into the microphone.  MR. RATIGAN: I'm asking the question of Attorney Needleman.  Did we reach an agreement that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] KOT permit.  So there will be an analysis of, you know, how best to protect wetlands, wildlife species [connectivity issue] through that process.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that, as I said at the outset, the description of the agreement was not entirely clear. I do note, though, that it said that cross-examination would be waived. But it did not say that there would be no testimony. That is something that I see on a regular basis where we do have witnesses. And so at this point I think it may be for the parties to decide whether there is actually an agreement as to how to proceed here.  MR. RATIGAN: I'd certainly did we reach an agreement that said [connectivity issue]  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Sir, I can't hear you. I'm so sorry. Speak up into the microphone.  MR. RATIGAN: I'm asking the question of Attorney Needleman.  Did we reach an agreement that there would be no presentation of witness testimony before the [connectivity issue]	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	position is and Lincoln Daley is here to answer any questions you may have about this is that the conditional use permit process is a very detailed permitting process, a permitting process that requires, for instance, the Applicant to go before the Conservation Commission to address environmental and wetlands issues. It requires the Applicant to submit plans, wetlands plans, you know, plans showing [connectivity issue] management. The process also involves permitting issues where the planner [connectivity issue] works closely with the State [connectivity issue] Works closely with the State [connectivity issue] AOT permit.  So there will be a wildlife study done. There will be an analysis of, you know, how best to protect wetlands, wildlife species [connectivity issue] through that process.  So the other thing [Court Reporter interrupts.]

_	<u> </u>	1	-
	Page 53		Page 55
1	just have you speak up just a little bit	1	property; whereas, the planning board, a
2	louder, please, into the mic.	2	separate body, they have different
3	MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yup.	3	responsibilities. And their responsibilities
4	The other thing is, is that the	4	are to properly review, approve or disapprove
5	Town and its planning board have done many	5	of conditions. So there is no
6	projects that are much more complicated and	6	[connectivity issue]
7	have many more issues, including all these	7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank
8	issues over the period of time. They have a	8	you.
9	lot of experience dealing with things,	9	Mr. Fitzgerald.
10	working with their consultants, you know, to	10	MR. FITZGERALD: Just a quick
11	analyze their issues. And, of course, as the	11	follow-up. Can you provide a reference to
12	board has already recognized, there is an	12	the statute [connectivity issue]
13	appeal process where if anyone believes	13	[Court Reporter interrupts.]
14	[connectivity issue] the public or Brox	14	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fitzgerald,
15	Citizens Group present information into the	15	you cut out completely. You said, "Could you
16	record before the board. But if there's any	16	provide a reference"
17	feeling that the board may not have followed	17	MR. RATIGAN: I'm pretty sure he
18	some type of regulation, done something	18	said
19	improperly, there are appeal rights that are	19	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Just a minute,
20	provided by statute.	20	Mr. Ratigan.
21	So it is the Town's position that	21	Go ahead, Ms. Robidas.
22	it has plenty of experience to review an	22	COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
23	application such like this. And there is	23	Mr. Fitzgerald, I heard, "Can you
24	independent, outside information that would	24	provide a reference to the statute," and then
	•		
	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}	{;	SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 54		Page 56
1		1	-
1 2	be generated by professionals and state	1 2	I didn't hear what you said.
2	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied	2	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at
2	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching	2	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that
2 3 4	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in	2 3 4	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?
2 3 4 5	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.	2	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm
2 3 4 5 6	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute
2 3 4 5	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.	2 3 4 5	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal
2 3 4 5 6 7	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan. Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a concern?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go through the planning board process when it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan. Questions from the Committee? QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a concern? MR. RATIGAN: It's not a concern	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go through the planning board process when it's being put to building a school or for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a concern?  MR. RATIGAN: It's not a concern because there's actually a statute that talks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go through the planning board process when it's being put to building a school or for a public works station.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a concern?  MR. RATIGAN: It's not a concern because there's actually a statute that talks about, you know, if municipalities are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go through the planning board process when it's being put to building a school or for a public works station.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a concern?  MR. RATIGAN: It's not a concern because there's actually a statute that talks about, you know, if municipalities are developing land that would require plan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go through the planning board process when it's being put to building a school or for a public works station.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: Yeah, could you just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a concern?  MR. RATIGAN: It's not a concern because there's actually a statute that talks about, you know, if municipalities are developing land that would require plan review, it allows for it. And so municipal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go through the planning board process when it's being put to building a school or for a public works station.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: Yeah, could you just comment on, isn't it very common practice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a concern?  MR. RATIGAN: It's not a concern because there's actually a statute that talks about, you know, if municipalities are developing land that would require plan review, it allows for it. And so municipal land is leased often for all types of purposes in New Hampshire, you know, for private use. So the selectmen have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go through the planning board process when it's being put to building a school or for a public works station.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: Yeah, could you just comment on, isn't it very common practice that municipalities deal with public land frequently with developers and would reap a benefit, whether it's through taxes or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a concern?  MR. RATIGAN: It's not a concern because there's actually a statute that talks about, you know, if municipalities are developing land that would require plan review, it allows for it. And so municipal land is leased often for all types of purposes in New Hampshire, you know, for private use. So the selectmen have the responsibility under the state statutes to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go through the planning board process when it's being put to building a school or for a public works station.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: Yeah, could you just comment on, isn't it very common practice that municipalities deal with public land frequently with developers and would reap a benefit, whether it's through taxes or payments in lieu of taxes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	be generated by professionals and state agencies that will be reviewed and relied upon by the planning board in reaching whatever conclusion it decides to reach in reviewing such a matter.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Mr. Ratigan.  Questions from the Committee?  QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I have one question. What is your response to the assertion that the Town being a landlord creates a conflict? Why is that not a concern?  MR. RATIGAN: It's not a concern because there's actually a statute that talks about, you know, if municipalities are developing land that would require plan review, it allows for it. And so municipal land is leased often for all types of purposes in New Hampshire, you know, for private use. So the selectmen have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I didn't hear what you said.  MR. FITZGERALD: Or follow up at some point with information as to what that statute is?  MR. RATIGAN: Yes. Yeah. I'm pretty sure it's 674:54. But it is a statute which talks about developing municipal property for public purposes.  So it was just a response to the question that had been posed about is there a conflict, and I was pointing out the Legislature recognizes that, you know, property, municipal property still has to go through the planning board process when it's being put to building a school or for a public works station.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: Yeah, could you just comment on, isn't it very common practice that municipalities deal with public land frequently with developers and would reap a benefit, whether it's through taxes or

August 19, 2021 Page 57 Page 59 1 public land is developed, putting in a 1 project has evolved -- [connectivity issue] Walgreens or a Wal\*Mart type of facility, 2 -- based on feedback, which is --2 3 yes, all the time when such projects are 3 [connectivity issue] -- projects like this. 4 developed, that will take land as dated 4 And importantly, a permit application still hasn't been submitted. Now, before I turn to 5 --[connectivity issue] -- taxable assessment 5 of the property and -- [connectivity issue] 6 6 some things I was planning to talk about 7 -- create additional income for the Town. 7 today, I wanted to speak about a few things 8 MR. BAINES: Thank you. 8 you heard earlier from the Petitioner. 9 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Other 9 Essentially what we have here from the Petitioner is a lot of supposition and 10 questions? 10 [No verbal response] unsubstantiated allegations. What we don't 11 11 12 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: How does the 12 have is much in the way of actual evidence to 13 Town interact with the state agencies that --13 support those allegations. And what the Petitioner is asking for here really is two 14 those that were referenced, Fish & Game, DES, 14 15 as part of its permitting process? 15 things: One, they want you to adopt a MR. RATIGAN: It depends on the presumption of impropriety. They want you to 16 16 17 application. For instance, if you're 17 find, with really no evidence, that the Town disturbing more than -- [connectivity issue] has done something wrong, or even more 18 18 -- you have to get an alteration of terrain remarkably, is going to do something wrong, 19 19 permit from the State. So the Applicant has and so therefore you have to step in and act. 20 20 to get an alteration of terrain permit. That No. 2, they want a presumption 21 21 is a process that's opened with the Town. So 22 22 that the state agencies will, quote, fall 23 the Town gets copied on the permit short in whatever their roles are, again, 23 application, and the board -- the Town has with really no basis whatsoever. And I think 24 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 58 Page 60 the ability to provide comments. Oftentimes, there's a leap of logic here that frankly 1 1 you know, the Town's engineer -- AOT permit makes no sense, because how is it that you 2 2 is consistent with the plan information 3 stepping in will change the way the state 3 that's submitted -- provide comments -agencies act? And more importantly, there's 4 4 [connectivity issue]. an implication there that if you don't step 5 5 6 Same thing, you know, with DES on, 6 in, somehow, because of this \$9 million, the you know, wetland permits, shoreland state agencies will be co-opted and do things 7 7 permits -- [connectivity issue], wildlife they otherwise wouldn't do. It's just -- the 8 8 9 issues. During the planning process, there's 9 argument makes no sense. We full well know consistent interaction between the planner, that the Department of Environmental 10 10 11 usually the town planner, representing the 11 Services, the Natural Heritage Bureau, New 12 boards, the state officials -- [connectivity 12 Hampshire Fish and Game are going to do their issue] jobs here. And they're going to do them 13 13 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. 14 whether the permitting happens through the Anything else? Town or it happens at the SEC. It will 15 15 [No verbal response] unfold the same way. And so to suggest that 16 16

And most importantly, you didn't hear anything from the Petitioner about how this body is authorized to focus as an appellate body because of real or imagined conflicts in the town. And in fact, you're not. That's not your job. Your job is to

somehow you have to take over because of that

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.

Chair. So this project, which we understand

under development now for approximately three

the Town, and a productive one thus far. The

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

is a 16-megawatt solar project, has been

years. It's been an iterative process with

MR. NEEDLEMAN: Thank you, Madam

Seeing none, Mr. Needleman.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

simply isn't right.

Page 63

SEC 2021-01 PETITION FOR JURISDICTION OVER PROPOSED SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY IN MILFORD, NH Page 61 1 review energy projects that rise to a 1 Leino sited earlier, the Timbertop docket 2 particular level under various sets of 2 circumstances. So what I would like to do is 3 3 4 spend some time looking at the law that deals 4 with those issues and talk to you about 5 5 exactly when this Committee in prior 6 6 7 circumstances has exerted that kind of 7 8 iurisdiction. 8 9 So let me start with the purpose of 9 the Site Evaluation Committee. I want to 10 10 look at 162-H. When you look at 162-H:1, it 11 11 12 says the Legislature recognizes that the 12 13 selection of sites for energy facilities may 13 auote. 14 have significant impacts on the overall 14 economic growth of the state and the 15 15 environment of the state. 16 16 17 Throughout the statute and 17 throughout the rules, there's a focus on the 18 18 state and the region. And that's for a 19 19 specific purpose because the statute is 20 20 designed, and your jurisdiction is designed, 21 21 to focus on large regional projects, not 22 22 23 small, local projects like this one. 23 162-H:16, III says, "The Committee 24 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 62 may consult with interested regional 1 1 agencies." 2 2 162-H:16, IV(b) says the project 3 3 shall not unduly interfere with the orderly 4 4 5 5

that was before this Committee several years ago. And the vice-chair of the Committee at that time, Amy Ignatius, said, quote, I've been quite strong in believing that the Legislature intended that a number of projects remain on the municipality level. If they didn't want that, they would have written it very differently. The default for under 30 megawatts is it stays local, and there's got to be an extremely good reason to move it outside of the municipality, end

She also noted in that same docket, quote, The SEC should never do that lightly, should never take it from a municipality unless there is a very, very clear reason that we need to step in and that some real danger will be done to the purpose of the statute or the welfare of the state, end quote. That came from the Timbertop Wind deliberations at Page 180, June 3rd, 2013.

It's against this backdrop that you need to evaluate this petition. When you do

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

Page 64

development of the region and so on. I could keep quoting. But again, you can look at the statute, and everywhere you will see the same focus.

That also happens throughout your rules as well. In Site 301.09, it talks about orderly regional development, with a focus on land use in the region, the economy of the region, and employment in the region. Same is true for the public interest findings. You're supposed to consider the, quote, welfare of the population, end quote, the overall economic growth of the state and, quote, the environment of the state.

So I think you get the point here. The Legislature and the Committee itself have always contemplated that projects which don't rise to the level of regional importance will be reviewed locally. This concept I think was best summarized in the case that Mr.

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

so, you need to focus on the standard of review that you adopted in your rules. And that standard of review is found in 202.19(a). And it says, quote, A party asserting a proposition shall bear the burden of proving the proposition by a preponderance of the evidence.

I would submit to you that the moving party here, Brox, not only bears the burden, but hasn't even come close to meeting that burden in this context.

The next thing I'd like to do is point you to SEC precedent. I want to look for a minute at the four prior cases that the SEC has considered in circumstances exactly like this, where they were being asked to consider the kind of discretionary jurisdiction that you're being asked to consider here and, as Vice-Chair Ignatius said, to look for very, very clear reasons to reach down and assert that discretionary jurisdiction.

This Committee has only actually granted that jurisdiction twice, once in the

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 67

Page 68

SEC 2021-01 PETITION FOR JURISDICTION OVER PROPOSED SOLAR ENERGY FACILITY IN MILFORD, NH Page 65 1 Lempster Wind case and once in the Antrim 1 that's a pretty powerful indicator of how 2 Wind case. I would say it's very telling 2 prior SECs have viewed the scope of their that in both those circumstances where they 3 3 authority, and also the importance that they 4 chose to assert jurisdiction, those were 4 placed on the views of the host community, projects that were physically very high especially when those communities have 5 5 profile and they could be seen from far away, demonstrated, like Milford has, that they 6 6 7 so they implicated regional resources, like 7 have the capability to handle the kind of project in question. 8 scenic resources, recreational resources, 8 9 tourist resources, historic resources, things 9 So against that landscape, how do you now look at the facts here and apply it 10 like that. 10 to those cases to make a determination? The Lempster docket was the 11 11 12 smallest project that the SEC ever asserted 12 Well, I think there are three key points you 13 jurisdiction over. It was a 24-megawatt 13 should have in mind. 14 project. It was in two towns, both Lempster 14 First and foremost, you have a host and Washington. And significantly, both community here that opposes SEC jurisdiction, 15 15 towns wanted the SEC to assert jurisdiction. and you have a host community here that has a 16 16 17 The other one was Antrim Wind, 17 well-developed ordinance that's specifically 18 which was just under 29 megawatts. And designed to deal with solar projects. And we 18 again, the Town of Antrim wanted the SEC to cited that ordinance in our papers. Again, 19 19 assert jurisdiction. those are the only two cases where the SEC 20 20 took jurisdiction, Antrim and Lempster. Conversely, there were two projects 21 21 where parties sought SEC jurisdiction, and Neither of those towns had that kind of 22 22 well-developed ordinance, which is one of the 23 the SEC declined that jurisdiction. One was 23 Clean Power Development, which was a reasons they were asking the Committee to 24 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 66 29-megawatt biomass plant in Berlin. And the take the project. 1 1 Town of Berlin opposed the SEC taking the Second, and I think equally 2 2 project. They wanted to handle it locally, important, this project is inherently local. 3 3 and the SEC didn't take jurisdiction. When you look at it, there's nothing regional 4 4 And then of course Timbertop Wind, about it. The size and the profile is the 5 5 starting point. We're talking about a 6 that was a 15-megawatt wind project in the 6 towns of New Ipswich and Temple. There was a 16-megawatt solar project that, if 7 7 constructed, would be about 13 to 15 feet off petition filed by the Applicant for 8 8 9 jurisdiction, and the SEC declined. And 9 the ground. Compare that with Lempster and there again, the Town opposed it. Antrim and the size of the turbines we're 10 10 11 So if we look for some common 11 12 threads here across those four cases that you 12 might use to guide your decision-making here, 13 13 14 one of the key ones, which I think is a 14

talking about there, which were hundreds and hundreds feet off the ground on hilltops. The case here is the most analogous to Timbertop Wind, where the SEC denied jurisdiction. Let me quote what the SEC said in Timbertop from a different portion of that. "There is nothing about the size of the facility or its impacts that suggest that [the] Committee discretionary jurisdiction is needed to protect the public interests [expressed] in the statute." "...the real intent of the Legislature was to allow for smaller projects to be dealt with on the local level."

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

strong indicator of how this Committee has

community: Where the community wanted the

where the community did not want the SEC to

viewed its job under 162-H, is that in all

four cases the Committee acted in a way

Committee to take the project, it took it;

take the project, it didn't. Now, I don't

think that that by itself is dispositive. I

think you need to look deeper. But I think

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

consistent with the wishes of the host

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 69 Page 71 1 The first quote comes from the 1 So ask yourself a question: If 2 order denying jurisdiction at Page 4, and 2 this Committee were to reach down and assert second one is from the deliberations at Page 3 3 jurisdiction, is there anything about the 4 94. 4 nature of that state agency review that would So what indicators do you have here change in any manner from how it's already 5 5 about the regional significance of the unfolded and will unfold going forward if 6 6 7 project? Well, for starters, you have the 7 it's permitted at the town level? very information that the Petitioner herself 8 8 You heard Mr. Leino talk about the, 9 generated. The Petitioner went to the Wilton 9 quote, figure of \$9 million on the scale will Select Board, and we cited this in our adversely affect the review. Again, how? 10 10 papers, and asked the Wilton Select Board, as How is the fact that there's going to be a 11 11 12 an adjoining town, to get involved in this 12 PILOT agreement which is going to pay some project, and Wilton Select Board said that 13 13 amount money to this town going to in any way 14 it, quote, is not in the best interest to 14 interfere with the job the state agencies do interfere with what goes on in the town of on their environmental assessment? 15 15 Milford. The last point I want to focus on 16 16 17 You also have the public record of 17 is going back to the Timbertop docket. In both the towns of Mount Vernon and Hollis not Timbertop, the SEC looked at the issue of, 18 18 showing any interest in this project, despite quote, the extent that the proposed facility 19 19 Ms. Fournier's efforts to try to get them would have local impacts on the public 20 20 involved. So plainly, the communities interests recognized by the statute. And 21 21 surrounding this area don't think it has any when they looked at that issue, the Timbertop 22 22 sort of regional impact to it. SEC Committee identified four factors 23 23 I'll also note that the Town, in relative to the interests in 162-H:1 that 24 24 {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 70 Page 72 its subdivision and site plan regulations, they thought they should consider, and I 1 1 specifically asked its boards to contemplate suggest that you should consider as well. 2 2 the regional significance with respect to The first factor is: Is 3 3 projects that are coming before them. jurisdiction necessary to maintain a balance 4 4 So, in essence, the second point between the environment and the --5 5 [connectivity issue] facilities? 6 here is that there simply is no regional 6 significance to this project. In this case, I think the answer is 7 7 And then the third point is that, a resounding no. Spartan Solar has already 8 8 9 as with Timbertop, the project is still going 9 established relationships with DES and Fish to be subject to State review. And that's and Game going back to 2019. There's a town 10 10 ordinance that requires a balance between 11 the point we mentioned earlier about the 11 Department of Environmental Services and solar development and protection of the 12 12 other state agencies. The interactions with environment, among other things. And the 13 13 town ordinance includes extensive provisions 14 those state agencies will be the same in 14 either case. Spartan Solar has already that require a potential project developer to 15 15 started engaging with DES and Natural assess and address environmental concerns 16 16 17 Heritage Bureau on wetlands, alteration of related to a host of issues. So I don't 17 terrain and other environmental issues, and think there's anything more that this 18 18 Committee could do on that point. 19 it will certainly continue to do so. They 19 started engaging with the Division of The second point, and I think this 20 20 Historic Resources regarding archeological is actually a quite important one, is will 21 21 22 sites and other kinds of historic resources. 22 SEC jurisdiction avoid undue delay in And they've engaged with New Hampshire Fish construction of a project? 23 23 24 and Game. Spartan Solar has been engaged with 24

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

Page 73 the Town for the last two and a half years. During that time, they worked to break down the comments in advance of any anticipated permit filed. If the SEC takes review of this project at this point, that's not going to speed things up; it's unquestionably going to slow things down. The statutory timelines for preparing -- for an SEC application working through the process, as you all know, stretch out to roughly 15 months. In other words, 30 days prior to filing, there needs to be a public hearing; then the Applicant files; there's a 60-day review where the Committee determines if the application is complete; if you deem it complete, there's then a 12-month review period. That's going 

And then the other part, which I'm not sure the Committee spends much time thinking about, but I spend a lot of time as someone who has done these applications, it takes a long time to prepare an SEC

to go much faster at the local level than at

the town level -- or at the local level than

it would at the SEC.

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

footnote we quote Ms. Fournier. And she says

at one point, "There will be stumblingblocks. I'm just going to name one. B

blocks. I'm just going to name one. But there will be, as many as possible will be

put into the path of the project. One of

them will be, if it comes to it, a petition to the State's Site Evaluation Committee."

Seems to me, Ms. Fournier is contemplating that actually coming to the Committee is intended to be a stumbling block to impede progress. I'll let the Committee draw its own conclusions about that. But I will again note that for the Timbertop docket, that was a place where Vice-Chair Ignatius said that unquestionably the local process is faster.

And then the final point that
Timbertop looked at was the question of
disclosure to the public. And there was a
question in Timbertop of whether a project
going to the SEC would help to enhance public
disclosure above the local proceeding.

The process here I think has unfolded in a very transparent way. I think

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

if you go back and look at the record, you

will see an extensive back and forth between

Page 74

Page 76

Page 75

application. There's a lot that goes into that. And that application process would take a lot longer to prepare for the SEC than it's going to take for the Town. So you add all of that up, and in fact, going to the SEC would cause a significant delay here.

And then the other factor which I think is important for the Committee to at least have in mind here is projects of this size are very different from the much larger projects that have come before the Committee in terms of financial scale. This is a smaller solar project, and its ability to bear any increased costs of a lengthy SEC process I think would be questionable. It wasn't one that was designed to accommodate those costs, and it's one that has to be bid into the competitive marketplace. So I think that would create real challenges as well.

And then I guess the one other point I would mention about delay is, again, going back to Ms. Fournier's own statements, if you look at our motion to dismiss the petition for jurisdiction, in our third

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

the host community and the Project. Spartan Solar has appeared in nine public meetings or hearings describing the project and the details associated with it. And of course, all those town meetings are subject to RSA 91-A. So people have access to all of those materials. When the plaintiff says that the process has been a long development process, I think what they're doing is conflating the preparation of the permit with an actual permit review, which of course hasn't even started yet.

