

Biemer, Andrew

From: drfred@myfairpoint.net
Sent: Monday, May 29, 2023 2:29 PM
To: Biemer, Andrew; Goldner, Daniel; Scott, Robert; Chattopadhyay, Pradip K; Simpson, Carleton; Caswell, Taylor; Sheehan, Victoria; susan duprey; george.kassas.sec@gmail.com; Tom Eaton; Robert Baines; Evans, Jonathan
Subject: SEC mtg 15 may 23

EXTERNAL: Do not open attachments or click on links unless you recognize and trust the sender.

27 May 2023

Mr. Andrew Biemer

Administrator

NH Site Evaluation Committee

21 South Fruit Street

Concord, NH 03301

Dear Mr. Biemer:

Re: 15 May 2023, SEC Public Hearing

I am a professional meteorologist. I (and HMMH) know that the speed of sound rises with the temperature. This well accepted science determines whether turbine sounds go up and out to space, or are trapped near the ground. That was the fundamental reason that Rule 301.18 (I) was in RSA 162-H. Without it, anyone could make sound measurements, even in the middle of a thunderstorm!

RSA 162-H, Rule 301.18 (I) requires that turbine noise measurements to check complaints be performed “under the same meteorological conditions as occurred at the time of the alleged exceedance”.

But HMMH never determined the meteorological conditions that existed at the time of ANY (of MANY) turbine noise complaints.

THIS ENSURED THAT THE HMMH REPORT IS COMPLETELY IRRELEVANT, AND THAT THE SUBCOMMITTEE COULD NOT ACCEPT IT.

It was a display of arrogance, or ignorance, or both for this Subcommittee to accept the HMMH report with little questioning about siting huge, noisy wind turbines in residential neighborhoods.

The Subcommittee was aware of this restriction on its proceeding by the relevant material sent to them by attendees at the Public Hearing, which information was delivered to them weeks in advance.

At the Public Hearing also, I handed suggested questions to the Subcommittee, designed to clarify this very issue. Unfortunately the Chair of the Subcommittee refused to even hear my Point or Order, and threatened me with expulsion from the proceedings. (as the transcript will show)

There is therefore no way that HMMH, or the SUBCOMMITTEE, could reach ANY conclusion from the HMMH tests as to whether AWE exceeds the agreed nighttime (maximum) turbine sound levels!

THE SUBCOMMITTEE'S (UNANIMOUS) "ACCEPTANCE" OF THE HMMH REPORT DEMONSTRATES EITHER A WILLFUL IGNORANCE OF THE FACTS, OR AN EGREGIOUS ARROGANCE TOWARD THE NEIGHBORS OF THE FACILITY!

Dr. Fred Ward

Stoddard, NH 03464

drfred@myfairpoint.net

603-446-2312

Drew,

I am e-mailing this to all the members of the SEC, and the SEC Subcommittee. I would appreciate your sending it to the entire mailing list for the AWE issue. I am also awaiting transcript. .Fred