So let me conclude by saying that, based on all the SEC precedent I talked about here, we think that unquestionably jurisdiction should be denied. There are no regional implications to this project; it's purely local. The Town wants to take the lead on reviewing it and unquestionably has the capability to do so. And there is the myriad of factors that we've gone through here that make it clear that this is just a

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

Page 77 Page 79 1 project that should not be the job of the Ratigan. But based on our conversations with 1 SEC. It should be job of the local planning 2 2 him, I understood that once an application is 3 board to do. 3 filed, a reasonable expectation for a party 4 And so I appreciate the opportunity 4 in our position is something like two to six 5 to speak to you, and I'm happy to answer any 5 months. 6 questions. 6 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank 7 QUESTIONS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: 7 you. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Questions from 8 Mr. Ratigan. 8 9 the Committee? Go ahead, Mr. Fitzgerald. 9 MR. RATIGAN: It's a process that MR. FITZGERALD: You referenced the 10 10 could be as quick as two months after the Town of Milford zoning ordinance a couple of application has been reviewed and it is 11 11 12 times. I received a copy of it. And I note 12 complete. And it could take four months. It that it's dated 1969, "2020 Edition," and at 13 13 all depends on, you know, how long the the bottom it's marked "Revised 3/2020." 14 14 internal review takes. But it's not 15 Perhaps it may be better for the Town to 15 --[connectivity issue] [Court Reporter interrupts.] address how it was updated and is applicable 16 16 17 for this type of project now. 17 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. "It MR. NEEDLEMAN: Well, I think there 18 all depends on..." 18 are two pieces, one is the question of MR. RATIGAN: On the, you know, 19 19 20 applicability. And in our papers, we walked 20 review of internal and external information. through in detail how the various pieces of But it's not a tremendously lengthy process. 21 21 the zoning ordinance would apply to this CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank 22 22 23 project. You know, so I would recommend you you. 23 to that piece. 24 24 Mr. Needleman, you referred to the {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} {SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21} Page 78 Page 80 In terms of the update, if it's four areas to address, or that have been 1 1 okay with Mr. Ratigan, I would defer to him addressed in the past. And I noted that you 2 2 to answer that question. 3 refer to what I think is a prior version of 3 MR. RATIGAN: The regulations that 162-H:1 and that it's now been revised to be 4 4 apply -- [connectivity issue]. somewhat broader, and whether you could 5 5 6 John Ratigan. The regulations that 6 respond to the analysis under the current apply to an application are those that are in version. 7 7 effect as of the date of the public notice of MR. NEEDLEMAN: Yeah, I don't have 8 8 9 the first public hearing. So since there's 9 it in front of me, but my recollection is not yet been an application, when the 162-H:1 -- [connectivity issue] 10 10 11 application comes in, it will be measured 11 [Court Reporter interrupts.] 12 against those regulations that are in effect 12 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Mr. on the date that the public notice goes out. Needleman, can you speak into and say that 13 13 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Any follow-up? again? 14 MR. NEEDLEMAN: Sorry. I was 15 [No verbal response] 15 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have a saying that I don't have it in front of me. 16 16 couple questions. But my recollection of the Legislature's 17 17 You mentioned the amount of time change is in 2014. 162-H focused on I think 18 18 19 that it would take at the Site Evaluation 19 some additional considerations, such as the 20 Committee as compared to the Town. Can you 20 effect on property values was one, and then give us an idea of the amount of time that's also adding a fourth prong to the overall 21 21 22 expected that it would take at the Town? 22 consideration, risk considerations. There MR. NEEDLEMAN: Yeah, again, I may have been others that I don't 23 23 24 think I'm going defer on specifics to Mr. necessarily -- [connectivity issues]. I 24

{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}

	Page 81		Page 83
	· ·		· ·
1	don't think that that materially changes the	1	think of.
2	kind of analysis that the Timbertop Committee	2	MR. LEINO: I don't think so.
3	went through. I think what it may do is	3	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anything
4	potentially require the Committee to think	4	from the Committee?
5	slightly more broadly with respect	5	[No verbal response]
6	[connectivity issue]	6	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.
7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Well, you would	7	With that, we will close the record then and
8	agree, though, with regard to the first prong	8	adjourn this hearing. We will take about a
9	that you mentioned, that to the extent the	9	ten-minute break and return at 3:30, and we
10	statutory language changed, we would apply	10	will have a brief non-meeting in that interim
11	the current statutory language?	11	period. So the Committee will leave the
12	MR. NEEDLEMAN: Yes, of course.	12	hearing room for a few minutes. Off the
13	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank	13	record.
14	you. I think that was all my questions, Mr.	14	(Whereupon the public hearing was
15	Needleman.	15	adjourned at 3:22 p.m.)
16	Anybody else?	16	* * * *
17	[No verbal response]	17	SEC COMMITTEE DELIBERATIONS
18	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing	18	[Proceedings started at 3:33 p.m.]
19	none, any follow-up from anyone else that	19	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We are going to
20	we've heard from?	20	open the deliberations on this proceeding
21	[No verbal response]	21	related to Docket 2021-01. And at the
22	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. I'd	22	outset, I would like to hear from the
23	like to make sure that there is not anyone	23	Committee members as to your preliminary
24	who wants to make public comment at this	24	thoughts regarding what we heard at the
	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}	,	(SEC 2021-01)[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	(020 2021 01)[hajaaloalito Hoaling/Dollboraliono](00 10 21)	'	(OLO LOLI OT)[Najaaloanto Hoaning/Domocianono](OO TO LT)
	D 00		D 0.4
	Page 82		Page 84
1	Page 82 point in time.	1	hearing.
1 2	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I	1 2	
	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.	2	hearing. Mr. Baines. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:
2	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check	2	hearing. Mr. Baines. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just
2	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.	2 3 F	hearing. Mr. Baines. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would
2 3 4	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check	2 3 F 4	hearing. Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the
2 3 4 5	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 F 4 5	hearing. Mr. Baines. PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we
2 3 4 5 6	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)	2 3 F 4 5 6	hearing. Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8	hearing. Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9	hearing. Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	hearing. Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hearing. Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	hearing. Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hearing. Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS: MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?  [No verbal response]	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a process to be followed that would address all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a process to be followed that would address all of these concerns. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none, then we will conclude the public	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a process to be followed that would address all of these concerns. Thank you.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none, then we will conclude the public comment section of this proceeding.	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a process to be followed that would address all of these concerns. Thank you.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none, then we will conclude the public comment section of this proceeding.  Is there anything else we need to	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a process to be followed that would address all of these concerns. Thank you.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else?  Mr. Fitzgerald.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none, then we will conclude the public comment section of this proceeding.  Is there anything else we need to cover before we conclude the actual hearing	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a process to be followed that would address all of these concerns. Thank you.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else?  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur with his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none, then we will conclude the public comment section of this proceeding.  Is there anything else we need to cover before we conclude the actual hearing on this from the parties' perspective?	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a process to be followed that would address all of these concerns. Thank you.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else?  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur with his comments. But I think that [connectivity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none, then we will conclude the public comment section of this proceeding.  Is there anything else we need to cover before we conclude the actual hearing	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a process to be followed that would address all of these concerns. Thank you.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else?  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur with his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	point in time.  Ms. Lemay, if you can hear me, I know we have a couple of people on.  WEB MODERATOR: Let me double-check with them.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.  (Pause in proceedings)  WEB MODERATOR: It appears that everybody that's an attendee is only observing.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. And I believe that everyone present is a party or with a party.  Is there any member of the public who wants to make comment?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none, then we will conclude the public comment section of this proceeding.  Is there anything else we need to cover before we conclude the actual hearing on this from the parties' perspective?	2 3 F 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	hearing.  Mr. Baines.  PRELIMINARY STATEMENTS BY SEC COMMITTEE MEMBERS:  MR. BAINES: Madam Chair, I just don't feel we heard any evidence that would support that the Town did not have the capability to handle this project. But we did hear testimony that there will be a thorough review just because the process involves all the different state agencies that are going to review all of the concerns presented by the Petitioner. And again, in the absence of any evidence, to say that the Town is not capable, it just was not put before us. What was put before us is the Town is capable of hearing it, and there's a process to be followed that would address all of these concerns. Thank you.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else?  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur with his comments. But I think that [connectivity

	Page 85		Page 87
	-		
1	[Court Reporter interrupts.]	1	<b>&amp;</b>
2	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fitzgerald,	2	1 3
3	you keep cutting out.	3	3
4	MR. FITZGERALD: I'm sorry.	4	, <b>3</b>
5	COURT REPORTER: Can I ask you to	5	1 8
6	sit closer to the microphone? Thank you.	6	
7	MR. FITZGERALD: It seems to me	7	<b>3</b>
8	that we are being asked to prejudge a	8	r
9	conflict of interest when, as it was pointed	9	
10	out, there isn't even an application at this	10	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Hearing
11	point in time. And that seems to be the	11	•
12	focus of this, is that there's a conflict of	12	•
13	interest for the Town inherently and a	13	•
14	financial gain that the Town might make,	14	what we're talking about. And then I would
15	which is there for almost any project like	15	
16	this, and that there's a conflict of interest	16	and see what the outcome is as it relates to
17	for one particular board member, who I	17	
18	believe we heard is not no longer an owner	18	
19	of the property and because he's friends with	19	assertion of discretionary jurisdiction by
20	the property owner. But I think that we're	20	•
21	being asked to take jurisdiction, as Mr.	21	
22	Baines points out, that there is a process	22	
23	that would address this, both not only at the	23	decisions about the siting, construction and
24	local planning process, but the state	24	operation of energy facilities in New
	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}		{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 86		
	•		Page 88
1	process, that would not be as Mr.	1	
1 2	-	1 2	Hampshire. And this is the area where the
	process, that would not be as Mr.		Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be
2	process, that would not be as Mr. Needleman pointed out, the state process	2	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion
3	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.	2	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion
2 3 4	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest	2 3 4	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion
2 3 4 5	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived	2 3 4 5	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as
2 3 4 5	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should	2 3 4 5 6	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is
2 3 4 5 6 7	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take	2 3 4 5 6 7	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones, I was not convinced that bringing this to the Site Evaluation Committee would change the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue] are you asking us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones, I was not convinced that bringing this to the Site Evaluation Committee would change the evaluation that would occur as it relates to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue] are you asking us [Court Reporter interrupts.]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones, I was not convinced that bringing this to the Site Evaluation Committee would change the evaluation that would occur as it relates to those because the state agencies that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue] are you asking us [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fitzgerald,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones, I was not convinced that bringing this to the Site Evaluation Committee would change the evaluation that would occur as it relates to those because the state agencies that are involved would conduct the same evaluation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue] are you asking us [Court Reporter interrupts.]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones, I was not convinced that bringing this to the Site Evaluation Committee would change the evaluation that would occur as it relates to those because the state agencies that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue] are you asking us  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fitzgerald, I'm not I'm losing most of your words.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones, I was not convinced that bringing this to the Site Evaluation Committee would change the evaluation that would occur as it relates to those because the state agencies that are involved would conduct the same evaluation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue] are you asking us  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fitzgerald, I'm not I'm losing most of your words. You've got to stick next to the mic.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones, I was not convinced that bringing this to the Site Evaluation Committee would change the evaluation that would occur as it relates to those because the state agencies that are involved would conduct the same evaluation and could take the same action related to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue] are you asking us  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fitzgerald, I'm not I'm losing most of your words. You've got to stick next to the mic.  MR. FITZGERALD: I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones, I was not convinced that bringing this to the Site Evaluation Committee would change the evaluation that would occur as it relates to those because the state agencies that are involved would conduct the same evaluation and could take the same action related to the project. And there did not seem to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue] are you asking us  [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fitzgerald, I'm not I'm losing most of your words. You've got to stick next to the mic.  MR. FITZGERALD: I'm sorry.  What is the question we're being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	process, that would not be as Mr.  Needleman pointed out, the state process would not be any different under the SEC.  And then, second, the interest seems to be focused on these perceived conflicts of interest, that we should prejudge them and step in and take jurisdiction. And I'm opposed. Sorry.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Anyone else?  [No verbal response]  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I think that I would add that, while I find the concerns raised by the petition to be important ones, I was not convinced that bringing this to the Site Evaluation Committee would change the evaluation that would occur as it relates to those because the state agencies that are involved would conduct the same evaluation and could take the same action related to the project. And there did not seem to be anything that we heard today that suggested	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Hampshire. And this is the area where the standard had changed a little bit to be broader, as I just described it here.  So I certainly welcome discussion on that specific item, if there is any. If not, I will take a poll of the Committee as to whether each member believes it is or is not necessary. And I'll just go around this way. Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: Excuse me, but I'm not sure I understand.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Yup.  MR. FITZGERALD: The statute reads the Legislature finds that it is in the public interest [connectivity issue] are you asking us [Court Reporter interrupts.]  COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fitzgerald, I'm not I'm losing most of your words. You've got to stick next to the mic.  MR. FITZGERALD: I'm sorry.  What is the question we're being asked?

	Page 89		Page 91
	r age oo		
1	is: Is it necessary so I'd like to hear	1	MR. FITZGERALD: I would concur
2	from you, is it necessary or not necessary	2	with that opinion.
3	under that first one. So I'll go first.	3	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.
4	The assertion of discretionary	4	Commissioner Sheehan.
5	jurisdiction by the Committee is not	5	COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur
6	necessary to maintain a balance among those	6	also.
7	potential significant impacts and benefits in	7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.
8	decisions about the siting, construction and	8	MR. BAINES: I concur.
9	operation of energy facilities in New	9	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.
10	Hampshire in this case. That would be my	10	MR. YORK: I concur.
11	response.	11	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.
12	MR. FITZGERALD: Thank you.	12	MS. NOE: I concur.
13	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay.	13	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
14	Commissioner Sheehan.	14	Goldner.
15	COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur as	15	COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur.
16	well.	16	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The third item:
17	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.	17	The assertion of discretionary jurisdiction
18	MR. BAINES: Not necessary.	18	by the Committee, from my perspective, is not
19	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.	19	necessary for the full and timely
	[connectivity issue]	20	consideration of environmental consequences.
20	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.		
21		21	Mr. Fitzgerald.
22	[Court Reporter interrupts.]	22	MR. FITZGERALD: I would again
23	COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear Mr.	23	CONCUIT.
24	York's response.	24	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}	{5	SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 90		Page 92
	1 440 00		
	•		
1	MR. YORK: It's not necessary.	1	Sheehan.
1 2	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	2	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.
	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur.	2	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.
2	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.]	2	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.
2	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the	2	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.
2 3 4	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response.	2 3 4	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur.
2 3 4 5	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go	2 3 4 5	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue]
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous. Let's go to the second finding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous. Let's go to the second finding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did you get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous. Let's go to the second finding. The assertion of discretionary jurisdiction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did you get Commissioner Goldner?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous. Let's go to the second finding. The assertion of discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee, and from my perspective, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did you get Commissioner Goldner? COURT REPORTER: I did not. Go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous. Let's go to the second finding. The assertion of discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee, and from my perspective, is not necessary to ensure that undue delay in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did you get Commissioner Goldner? COURT REPORTER: I did not. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous. Let's go to the second finding. The assertion of discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee, and from my perspective, is not necessary to ensure that undue delay in the construction of new energy facilities is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did you get Commissioner Goldner? COURT REPORTER: I did not. Go ahead. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous. Let's go to the second finding. The assertion of discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee, and from my perspective, is not necessary to ensure that undue delay in the construction of new energy facilities is avoided. In fact, I think today we heard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did you get Commissioner Goldner? COURT REPORTER: I did not. Go ahead. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous. Let's go to the second finding. The assertion of discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee, and from my perspective, is not necessary to ensure that undue delay in the construction of new energy facilities is avoided. In fact, I think today we heard some support for the conclusion that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did you get Commissioner Goldner? COURT REPORTER: I did not. Go ahead.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And the fourth finding: The assertion of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. YORK: It's not necessary. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I did not hear the other member's response. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe, go ahead. MS. NOE: It is not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Not necessary. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. That's unanimous. Let's go to the second finding. The assertion of discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee, and from my perspective, is not necessary to ensure that undue delay in the construction of new energy facilities is avoided. In fact, I think today we heard some support for the conclusion that this actually might cause further delay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York. MR. YORK: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. [connectivity issue] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. [Court Reporter interrupts.] COURT REPORTER: I'm not hearing Ms. Noe say anything at all. I don't know if her mic is even on. MS. NOE: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Did you get Commissioner Goldner? COURT REPORTER: I did not. Go ahead.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. And the fourth finding: The assertion of discretionary jurisdiction by the Committee,

	Page 93		Page 95
		_	
1	ensure all entities planning to construct	1	not, as a result of that, we will assert
2	facilities in the state be required to	2	jurisdiction in this case or not. Is there
3	provide full and complete disclosure to the	3	discussion related to that general motion?
4	public of such plans in this case.	4	[No verbal response]
5	[connectivity issue]	5	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Then do
6	MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.	6	I have a motion?
7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: That was Mr.	7	[connectivity issue]
8	Fitzgerald.	8	COURT REPORTER: Mr. Fitzgerald,
9	Commissioner Sheehan.	9	I'm not - I didn't hear you at all.
10	COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.	10	MR. FITZGERALD: I would move that
11	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.	11	we deny the petition and not take
12	MR. BAINES: I concur.	12	jurisdiction.
13	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.	13	MR. BAINES: I second it.
14	MR. YORK: I concur.	14	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We have
15	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.	15	a motion and a second. Is there any
16	MS. NOE: I concur.	16	discussion?
17	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner	17	[No verbal response]
18	Goldner.	18	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We'll take a
19	COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: I concur.	19	roll call vote.
20	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And finally,	20	Mr. Fitzgerald.
21	the assertion of discretionary jurisdiction	21	MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
22	by the Committee, from my perspective again,	22	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
23	is not necessary in this case for the State	23	Sheehan.
24	to ensure that the construction and operation	24	COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yes.
	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}	{5	SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 94		Page 96
1		1	
1 2	of energy facilities is treated as a	1 2	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.
2	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in	2	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes.
2	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and	2	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes
2 3 4	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an	2 3 4	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes.
2 3 4 5	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.	2 3 4 5	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York.
2 3 4 5 6	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes.  Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes.  Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: I concur.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order. MR. BAINES: So moved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order. MR. BAINES: So moved. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And  Commissioner Goldner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes.  Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order. MR. BAINES: So moved. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Seconded? Commissioner Sheehan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And  Commissioner Goldner.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order. MR. BAINES: So moved. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Seconded? Commissioner Sheehan. Did you get that, Ms. Robidas?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And  Commissioner Goldner.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: And I concur.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order. MR. BAINES: So moved. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Seconded? Commissioner Sheehan. Did you get that, Ms. Robidas? COURT REPORTER: I just I didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And  Commissioner Goldner.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: And I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes. Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order. MR. BAINES: So moved. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Seconded? Commissioner Sheehan. Did you get that, Ms. Robidas? COURT REPORTER: I just I didn't catch who made the motion, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And  Commissioner Goldner.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: And I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So that is the considerations that we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes.  Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order. MR. BAINES: So moved. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Seconded? Commissioner Sheehan. Did you get that, Ms. Robidas? COURT REPORTER: I just I didn't catch who made the motion, please. MR. BAINES: Mr. Baines.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And  Commissioner Goldner.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: And I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So that is the considerations that we need to undertake pursuant to the statute. But we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes.  Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner. COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order. MR. BAINES: So moved. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Seconded? Commissioner Sheehan. Did you get that, Ms. Robidas? COURT REPORTER: I just I didn't catch who made the motion, please. MR. BAINES: Mr. Baines. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of energy facilities is treated as a significant aspect of land-use planning in which all environmental, economic and technical issues are resolved in an integrated fashion.  Mr. Fitzgerald.  MR. FITZGERALD: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Sheehan.  COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.  MR. BAINES: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. York.  MR. YORK: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.  MS. NOE: I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And  Commissioner Goldner.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: And I concur.  CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. So that is the considerations that we need to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines. MR. BAINES: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes yes.  Mr. York. MR. YORK: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe. MS. NOE: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner Goldner.  COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The vote is unanimous. The motion carries. I also have a motion to have counsel prepare the order. MR. BAINES: So moved. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. Seconded? Commissioner Sheehan. Did you get that, Ms. Robidas? COURT REPORTER: I just I didn't catch who made the motion, please. MR. BAINES: Mr. Baines.

	Page 97		Page 99
	· ·		Ÿ
1		1	MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.
2		2	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner
3		3	Sheehan.
4		4	COMMISSIONER SHEEHAN: Yes.
5		5	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Baines.
6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6	MR. BAINES: Yes.
7	,	7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes
8		8	yes.
9	[No verbal response]	9	Mr. York.
10	$\mathcal{E}$	10	MR. YORK: Yes.
11		11	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.
12	8	12	MS. NOE: Yes.
13	MR. FITZGERALD: Yes.	13	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And
14	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner	14	Commissioner Goldner.
15	Sheehan.	15	COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes.
16		16	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. We
17		17	are adjourned. Thank you, everyone.
18	MR. BAINES: Yes.	18	(Whereupon deliberations were adjourned
19	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: The Chair votes	19	at 3:46 p.m.)
20	yes.	20	
21	Mr. York.	21	
22	MR. YORK: Yes.	22	
23	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Noe.	23	
24	MS. NOE: Yes.	24	
	(SEC 2021 01)[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations](08 10 21)		(SEC 2021 01)[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberational(08 10 21)
-	{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}		{SEC 2021-01}[Adjudicative Hearing/Deliberations]{08-19-21}
	Page 98		Page 100
1	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Commissioner	1	CERTIFICATE
2	Goldner.	2	
3	COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Yes.	3	I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
4	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. That's	4	Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
5		5	certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic
6		6	notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on the date hereinbefore set
7		7	forth, to the best of my skill and ability under the conditions present at the time.
8		8	
9		9	I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or
10	1*	10	employed by any of the parties to the action; and further, that I am not a
11	CHAIDWOLLAND ADEDL -	11	relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I
12		12	financially interested in this action.
13	AM DADIEG M	13	The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to any
14		14	reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or
15	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Couldn't tell		dimention of the newtifulne werester
		15	direction of the certifying reporter.
16	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion.		direction of the certifying reporter.
16 17	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion. Is there a second?	15	direction of the certifying reporter.
17	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Second.	15 16	direction of the certifying reporter.
17 18	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Second. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you,	15 16 17	direction of the certifying reporter.
17 18 19	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Second. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Commissioner Goldner.	15 16 17 18 19	
17 18 19 20	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Second. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Commissioner Goldner. Any discussion on that?	15 16 17 18 19 20	Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter
17 18 19 20 21	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Second. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Commissioner Goldner. Any discussion on that? [No verbal response]	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR
17 18 19 20 21 22	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Second. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Commissioner Goldner. Any discussion on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Second. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Commissioner Goldner. Any discussion on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Seeing none, I will take a quick roll call on	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
17 18 19 20 21 22	behind the mask. Thank you for the motion. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER GOLDNER: Second. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you, Commissioner Goldner. Any discussion on that? [No verbal response] CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Seeing none, I will take a quick roll call on	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter

	17:16	adequate (2)	agreement (13)	animals (2)
¢	abutting (2)	38:24;40:14	9:13,14,23;18:3;	15:21;16:3
<b>\$</b>	12:2;32:15	adequately (2)	29:15,17;35:20;47:4;	anticipated (1)
Φ0 (13)	accept (1)	13:12;39:8	50:3,11,13,21;71:12	73:3
\$9 (13)	42:6	adjoining (1)	agreements (2)	Antrim (5)
20:22;27:23;28:3,23;	acceptable (1)	69:12	29:20;36:23	65:1,17,19;67:21;
29:7;30:2,5,12,18;	45:6	adjourn (2)	agrees (1)	68:10
32:7;44:12;60:6;71:9	access (1)	83:8;98:10	48:12	AOT (2)
	76:8	adjourned (3)	ahead (11)	52:16;58:2
L	accommodate (1)	83:15;99:17,18	24:2;30:19;33:18;	appeal (5)
	74:16	admission (1)	38:17;42:22;45:12;	26:23;33:10;47:19;
[connectivity (86)	accompanied (1)	9:17	51:24;55:21;77:9;90:8;	53:13,19
5:23;7:15,20;8:4;	7:13	admit (2)	92:19	appealed (1)
10:21;11:5,7,9;12:2;	Accordingly (1)	9:14;21:11	air (1)	47:15
13:1,19;14:3;21:3;	12:8	admitted (1)	12:7	appear (2)
24:7;26:18;27:3,8,12,	accounts (1)	9:23	aisle (1)	41:17;51:6
17;28:11;29:3,11,12,	48:13	admitting (1)	20:20	appearances (1)
23;31:18;32:5;34:3,8,	accusation (1)	9:19	aldermen (1)	6:16
14,21,24;36:18;37:12;	47:12	adopt (1)	24:17	appeared (1)
38:4,24;39:1,8,19,20,	accusations (1)	59:15	allegation (1)	76:4
24;40:7;41:19,24;42:2,	47:24		48:3	
3,4,6,9,15,18;43:24;	achieved (3)	adopted (1) 64:2		appearing (1) 7:17
44:12;46:18;49:3,5,12,	29:10;30:4,6	advance (2)	<b>allegations (2)</b> 59:11,13	
19;50:14,23;52:12,14,	The state of the s			appears (1) 82:9
15,20;53:14;55:6,12;	acquisition (1) 24:5	31:10;73:3 advanced (1)	<b>alleging (1)</b> 24:21	
57:5,6,18;58:5,8,12;		47:8		appellate (1)
59:1,3;72:6;78:5;	acres (5)		allow (2)	60:22
79:15;80:10,24;81:6;	14:15;18:14;25:2,2;	advantage (1)	19:18;68:22	applicability (1)
84:23;88:15;89:20;	33:3	42:12	allowed (3)	77:20
92:8;93:5;95:7	across (2)	<b>adversely (1)</b> 71:10	18:18;19:1;35:2	applicable (1) 77:16
[Court (23)	46:12;66:12	advise (1)	<b>allowing (2)</b> 17:12;39:13	Applicant (10)
7:21;8:5;9:24;14:4;	act (3) 22:10;59:20;60:4	33:12	allows (3)	19:19;20:12;21:6;
24:9;28:15;36:19;38:5;	acted (1)	advocate (1)	22:20;23:6;54:19	23:21;43:22;52:7,10;
39:2;40:3;44:1;49:6,	66:17	22:11	almost (1)	57:20;66:8;73:12
20;50:15;52:23;55:13;		affect (4)	85:15	Applicant's (2)
79:16;80:11;85:1;	acting (4)			18:23;47:15
88:17;89:22;90:4;	21:13;25:4;34:11; 48:1	14:15;23:11;38:24; 71:10	along (1) 40:21	application (21)
92:11	Action (2)	afternoon (6)	alteration (3)	20:10;21:24;31:23;
[expressed] (1)	14:16;86:20	5:3,4,17;6:7,13;8:23	57:19,21;70:17	47:21,23;48:4,7;53:23;
68:21	active (1)	Again (23)	alternate (1)	57:17,24;59:4;73:8,14;
[No (17)	11:3	10:20;24:14;29:5,11;	29:2	74:1,2;78:7,10,11;79:2,
38:12,15;43:10;	actual (3)	30:11;31:7,16;36:4,10;	although (2)	11;85:10
44:17;57:11;58:16;	59:12;76:12;82:22	39:18;59:23;62:6;	41:5;45:5	applications (2)
78:15;81:17,21;82:17;	actually (11)	65:19;66:10;67:19;	always (1)	48:10;73:23
83:5;86:11;87:8;95:4,	31:14,14;33:9;47:8;	71:10;74:21;75:13;	62:21	applies (1)
17;97:9;98:21	48:23;50:10;54:16;	78:23;80:14;84:12;	Amato (3)	21:12
[Proceedings (1)	64:23;72:21;75:9;	91:22;93:22	26:8;34:12;37:22	apply (7)
83:18	90:23	against (3)	among (6)	20:9,13;67:10;77:22;
[the] (1)	ad (1)	63:23;67:9;78:12	12:8,10;51:2;72:13;	78:5,7;81:10
68:19	23:20	agencies (18)	87:21;89:6	applying (1)
•	add (4)	13:21;39:13,22;	amount (5)	13:12
$\mathbf{A}$	43:16;51:5;74:4;	40:22;41:4,12;44:6;	29:23;34:15;71:13;	appointed (2)
	86:13	54:2;57:13;59:22;60:4,	78:18,21	13:17;19:20
ability (5)	adding (1)	7;62:2;70:13,14;71:14;	Amy (1)	appreciate (1)
25:8;31:21;42:6;	80:21	84:10;86:18	63:4	77:4
58:1;74:13	additional (2)	agency (2)	analogous (1)	approach (4)
able (6)	57:7;80:19	43:17;71:4	68:13	40:20;41:1;42:19;
17:18;18:1;23:18;	address (8)	ago (2)	analysis (3)	40.20,41.1,42.19,
33:12;36:17,21	40:16;48:9;52:8;	<b>ago</b> (2) 45:19;63:3	52:18;80:6;81:2	approve (1)
above (2)	72:16;77:16;80:1;	43.19,03.3 agree (2)	analyze (1)	55:4
18:13;75:22	84:17;85:23	10:10;81:8	53:11	approximately (1)
absence (1)	addressed (2)	agreed-to (1)	Animal (1)	58:22
				10 7.7.
84:13 <b>absurd (1)</b>	48:18;80:2	49:10	15:13	arbiter (3)

17:14;36:17,22	13:19	89:7	14:13;15:10;16:24	67:11,20
archeological (1)	away (1)	Berlin (2)	briefs (1)	catch (1)
70:21	65:6	66:1,2	49:13	96:21
area (3)	03.0	best (6)	bringing (1)	cause (2)
	В			
11:1;69:22;88:1	Б	33:14;39:23;42:20;	86:15	74:6;90:23
areas (1)		52:19;62:24;69:14	broader (2)	certain (3)
80:1	back (9)	better (5)	80:5;88:3	13:24;21:23;23:18
argument (5)	24:14;32:19;44:7;	11:17;22:21;23:19;	broadly (1)	certainly (14)
24:15,18;49:13;	51:17;71:17;72:10;	37:1;77:15	81:5	11:19;19:14;21:18;
51:21;60:9		beyond (1)		
	74:22;76:1,2		brought (1)	30:3;32:6;34:22;36:9;
around (4)	backdrop (1)	27:14	20:13	40:2,17;41:16;42:13;
20:23;33:21;35:19;	63:23	bid (1)	Brox (15)	50:12;70:19;88:4
88:8	Baines (41)	74:17	6:20,22;8:7;10:22;	Chair (9)
arrive (1)	5:19,20,20;24:4,13,	biggest (1)	11:2,21;12:24;14:13,	8:24;10:19;48:21;
51:18	23;33:16,19;35:3,10,	36:5	17,21;15:5;23:12;	58:20;84:4;96:3;97:19;
				98:9;99:7
articulate (1)	16;37:21;56:17,18;	biology (1)	31:17;53:14;64:9	
39:10	57:8;84:2,4;85:22;	15:19	building (1)	CHAIRWOMAN (139)
aside (1)	89:17,18;91:7,8;92:3,	biomass (1)	56:15	5:2,10,14,19,22;6:2,
33:1	4;93:11,12;94:11,12;	66:1	burden (3)	5,10,15,24;7:7,16,22;
aspect (3)	95:13;96:1,2,16,22,22;	bit (4)	64:5,10,11	8:1,10;9:11,20;10:4,
12:19;13:3;94:2	97:17,18;98:9,12,13;	12:23;26:16;53:1;	Bureau (2)	14;23:24;26:1,12;
aspects (4)	99:5,6	88:2	60:11;70:17	30:19,23;33:18;35:17;
23:7,12;28:1;35:9	balance (9)	Blanding's (2)	Bureau's (1)	37:8,16;38:10,13,16;
Assented-to (1)	12:10;13:12;14:2;	15:5,11	15:12	41:7,20;42:22;43:8,11;
8:16	23:22;29:4;72:4,11;	block (1)	by-laws (1)	44:14,18;45:3,8,12;
assert (8)	87:21;89:6	75:10	18:12	48:23;49:16;50:1;51:4,
			16.12	
14:8;37:6;64:21;	balanced (1)	blocks (1)		17,22;54:6,10;55:7,19;
65:4,16,20;71:2;95:1	32:5	75:3	C	56:17;57:9,12;58:14,
asserted (1)	bandied (1)	board (47)		17;77:8;78:14,16;79:6,
65:12	20:23	13:15,18;18:24;	call (3)	22;81:7,13,18,22;82:6,
asserting (1)	Barry (1)	19:21;20:3,12;21:1,21;	95:19;97:11;98:23	12,18;83:3,6,19;84:19;
64:5	7:3	24:7;25:4,5,5,6,7,19;	came (2)	86:9,12;87:10;88:12,
04.3		1 24.7.2.3.4.3.3.0.7.19.	(ame (z)	
assertion (7)	base (1)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2;	33:10;63:21	24;89:13,17,19,21;
		28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20;		
<b>assertion (7)</b> 54:12;87:19;89:4;	base (1) 27:15	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20;	33:10;63:21 can (19)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11,
<b>assertion (7)</b> 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22;	base (1) 27:15 based (11)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8,	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16,
<b>assertion (7)</b> 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2,	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17;	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17,
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2;	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24;	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17,
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3;	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2,	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1,
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7,
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3;	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2,	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1,
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17;	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4,
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 <b>boards (13)</b> 13:8,9;17:14;20:18;	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7,
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 <b>boards (13)</b> 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16;	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24;	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12;	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8;	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8;	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1) 74:19
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1) 74:19 change (4)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18;
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20,	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3,	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16;	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17  boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21;	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21; 67:3	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7 believing (1)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1) 19:20	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1) 96:13	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1 chose (1)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21;	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21; 67:3	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7 believing (1) 63:5	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17  boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1) 19:20	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1) 96:13 case (15)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1 chose (1)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21; 67:3 authorized (1) 60:21	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7 believing (1) 63:5 beneficial (1)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1) 19:20 break (3) 51:1;73:2;83:9	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1) 96:13 case (15) 25:23;34:6,9;35:11;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1 chose (1) 65:4 chosen (1)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21; 67:3 authorized (1) 60:21 avoid (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7 believing (1) 63:5 beneficial (1) 17:18	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1) 19:20 break (3) 51:1;73:2;83:9 breaking (1)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1) 96:13 case (15) 25:23;34:6,9;35:11; 62:24;65:1,2;68:13;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1 chose (1) 65:4 chosen (1) 33:6
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21; 67:3 authorized (1) 60:21 avoid (1) 72:22	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7 believing (1) 63:5 beneficial (1) 17:18 benefit (3)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1) 19:20 break (3) 51:1;73:2;83:9 breaking (1) 39:4	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1) 96:13 case (15) 25:23;34:6,9;35:11; 62:24;65:1,2;68:13; 70:15;72:7;87:6;89:10;	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1 chose (1) 65:4 chosen (1) 33:6 Ciandella (1)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21; 67:3 authorized (1) 60:21 avoid (1) 72:22 avoided (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7 believing (1) 63:5 beneficial (1) 17:18 benefit (3) 21:7;27:16;56:22	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1) 19:20 break (3) 51:1;73:2;83:9 breaking (1) 39:4 brief (3)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1) 96:13 case (15) 25:23;34:6,9;35:11; 62:24;65:1,2;68:13; 70:15;72:7;87:6;89:10; 93:4,23;95:2	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1 chose (1) 65:4 chosen (1) 33:6 Ciandella (1) 7:12
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21; 67:3 authorized (1) 60:21 avoid (1) 72:22 avoided (1) 90:21	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7 believing (1) 63:5 beneficial (1) 17:18 benefit (3) 21:7;27:16;56:22 benefits (4)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1) 19:20 break (3) 51:1;73:2;83:9 breaking (1) 39:4 brief (3) 34:7;51:15;83:10	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1) 96:13 case (15) 25:23;34:6,9;35:11; 62:24;65:1,2;68:13; 70:15;72:7;87:6;89:10; 93:4,23;95:2 cases (5)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1 chose (1) 65:4 chosen (1) 33:6 Ciandella (1) 7:12 circumstances (4)
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21; 67:3 authorized (1) 60:21 avoid (1) 72:22 avoided (1)	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7 believing (1) 63:5 beneficial (1) 17:18 benefit (3) 21:7;27:16;56:22	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1) 19:20 break (3) 51:1;73:2;83:9 breaking (1) 39:4 brief (3)	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1) 96:13 case (15) 25:23;34:6,9;35:11; 62:24;65:1,2;68:13; 70:15;72:7;87:6;89:10; 93:4,23;95:2	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenge (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1 chose (1) 65:4 chosen (1) 33:6 Ciandella (1) 7:12
assertion (7) 54:12;87:19;89:4; 90:17;91:17;92:22; 93:21 asserts (1) 18:4 assess (1) 72:16 assessment (2) 57:5;71:15 associated (1) 76:6 attached (1) 9:21 attendance (1) 5:7 attendee (1) 82:10 Attorney (4) 7:9;22:10;47:16; 50:20 authority (4) 31:22;37:4;40:21; 67:3 authorized (1) 60:21 avoid (1) 72:22 avoided (1) 90:21	base (1) 27:15 based (11) 9:8;14:8;16:23;19:2, 7;20:5;30:8,15;59:2; 76:16;79:1 basically (6) 13:10;17:12;19:9,17; 24:21;27:9 basis (9) 24:18,20;32:6;35:24; 42:7;47:6;48:2;50:8; 59:24 bear (2) 64:5;74:14 bears (1) 64:9 beginning (1) 51:10 behind (1) 98:15 believes (2) 53:13;88:7 believing (1) 63:5 beneficial (1) 17:18 benefit (3) 21:7;27:16;56:22 benefits (4)	28:6;30:10,16;31:2; 32:16;34:8,11,16,20; 47:3,12,18,23;48:4,7,8, 9,14,15;53:5,12,16,17; 54:3;55:1;56:14;57:24; 69:10,11,13;77:3; 85:17 boards (13) 13:8,9;17:14;20:18; 21:13,19;24:16,16; 32:9;46:11,24;58:12; 70:2 body (4) 55:2;60:21,22;87:3 border (1) 30:21 both (12) 11:22;20:11,20,20, 24;21:15;36:13;65:3, 14,15;69:18;85:23 bottom (1) 77:14 breach (1) 19:20 break (3) 51:1;73:2;83:9 breaking (1) 39:4 brief (3) 34:7;51:15;83:10	33:10;63:21 can (19) 11:10,13;18:5;19:24; 23:7;32:8;33:16;36:24; 39:4;45:6,22;55:11,23; 62:6;78:20;80:13;82:2, 24;85:5 capabilities (1) 18:16 capability (8) 20:11,12;22:24;27:8; 40:18;67:7;76:22;84:7 capable (5) 31:4;33:20;42:14; 84:14,16 capacity (4) 14:11;21:14;31:22; 32:15 cards (1) 15:9 care (1) 28:2 carries (1) 96:13 case (15) 25:23;34:6,9;35:11; 62:24;65:1,2;68:13; 70:15;72:7;87:6;89:10; 93:4,23;95:2 cases (5)	24;89:13,17,19,21; 90:7,10,14;91:3,7,9,11, 13,16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16, 21;93:7,11,13,15,17, 20;94:8,11,13,15,17, 21;95:5,14,18,22;96:1, 3,7,9,12,17,24;97:4,7, 10,14,17,19,23;98:1,4, 11,14,18,22;99:2,5,7, 11,13,16 challenge (1) 33:6 challenges (1) 74:19 change (4) 60:3;71:5;80:18; 86:16 changed (2) 81:10;88:2 changes (2) 25:9;81:1 check (1) 49:1 chose (1) 65:4 chosen (1) 33:6 Ciandella (1) 7:12 circumstances (4)

-		,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
cited (3)	10,11;77:7,9;78:20;	26:19;27:10;32:1,4,23;	conversations (1)	42:16
33:22;67:19;69:10	81:2,4;83:4,11,17,23;	34:12;42:16;60:23;	79:1	cut (2)
Citizens (5)	84:3;86:16;87:15,20;	86:6	Conversely (1)	46:21;55:15
8:7;10:23;17:22; 22:13;53:15	88:6;89:5;90:18;91:18; 92:23;93:22;98:6	confused (1) 24:14	65:21 convinced (1)	cutting (1) 85:3
22:15;55:15 Citizens' (1)	92:25;95:22;98:0 common (2)	connect (1)	86:15	63:3
31:17	56:19;66:11	45:23	co-opted (1)	D
clarify (1)	communities (4)	connections (1)	60:7	<b>D</b>
10:15	12:2;17:9;67:5;	43:5	copied (1)	Daley (4)
Clean (1)	69:21	consequences (2)	57:23	7:14;44:24;48:21;
65:24	community (10)	12:16;91:20	copy (1)	52:2
clear (9)	11:6;17:5,6;66:19,	Conservation (1)	77:12	Dan (1)
8:18;9:13;19:20;	19,21;67:4,15,16;76:3	52:8	correctly (1)	5:12
50:4;51:8,12;63:17;	companies (1)	consider (7)	45:19	danger (1)
64:20;76:24	46:18	28:1;62:15;64:17,19;	costs (2)	63:19
clearly (4)	Compare (1)	72:1,2;94:24	74:14,17	date (2)
16:14;23:3,10;29:1	68:9	consideration (5)	counsel (6)	78:8,13
client (1)	compared (1)	9:15;12:15;80:22;	6:11;7:17;8:16;22:9;	dated (2)
33:13	78:20	91:20;98:6	30:22;96:15	57:4;77:13
<b>close (2)</b> 64:10;83:7	compelling (1) 87:5	considerations (3) 80:19,22;94:22	<b>couple (5)</b> 8:11;33:4;77:11;	days (1) 73:11
closely (1)	competitive (1)	considered (2)	78:17;82:3	de (1)
52:15	74:18	19:22;64:15	course (5)	24:21
closer (1)	complete (4)	consistent (4)	53:11;66:5;76:6,13;	deal (5)
85:6	73:15,15;79:12;93:3	49:2;58:3,10;66:18	81:12	35:6,12;54:24;56:20;
cold (1)	completely (1)	constitution (2)	COURT (39)	67:18
23:16	55:15	21:9,16	8:3;10:3,6,11;11:12,	dealing (3)
collaboration (1)	complicated (1)	construct (1)	16;21:11;24:10;26:23;	33:21;35:4;53:9
41:13	53:6	93:1	27:4;28:16;36:20;38:6;	deals (1)
collaborative (1)	concept (1)	constructed (1)	39:3;40:4,9;44:2;	61:4
10:24	62:23	68:8	46:20;49:7,21;50:16;	dealt (1)
<b>coming (2)</b> 70:4;75:9	<b>concern (4)</b> 15:3;27:18;54:14,15	construction (7) 12:12,17;72:23;	52:24;55:14,22;79:17; 80:12;85:2,5;88:18;	68:23 <b>decide (1)</b>
comment (5)	concerns (5)	87:23;89:8;90:20;	89:23;90:2,5;92:12,18;	50:10
8:13;56:19;81:24;	26:20;72:16;84:11,	93:24	95:8;96:20,23;97:2,6	decides (1)
82:16,20	18;86:13	consult (1)	courts (1)	54:4
comments (6)	conclude (4)	62:1	21:17	decision (6)
44:23;48:20;58:1,4;	76:15;82:19,22;98:8	consultants (2)	cover (3)	17:2;19:16;31:5;
73:3;84:23	conclusion (3)	48:15;53:10	43:23;82:22;98:7	33:9;47:20;87:12
Commercial (1)	23:9;54:4;90:22	contact (1)	Cramer (1)	decision-making (1)
18:17	conclusions (1)	17:8	6:22	66:13
Commission (1)	75:12	contacts (1)	create (3)	decisions (7)
52:8 Commissioner (51)	concur (27) 84:22;89:15;90:3;	25:20	41:1;57:7;74:19	12:12;26:23;33:5; 34:8;41:11;87:23;89:8
5:11,12,13,14,16,17;	91:1,5,8,10,12,15,23;	contains (1) 23:13	creates (2) 25:21;54:13	Declaration (1)
38:18,19;39:3,6,21;	92:2,4,6,15,20;93:6,10,	contemplate (1)	credibility (1)	12:4
40:10,12;89:14,15;	12,14,16,19;94:7,10,	70:2	21:24	declined (2)
90:3,11,12;91:4,5,13,	12,14,16,20	contemplated (1)	critically (2)	65:23;66:9
15,24;92:2,9,17,20;	conditional (6)	62:21	15:17;16:17	deem (1)
93:9,10,17,19;94:8,10,	18:20;20:4;30:11;	contemplating (1)	cross-examination (2)	73:15
18,19;95:22,24;96:9,	44:5;47:22;52:4	75:9	50:5;51:9	deeper (1)
11,18;97:4,14,16;98:1,	conditions (2)	contention (1)	cross-examining (1)	66:24
3,17,19;99:2,4,14,15	32:13;55:5	13:20	49:11	default (1)
Committee (60) 5:8,10;9:1;16:7;	<b>conduct (2)</b> 39:14;86:19	context (1) 64:11	crossings (1) 28:20	63:9 <b>defer (2)</b>
17:1;24:1,3;31:21;	conflating (1)	contiguous (1)	Cultural (1)	78:2,24
32:6,12;37:5;41:15;	76:11	14:14	6:1	defined (1)
42:10;43:9,16;44:9;	conflict (12)	continue (1)	current (8)	14:23
54:8,9;61:6,10,24;	31:11,13;34:9,10;	70:19	21:4;25:11;27:14;	defines (1)
62:20;63:2,3;64:23;	37:2;48:2,5;54:13;	continuous (1)	28:24;29:7,24;80:6;	16:8
	37.2,40.2,3,34.13,	continuous (1)	20.2 .,25.7,2 .,00.0,	10.0
66:15,17,20;67:24;	56:11;85:9,12,16	16:10	81:11	delay (5)
66:15,17,20;67:24; 68:19;71:2,23;72:19; 73:14,21;74:8,11;75:7,		, ,		

PROPOSED SOLAR E
deliberate (1) 9:7
<b>Deliberations (9)</b>
8:17;9:9;30:21; 63:22;69:3;83:17,20;
98:8;99:18
delta (1)
41:17 <b>demands (1)</b>
21:9
demonstrably (1) 16:1
demonstrated (1) 67:6
denied (2)
68:14;76:18 <b>deny (1)</b>
95:11
<b>denying (1)</b> 69:2
Department (7)
5:18;6:1,9,14;15:4; 60:10;70:12
depend (1)
22:14 depends (3)
57:16;79:13,18
<b>DES</b> (6) 39:10;40:14;57:14;
58:6;70:16;72:9
<b>described (3)</b> 8:19;23:22;88:3
describing (1)
76:5 description (1)
50:3 <b>designed (4)</b>
61:21,21;67:18;
74:16 despite (2)
31:5;69:19
<b>detail (1)</b> 77:21
detailed (1) 52:5
details (1)
76:6 <b>determination (1)</b>
67:11 <b>determines (1)</b>
73:14 <b>determining (1)</b>
22:1
<b>develop (1)</b> 46:7
<b>developed (2)</b> 57:1,4
developer (6)
16:14;17:16;20:17, 21;22:22;72:15
developers (1)
56:21 <b>developer's (1)</b>
- ' '

FOR JURISDICTION C CRGY FACILITY IN M
13:20
developing (3)
16:5;54:18;56:7
development (9)
7:14;27:13;37:24;
58:22;62:5,11;65:24;
72:12;76:10
Dianne (1)
5:9
difference (3)
29:7,8;36:5
different (15)
18:3;20:6;29:17;
32:13;35:21;36:2,16;
41:12;43:6;55:2;68:16;
74:10;84:10;86:3,23
differently (1)
63:9
difficult (1)
21:20
dip (1)
36:15
direction (1)
41:18
directly (3)
14:16;17:23;26:5
disapprove (1)
55:4
disclosure (3)
75:19,22;93:3
discretionary (11)
17:3;20:18;64:17,21;
68:19;87:19;89:4;
90:17;91:17;92:23;
93:21
discussing (3)
12:14;29:13,14
discussion (8)
26:19;35:18;87:7;
88:4;95:3,16;97:7;
98:20
dismiss (1)
74:23
dispassionately (1)
16:20
dispositive (1)
66:23
distinction (1)
37:9
distribution (1)
11:5
District (4)
18:10,11,18,19
disturbing (1)
57:18
division (2)
16:9;70:20

```
7:12
done (10)
  41:13;46:12,15,17;
  52:18;53:5,18;59:18;
  63:19;73:23
double-check (1)
  82:4
down (4)
  64:21;71:2;73:2,7
downplays (1)
  16:14
draft (1)
  98:5
draw (1)
  75:12
dubious (1)
  13:16
due (1)
  28:2
during (3)
  8:14;58:9;73:2
duties (1)
  19:20
           \mathbf{E}
earlier (3)
  59:8;63:1;70:11
Eastern (1)
  15:15
eat (1)
  11:13
economic (7)
  12:20;14:5;21:7;
  36:16;61:15;62:17;
  94:3
economically (1)
  22:4
economy (1)
  62:12
Edition (1)
  77:13
effect (3)
  78:8,12;80:20
efforts (1)
  69:20
Eggleston (1)
  6:22
either (3)
  43:18;47:1;70:15
electric (2)
  22:18;42:2
Eli (2)
  6:21;10:20
  7:17;10:5,7;33:15;
  38:10;58:15;81:16,19
  82:21;84:20;86:10;
  98:7
```

	enables (1)	evaluate (6)
	32:12	22:9,16,19,22;43:2;
	end (5)	63:24
	14:22;46:21;62:16;	Evaluation (10)
	63:12,20	5:10;41:14;42:10;
	endangered (10)	43:16;61:10;75:7;
	14:24;15:2,14,16;	78:19;86:16,17,19
	16:15;23:13;30:14;	evaluations (1)
	33:3;35:5,13	23:3
	endorsed (1)	even (7)
	11:22	11:16;37:22;59:18;
	energy (14)	64:10;76:13;85:10;
	12:5,13,18;22:15;	92:14
	36:7,8;46:13,16;61:1,	
	13;87:24;89:9;90:20;	everybody (1) 82:10
	13,87.24,89.9,90.20, 94:1	
		everyone (4)
	engaged (2)	5:3;10:5;82:13;
	70:23;72:24	99:17
	engaging (2)	everywhere (1)
	70:16,20	62:7
	engineer (1)	evidence (13)
	58:2	9:12,15,18;15:7;
	enhance (1)	33:19,23;35:4,11;
	75:21	59:12,17;64:7;84:5,13
	enjoy (1)	evolved (1)
	17:22	59:1
	ensure (6)	exactly (3)
	12:17;14:2;48:16;	16:12;61:6;64:15
	90:19;93:1,24	example (1)
	entire (1)	46:14
	34:16	excuse (4)
	entirely (6)	13:16;40:8;52:24;
	8:18;9:13;45:24;	88:10
	48:12;50:3;51:12	exercised (1)
	entities (3)	87:4
	38:20;40:19;93:1	exercises (1)
	environment (6)	17:3
	12:6;14:2;61:16;	exerted (1)
	62:18;72:5,13	61:7
	Environmental (23)	exhibits (3)
	6:9,23;8:7;10:23;	9:21;15:8;16:4
	12:16,20;13:4;16:20;	exist (2)
	23:12;26:20;28:1;	15:5;27:11
	31:17;38:23;39:7;	exists (2)
	48:16;52:9;60:10;	15:22;32:20
	70:12,18;71:15;72:16;	expand (2)
	91:20;94:3	26:16;36:6
		f .
	environmentally (1) 12:24	expect (1) 41:10
	envisioned (2)	expectation (1)
	8:19;9:1	79:3
	equally (1)	expected (1)
	68:2	78:22
	especially (1)	experience (2)
	67:5	53:9,22
	essence (1)	expert (1)
;	70:5	42:14
	essentially (3)	expertise (2)
	17:10;23:20;59:9	22:8;27:9
	established (1)	explaining (1)
	72:9	45:21
	Euclidian (1)	extensive (2)
	19:10	72:14;76:2

extent (3)		l .	1	1
	feeling (1)	12;64:1;71:16;85:12	fully (1)	46:2
9:14;71:19;81:9	53:17	focused (4)	43:22	grant (5)
external (1)	feet (2)	11:1;41:2;80:18;	funded (1)	19:7;20:3;25:8;34:3;
79:20	68:8,12	86:5	22:13	37:13
extinct (1)	few (5)	folks (1)	further (2)	granted (4)
34:22	44:23,24;48:22;59:7;	7:18	87:7;90:23	25:9;33:9;47:14;
extinction (2)	83:12	follow (5)	Furthermore (4)	64:24
15:20;16:2	figure (2)	33:16;35:18;37:20;	12:14;15:22;20:2;	granting (3)
extreme (1)	27:22;71:9	43:12;56:2	25:16	18:23;20:19;30:7
15:18	figures (1)	followed (4)	future (1)	gravity (2)
extremely (1)	36:8	9:3;23:18;53:17;	37:24	28:23;30:11
63:11	filed (5)	84:17	37.21	Great (3)
03.11	8:16;49:14;66:8;	follow-up (6)	G	10:14;11:14;17:22
${f F}$	73:4;79:3	26:2;41:8,8;55:11;	G	green (1)
1	files (1)	78:14;81:19	gain (1)	36:6
facia (1)	73:13	footnote (1)	85:14	grid (3)
facie (1)	filing (3)	75:1		
37:2			Game (7)	22:18;42:2;45:24
facilities (11)	21:9;25:17;73:11	foremost (1)	15:4;39:9;40:14;	grocery (1)
12:5,13;20:7;36:10;	filings (4)	67:14	57:14;60:12;70:24;	17:21
61:13;72:6;87:24;89:9;	16:13;17:15;20:5;	formal (1)	72:10	ground (2)
90:20;93:2;94:1	21:2	11:6	gaps (2)	68:9,12
facility (7)	final (1)	former (4)	39:11;41:1	grounds (1)
5:6;12:18;14:12;	75:17	25:19;26:8;34:13;	Gardner (2)	33:13
45:23;57:2;68:18;	finally (1)	47:24	8:6;10:22	group (4)
71:19	93:20	forth (1)	gas (1)	10:24;17:7;22:13;
fact (5)	financial (4)	76:2	46:9	53:15
14:10;60:23;71:11;	22:13,23;74:12;	forum (3)	gears (1)	group's (1)
74:5;90:21	85:14	31:18;32:21;43:19	38:20	11:3
facto (1)	<b>find</b> (3)	forward (5)	general (4)	growth (2)
24:21	59:17;86:13;87:4	23:11;40:1;42:21;	11:3;30:1;37:13;	61:15;62:17
factor (3)	finding (2)	47:6;71:6	95:3	guess (1)
15:18;72:3;74:7	90:16;92:22	forward-looking (1)	generally (3)	74:20
factors (3)	findings (1)	34:18	17:6;40:20;94:24	guide (1)
16:1;71:23;76:23	62:15	found (1)	General's (1)	66:13
facts (1)	finds (2)	64:3	22:10	guided (2)
67:10	12:9;88:14	four (6)	generated (2)	43:4;44:12
fair (2)	fine (1)	64:14;66:12,17;	54:1;69:9	TT
27:2;32:5	36:23	71:23;79:12;80:1	gets (1)	Н
fairly (1)	finish (5)	Fournier (5)	57:23	1 114 4 (5)
27:20	28:7,14,17;30:12,18	7:24,24;10:22;75:1,8	gifted (1)	habitat (5)
faithfully (1)	firms (1)	Fournier's (2)	46:5	13:5;14:18;16:5,6,9
20:8	45:15 F: 4 (12)	69:20;74:22	given (1)	habitats (2)
fall (2)	First (12)	fourth (2)	87:1	16:10;28:19
41:4;59:22	9:2;18:7;34:1;49:14;	80:21;92:22	gives (1)	Half (5)
far (9)	67:14;69:1;72:3;78:9;	fragmentation (2)	32:16	18:20,21;19:17;25:4;
13:2,14;16:22;17:1;	81:8;87:18;89:3,3	16:7,8	globally (1)	73:1
18:8;30:15;33:10;	Fish (8)	framework (1)	13:18	Hampshire (17)
58:24;65:6	15:1,4;39:9;40:14;	25:23	goal (2)	5:6;15:3,12,14;16:6;
farcical (1)	57:14;60:12;70:23;	frankly (1)	28:6,23	25:24;34:22;39:9,10;
19:2	72:9	60:1	goes (4)	40:13;45:16;46:12;
farmland (1)	Fitzgerald (50)	free (1)	23:11;69:15;74:1;	54:21;60:12;70:23;
25:11	6:6,7,8;26:3,14,15;	30:21	78:13	88:1;89:10
fashion (2)	29:5;30:20;33:4;35:19;	frequently (1)	Goldner (23)	handle (3)
12:22;94:5	37:19;38:17;41:22,23;	56:21	5:11,12,13;90:3,11,	66:3;67:7;84:7
faster (2)	42:23;45:19;55:9,10,	friendly (1)	12;91:14,15;92:10,17,	handled (1)
73:17;75:16	14,23;56:2;77:9,10;	34:13	20;93:18,19;94:18,19;	86:24
fault (1)	84:21,22;85:2,4,7;88:9,	friends (1)	96:10,11;98:2,3,17,19;	happened (2)
33:14	10,13,18,21;89:12;	85:19	99:14,15	18:8;34:19
feedback (1)	90:24;91:1,21,22;93:6,	front (2)	Good (11)	happening (1)
50.0	8;94:6,7;95:8,10,20,21;	80:9,16	5:3,16;6:7,13;8:23;	31:10
59:2				
feel (5)	97:12,13;98:24;99:1	full (4)	21:7;30:24;31:5;32:22;	happens (3)
	97:12,13;98:24;99:1 <b>focus (8)</b> 60:21;61:18,22;62:8,	full (4) 12:15;60:9;91:19; 93:3	21:7;30:24;31:5;32:22; 47:11;63:11 governing (1)	happens (3) 60:14,15;62:9 happy (2)

12:22;94:5

PROPOSED SOLAR EN
44:22;77:5
hard (4)
16:22;21:22;23:16;
24:11 <b>hardship (4)</b>
19:3,8,14;30:8
hear (18)
9:1;10:6;11:10; 36:21;38:6;40:5,11;
49:7;50:16;56:1;60:20;
82:2;83:22;84:8;89:1,
23;90:5;95:9 heard (10)
40:6;55:23;59:8;
71:8;81:20;83:24;84:5;
85:18;86:22;90:21
hearing (13) 21:1;49:14;51:16;
73:12;78:9;82:22;83:8,
12,14;84:1,16;87:10;
92:12 hearings (4)
16:23;26:9;32:2;
76:5
<b>help (1)</b> 75:21
helpful (1)
8:22
helps (1) 10:15
Heritage (3)
15:12;60:11;70:17
herself (1) 69:8
Hi (1)
5:12 <b>high (1)</b>
65:5
high-earning (1)
25:13 <b>higher (1)</b>
30:3
higher-performing (1)
36:24 highest (4)
14:17,19,19;15:20
<b>highlight (1)</b> 36:1
hilltops (1)
68:12
himself (1) 26:7
historic (3)
65:9;70:21,22
hoc (1) 23:20
Hognose (2)
15:6,15 holding (1)
11:12
holistic (4) 23:6;40:20;41:1;
42:12

ERGY FACILITY IN
Hollis (1)
69:18
home (1)
14:21
honor (1)
21:19
honoring (1)
31:1
horizon (1)
28:4
host (8)
17:4,6;66:18;67:4,
14,16;72:17;76:3
How's (1)
11:15
humanity (1)
21:11
hundred (4)
11:23;12:1;32:14;
51:8
hundreds (2)
68:11,12
hurdling (2)
28:13,17

## Ι

ICI (1)
18:18
ICI2 (2)
18:19,21
idea (2)
78:21;87:13
<b>identified (1)</b> 71:23
identify (3)
39:23;40:5,14
Ignatius (3)
63:4;64:19;75:15
III (1)
61:24
imagined (1)
60:22
impact (3)
16:21;22:17;69:23
impacts (13)
12:6,8,11;14:5;
38:23;39:7;40:16;43:2;
61:14;68:18;71:20;
87:22;89:7
impartial (1)
21:10
impartiality (1)
21:15
impede (1)
75:11
imperiled (1)
15:24
implicated (1)
65:7

implication (2)

38:3;60:5

implications (1)

```
76:19
implied (1)
  37:21
importance (3)
  12:24;62:22;67:3
important (9)
  13:4;15:4;16:17;
  27:20,21;68:3;72:21;
  74:8;86:14
importantly (3)
  59:4;60:4,19
improperly (1)
  53:19
impropriety (1)
  59:16
improvements (1)
  45:22
impugn (1)
  21:18
impugned (1)
  34:16
inarguably (1)
  27:20
includes (1)
  72:14
including (2)
  46:19;53:7
income (1)
  57:7
increase (1)
  29:17
increased (2)
  29:9;74:14
independent (1)
  53:24
indicate (1)
  29:21
indicated (3)
  32:1;39:7;42:5
indicates (1)
  29:2
indication (4)
  28:12;30:15;34:2,5
indicator (2)
  66:15;67:1
indicators (1)
  69:5
individually (1)
  48:9
Industrial (2)
  18:10,19
information (7)
  38:22;53:15,24;56:3;
  58:3;69:8;79:20
inherently (3)
  28:10;68:3;85:13
```

intended (2)
63:6;75:10
Intent (3)
13:7;31:1;68:22
interact (1)
57:13
interacted (1)
46:1
interaction (2)
45:21;58:10
interactions (1)
70:13
interconnection (1)
42:24
interest (12)
12:10;18:6;22:11;
62:14;69:14,19;85:9,
13,16;86:4,6;88:15
interested (1)
62:1
interests (3)
68:20;71:21,24
interfere (3)
62:4;69:15;71:14
interim (1)
83:10
internal (2)
79:14,20
interrupts] (23)
7:21;8:5;9:24;14:4;
24:9;28:15;36:19;38:5;
39:2;40:3;44:1;49:6,
20;50:15;52:23;55:13;
79:16;80:11;85:1;
88:17;89:22;90:4;
92:11
into (13)
13:15;16:10;18:7;
20:13;27:10;32:21;
50:17;53:2,15;74:1,18;
75:5;80:13
intrigues (1)
24:6
introduce (2)
6:12;7:19
involved (8)
13:21;22:4;26:4;
38:21;39:12;69:12,21;
86:19
involvements (1)
26:11
involves (2)
52:13;84:10
Ipswich (1)
66:7
isolated (1)
16:11
issue (3)
49:24;71:18,22
issue] (85)

10:21;11:5,7,9;12:3;

13:1,19;14:3;21:3; 24:7;26:18;27:3,8,12, 17;28:11;29:3,11,13,
24;31:18;32:5;34:3,8, 14,21;35:1;36:18;
37:12;38:4,24;39:1,8,
19,20,24;40:7;41:19,
24;42:2,3,4,6,9,15,19;
43:24;44:12;46:18;
49:3,5,12,19;50:14,23;
52:12,14,16,20;53:14;
55:6,12;57:5,6,18;58:5,
8,13;59:1,3;72:6;78:5;
79:15;80:10;81:6;
84:24;88:15;89:20;
92:8;93:5;95:7
issued (1)
13:15
issues (16)
12:21;21:24;33:21;
48:5,17,17;52:9,13;
53:7,8,11;58:9;61:5;
70:18;72:17;94:4
issues] (1)
80:24
item (2)
88:5;91:16
iterative (1)

58:23

IVb (1)

62:3

```
J
JD (1)
  6:14
job (6)
  60:24,24;66:16;
  71:14;77:1,2
jobs (1)
  60:13
John (3)
  7:11;44:21;78:6
joint (1)
  21:1
judge (1)
  31:22
judges (1)
  21:10
July (2)
  15:13;19:1
June (1)
  63:22
jurisdiction (52)
```

67:15,21;68:15,19;

69:2;71:3;72:4,22;

input (1)

22:3

inside (1)

32:14

instance (2)

52:7;57:17

integrated (2)

SEC 2021-01 PETITION PROPOSED SOLAR EN	
74:24;76:18;85:21;	layer (1)
86:8;87:3,19;89:5;	43:15
90:17;91:17;92:23;	lead (3)
93:21;95:2,12	13:22;17:7;
Justice (1)	leap (1)
6:14	60:1
	lease (3)
K	36:14;47:4;
	leased (1)
Kaplan (1)	54:20
7:6	least (3)
keep (2)	25:21;51:11
62:6;85:3	leave (1)
key (2)	83:11
66:14;67:12	leaves (1)
kind (6)	29:22
24:5;61:7;64:17;	LEBEL (2)
67:7,22;81:2	8:8,8
kinds (1)	legal (1)
70:22	25:23
knowing (1)	legislation (1)
30:1	32:12
known (1)	Legislature (
33:11	12:9;29:4;5
_	61:12;62:20
${f L}$	68:22;88:14
	Legislature's
land (19)	13:11;80:17
11:2;12:19;13:3;	<b>LEINO (47)</b>
14:21;15:5;18:21;	6:21,21;7:1
23:12;25:13,18;29:24;	18,19,20;11

```
32:7;36:11;37:15;
  54:18,20;56:20;57:1,4;
  62:12
landlord (7)
  17:11;18:1;20:22;
  25:14;37:3,10;54:12
landowner (2)
  37:4,13
landscape (1)
  67:9
land-use (3)
  13:13;48:10;94:2
language (2)
  81:10,11
large (7)
  16:10;22:16,24;
  44:10;46:2,3;61:22
larger (1)
  74:10
largest (2)
  45:14,17
last (3)
  44:16;71:16;73:1
later (1)
  33:11
LAVALLEE (2)
  6:13,14
law (6)
  21:10;25:23;34:6;
  35:12;45:15;61:4
```

```
6:21
               4:24
               74:9
               5:12;
               63:6;
               (2)
               8:6;10:9,
               15,18;
  14:5:24:1.2.8.19.24:
  26:6;27:1,6,13;28:18;
  29:19;31:16;33:8,23;
  35:8,14;36:4,22;37:11;
  38:2,9;39:15;40:2,8,
  17;41:16;42:8;43:7,20;
  44:4;49:4,8,9;63:1;
  71:8;83:2
Lemay (1)
  82:2
Lempster (5)
  65:1,11,14;67:21;
  68:9
lengthy (2)
  74:14;79:21
Letter (1)
  13:7
level (17)
  13:23;14:17;15:17,
  21;20:7;39:19;42:17;
  44:7;61:2;62:22;63:7;
  68:24;71:7;73:17,18,
  18;86:24
lieu (2)
  18:2;56:23
light (1)
  31:23
lightly (1)
  63:15
```

Limited (3)

Lincoln (2)

18:18;27:3,5

LI OKD, MII
40.01.50.0
48:21;52:2
line (6)
28:7,14,17;30:13,18;
33:17
Lisa (1)
6:4
list (2)
11:5;15:13
listed (6)
14:24;15:2,11,15,23;
16:4
literally (1)
11:13
little (4)
24:14;26:16;53:1;
88:2
local (22)
13:23;17:1;20:7;
23:4;45:21;46:1,11,24;
47:10;61:23;63:10;
68:3,24;71:20;73:17,
18;75:15,22;76:20;
77:2;85:24;86:24
locally (2)
62:23;66:3
location (1)
45:23
logic (2)
13:16;60:1
long (4)
46:5;73:24;76:10;
79:13
longer (3)
37:23;74:3;85:18
look (17)
6:17;23:7,16;27:10;
32:21;34:3;61:11,11;
62:6;64:13,20;66:11,
24;67:10;68:4;74:23;
76:1
looked (4)
27:24;71:18,22;
75:18
looking (2)
36:3;61:4
losing (1)
88:19
loss (3)
16:4,7,8
lost (1)
44:3
lot (7)
31:19;46:8;53:9;
59:10;73:22;74:1,3
louder (1)
53:2
loudly (1)
39:5
low (3)
21:4;25:10;29:23
21.7,23.10,27.23

```
Madam (5)
  8:24;10:19;58:19;
  84:4;98:9
magnitude (1)
  29:12
maintain (4)
  12:10;72:4;87:21;
  89:6
makes (4)
  15:19:19:4:60:2,9
making (5)
  17:23;31:5;38:2;
  42:14,19
management (1)
  52:12
managerial (1)
  22:23
manner (1)
  71:5
many (5)
  6:17;47:1;53:5,7;
  75:4
map (2)
  19:5,10
marked (1)
  77:14
marketplace (1)
  74:18
markets (1)
  22:15
MARTIN (138)
  5:2.9.14.19.22:6:2.5.
  10,15,24;7:7,16,22;8:
  10;9:11,20;10:4,14;
  23:24;26:1,12;30:19;
  33:18;35:17;37:8,16;
  38:10,13,16;41:7,20;
  42:22;43:8,11;44:14,
  18;45:3,8,12;48:23;
  49:16;50:1;51:4,17,22
  54:6,10;55:7,19;56:17
  57:9,12;58:14,17;77:8
  78:14,16;79:6,22;81:7
  13,18,22;82:6,12,18;
  83:3,6,19;84:19;86:9,
  12;87:10;88:12,24;
  89:13,17,19,21;90:7,
  10,14;91:3,7,9,11,13,
  16,24;92:3,5,7,9,16,21
  93:7,11,13,15,17,20;
  94:8,11,13,15,17,21;
  95:5,14,18,22;96:1,3,7
  9,12,17,24;97:4,7,10,
  14,17,19,23;98:1,4,11
  14,18,22;99:2,5,7,11,
  13,16
mask (1)
  98:15
materially (1)
  81:1
materials (1)
  76:9
math (1)
```

43:20 matter (5) 7:18;10:24;14 43:2;54:5 matters (2) 16:16;17:7 may (17) 8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52 53:17;61:13;6	
matter (5) 7:18;10:24;14 43:2;54:5 matters (2) 16:16;17:7 may (17) 8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	
7:18;10:24;14 43:2;54:5 <b>matters (2)</b> 16:16;17:7 <b>may (17)</b> 8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	
43:2;54:5 matters (2) 16:16;17:7 may (17) 8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	
matters (2) 16:16;17:7 may (17) 8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	.14 14.
matters (2) 16:16;17:7 may (17) 8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	.14 14.
16:16;17:7 <b>may (17)</b> 8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	.14 14.
may (17) 8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	.14 14.
8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	.14 14.
8:11;30:20;31 32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	.1111.
32:22;34:16;4 47:16;50:9;52	
47:16;50:9;52	
	5:1;
	:3,24;
3311/16111316	
77:15;80:23;8	
, , ,	1.3
maybe (2)	
19:4;46:5	
mayors (1)	
24:17	
McLane (2)	
7:4,5	
mean (2)	
26:17;56:24	
means (1)	
15:16	
measured (1)	
78:11	
meeting (1)	
64:10	
meetings (2)	
76:4,7	
megawatts (4)	
32:16;35:23;6	2.10.
	3.10,
65:18	
member (11)	
1, 5:21;6:4;21:21	1.24.6
11;26:4,7;34:9	9;82:15;
85:17;88:7	
members (12)	
8:12;11:4;21:1	12.10.
24:3;25:19;47	
54:9;77:7;83:2	
54:9;77:7;83:2 member's (1)	
2; member's (1)	
2; <b>member's (1)</b> 7; 90:6	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 7; 90:6 8; membership (1)	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 7; 90:6 8; membership (1)	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 7; 90:6 8; membership (1) 7, 11:7	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2)	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 7, 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 7, 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6)	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 7, 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6)	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 7, 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1)	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 7, 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23	23;84:3
2; member's (1) 90:6 membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4)	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 7, 11:14;53:2;88	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 11:14;53:2;88 92:14	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 7, 11:14;53:2;88	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 1, 11:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2)	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 7, 11:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 1, 11:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8 microphone (2)	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 11:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8 microphone (2) 50:18;85:6	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 11:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8 microphone (2) 50:18;85:6	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 1, 1:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8 microphone (2) 50:18;85:6 Middleton (2)	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 1, 1:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8 microphone (2) 50:18;85:6 Middleton (2) 7:4,5	;70:11;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 1, 1:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8 microphone (2) 50:18;85:6 Middleton (2) 7:4,5 might (6)	;70:11; :20;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 1, 1:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8 microphone (2) 50:18;85:6 Middleton (2) 7:4,5 might (6) 23:18;31:13;3	23;84:3 ;70:11; :20;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 1, 1:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8 microphone (2) 50:18;85:6 Middleton (2) 7:4,5 might (6)	23;84:3 ;70:11; :20;
2; member's (1) 90:6 8; membership (1) 11:7 mention (2) 51:8;74:21 mentioned (6) 14:9;26:10,11 78:18;81:9 met (1) 23:23 mic (4) 1, 1:14;53:2;88 92:14 Michael (2) 5:24;6:8 microphone (2) 50:18;85:6 Middleton (2) 7:4,5 might (6) 23:18;31:13;3	23;84:3 ;70:11; :20;

31:20;35:1

laws (2)

 $\mathbf{M}$ 

7:6,14	74:10	54:21;60:11;66:7;	83:12	orderly (2)
Milford (21)	multiple (2)	70:23;87:24;89:9;	Office (1)	62:4,11
5:6;7:2,6,10,13;8:8;	13:20;36:15	90:20	22:10	ordinance (11)
9:4;10:12;11:1,24;	municipal (7)	next (5)	officials (1)	18:12;19:10;20:5;
13:7;18:9;19:6;20:2;	13:8;17:13;45:15;	34:5;44:20,22;64:12;	58:12	
32:14,20;36:2;40:23;	46:3;54:19;56:7,13	88:20	often (2)	28:9;67:17,19,23; 72:11,14;77:11,22
			13:23;54:20	
67:6;69:16;77:11	municipalities (3) 47:9;54:17;56:20	nine (1) 76:4		ordinances (1) 31:2
million (13)			Oftentimes (1) 58:1	
20:22;27:23;28:3,23;	municipality (5)	Noe (21)		organization (1)
29:8;30:3,5,12,18;	35:24;46:5;63:7,12,	6:3,4,4;89:21;90:7,9;	once (3) 64:24:65:1:79:2	11:6
32:7;44:13;60:6;71:9	16	91:11,12;92:7,13,15;	, ,	others (1)
mind (2)	myriad (1)	93:15,16;94:15,16;	one (36)	80:23
67:13;74:9	76:23	96:7,8;97:23,24;99:11,	12:13;16:16;23:8;	otherwise (1)
minimal (1)	N	12	25:18;26:1;27:6,24;	60:8
28:24	IN.	none (5)	36:7;41:8,18;43:12;	ourselves (1)
minute (2)		58:18;81:19;82:19;	44:9,16;45:14;46:15;	51:2
55:19;64:14	name (7)	87:11;98:23	54:10;58:24;59:15;	out (13)
minutes (2)	5:9,24;10:20;14:11;	non-elected (1)	61:23;65:17,23;66:14;	6:18;30:5;36:8;
19:1;83:12	18:15;32:15;75:3	19:21	67:23;69:3;72:21;	45:20;46:21;55:15;
missed (2)	Natural (8)	non-meeting (1)	74:16,17,20;75:2,3,5;	56:11;73:10;78:13;
34:23;98:12	6:1;11:2;12:7;15:12;	83:10	77:19;80:20;85:17;	85:3,10,22;86:2
misspoke (1)	23:5;27:21;60:11;	nor (1)	89:3;98:24	outcome (1)
38:9	70:16	48:4	ones (2)	87:16
mitigation (5)	nature (2)	normal (1)	66:14;86:14	outlined (1)
33:21;39:24;40:6,15;	29:16;71:4	29:18	online (1)	31:6
41:11	necessarily (2)	note (4)	8:12	outset (2)
MODERATOR (2)	42:24;80:24	50:4;69:24;75:13;	only (12)	50:2;83:22
82:4,9	necessary (19)	77:12	27:3,5,6;33:9,24;	outside (2)
money (7)	20:19;23:6;25:8;	noted (7)	46:15;48:19;64:9,23;	53:24;63:12
17:23;18:2;29:23;	30:22;72:4;87:20;88:8;	10:21;17:2;21:8;	67:20;82:10;85:23	over (7)
30:2;34:11,15;71:13	89:1,2,2,6,18;90:1,9,	23:13;25:16;63:14;	open (1)	5:5;30:17;35:7;53:8;
months (4)	13,19;91:19;92:24;	80:2	83:20	60:17;65:13;87:4
73:10;79:5,10,12	93:23	notes (2)	opened (1)	overall (3)
more (14)	need (12)	17:16;21:2	57:22	61:14;62:17;80:21
11:23;13:15;16:11;	9:8;11:19;39:12;	notice (2)	operation (4)	overcome (4)
36:1;37:9;41:16;43:12;	45:9;63:18,24;64:1;	78:8,13	12:18;87:24;89:9;	14:1;18:5;19:24;
53:6,7;57:18;59:18;	66:24;82:21;94:22,24;	nuclear (1)	93:24	21:23
60:4;72:18;81:5	98:7	46:9	opined (1)	overcomes (1)
mortality (1)	needed (1)	number (4)	21:12	20:16
16:14	68:20	13:18;20:23;46:2;	opinion (2)	own (12)
most (5)	NEEDLEMAN (24)	63:6	47:16;91:2	13:13;17:8,14;20:13,
17:8;47:7;60:19;	7:3,3;8:23;9:16;10:1,		opportunity (24)	17;32:8;36:17,22;
68:13;88:19	10;49:16,18,23;50:20,	O	8:13;9:5;19:4;21:23;	37:13;48:15;74:22;
Motion (12)	24;51:19;58:18,19;		32:3,11,17,18,24;	75:12
8:17,19;49:10;74:23;	77:18;78:23;79:24;	object (2)	34:23;36:6,13,15;37:6,	owned (1)
95:3,6,15;96:13,14,21;	80:8,13,15;81:12,15;	28:4;49:4	15;40:24;41:17;42:11;	36:12
97:8;98:15	82:24;86:2	objection (3)	44:7,8,11;45:1;46:7;	owner (10)
Mount (1)	needs (2)	9:18;10:9,13	77:4	25:14,19,21;26:8;
69:18	23:22;73:11	observations (2)	opposed (9)	34:13,14;37:23;47:24;
move (4)	negative (1)	47:2;48:13	26:20;28:24;31:12;	85:18,20
44:19;63:12;95:10;	42:1	observing (1)	39:13;41:14,19;66:2,	owners (1)
98:9	negotiated (3)	82:11	10;86:8	46:17
moved (1)	25:12;28:5;29:21	obvious (1)	opposes (1)	owning (1)
96:16	negotiations (1)	37:2	67:15	37:15
moves (2)	47:3	obviously (3)	opposite (1)	owns (2)
40:1;42:20	Neither (1)	17:19;44:5;47:17	19:9	25:1;32:8
moving (3)	67:22	occur (1)	option (2)	
43:24;44:3;64:9	neutral (1)	86:17	14:7;27:8	P
moving-forward (1)	41:19	occurred (1)	oral (1)	
47:5	New (19)	31:15	49:13	Page (3)
much (8)	5:6;15:3,12,14;16:5;	off (8)	order (4)	63:22;69:2,3
28:2;30:2;43:21;	25:24;34:22;39:9,9;	7:23;17:24;22:24;	10:18;69:2;96:15;	paper (1)
53:6;59:12;73:17,21;	40:13;45:15;46:12;	28:4;51:12;68:8,12;	98:6	9:8
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1 2.2	1.7

papers (3)
67:19;69:11;77:20 parcel (1)
25:15 parcels (1)
28:22 park (1)
17:21 <b>part (7</b> )
15:7;18:11;21:5; 36:9,11;57:15;73:20
participate (1) 43:18
participation (1) 22:20
particular (2) 61:2;85:17
particularly (1) 15:19
parties (4) 9:2;49:1;50:9;65:22
parties' (1) 82:23
partner (2) 13:10;19:18
partnered (1) 17:10
partners (1)
20:21 partnership (3) 24:5,15,22
party (5) 64:4,9;79:3;82:14,14
past (2) 17:3;80:2
path (1) 75:5
Pause (1) 82:8
pay (1) 71:12
payment (1)
18:2 payments (3) 17:11;47:4;56:23
People (5)
10:7;17:8;47:9;76:8; 82:3
perceived (1) 86:5
percent (3) 26:18;27:19;51:8
<b>perform (1)</b> 36:11
<b>Perhaps (1)</b> 77:15
<b>peril (1)</b> 15:17
<b>period (3)</b> 53:8;73:16;83:11
<b>periods</b> (1) 46:6
permit (22)

FOR JURISDICTION OV ERGY FACILITY IN MI
17:18;18:20;19:13; 20:4;25:8;30:11,14; 36:13;40:15;44:5,11; 47:22;52:4,16;57:20, 21,23;58:2;59:4;73:4; 76:12,13
permits (8) 17:21;20:19;23:5; 28:8;30:7;37:13;58:7,8 permitted (6) 18:16;25:3;32:8;
33:2,24;71:7 <b>permitting (14)</b> 13:22;18:9;28:18; 32:20;36:10;37:4; 40:21;41:3;42:12;52:5, 6,13;57:15;60:14
person (1) 48:3 perspective (7) 10:2;22:8;82:23; 90:18;91:18;92:24;
93:22 <b>Peterborough (1)</b> 45:18 <b>petition (12)</b>
5:5;9:22;11:22;12:1; 17:15;38:22;63:24; 66:8;74:24;75:6;86:14; 95:11 <b>petitioner (11)</b> 9:3;10:23;11:21; 17:9;59:8,10,14;60:20;
69:8,9;84:12 petitions (1) 14:9 physically (2)
25:1;65:5 piece (6) 16:17;18:7;25:18; 32:7;34:5;77:24 pieces (4)
34:19;41:5;77:19,21 <b>PILOT (9)</b> 17:12;25:11;28:4; 29:10,15;35:19;36:14; 37:10;71:12
Piper (1) 6:22 place (1) 75:14
placed (1) 67:4 plainly (1) 69:21 plaintiff (1)
76:9 Plan (4) 14:17;54:18;58:3; 70:1 planned (1)

23:11

planner (3)

```
LFORD, NH
   52:14;58:10,11
planning (31)
   12:20:13:3,9,13,15,
   17;20:3,18;21:1,21;
   24:7,15;25:5,7,19;
  30:10;31:2;46:11,24;
  47:23;48:15;53:5;54:3;
   55:1:56:14:58:9:59:6;
   77:2;85:24;93:1;94:2
plans (4)
  52:10,11,11;93:4
plant (3)
  46:9,10;66:1
plate (2)
  14:11;32:15
plating (1)
  18:15
please (5)
  6:20;27:5;39:5;53:2;
   96:21
plenty (1)
  53:22
pm (4)
  51:15,16;83:15;
  99:19
pm] (1)
  83:18
point (21)
   6:18;26:7;32:23;
   48:19;50:9;56:3;62:19;
   64:13:68:6:70:5,8,11;
   71:16:72:19.20:73:5:
   74:21;75:2,17;82:1;
  85:11
pointed (3)
   45:20;85:9;86:2
pointing (1)
   56:11
points (2)
  67:12;85:22
poll (2)
  87:15;88:6
pools (1)
  28:20
poorly (1)
   22:13
population (1)
   62:16
portion (4)
   14:18;44:10,10;
   68:16
portioned (1)
  18:10
posed (1)
  56:10
position (7)
   17:17;22:22;39:16;
  49:24;52:2;53:21;79:4
possible (2)
  42:20;75:4
potential (8)
```

```
87:21;89:7
potentially (2)
  34:10;81:4
power (4)
  42:7;46:10;47:10;
  65:24
powerful (1)
  67:1
practice (1)
  56:19
precedent (2)
  64:13:76:16
prejudge (3)
  30:24;85:8;86:7
prejudged (3)
  22:2;23:1;28:13
pre-judged (1)
  20:11
prejudgment (1)
  25:22
preliminarily (1)
  41:6
preliminary (3)
  35:9;83:23;84:3
pre-negotiated (1)
  35:20
preparation (1)
  76:12
prepare (4)
  73:24;74:3;96:15;
  98:5
preparing (1)
  73:8
preponderance (1)
  64:6
prerogative (1)
  9:10
prescribed (1)
  31:24
presence (1)
  31:17
present (3)
  33:3;53:15;82:13
presentation (2)
  27:19;50:22
presented (3)
  15:8;46:24;84:12
presumption (2)
  59:16,21
pretty (3)
  55:17;56:6;67:1
previously (3)
  11:8;14:9;15:8
prima (1)
  37:2
Primmer (1)
  6:21
prior (5)
  61:6;64:14;67:2;
  73:11:80:3
private (4)
  46:14,16;47:1;54:22
privately-owned (1)
```

```
August 19, 2021
  25:18
problems (1)
  26:17
proceed (5)
  8:21;9:6;10:17;
  11:10;50:11
proceeding (5)
  8:14;33:11;75:22;
  82:20;83:20
proceedings (1)
  82:8
process (36)
  8:18;10:16;17:1;
  22:19;23:6,10;31:3;
  39:20;42:12;43:3;52:5,
  6,6,13,21;53:13;56:14;
  57:15,22;58:9,23;73:9;
  74:2,15;75:16,23;
  76:10,10;79:9,21;84:9,
  17;85:22,24;86:1,2
producer (1)
  21:5
productive (1)
  58:24
professionals (1)
  54:1
profile (2)
  65:6;68:5
profit (2)
  37:22,24
program (5)
  17:12:25:11:28:5:
  35:19;36:14
progress (1)
  75:11
project (57)
  14:6:16:13:18:14:
  19:19;22:5,9,17,24;
  23:17;28:19;32:22;
  35:22;36:3;38:21;40:1;
  42:1,4,5,20;45:17;
  46:10,23;58:20,21;
  59:1;62:3;65:12,14;
  66:3,6,20,22;67:8;68:1,
  3,7;69:7,13,19;70:7,9;
  72:15,23;73:5;74:13;
  75:5,20;76:3,5,19;77:1,
  17,23;84:7;85:15;
  86:21;87:2
projects (21)
  45:17,20;46:3,8;
  47:8;53:6;57:3;59:3;
  61:1,22,23;62:21;63:7;
  65:5,21;67:18;68:23;
  70:4;74:9,11;86:23
prong (2)
  80:21;81:8
properly (2)
  48:17;55:4
property (24)
  14:20;15:23;16:17;
```

12:11,11;31:11;

41:10;42:1;72:15;

19:3;23:20;25:10,13;

27:14;30:9;37:1;46:3,

		,		2 /
4,16;47:1,2;48:1;55:1;	pushed (1)	50:13,21;54:4;64:21;	26:22	59:19
56:8,13,13;57:6;80:20;	21:6	71:2	recused (1)	remedies (2)
85:19,20	pushing (1)	reaching (1)	26:6	27:11;31:19
proposal (3)	41:18	54:3	re-draw (1)	remedy (6)
8:20;14:15;16:21	put (6)	read (1)	19:5	26:17,21,24;27:6;
proposed (4)	45:4;51:21;56:15;	87:13	reduces (1)	32:9;42:16
5:5;14:12;16:12;	75:5;84:14,15	reads (1)	33:1	remnants (1)
71:19	putting (1)	88:13	refer (1)	16:11
proposing (1)	57:1	real (5)	80:3	remove (1)
43:22	0771	51:3;60:22;63:18;	reference (3)	27:9
proposition (2)	Q	68:21;74:19	55:11,16,24	repeat (1)
64:5,6	~	realization (1)	referenced (2)	11:20
protect (2)	qualified (2)	36:9	57:14;77:10	repeatedly (1)
52:19;68:20	22:16,19	realize (3)	referred (1)	20:24
protected (2)	qualifies (1)	21:22;34:18;36:24	79:24	Reporter (60)
13:6;43:14	18:14	realized (1)	regard (1)	7:21;8:3,5;9:24;10:3,
protecting (1)	quality (1)	30:6	81:8	6,11;11:12,16;14:4;
11:1	12:7	really (7)	regarding (3)	24:9,10;27:4;28:15,16;
protection (2)	quasi-judicial (1)	10:7;24:12;33:1;	47:3;70:21;83:24	36:19,20;38:5,6;39:2,
43:15;72:12	21:13	37:9;59:14,17,24	regardless (1)	3;40:3,4,9;44:1,2;
provide (6)	questionable (1)	reap (1)	18:15	46:20;49:6,7,20,21;
55:11,16,24;58:1,4;	74:15	56:21	region (7)	50:15,16;52:23,24;
93:3	quick (5)	reason (5)	14:20;22:14;61:19;	55:13,14,22;79:16,17;
provided (3)	51:1;55:10;79:10;	19:12;21:5;63:11,17;	62:5,12,13,13	80:11,12;85:1,2,5;
12:16;43:21;53:20	97:11;98:23	87:5	regional (11)	88:17,18;89:22,23;
provides (1)	quite (3)	reasonable (1)	61:22;62:1,11,22;	90:2,4,5;92:11,12,18;
44:11	11:13;63:5;72:21	79:3	65:7;68:4;69:6,23;	95:8;96:20,23;97:2,6
providing (1)	quote (17)	reasoning (1)	70:3,6;76:19	representing (4)
44:4	14:21,22;59:22;	19:2	registered (2)	5:24;6:8;7:13;58:11
proving (1)	62:16,16,18;63:4,13,	reasons (2)	11:23;12:1	request (5)
64:6	15,21;64:4;68:15;69:1,	64:20;67:24	regular (1)	17:4;18:24;43:1;
provisions (1)	13,21,64.4,68.13,69.1,	Rebecca (1)	50:7	44:16;47:18
72:14		7:5	regulated (1)	require (4)
Public (36)	quoting (1)	receive (1)	39:1	23:17;54:18;72:15;
5:13,18,20;6:4;8:12,	62:6	17:11	regulation (2)	81:4
13;12:9;16:23;17:21;	R	received (3)	39:11;53:18	required (7)
22:9;32:2,2;33:1;47:2;	N.	29:24;30:2;77:12	regulations (5)	13:12;21:15;28:2,9,
53:14;56:8,16,20;57:1;	raise (1)	receives (1)	20:6;70:1;78:4,6,12	21;29:4;93:2
62:14;68:20;69:17;	34:20	29:22	rehearing (1)	requirement (3)
71:20;73:12;75:19,21;		receiving (1)	47:19	26:22;30:10;40:19
76:4;78:8,9,13;81:24;	raised (2) 48:6;86:14	20:22	related (9)	requirements (3)
82:15,19;83:14;88:15;	ranks (1)	recess (2)	35:12,23;43:13,16;	43:5;87:9,12
93:4	14:17	51:13,15	72:17;83:21;86:20;	requires (3)
public's (1)	rapidly (1)	recognized (2)	87:12;95:3	52:6,10;72:11
22:11	16:5	53:12;71:21	relates (2)	<b>Residential (5)</b>
published (1)	Rare (1)	recognizes (3)	86:17;87:16	18:11,17,17,22;
15:13	15:13	12:5;56:12;61:12	relationships (1)	19:14
PUC's (1)	rarity (2)	recollection (2)	72:9	residents (1)
43:4	15:18,24	80:9,17	relative (1)	11:1
pull (1)	rather (5)	recommend (1)	71:24	resolved (2)
22:24	13:10;22:12;23:4;	77:23	relatively (1)	12:21;94:4
purchase (1)	51:2,21	recommendations (1)	16:10	resounding (1)
54:24	Ratigan (30)	22:20	relied (1)	72:8
purely (1)	7:9,11,12;10:12;	record (15)	54:2	resource (1)
<b>2</b> • • •		9:19;13:14;16:23;	relief (1)	` '
	44:21,21;45:5,11,13;	18:8;20:24;26:9;33:24;	31:12	13:5 <b>Resources (12)</b>
76:20 Purpose (4)		10.0,20.24,20.3,33.24,		
Purpose (4)	46:22;50:12,19;51:20,	11.22.51.12 10.52.16.	rolying (1)	6.1.11.0.10.2.02.5.
<b>Purpose (4)</b> 12:5;61:9,20;63:19	24;52:1;53:3;54:7,15;	44:22;51:13,18;53:16;	relying (1)	6:1;11:2;12:8;23:5;
Purpose (4) 12:5;61:9,20;63:19 purposes (2)	24;52:1;53:3;54:7,15; 55:17,20;56:5,24;	69:17;76:1;83:7,13	23:4	27:21;65:7,8,8,9,9;
Purpose (4) 12:5;61:9,20;63:19 purposes (2) 54:21;56:8	24;52:1;53:3;54:7,15; 55:17,20;56:5,24; 57:16;78:2,4,6;79:1,8,	69:17;76:1;83:7,13 <b>records (1)</b>	23:4 remain (1)	27:21;65:7,8,8,9,9; 70:21,22
Purpose (4) 12:5;61:9,20;63:19 purposes (2) 54:21;56:8 pursuant (1)	24;52:1;53:3;54:7,15; 55:17,20;56:5,24; 57:16;78:2,4,6;79:1,8, 9,19	69:17;76:1;83:7,13 records (1) 32:3	23:4 remain (1) 63:7	27:21;65:7,8,8,9,9; 70:21,22 respect (4)
Purpose (4) 12:5;61:9,20;63:19 purposes (2) 54:21;56:8 pursuant (1) 94:23	24;52:1;53:3;54:7,15; 55:17,20;56:5,24; 57:16;78:2,4,6;79:1,8, 9,19 rationale (1)	69:17;76:1;83:7,13 records (1) 32:3 recreational (1)	23:4 remain (1) 63:7 remainder (1)	27:21;65:7,8,8,9,9; 70:21,22 respect (4) 28:19;40:17;70:3;
Purpose (4) 12:5;61:9,20;63:19 purposes (2) 54:21;56:8 pursuant (1) 94:23 push (2)	24;52:1;53:3;54:7,15; 55:17,20;56:5,24; 57:16;78:2,4,6;79:1,8, 9,19 rationale (1) 22:3	69:17;76:1;83:7,13 records (1) 32:3 recreational (1) 65:8	23:4 remain (1) 63:7 remainder (1) 14:20	27:21;65:7,8,8,9,9; 70:21,22 respect (4) 28:19;40:17;70:3; 81:5
Purpose (4) 12:5;61:9,20;63:19 purposes (2) 54:21;56:8 pursuant (1) 94:23	24;52:1;53:3;54:7,15; 55:17,20;56:5,24; 57:16;78:2,4,6;79:1,8, 9,19 rationale (1)	69:17;76:1;83:7,13 records (1) 32:3 recreational (1)	23:4 remain (1) 63:7 remainder (1)	27:21;65:7,8,8,9,9; 70:21,22 respect (4) 28:19;40:17;70:3;

respond (1)         841/38/922         291/5227/19/21/23/5, several (1)         stiting (3)         stiting (3)         stiting (3)         several (1)         stiting (3)         stiting (3)         several (1)         stitution (6)         several (1)         stitution (6)	22:6	risk (2)	23;16:19;18:4;19:23;	45:18	61:13;70:22
806         Robert (1)         5,243,11,26,24,27.7.         63.2         1,228,723,808           response (7)         5,20         80,164s (2)         549,6015,6315.         6,246,45         549,6015,6315.         624,645         549,6015,6315.         624,645         549,6015,6315.         624,645         81,816,147,121.         820         situations (1)         172,01,184,0014.         32,223,662,249,21.         820         situations (1)         32,223,662,249,21.         83,20         41,775,771,188,17.         82,0         47,17,77         47,17,77         47,17,77         47,17,77         47,17,77         47,17,77         47,17,77         47,17,78         82,0         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         82,0         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,78         47,17,11         48,17,78         47,17,11         48,17,29         47,17,11         48,17,29         47,17,11         47,17,11         48,17,29         47,17,21         47,17,11         47,11         47,17,11         47,17,11         47,17,11         47,17,11         47,17,11         47,17,17         47,17,17					
response (7) 94:37:20-54:11; 56:98:98:11,24:906 75:21:96:19 38:12,154:31:0; 44:17:57:118:81:6; 78:158:117,218:21:7; 39:23:40:55:923 39:23:40:5					
.943.72.05.54.11; 56.98.91.12.90.66         Robidus (2)         54.99.601.15.63.15; 62.46.45         172.01.18.42.01.14; 23.17         172.01.18.42.01.14; 23.17         38.12.15.43.10; 64.13.15.65.12.16.19, 82.0         172.01.18.42.01.14; 23.17         38.12.15.43.10; 64.13.15.65.12.16.19, 82.0         48.17.17.18         32.02         471.17.18         32.02         471.17.18         32.02         471.17.18         32.02         471.17.18         32.02         471.17.18         33.22.22.273.48, 471.17.18         471.17.18         32.02         471.17.18         38.20         48.8 aced (2)         471.17.18         33.22.22.273.48, 471.17.18         471.17.18         471.17.18         32.02         471.17.18         38.20         471.17.18         38.20         471.17.18         38.20         471.17.18         38.20         471.17.18         38.20         471.17.18         38.20         38.14.14.17.31         38.18.18.91         39.46.2.13.40.10         19.94.62.13.40.10         19.94.62.13.40.10         19.94.62.13.40.10         19.96.32.18.68.5.10         19.92.18.83.17.3         19.92.18.83.17.3         19.92.18.83.17.3         19.92.19.19.94.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.19.					
55-98/98/11/4/906         55-21/96/19         64:13/15/63:12/6/19         share (1)         \$23:102:77:228:3					
response (17)         role (1)         22,23,565,24,92;; assigned (1)         82.0         situations (1)           44:17,57:11,58:16; 78:18,518,73;38:18,78;38:18,78;38:11,78;39:59:14         role (3)         71:11,823,272;22,734,8, and role (2)         44:17,77         six (2)           83.58,68:11,878,995.1         roll (3)         70:11,198,23         roll (3)         76:16,772,788,17; bis,617,3818,19, and situations (1)         six (2)           responsibilities (2)         55:3,3         rountine (1)         roll (3)         83:26         roll (3)         83:28         roll (3)         83:28         roll (3)         83:28         roll (4)         roll (4)         77:20,8664,9016; p.93,3705; p.93,34,9618,975; p.93,44,15,914,55,912,55         181.55,15,617,3818,19,9         181.55         181.55         181.55         18.75         181.55         18.75         181.55         18.75         181.55         18.75         181.55         18.75         181.5         1				,	
\$312,15:48:10; 44:17:57:11:58:16; 78:15:81:17.21:82:17; 79:199:98:21 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 17:97:99:82:1 18:31:2 18:31:2 18:31:31:2 18:31:31:31:2 18:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:31:					
Ast   17-77   11-11   13-23   19-63   19-23					
78:15:8:1172.182:17; 83:36:36:37:99:38; 83:36:3187:89:34, 1797:99:82:1					
885;86:1187;8952; responsibilities (2) responsibilities (2) responsibilities (2) responsibilities (2) responsibility (1) 54:23 responsibility (1) 54:23 responsibility (1) 54:23 responsibility (1) 54:23 responsibility (1) 64:11,23 responsibility (2) results (1) 16:9 results (1) 16:16 16:11 16:22:11 16:16 16:11 16:22:11 16:16 16:11 16:22:11 16:16 16:11 16:22:11 16:16 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11 16:11:68:23:74:13 16:11:68:21:14 16:11:68:21:1					
1797-99821   951-997-11-9823   843-863   394-6.214-90-10,12;   196-632-18,685.10,   179-11-9823   183-12   18					
responsibilities (2)         room (1)         Second (13)         89:14,159:14,59:21,1         1774:10           55:3.3         responsibility (1)         roughly (2)         72:20,86:49-016;         29:30,994.916;         95:23,249:618,97:5;         151,6994.910;         81.5           responsible (1)         47:7         Seconded (1)         58:15,5971.3.5;         151,699.04         151,616         70:0110ely (2)         46:11,23         38:20         small (1)         61:23           results (1)         RSA (4)         124;41:410,31:24;         SECs (1)         58:18.818.18.82:18;         38:18.82:18;         38:18.82:18;         38:18.82:18;         38:18.82:18;         38:18.82:18;         58:18.82:18;         42:13.52:11;69:19         50:12.87:2					
55:3.3 responsibility (1) 54:23 responsibility (1) 54:23 responsibility (1) 54:23 responsible (1) 48:8 responsible (1) 48:14:14:13:10 responsible (1) 48:14:14:13:10 result (2) 29:10:95:1 47:7 routine (1) 98:16:17 seconded (1) 7:7 seconded (1) 98:16:17 seconded (1) 76:7 result (2) 96:18 ship (1) 51:16 result (2) 76:7 result (2) 76:7 result (3) 76:7 result (4) 76:7 result (2) 76:7 result (3) 76:7 result (4) 76:7 result (2) 76:11:10 release (1) 76:7 result (2) 76:7 result (3) 76:11:10 release (1) 76:7 result (1) 76:7 result (2) 76:11:10 release (1) 76:7 result (2) 76:11:10 release (1) 76:7 result (2) 76:11:10 release (1) 76:11:10 re					
responsibility (1) 54:23					
54:23					
responsible (1)         48:8         routine (1)         98:16.17         shifting (1)         73:7           48:8         47:7         Seconded (1)         38:20         small (1)         61:23           results (1)         RSA (4)         96:18         shiny (1)         small (1)         61:23           results (1)         RSA (4)         67:2         section (1)         58:7         smaller (3)         16:11:68:23;74:13           resumed (1)         76:7         82:20         shoreland (1)         58:7         smaller (3)           83:9         ruled (1)         58:88:18:88:18:82:18;         98:23         short (2)         50:12:87:2         50:12:87:2           33:1         review (23)         38:21:39:14.18;         40:13:41:10:42:15;         53:22:54:19:55:40:12;         53:7         31:12         20:20         43:21:52:11:69:19         50:67:26:89:94;         13:9:16:13:88:13:13;         50:17:26:89:94;         13:21:4         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14         50:13:33:14					
48:8		*			
result (2)         poutinely (2)         96:18         shiny (1)         28:4         smaller (3)           results (1)         16:9         RSA (4)         67:2         shoreland (1)         16:11:68:23;74:13           resumed (1)         76:7         82:20         short (2)         65:12:37:2           51:16         return (1)         21:16         58:18:81:18:82:18;         41:4;59:23         58:60:15           83:9         revenue-created (1)         37:1         11:22:20:13:31:23;         61:18:62:10;64:2         98:23         sthut (1)         55:6(2)           38:21:39:14;18;         40:13;41:10;42:15;         7:14:20:84:9,11         7:14:20:84:9,11         7:14:20:84:9,11         7:14:20:84:9,11         55           79:11         S         5         29:6,13:30:14,23;         31:33:21:93:72:17:58;         37:22:4         seem (1)         signatures (1)         58:7(2):84:13:13;           79:11         S         5         29:6,13:30:14;23;         31:03:14;23:23;         31:02:24         seem (1)         37:22:4         seem (1)         37:22:4         seem (1)         37:22:4         seem (1)         37:22:4         seem (1)         37:22:66:17:8         someone (1)         37:22:24         seem (1)         seem (1)         seem (1)         seem (1) <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
29:10.95:1   46:11.23   8KA (4)   16:9   12:4;14:10;31:24;   82:10   15:17;   82:20   15:17;   82:20   15:17;   82:20   15:17;   83:20   15:17;   83:20   15:17;   83:20   15:17;   83:20   15:17;   83:20   15:17;   83:20   15:17;   83:20   15:17;   83:21;   83:9   15:18;   83:9   15:18;   83:21;   83:21;   83:21;   15:18;					
resumed (1) 51:16 return (1) 83:9 revenue-created (1) 37:1 review (23) 38:21;39:14,18; 53:22,54:19;55:46;11; 64:2,3;70:10,7114,10; 75:4,23,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 82:20 seciton (1) 88:9 review (23) 38:21;39:14,18; 64:2,3;70:10,7124,10; 75:34;21,316;61:3; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 82:20 Sala (1) 86:10 Secing (4) Secitor (1) Secitor (2) Secitor (1) Secitor (2) Secitor (3) Sec					
16.9   12.4;   14.10;   31:24;   8ection (1)   8ection (1)   51:16   return (1)   21:16   21:16   83:9   revenue-created (1)   37:1   61:18;   62:10;   64:23   72:14;   63:12;   72:22;   63:13;   72:23;   73:11   73:14;   73:41;   73:4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
resumed (1)					
Si:16   return (1)   83:9   revenue-created (1)   83:9   revenue-created (1)   11:22;20:13;31:23;   86:(2)   80:(3)   43:21;52:11;69:19   80:(4)   55:67:2,68:9:94;   37:1   7:22;20:13;31:23;   8eek (2)   13:11;26:22   7:23   13:916:13;18:13,13;   39:22;54:19:55:4,61:1;   64:23;70:10;71:4,10;   73:4,13,16;76:13;   79:14,20;34:91.11   reviewed (5)   46:11,23;54:2;62:23;   79:14,20;34:9.11   reviewed (5)   46:11,23;54:2;62:23;   79:14,20;34:9.11   79:14   79:					
Teturn (1)					
revenue-created (1) 37:1 review (23) 38:21:39:14,18; 40:13;41:10;42:15; 53:22;54:19;55:46;11; 64:23;70:10,71:4,10; 73:4,13,16:76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:55;76:21 sale (1) 54:51;16,16 Sevised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezoned (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:6 right (25) re-zoned (1) 25:11:42:33:18,21;33:18,23; 36:53:34:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:53:34:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:53:34:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:53:34:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:53:36:97:10; 98:22:99:16 review (1) 25:16 right (25) re-zoned (1) 25:26 respect (1) 25:26 respect (2) 77:14;80:14;80:14;70:14; 86:19:20 86:19:20 86:19:20 86:10 86:21 87:21:42:31:169:19 86:12 86:21 83:21:42:21 86:2					
Preview (2)   37:1   79:14  11:22:20:13:31:23;   61:18;62:10:64:2   71:31:126:22   72:31:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:					
Treview (23)   38:21;39:14,18;   40:13;41:10;42:15;   53:22;54:19;55:46:113;   79:14,10;   73:4,13,16;76:13;   79:14,20;349:11   review (5)   46:11,23;54:2;62:23;   79:11   79:11   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:11   79:14,10;   79:14,10;   79:11   79:14,10;   79:11   79:11   79:11   79:14,10;   79:11   79:12   79:11   79:11   79:12   79:11   79:11   79:12   79:12   79:12   79:12   79:12					
review (23)         run (1)         seeking (1)         sides (1)         20:72:5:99:45:16.23;         20:72:5:99:45:16.23;         47:10;58:21:67:18;         68:72:10:19         35:7         31:12         20:20         47:10;58:21:67:18;         68:77:10;71:4,10;         32:19         86:21         32:14         74:13:76:4         68:77:01:57:28,12,24;         47:13:76:4         50:10;71:4,10;         50:10;71:4,10;         50:13:33:21:93:72:17:58;         32:14         50:10;71:4,10;         50:10;71:4,10;         50:11:22         31:33:32:19:37:17:58;         50:11:22         31:37:64         50:10;71:4,10;         50:11:22         50:11:22         50:10;70:37         50:10:11,13         50					
38:21;39:14,18; 40:13,41:10,42:15; 53:22;54:19,55:46:11; 64:2,3;70:10;71:4,10; 79:14,20:84:9,11 reviewed (5)					
40:13:41:10:42:15;   53:22;54:19:55:4,611:;   53:22;54:19:55:4,611:;   53:219:57:4,611:;   53:219:57:4,611:;   53:219:57:4,611:;   53:219:57:4,611:;   53:219:57:4,611:;   53:219:57:4,611:;   53:219:57:4,611:;   57:4,13:1,6:76:13:;   79:14.20:84:9,11   79:14.20:84:9,11   79:11					
S3:22;54:19;55:4;61:1,   G4:2,3;70:10;71:4;10;   S					
Sems (1)   29:6,13:30:14,23;   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:22;60:6,17   37:23   37:23;60:70   37:23;60:70   37:23;70:70:70:70:70:70:70:70:70:70:70:70:70:7					
T3:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11   reviewed (5)		32.19			
79:14,20;84:9,11         79:14,20;84:9,11         SI (3)         31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11:86:5         significance (3) 69:670:3,7 73:23         someone (1) 73:23           46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11         15:14,16,16         Select (3) 69:10,11,13         12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 50:70:15; 32:24         somewhat (1) 80:5           reviewing (2)         15:23,24         selection (1)         22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:66:11:47:44:687:22; 89:79:42         sorry (19)           Revised (2)         54:24         selectmen (2)         89:7,94:2         significantly (1)         66:213,75:47:46:31:47:01:4; 99         13:6         50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 36:20         50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 36:20         sorry (19)         24:12;27:4;28:16; 50:19; 34:66:11:47:46:687:22; 89:79:42         significantly (1)         66:23:39:4;40:48,9, 10;44; 49:21; 50:17; 17;17;17;17;17;17;17;17;17;17;17;17;17;1					
reviewed (5)         S1 (3)         85:7,11;86:5         69:6;70:3,7         73:23           46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11         15:14,16,16         S2 (2)         69:10,11,13         significant (13)         somewhat (1)           reviewing (2)         15:23,24         selection (1)         22:17;25:20;27:15;         sorry (19)           54:57:6:21         sale (1)         61:13         34:66:114;74:6;87:22;         sorry (19)           77:14;80:4         same (9)         24:17;54:22         significantly (1)         24:12;27:4;28:16;         sorry (19)           Revision (3)         31:7;58:6;60:16;         62:7,14;63:14;70:14;         selectmen (2)         significantly (1)         65:15         50:17;79:17;80:12,15;           rezone (1)         86:19,20         satisfied (2)         separate (2)         similar (1)         31:7;69:23           re-zoning (1)         76:15;80:16         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1;25:14;         35:24;37:3         simply (2)         southern (1)         65:2           52;6:15;10:17;         secnic (1)         21:21         simply (2)         38:3,7         Southern (1)         65:5         Southern (1)         65:5         Southern (1)         65:5         Southern (1)         16:5         Spartan (10)         72:6;89:9;4;13:9;         72:6;89:9;4;13:9;		S			
46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11   52 (2)   69:10,11,13   12:6,19;13:3;14:22; sorry (19)   54:5;76:21   sale (1)   61:13   34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; sorry (19)   24:17;54:22   sginificantly (1)   62:7,14;63:14;70:14; selectmen (2)   99:7;94:2   36:20;39:440:4,8.9, 9   10:44:2;46:20:49:21; rezone (1)   86:19,20   satisfied (2)   39:22;40:13   22:17;25:20;27:15; sorry (19)   24:17;54:22   sginificantly (1)   10:44:2;46:20:49:21; rezone (1)   86:19,20   satisfied (2)   39:22;40:13   24:16;55:2   sgining (1)   31:7;69:23   sort (2)   25:3   saying (2)   serve (6)   17:10,14;18:1;25:14; serve (6)   17:10,14;18:1;25:14; served (1)   21:21   simply (2)   38:3,7   sounded (2)   21:14;23:14,19;25:1; scenic (1)   21:21   single (1)   16:5   spartan (10)   16:15   spartan	73:4,13,16;76:13;	S	29:6,13;30:14,23;	11:22	37:22;60:6,17
79:11         S2 (2)         69:10,11,13         12:6,19;13:3;14:22;         80:5           reviewing (2)         15:23,24         selection (1)         22:17:25:20:27:15;         sorry (19)           54:576:21         sale (1)         61:13         34:661:14;74:6;87:22;         sorry (19)           Revised (2)         54:24         selectmen (2)         89:7;94:2         36:20;39:4;40:48,89,           77:14;80:4         same (9)         24:17;54:22         significantly (1)         10;44:2;46:20;49:21;           Revision (3)         31:7;58:6;60:16;         sense (5)         65:15         50:17;79:17:80:12,15;           46:13,16,19         62:7,14;63:14;70:14;         19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2,         9         13:6         sort (2)           23:20         satisfied (2)         separate (2)         similar (1)         31:7;69:23         sout (2)           25:3         saying (2)         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1;25:14;         21:12         sounded (2)           25:6         scale (3)         35:24;37:3         serve (6)         11:11;13:23;19:6;         50:15         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)           5:2;6:15;10:17;         50:15         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)         72:2,6:8:9;9:4;13:9; <th< td=""><td>73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11</td><td></td><td>29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8;</td><td>11:22 significance (3)</td><td>37:22;60:6,17 someone (1)</td></th<>	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11		29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8;	11:22 significance (3)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1)
reviewing (2)         15:23,24         selection (1)         22:17;25:20;27:15;         sorry (19)           54:5;76:21         sale (1)         61:13         34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22;         24:12;27:4;28:16;           Revised (2)         54:24         selectmen (2)         89:7;94:2         36:20;39:4;40:48,9,           77:14;80:4         same (9)         24:17;54:22         significantly (1)         10;44:2;46:20;49:21;           Revision (3)         31:7;58:6;60:16;         sense (5)         65:15         50:17;79:17;80:12,15;           46:13,16,19         62:7,14;63:14;70:14;         19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2,         signing (1)         85:4;86:8;88:21           rezone (1)         86:19,20         separate (2)         similar (1)         31:7;69:23           re-zonde (1)         39:22;40:13         24:16;55:2         11:24         sought (1)           25:3         saying (2)         serve (6)         similarly (1)         65:22           re-zoning (1)         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1;25:14;         21:12         sounded (2)           55:26         scale (3)         35:24;37:3         simply (2)         38:3,7           56:15         scenic (1)         21:21         50:15         Spartan (10)           55:2,6:15;10:17;         school (1)	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5)	S1 (3)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23
54:5;76:21         sale (1)         61:13         34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22;         24:12;27:4;28:16;           Revised (2)         54:24         selectmen (2)         3897:94:2         36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9,           Revision (3)         31:7;58:6;60:16;         sense (5)         65:15         50:17;79:17;80:12,15;           46:13,16,19         62:7,14;63:14;70:14;         19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2,         significantly (1)         85:4;86:8;88:21           rezone (1)         86:19,20         satisfied (2)         separate (2)         similar (1)         31:7;69:23           23:20         satisfied (2)         separate (2)         similarly (1)         31:7;69:23           re-zoned (1)         39:22;40:13         24:16;55:2         11:24         sought (1)           25:6         scale (3)         35:24;37:3         scimilarly (1)         65:22           rejht (25)         27:23;71:9;74:12         served (1)         51:1         simply (2)         38:3,7           51:11;11;13:23;19:6;         55:8         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)           11:11;13:23;19:6;         56:15         Services (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         5partan (10)           21:14;23:14,19;25:1;         56:15         Services (1)         5it (15)         5it (15)         5e;	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 <b>reviewed (5)</b> 46:11,23;54:2;62:23;	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 <b>Select (3)</b>	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1)
Revised (2)         54:24         selectmen (2)         89:7;94:2         36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21;           77:14;80:4         same (9)         24:17;54:22         significantly (1)         10;44:2;46:20;49:21;           Revision (3)         31:7;58:6;60:16;         sense (5)         50:17;79:17;80:12,15;           46:13,16,19         62:7,14;63:14;70:14;         19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2,         signing (1)         85:4;86:8;88:21           rezoned (1)         36:19,20         separate (2)         similar (1)         31:7;69:23           23:20         satisfied (2)         separate (2)         similar (1)         31:7;69:23           25:3         saying (2)         rezoning (1)         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1,25:14;         30:24;37:3         similarly (1)         65:22           reght (25)         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1,25:14;         36:19,20         38:3,7         8erved (1)         60:18;70:6         8outhern (1)         65:22           11:11;13:23;19:6;         65:8         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)         72:26;89;94;13:9;         16:5         Spartan (10)         72:26;89;94;13:9;         76:3         76:3         76:3         76:3         76:3         76:3         76:3         76:3         76:3         76:3         76	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 <b>Select (3)</b> 69:10,11,13	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22;	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5
77:14;80:4         same (9)         24:17;54:22         significantly (1)         10;44:2;46:20;49:21;         50:17;79:17;80:12,15;         65:15         50:17;79:17;80:12,15;         50:11;10:12         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124         50:11;124	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2)	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15;	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19)
Revision (3)         31:7;58:6;60:16;         sense (5)         65:15         50:17;79:17;80:12,15;           46:13,16,19         62:7,14;63:14;70:14;         86:19,20         satisfied (2)         separate (2)         similar (1)         31:7;69:23           23:20         satisfied (2)         separate (2)         similar (1)         31:7;69:23           re-zoned (1)         saying (2)         serve (6)         similarly (1)         65:22           re-zoning (1)         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1;25:14;         21:12         sounded (2)           25:6         scale (3)         35:24;37:3         served (1)         21:21         single (1)         16:5         Southern (1)           52;6:15;10:17;         scenic (1)         21:21         single (1)         16:5         Spartan (10)           52:26:15;10:17;         school (1)         15:1         sit (3)         7:2,6;8:99:4;13:9;           41:11;13:23;19:6;         56:15         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)           32:18,21;33:18,23;         56:15         Services (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         16:12;70:15;72:8,24;           36:5;38:16;43:23;         56:15         set (1)         32:24         14,17;41:14;42:9;         10:8;14:13;15:10;           45:16         set (1	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22;	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16;
46:13,16,19       62:7,14;63:14;70:14;       19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2,       signing (1)       85:4;86;8;88:21         rezone (1)       23:20       satisfied (2)       separate (2)       similar (1)       31:7;69:23         re-zoned (1)       39:22;40:13       serve (6)       similarly (1)       65:22         rezoning (1)       76:15;80:16       17:10,14;18:1;25:14;       21:12       sounded (2)         right (25)       scale (3)       35:24;37:3       simply (2)       38:3,7         right (25)       27:23;71:9;74:12       served (1)       5:21       single (1)       16:5         11:11;13:23;19:6;       55:8       Service (1)       16:15       Spartan (10)         21:14;23:14,19;25:1;       school (1)       15:1       sit (3)       7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;         36:5;38:16;43:23;       scope (1)       6:9;60:11;70:12       Site (15)       76:3         44:18;58:17;60:18;       67:2       set (1)       5:10;13:1,4;14:14,       speak (9)         81:22;83:6;97:10;       45:16       setbacks (2)       43:16;61:10;62:10;       77:5;80:13         98:22;99:16       45:16       setbacks (2)       43:16;61:10;62:10;       77:5;80:13         53:19       40:24       sets (1)       51:2       23:19;63:1	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2)	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9,
rezone (1)         86:19,20         9         13:6         sort (2)           23:20         satisfied (2)         39:22;40:13         24:16;55:2         11:24         sought (1)           25:3         saying (2)         serve (6)         similarly (1)         65:22           re-zoning (1)         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1;25:14;         21:12         sounded (2)           25:6         scale (3)         35:24;37:3         simply (2)         38:3,7           right (25)         27:23;71:9;74:12         served (1)         60:18;70:6         Southern (1)           5:2;6:15;10:17;         scenic (1)         21:21         single (1)         16:5           11:11;13:23;19:6;         65:8         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)           21:14;23:14,19;25:1;         65:15         Services (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         16:12;70:15;72:8,24;           36:5;38:16;43:23;         56:15         Services (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         16:12;70:15;72:8,24;           41:8;58:17;60:18;         67:2         set (1)         5:10;13:1,4;14:14,         speak (9)           81:22;89:16         45:16         setbacks (2)         43:16;61:10;62:10;         39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;           rights (1)         seated (1)         23	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21;
23:20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) serve (6) 11:24 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) similar	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3)	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16;	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15;
re-zoned (1)         39:22;40:13         24:16;55:2         11:24         sought (1)           25:3         re-zoning (1)         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1;25:14;         21:12         sounded (2)           25:6         scale (3)         35:24;37:3         simply (2)         38:3,7           right (25)         27:23;71:9;74:12         served (1)         60:18;70:6         Southern (1)           5:2;6:15;10:17;         scenic (1)         21:21         single (1)         16:5           21:14;23:14,19;25:1;         school (1)         5:1         sit (3)         7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;           32:18,21;33:18,23;         56:15         Service (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         16:12;70:15;72:8,24;           36:5;38:16;43:23;         67:2         set (1)         5:10;13:1,4;14:14,         speak (9)           44:18;58:17;60:18;         67:2         set (1)         5:10;13:1,4;14:14,         speak (9)           81:22;83:6;97:10;         98:22;99:16         45:16         setbacks (2)         43:16;61:10;62:10;         39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;           rights (1)         seated (1)         23:17;28:20         70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16         77:5;80:13           53:19         40:24         set (1)         sited (2)         special (1)           77:5	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14;	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2,	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21
25:3         saying (2)         serve (6)         similarly (1)         65:22           re-zoning (1)         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1;25:14;         21:12         sounded (2)           25:6         scale (3)         35:24;37:3         simply (2)         38:3,7           right (25)         27:23;71:9;74:12         served (1)         60:18;70:6         Southern (1)           5:2;6:15;10:17;         scenic (1)         21:21         single (1)         16:5           11:11;13:23;19:6;         65:8         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)           21:14;23:14,19;25:1;         school (1)         15:1         sit (3)         7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;           32:18,21;33:18,23;         56:15         Services (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         16:12;70:15;72:8,24;           36:5;38:16;43:23;         scope (1)         6:9;60:11;70:12         Site (15)         76:3           44:18;58:17;60:18;         67:2         set (1)         5:10;13:1,4;14:14,         speak (9)           81:22;83:6;97:10;         98:22;99:16         45:16         setbacks (2)         43:16;61:10;62:10;         39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;           rights (1)         seated (1)         23:17;28:20         70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16         77:5;80:13           53:19         <	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1)	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2)
re-zoning (1)         76:15;80:16         17:10,14;18:1;25:14;         21:12         sounded (2)           25:6         scale (3)         35:24;37:3         simply (2)         38:3,7           right (25)         27:23;71:9;74:12         served (1)         60:18;70:6         Southern (1)           5:2;6:15;10:17;         scenic (1)         21:21         single (1)         16:5           11:11;13:23;19:6;         65:8         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)           21:14;23:14,19;25:1;         school (1)         15:1         sit (3)         7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;           32:18,21;33:18,23;         56:15         Services (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         16:12;70:15;72:8,24;           36:5;38:16;43:23;         scope (1)         6:9;60:11;70:12         Site (15)         76:3           44:18;58:17;60:18;         67:2         set (1)         5:10;13:1,4;14:14,         speak (9)           81:22;83:6;97:10;         scores (1)         32:24         14,17;41:14;42:9;         40:8;14:13;15:10;           98:22;99:16         45:16         setbacks (2)         43:16;61:10;62:10;         39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;           rights (1)         seated (1)         23:17;28:20         70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16         77:5;80:13           53:19         40:24 </td <td>73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20</td> <td>S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2)</td> <td>29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2)</td> <td>11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1)</td> <td>37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23</td>	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23
25:6         scale (3)         35:24;37:3         simply (2)         38:3,7           right (25)         27:23;71:9;74:12         served (1)         60:18;70:6         Southern (1)           5:2;6:15;10:17;         scenic (1)         21:21         single (1)         16:5           11:11;13:23;19:6;         65:8         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)           21:14;23:14,19;25:1;         school (1)         15:1         sit (3)         7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;           32:18,21;33:18,23;         56:15         Services (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         16:12;70:15;72:8,24;           36:5;38:16;43:23;         scope (1)         6:9;60:11;70:12         Site (15)         76:3           44:18;58:17;60:18;         67:2         set (1)         5:10;13:1,4;14:14,         speak (9)           81:22;83:6;97:10;         scores (1)         32:24         14,17;41:14;42:9;         10:8;14:13;15:10;           98:22;99:16         45:16         setbacks (2)         43:16;61:10;62:10;         39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;           rights (1)         seated (1)         23:17;28:20         70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16         77:5;80:13           53:19         40:24         sets (1)         sited (2)         special (1)           rise (2)         SEC (57)	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1)	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1)
right (25)         27:23;71:9;74:12         served (1)         60:18;70:6         Southern (1)           5:2;6:15;10:17;         scenic (1)         21:21         single (1)         16:5           11:11;13:23;19:6;         65:8         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)           21:14;23:14,19;25:1;         school (1)         15:1         sit (3)         7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;           32:18,21;33:18,23;         56:15         Services (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         16:12;70:15;72:8,24;           36:5;38:16;43:23;         scope (1)         6:9;60:11;70:12         Site (15)         76:3           44:18;58:17;60:18;         67:2         set (1)         5:10;13:1,4;14:14,         speak (9)           81:22;83:6;97:10;         scores (1)         32:24         14,17;41:14;42:9;         10:8;14:13;15:10;           98:22;99:16         45:16         setbacks (2)         43:16;61:10;62:10;         39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;           rights (1)         seated (1)         23:17;28:20         70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16         77:5;80:13           53:19         40:24         sets (1)         sited (2)         special (1)           rise (2)         SEC (57)         61:2         23:19;63:1         15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22
5:2;6:15;10:17;         scenic (1)         21:21         single (1)         16:5           11:11;13:23;19:6;         65:8         Service (1)         16:15         Spartan (10)           21:14;23:14,19;25:1;         school (1)         15:1         sit (3)         7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;           32:18,21;33:18,23;         56:15         Services (3)         20:20;45:6;85:6         16:12;70:15;72:8,24;           36:5;38:16;43:23;         scope (1)         6:9;60:11;70:12         Site (15)         76:3           44:18;58:17;60:18;         67:2         set (1)         5:10;13:1,4;14:14,         speak (9)           81:22;83:6;97:10;         scores (1)         32:24         14,17;41:14;42:9;         10:8;14:13;15:10;           98:22;99:16         45:16         setbacks (2)         43:16;61:10;62:10;         39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;           rights (1)         seated (1)         23:17;28:20         70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16         77:5;80:13           53:19         40:24         sets (1)         sited (2)         special (1)           rise (2)         SEC (57)         61:2         23:19;63:1         15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1)	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14;	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2)
11:11;13:23;19:6;       65:8       Service (1)       16:15       Spartan (10)         21:14;23:14,19;25:1;       school (1)       15:1       sit (3)       7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;         32:18,21;33:18,23;       56:15       Services (3)       20:20;45:6;85:6       16:12;70:15;72:8,24;         36:5;38:16;43:23;       scope (1)       6:9;60:11;70:12       Site (15)       76:3         44:18;58:17;60:18;       67:2       set (1)       5:10;13:1,4;14:14,       speak (9)         81:22;83:6;97:10;       scores (1)       32:24       14,17;41:14;42:9;       10:8;14:13;15:10;         98:22;99:16       45:16       setbacks (2)       43:16;61:10;62:10;       39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;         rights (1)       seated (1)       23:17;28:20       70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16       77:5;80:13         53:19       40:24       sets (1)       sited (2)       special (1)         rise (2)       SEC (57)       61:2       23:19;63:1       15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7
21:14;23:14,19;25:1;       school (1)       15:1       sit (3)       7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;         32:18,21;33:18,23;       56:15       Services (3)       20:20;45:6;85:6       16:12;70:15;72:8,24;         36:5;38:16;43:23;       scope (1)       6:9;60:11;70:12       Site (15)       76:3         44:18;58:17;60:18;       67:2       set (1)       5:10;13:1,4;14:14,       speak (9)         81:22;83:6;97:10;       scores (1)       32:24       14,17;41:14;42:9;       10:8;14:13;15:10;         98:22;99:16       45:16       setbacks (2)       43:16;61:10;62:10;       39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;         rights (1)       seated (1)       23:17;28:20       70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16       77:5;80:13         53:19       40:24       sets (1)       sited (2)       special (1)         rise (2)       SEC (57)       61:2       23:19;63:1       15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25)	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1)
32:18,21;33:18,23;       56:15       Services (3)       20:20;45:6;85:6       16:12;70:15;72:8,24;         36:5;38:16;43:23;       scope (1)       6:9;60:11;70:12       Site (15)       76:3         44:18;58:17;60:18;       67:2       set (1)       5:10;13:1,4;14:14,       speak (9)         81:22;83:6;97:10;       scores (1)       32:24       14,17;41:14;42:9;       10:8;14:13;15:10;         98:22;99:16       45:16       setbacks (2)       43:16;61:10;62:10;       39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;         rights (1)       seated (1)       23:17;28:20       70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16       77:5;80:13         53:19       40:24       sets (1)       sited (2)       special (1)         rise (2)       SEC (57)       61:2       23:19;63:1       15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17;	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5 Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5
36:5;38:16;43:23;       scope (1)       6:9;60:11;70:12       Site (15)       76:3         44:18;58:17;60:18;       67:2       set (1)       5:10;13:1,4;14:14,       speak (9)         81:22;83:6;97:10;       scores (1)       32:24       14,17;41:14;42:9;       10:8;14:13;15:10;         98:22;99:16       45:16       setbacks (2)       43:16;61:10;62:10;       39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;         rights (1)       seated (1)       23:17;28:20       70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16       77:5;80:13         53:19       40:24       sets (1)       sited (2)       special (1)         rise (2)       SEC (57)       61:2       23:19;63:1       15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6;	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10)
44:18;58:17;60:18;       67:2       set (1)       5:10;13:1,4;14:14,       speak (9)         81:22;83:6;97:10;       scores (1)       32:24       14,17;41:14;42:9;       10:8;14:13;15:10;         98:22;99:16       45:16       setbacks (2)       43:16;61:10;62:10;       39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;         rights (1)       seated (1)       23:17;28:20       70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16       77:5;80:13         53:19       40:24       sets (1)       sited (2)       special (1)         rise (2)       SEC (57)       61:2       23:19;63:1       15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6; 21:14;23:14,19;25:1;	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8 school (1)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1) 15:1	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15 sit (3)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10) 7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9;
81:22;83:6;97:10;       scores (1)       32:24       14,17;41:14;42:9;       10:8;14:13;15:10;         98:22;99:16       45:16       setbacks (2)       43:16;61:10;62:10;       39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;         rights (1)       seated (1)       23:17;28:20       70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16       77:5;80:13         53:19       40:24       sets (1)       sited (2)       special (1)         rise (2)       SEC (57)       61:2       23:19;63:1       15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6; 21:14;23:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23;	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8 school (1) 56:15	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1) 15:1 Services (3)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15 sit (3) 20:20;45:6;85:6	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10) 7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9; 16:12;70:15;72:8,24;
98:22;99:16     45:16     setbacks (2)     43:16;61:10;62:10;     39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;       rights (1)     seated (1)     23:17;28:20     70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16     77:5;80:13       53:19     40:24     sets (1)     sited (2)     special (1)       rise (2)     SEC (57)     61:2     23:19;63:1     15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6; 21:14;23:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:5;38:16;43:23;	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8 school (1) 56:15 scope (1)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1) 15:1 Services (3) 6:9;60:11;70:12	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15 sit (3) 20:20;45:6;85:6 Site (15)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10) 7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9; 16:12;70:15;72:8,24; 76:3
rights (1)       seated (1)       23:17;28:20       70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16       77:5;80:13         53:19       40:24       sets (1)       sited (2)       special (1)         rise (2)       SEC (57)       61:2       23:19;63:1       15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6; 21:14;23:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:5;38:16;43:23; 44:18;58:17;60:18;	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8 school (1) 56:15 scope (1) 67:2	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1) 15:1 Services (3) 6:9;60:11;70:12 set (1)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15 sit (3) 20:20;45:6;85:6 Site (15) 5:10;13:1,4;14:14,	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10) 7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9; 16:12;70:15;72:8,24; 76:3 speak (9)
53:19	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6; 21:14;23:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:5;38:16;43:23; 44:18;58:17;60:18; 81:22;83:6;97:10;	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8 school (1) 56:15 scope (1) 67:2 scores (1)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1) 15:1 Services (3) 6:9;60:11;70:12 set (1) 32:24	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15 sit (3) 20:20;45:6;85:6 Site (15) 5:10;13:1,4;14:14, 14,17;41:14;42:9;	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10) 7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9; 16:12;70:15;72:8,24; 76:3 speak (9) 10:8;14:13;15:10;
rise (2) SEC (57) 61:2 23:19;63:1 15:3	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6; 21:14;23:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:5;38:16;43:23; 44:18;58:17;60:18; 81:22;83:6;97:10; 98:22;99:16	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8 school (1) 56:15 scope (1) 67:2 scores (1) 45:16	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1) 15:1 Services (3) 6:9;60:11;70:12 set (1) 32:24 setbacks (2)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15 sit (3) 20:20;45:6;85:6 Site (15) 5:10;13:1,4;14:14, 14,17;41:14;42:9; 43:16;61:10;62:10;	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10) 7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9; 16:12;70:15;72:8,24; 76:3 speak (9) 10:8;14:13;15:10; 39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7;
	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6; 21:14;23:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:5;38:16;43:23; 44:18;58:17;60:18; 81:22;83:6;97:10; 98:22;99:16 rights (1)	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8 school (1) 56:15 scope (1) 67:2 scores (1) 45:16 seated (1)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1) 15:1 Services (3) 6:9;60:11;70:12 set (1) 32:24 setbacks (2) 23:17;28:20	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15 sit (3) 20:20;45:6;85:6 Site (15) 5:10;13:1,4;14:14, 14,17;41:14;42:9; 43:16;61:10;62:10; 70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10) 7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9; 16:12;70:15;72:8,24; 76:3 speak (9) 10:8;14:13;15:10; 39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7; 77:5;80:13
51.1,02.22   11.21,12.7,17.1,1,   Seven (1)   Shees (2)   Species (17)	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11 reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11 reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21 Revised (2) 77:14;80:4 Revision (3) 46:13,16,19 rezone (1) 23:20 re-zoned (1) 25:3 re-zoning (1) 25:6 right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6; 21:14;23:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:5;38:16;43:23; 44:18;58:17;60:18; 81:22;83:6;97:10; 98:22;99:16 rights (1) 53:19	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8 school (1) 56:15 scope (1) 67:2 scores (1) 45:16 seated (1) 40:24	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1) 15:1 Services (3) 6:9;60:11;70:12 set (1) 32:24 setbacks (2) 23:17;28:20 sets (1)	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15 sit (3) 20:20;45:6;85:6 Site (15) 5:10;13:1,4;14:14, 14,17;41:14;42:9; 43:16;61:10;62:10; 70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16 sited (2)	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10) 7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9; 16:12;70:15;72:8,24; 76:3 speak (9) 10:8;14:13;15:10; 39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7; 77:5;80:13 special (1)
	73:4,13,16;76:13; 79:14,20;84:9,11  reviewed (5) 46:11,23;54:2;62:23; 79:11  reviewing (2) 54:5;76:21  Revised (2) 77:14;80:4  Revision (3) 46:13,16,19  rezone (1) 23:20  re-zoned (1) 25:3  re-zoning (1) 25:6  right (25) 5:2;6:15;10:17; 11:11;13:23;19:6; 21:14;23:14,19;25:1; 32:18,21;33:18,23; 36:5;38:16;43:23; 44:18;58:17;60:18; 81:22;83:6;97:10; 98:22;99:16  rights (1) 53:19  rise (2)	S1 (3) 15:14,16,16 S2 (2) 15:23,24 sale (1) 54:24 same (9) 31:7;58:6;60:16; 62:7,14;63:14;70:14; 86:19,20 satisfied (2) 39:22;40:13 saying (2) 76:15;80:16 scale (3) 27:23;71:9;74:12 scenic (1) 65:8 school (1) 56:15 scope (1) 67:2 scores (1) 45:16 seated (1) 40:24 SEC (57)	29:6,13;30:14,23; 31:3;32:19;37:21;75:8; 85:7,11;86:5  Select (3) 69:10,11,13 selection (1) 61:13 selectmen (2) 24:17;54:22 sense (5) 19:5;30:1;51:1;60:2, 9 separate (2) 24:16;55:2 serve (6) 17:10,14;18:1;25:14; 35:24;37:3 served (1) 21:21 Service (1) 15:1 Services (3) 6:9;60:11;70:12 set (1) 32:24 setbacks (2) 23:17;28:20 sets (1) 61:2	11:22 significance (3) 69:6;70:3,7 significant (13) 12:6,19;13:3;14:22; 22:17;25:20;27:15; 34:6;61:14;74:6;87:22; 89:7;94:2 significantly (1) 65:15 signing (1) 13:6 similar (1) 11:24 similarly (1) 21:12 simply (2) 60:18;70:6 single (1) 16:15 sit (3) 20:20;45:6;85:6 Site (15) 5:10;13:1,4;14:14, 14,17;41:14;42:9; 43:16;61:10;62:10; 70:1;75:7;78:19;86:16 sited (2) 23:19;63:1	37:22;60:6,17 someone (1) 73:23 somewhat (1) 80:5 sorry (19) 24:12;27:4;28:16; 36:20;39:4;40:4,8,9, 10;44:2;46:20;49:21; 50:17;79:17;80:12,15; 85:4;86:8;88:21 sort (2) 31:7;69:23 sought (1) 65:22 sounded (2) 38:3,7 Southern (1) 16:5 Spartan (10) 7:2,6;8:9;9:4;13:9; 16:12;70:15;72:8,24; 76:3 speak (9) 10:8;14:13;15:10; 39:5;50:17;53:1;59:7; 77:5;80:13 special (1) 15:3

PROPOSED SOLAR EN
14:22,23;15:2,5,9,15, 16;16:15,18;23:13,14; 27:22;30:14;33:3; 34:21;35:5,13;43:13; 52:20
specific (3)
41:5;61:20;88:5 <b>specifically (4)</b> 9:17;43:17;67:17;
70:2 <b>specifics (1)</b> 78:24
specious (1) 33:14
speed (1) 73:6
spend (2) 61:4;73:22
spends (1) 73:21
spoken (1) 9:17
Spotted (2) 15:6,22
spot-zone (1) 19:17
staggering (1) 32:23
<b>stand (1)</b> 20:17
standard (3) 64:1,3;88:2
standards (2) 13:13;20:9
stands (4) 25:14;34:14;37:22, 24
start (8) 5:7;6:16,19;8:15;
45:9,20;61:9;87:18 started (5)
51:10;70:16,20; 76:14;83:18
<b>starters (1)</b> 69:7
starting (2) 30:7;68:6
<b>State (43)</b> 12:17;14:19;15:17;
21:9,16;25:23;31:20; 32:10;37:5;39:13,22;
41:4,11;43:17;44:5; 45:15;48:16;52:15;
54:1,23;57:13,20; 58:12;59:22;60:3,7;
61:15,16,19;62:17,18; 63:20;70:10,13,14; 71:4,14;84:10;85:24;
86:2,18;93:2,23
stated (1) 42:8
state-level (3) 13:21;39:18;40:22

FOR JURISDICTION O ERGY FACILITY IN MI
statement (2) 42:7,14
42:7,14 statements (2)
74:22;84:3
<b>States (1)</b> 15:1
State's (2) 14:16;75:7
station (2)
46:9;56:16 <b>statute (15)</b>
34:4;53:20;54:16;
55:12,24;56:4,6;61:17, 20;62:7;63:20;68:21;
71:21;88:13;94:23
statutes (2) 31:1;54:23
statutory (5)
73:7;81:10,11;87:9, 11
stays (1)
63:10 stenographer (1)
48:24
step (4) 59:20;60:5;63:18;
86:7
<b>stepping</b> (1) 60:3
stick (1)
88:20 still (8)
25:20;26:4;27:16; 34:13;35:8;56:13;59:4;
70:9
stipulated (1) 49:9
stipulations (1)
40:15 <b>stop (1</b> )
30:22
store (2) 17:21;19:13
streams (1)
36:16 <b>stretch (1)</b>
73:10
<b>striking (1)</b> 29:3
strong (4)
22:3,3;63:5;66:15 structure (1)
29:18 <b>study (1)</b>
52:17
stumbling (2) 75:2,10
subdivision (1)
70.1

70:1

subject (2)

submit (2)

70:10:76:7

52:10:64:8

LFORD, NH
<b>submittal (1)</b> 41:24
submitted (2) 58:4;59:5
Subsection (1) 14:10
substantial (1) 34:15
suggest (3) 60:16;68:18;72:2
suggested (1) 86:22
suggestion (1) 51:6
summarized (1) 62:24
supersede (1) 32:4
supervised (1) 46:1
<b>supplement (2)</b> 34:7;43:21
supplemental (3) 9:22;21:8;38:22
supplementary (1) 25:17
<b>support (3)</b> 59:13;84:6;90:22
<b>suppose (1)</b> 9:9
<b>supposed</b> (1) 62:15
<b>supposition (1)</b> 59:10
Supreme (1) 21:11
sure (13) 29:19;39:15;40:22;
42:19;43:7;45:11,11; 49:1;55:17;56:6;73:21;
81:23;88:11 surrounding (1)
69:22 suspicion (1)
25:22 Suzanne (2)
7:24;10:21 swear (2)
48:21,24 <b>sworn (1)</b> 45:9
T
talk (6) 12:23;16:24;51:2;
59:6;61:5;71:8 talked (1)
76:16

```
tax (7)
  21:4;27:15;28:24;
  29:9,18,24;36:8
taxable (1)
  57:5
taxes (4)
  18:2;47:5;56:22,23
tax-income-generating (1)
  25:10
technical (3)
  12:21;22:23;94:4
telling (1)
  65:2
Temple (1)
  66:7
ten-minute (2)
  51:13;83:9
terms (4)
  27:14;39:11;74:12;
  78:1
terrain (3)
  57:19,21;70:18
terrestrial (1)
  16:6
territory (1)
  43:23
testimony (6)
  33:22;49:12;50:6,23;
  51:7:84:8
therefore (1)
  59:20
thinking (1)
  73:22
third (3)
  70:8;74:24;91:16
thorough (1)
  84:9
though (3)
  37:23;50:4;81:8
thought (1)
  72:1
thoughts (1)
  83:24
threads (1)
  66:12
threat (1)
  15:20
threatened (5)
  14:24;15:2,23;23:14;
  27:22
threats (1)
  16:3
Three (3)
  15:4;58:22;67:12
Throughout (3)
  61:17,18;62:9
thus (4)
  13:2;16:22;17:1;
  58:24
Timbertop (14)
  17:2;63:1,21;66:5;
```

68:14,16;70:9;71:17,

18,22;75:13,18,20;81:2

```
timelines (1)
  73:7
timely (2)
  12:15:91:19
times (3)
  36:15;47:1;77:12
timing (1)
  33:15
today (8)
  6:11;8:21;12:14,23;
  29:14;59:7;86:22;
  90:21
Tom (2)
  8:6;10:22
tomorrow (1)
  29:15
took (3)
  39:16;66:20;67:21
topic (2)
  37:18;38:14
tourist (1)
  65:9
toward (3)
  28:13;43:24;44:4
towards (1)
  28:17
Town (93)
  7:10,13;9:3;10:12;
  11:24;13:2,7;16:22;
  17:13,19,21,23,23;
  18:9:19:5,15:20:2,6,
  17:21:7.21:22:2.14.15.
  18;23:2;24:8,24;25:1,
  13;27:16;28:6;29:2,22;
  30:16;32:1;33:2,20;
  35:4,11,23;36:6,12,12,
  23;37:3,9,11,14;39:19;
  40:23;41:2,13,18;
  42:17;44:20;48:12:
  53:5;54:12;57:7,13,22,
  23,24;58:11,24;59:17;
  60:15,23;65:19;66:2,
  10;69:12,15,24;71:7,
  13;72:10,14;73:1,18;
  74:4;76:7,20;77:11,15;
  78:20,22;84:6,14,16;
  85:13,14
towns (7)
  17:17;32:15;65:14,
  16;66:7;67:22;69:18
Town's (6)
  13:13;18:12;30:24;
  52:1;53:21;58:2
train (1)
  32:19
trampling (1)
  30:13
transparent (1)
  75:24
Transportation (1)
  5:18
treated (4)
  12:19;13:2,9;94:1
```

29:6,8;68:6,11;87:14

54:16;56:7;62:10

talking (5)

talks (3)

true (2)	<b>'k's (1)</b> 9:24
tremendously (1)         14:24         version (2)         wetland (3)           79:21         unless (1)         80:3,7         16:6;28:19;58:7         year           true (2)         63:17         versus (2)         wetlands (4)         44           17:20;62:14         unquestionably (4)         29:10;30:1         52:9,11,19;70:17         73           trust (1)         73:6;75:15;76:17,21         via (1)         what's (3)         Yor           33:2         unsubstantiated (2)         11:22         9:19;27:24;36:2         5:           try (3)         47:15;59:11         vice-chair (3)         whatsoever (1)         90           34:11,24;69:20         unwilling (1)         63:3;64:19;75:14         59:24         93           trying (3)         35:5         Victoria (1)         whereas (1)         96           13:11;29:16;51:3         up (13)         5:17         55:1         16           Tucker (1)         10:8;17:10;33:16;         viewed (2)         whereby (1)         Yor           7:12         35:18;37:20;39:4,5;         views (1)         Whereupon (2)         85           turbines (1)         43:12;50:17;53:1;56:2;         67:4         83:14;99:18         55           turn (1)         78:1	rs (4) 5:19;58:23;63:2; 3:1 -k (19) :22,23,24;89:19; 0:1;91:9,10;92:5,6; 3:13,14;94:13,14; 6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 -k's (1) 9:24 0 (2)
79:21 true (2) 63:17 versus (2) wetlands (4) 52:9,11,19;70:17 trust (1) 73:6;75:15;76:17,21 via (1) what's (3) 9:19;27:24;36:2 try (3) 47:15;59:11 vice-chair (3) whatsoever (1) 59:24 trying (3) 35:5 victoria (1) 55:1 55:1 10:8;17:10;33:16; 7:12 35:18;37:20;39:4,5; turbines (1) 43:12;50:17;53:1;56:2; turb (1) 59:5 Turtle (5) 15:6,7,11,22;16:14 77:16 80:3,7  Turker (2) 63:17 versus (2) wetlands (4) 52:9,11,19;70:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:17 77:18 11:22 9:19;27:24;36:2 9	5:19;58:23;63:2; 3:1 •k (19) :22,23,24;89:19; 0:1;91:9,10;92:5,6; 3:13,14;94:13,14; 6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 •k's (1) 9:24 • (2)
true (2)       63:17       versus (2)       wetlands (4)       yetlands (4)         17:20;62:14       unquestionably (4)       29:10;30:1       52:9,11,19;70:17       73:6;75:15;76:17,21       via (1)       what's (3)       Yor         33:2       unsubstantiated (2)       11:22       9:19;27:24;36:2       5:       5:       5:       yetry (3)       whatsoever (1)       90:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:00:0	5:19;58:23;63:2; 3:1 •k (19) :22,23,24;89:19; 0:1;91:9,10;92:5,6; 3:13,14;94:13,14; 6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 •k's (1) 9:24 • (2)
true (2)	5:19;58:23;63:2; 3:1 •k (19) :22,23,24;89:19; 0:1;91:9,10;92:5,6; 3:13,14;94:13,14; 6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 •k's (1) 9:24 • (2)
17:20;62:14       unquestionably (4)       29:10;30:1       52:9,11,19;70:17       73:36;75:15;76:17,21       via (1)       what's (3)       Yor         33:2       unsubstantiated (2)       11:22       9:19;27:24;36:2       5:17       5:24       9:19;27:24;36:2       5:17       5:24       9:19;27:24;36:2       9:10;30:1       9:19;27:24;36:2       9:10;30:1       9:19;27:24;36:2       9:10;30:1       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;30:1       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;30:1       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10;27:24;36:2       9:10	3:1 <b>-k</b> (19) :22,23,24;89:19; 0:1;91:9,10;92:5,6; 3:13,14;94:13,14; 6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 <b>-k's</b> (1) 9:24 <b>0</b> (2)
trust (1)       73:6;75:15;76:17,21       via (1)       what's (3)       Yor         33:2       unsubstantiated (2)       11:22       9:19;27:24;36:2       5:         try (3)       47:15;59:11       vice-chair (3)       whatsoever (1)       90         34:11,24;69:20       unwilling (1)       63:3;64:19;75:14       59:24       93         trying (3)       35:5       Victoria (1)       whereas (1)       96         13:11;29:16;51:3       up (13)       5:17       55:1       10         Tucker (1)       10:8;17:10;33:16;       viewed (2)       whereby (1)       Yor         7:12       35:18;37:20;39:4,5;       66:16;67:2       20:16       Yor         68:10       43:12;50:17;53:1;56:2;       views (1)       Whereupon (2)       Yup         68:10       73:6;74:5       67:4       83:14;99:18       53         turn (1)       update (1)       95:19;96:12       34:10       53         Turtle (5)       updated (1)       voters (2)       who's (1)       7:14       7:14	rk (19) :22,23,24;89:19; 0:1;91:9,10;92:5,6; 3:13,14;94:13,14; 6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 rk's (1) 9:24 0 (2)
33:2 try (3)	:22,23,24;89:19; 0:1;91:9,10;92:5,6; 3:13,14;94:13,14; 6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 rk's (1) 9:24 o (2)
try (3)	0:1;91:9,10;92:5,6; 3:13,14;94:13,14; 6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 <b>k's</b> (1) 9:24 <b>o</b> (2)
34:11,24;69:20       unwilling (1)       63:3;64:19;75:14       59:24       93         trying (3)       35:5       Victoria (1)       whereas (1)       96         13:11;29:16;51:3       up (13)       5:17       55:1       10         Tucker (1)       10:8;17:10;33:16;       viewed (2)       whereby (1)       Yor         7:12       35:18;37:20;39:4,5;       66:16;67:2       20:16       Yup         68:10       43:12;50:17;53:1;56:2;       views (1)       Whereupon (2)       Yup         68:10       73:6;74:5       67:4       83:14;99:18       53         turn (1)       update (1)       vote (2)       whole (1)       53         59:5       78:1       95:19;96:12       34:10       yup's (1)         Turtle (5)       updated (1)       voters (2)       who's (1)         15:6,7,11,22;16:14       77:16       11:23;12:1       7:14       7:14	3:13,14;94:13,14; 6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 <b>:k's (1)</b> 9:24 <b>0 (2)</b>
trying (3)       35:5       Victoria (1)       whereas (1)       96         13:11;29:16;51:3       up (13)       5:17       55:1       10         Tucker (1)       10:8;17:10;33:16;       viewed (2)       whereby (1)       Yor         7:12       35:18;37:20;39:4,5;       66:16;67:2       20:16       Yup         68:10       43:12;50:17;53:1;56:2;       views (1)       Whereupon (2)       Yup         68:10       73:6;74:5       67:4       83:14;99:18       53         turn (1)       update (1)       vote (2)       whole (1)       53         59:5       78:1       95:19;96:12       34:10       who's (1)         Turtle (5)       updated (1)       voters (2)       who's (1)         15:6,7,11,22;16:14       77:16       11:23;12:1       7:14       7:14	6:5,6;97:21,22;99:9, 0 <b>rk's (1)</b> 9:24 <b>o (2)</b>
13:11;29:16;51:3       up (13)       5:17       55:1       Yor         Tucker (1)       10:8;17:10;33:16;       whereby (1)       Yor         7:12       35:18;37:20;39:4,5;       66:16;67:2       20:16       80         turbines (1)       43:12;50:17;53:1;56:2;       views (1)       Whereupon (2)       Yup         68:10       73:6;74:5       67:4       83:14;99:18       53         turn (1)       update (1)       vote (2)       whole (1)         59:5       78:1       95:19;96:12       34:10         Turtle (5)       updated (1)       voters (2)       who's (1)         15:6,7,11,22;16:14       77:16       11:23;12:1       7:14	0 ·k's (1) 9:24 • (2)
Tucker (1)         10:8;17:10;33:16;         viewed (2)         whereby (1)         Yor           7:12         35:18;37:20;39:4,5;         66:16;67:2         20:16         89           turbines (1)         43:12;50:17;53:1;56:2;         views (1)         Whereupon (2)         Yup           68:10         73:6;74:5         67:4         83:14;99:18         53           turn (1)         update (1)         vote (2)         whole (1)           59:5         78:1         95:19;96:12         34:10           Turtle (5)         updated (1)         voters (2)         who's (1)           15:6,7,11,22;16:14         77:16         11:23;12:1         7:14         ZBA	•k's (1) 9:24 • (2)
7:12	9:24 <b>p (2)</b>
turbines (1)       43:12;50:17;53:1;56:2;       views (1)       Whereupon (2)       Yup         68:10       73:6;74:5       67:4       83:14;99:18       Yup         turn (1)       update (1)       vote (2)       whole (1)         59:5       78:1       95:19;96:12       34:10         Turtle (5)       updated (1)       voters (2)       who's (1)         15:6,7,11,22;16:14       77:16       11:23;12:1       7:14	<b>9</b> (2)
68:10 73:6;74:5 67:4 83:14;99:18 turn (1) update (1) vote (2) whole (1) 59:5 78:1 95:19;96:12 34:10 Turtle (5) updated (1) voters (2) who's (1) 15:6,7,11,22;16:14 77:16 11:23;12:1 7:14  ZBA	
turn (1)     update (1)     vote (2)     whole (1)       59:5     78:1     95:19;96:12     34:10       Turtle (5)     updated (1)     voters (2)     who's (1)       15:6,7,11,22;16:14     77:16     11:23;12:1     7:14     ZBA	3:3;88:12
59:5       78:1       95:19;96:12       34:10         Turtle (5)       updated (1)       voters (2)       who's (1)         15:6,7,11,22;16:14       77:16       11:23;12:1       7:14             ZBA	
Turtle (5) updated (1) voters (2) who's (1) 77:16 11:23;12:1 7:14 ZBA	7
15:6,7,11,22;16:14 77:16 11:23;12:1 7:14 <b>ZB</b> A	${f Z}$
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	3:17;30:7;34:1
43:13 21:16;26:16;54:3 96:3;97:19;99:7 14:16,18,22;15:1; zone	` /
	8:20;19:3,8,12,14,
64:24 12:7,19;13:3;18:20; 15:19;16:1 willing (5)	5,16;30:9
two (13) 20:4;21:4;25:11;27:14; 20:9;23:2,20;30:17; zone	ed (1)
15:21;23:13;28:21;   28:24;29:7,11,24;   <b>W</b>   35:12   <sub>18</sub>	8:21
32:13;40:18;59:14; 30:11;44:5;47:22;52:4; Wilton (3)	ing (16)
65:14,21;67:20;73:1; 54:22;62:12;66:13   waive (1)   69:9,11,13   15	8:12;19:5,9,10,11;
	0:5,18;21:1;23:4;
	4:16;25:6;28:9;30:16;
	7:18;77:11,22
53:18;57:2;77:17 13:16 waiver (1) wise (1)	7.10,77.11,22
types (3) usually (1) 51:9 51:5	1
45:22;46:4;54:20 58:11 Wal*Mart (1) wishes (1)	1
47141 (2)	month (1)
TI 20.01.40.10.45.01 TT I (4)	month (1)
TI, 11 ( 20 15 20 10	3:16
13 (2)	
00 15 06 12 00 5 42 11 5 46 2 20 17 07 11	8:8
11 1 (1) 45 4 50 22 51 21	
<b>T</b> 7	8:20;68:8;73:10
Well-lev (1) 40.11 15.50.9	megawatt (1)
	6:6
23:3 value (4) 7:5 wonder (1) 16 (2)	
	2:16
23:2,15,21;42:17 values (1) 76:20;81:24;82:16 words (2) 162-	-H (5)
under (22) 80:20 Washington (1) 73:11;88:19	3:22;31:24;61:11;
11:21;14:10;18:12;   variance (10)   65:15   work (1)   66	6:16;80:18
19:10;25:11,22;27:24; 13:16;18:24;19:2,7;   water (1)   21:22   162-	-H1 (6)
29:18;31:20;34:4;35:1, 25:7;30:8,16;33:8; 12:7 worked (2)	2:4;27:24;61:11;
22;43:6;54:23;58:22; 34:1;47:14 way (8) 45:16;73:2	1:24;80:4,10
61:2;63:10;65:18;   variances (3)   29:22;59:12;60:3,16;   working (3)   162-	-H16 (2)
	1:24;62:3
	-H2 (1)
70 0 07 1	4:10
97.5.04.22	megawatt (4)
1 (2)	4:11;42:3;58:21;
70.00.00.10	8:7
70.15.01.17.21.02.17.	, ,
CO 4 11 07 0 05 4 18 (O)	3:22
fold (2) 17:07:0.09:21 (2) 16:07:07:00	9 (1)
unfold (2) 17;97:9;98:21 62:16;63:20 77	7:13
60:16;71:6   vernal (1)   well-developed (2)   XII (1)	
unfolded (2) 28:20 67:17,23 14:11	

August 19, 2021

T KOT OBED BOLAK E	MERGI FACILIII IN N	HLFORD, MII	 August 17, 2021
	4 (1)		
-	4(1)		
2	69:2		
	40 (1)		
2 (2)	11:4		
19:1;59:21		_	
2:35 (1)	5		
2:35 (1) 51:15		_	
51:15	50 (1)		
2:45 (1)			
51:14	18:13		
2:47 (1)	_		
51:16	6		
2012 (1)			
11:4	60-day (1)		
2013 (1)	73:13		
63:22	674:54 (1)		
	56:6		
2014 (1)	30.0		
80:18	7		
2018 (1)			
13:6			
2019 (1)	<b>75</b> (1)		
72:10	26:18		
202.19a (1)			
64:4	8		
2020 (2)			
	83 (3)		
15:13;77:13	14:15;25:2;33:3		
2021-01 (2)			
5:4;83:21	83-acre (1)		
220 (1)	28:18		
14:14	•		
<b>24-megawatt</b> (1)	9		
65:13			
25 (1)	91-A (1)		
27:19	76:8		
29 (1)	94 (1)		
	69:4		
65:18	09.4		
<b>29-megawatt</b> (1)			
66:1			
-			
3			
	_		
3/2020 (1)			
77:14			
3:22 (1)			
83:15			
3:30 (1)			
83:9			
3:33 (1)			
83:18			
3:46 (1)			
99:19			
30 (3)			
35:22;63:10;73:11			
<b>301.09</b> ( <b>1</b> )			
62:10			
37 (1)			
25:2			
3A (1)			
15:8			
3rd (1)			
63:22			
4			
<b>———</b>			
		•	