1 STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 2 SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE 3 September 1, 2023 - 10:08 a.m. (Electronically filed on 09-19-23) 4 Public Utilities Commission 21 South Fruit Street Suite 10 5 Concord, New Hampshire 6 7 SEC DOCKET NO. 2021-02 IN RE: SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE: Investigation of Complaints 8 Regarding Antrim Wind Energy 9 Facility. [Public meeting of the Subcommittee to receive public comment and for 10 deliberations over referral of 11 complaint accounting and disposition to the full SEC *Committee*] 12 **PRESENT:** 13 SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE: 14 Jonathan A. Evans Dept. of Transportation (Presiding as Presiding Officer) 15 Thomas R. Eaton Public Member 16 Also Present for the SEC: 17 John-Mark Turner, Esq. 18 (Sheehan Phinney Bass & Green) 19 Mark W. Dell'Orfano, Esq. (N.H. Department of Justice) 20 Andrew Biemer, SEC Administrator 21 2.2 23 COURT REPORTER: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52 24

1

1	
2	APPEARANCES AS NOTED:
3	Reptg. Antrim Wind Energy: Thomas B. Getz, Esq.
4	(McLane Middleton)
5	Reptg. Counsel for the Public: Joshua Harrison, Esq.
6	(N.H. Dept. of Justice)
7	
8	OTHERS PRESENT (as noted):
9	Barbara Berwick
10	Richard Block
11	Janice Duley Longgood
12	Fred Ward
13	Eric Werme
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24	

1 INDEX 2 PAGE NO. 3 PUBLIC COMMENT BY: 4 Barbara Berwick 6 5 Fred Ward 9 6 Richard Block 14 7 Janice Duley Longgood 17 8 20 Thomas Getz 9 OVERVIEW BY ADMINISTRATOR BIEMER 26 10 FURTHER OVERVIEW BY MR. TURNER 29 11 * * * 12 13 14 COMMENCEMENT OF DELIBERATIONS 30 15 MOTION BY PRESIDING OFFICER EVANS to 30 accept this spreadsheet as a complete 16 summary of the complaints SECOND BY MR. EATON 31 17 DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION 31 VOTE ON THE MOTION 31 18 19 MOTION BY PRESIDING OFFICER EVANS to 32 recommend to the Subcommittee that they 20 take no further enforcement actions on the following communications dated 21 03/02/20, 05/14/21, 07/01/21, 08/11/21, 01/10/22, and 05/01/23 2.2 SECOND BY MR. EATON 32 DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION 32 VOTE ON THE MOTION 23 33 24

1 INDEX (continued) 2 PAGE NO. 3 MOTION BY PRESIDING OFFICER EVANS to 33 recommend to the Committee that they 4 take no further enforcement actions on the following communications related to 5 the ADLS that predate the Vose complaint that was previously resolved, dated 6 02/28/20, 03/13/20, 04/30/20, 08/13/20, 03/25/21, and 07/20/21 7 SECOND BY MR. EATON 34 DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION 34 8 35 VOTE ON THE MOTION 9 MOTION BY PRESIDING OFFICER EVANS to 36 recommend that the Committee take no 10 further enforcement action on the following communications: 02/02/23 11 (Richard Block testimony at the public meeting); 04/28/23 (Richard Block 12 communication); and 05/15/23 (Richard Block testimony at the public meeting) 13 SECOND BY MR. EATON 36 36 VOTE ON THE MOTION 14 STATEMENT BY MR. EATON 15 37 16 MOTION BY MR. EATON of adopting the 38 Administrator's investigative findings 17 as the Subcommittee's SECOND BY PRESIDING OFFICER EVANS 38 18 VOTE ON THE MOTION 38 19 MOTION BY PRESIDING OFFICER EVANS to 39 designate the Administrator to write the 20 recommendation to the full Committee summarizing this proceeding 21 SECOND BY MR. EATON 39 VOTE ON THE MOTION 39 2.2 MOTION BY MR. EATON to adjourn 40 23 SECOND BY PRESIDING OFFICER EVANS 40 VOTE ON THE MOTION 40 24

1	PROCEEDING
2	PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Good morning.
3	I'd like to call this meeting to order. My name
4	is Jon Evans. I'm the Chairperson for this
5	Subcommittee, the SEC Docket 2021-02. And with
6	me today is Tom Eaton, Subcommittee member. As
7	well as counsel from the Attorney General's
8	Office, Mark Dell'Orfano; and the Subcommittee's
9	retained counsel, John-Mark Turner; and then
10	Administrator of the SEC, Drew Biemer.
11	So, our agenda here today is to discuss
12	some of the remaining complaints, and try to give
13	some recommendations to the full SEC Committee.
14	And, so, with that, I think probably
15	the first thing to do, you know, with some
16	some information was sent out recently, and I
17	suspect maybe there may be some, and, in fact,
18	looking at the sign-up sheet, I see that there
19	are some individuals who would like to speak.
20	So, I would say that that may be the first
21	first order, so that we could, you know the
22	first discussion that we could have.
23	So, with that, I'm just going to go in
24	the order that's on the sheet. I would say that

{SEC 2021-02} [Public meeting] {09-01-23}

5

6

1 I would like to ask that people wishing to speak 2 keep their comments to two minutes, so that we can keep the meeting moving. So, we'll keep 3 4 track of the time and just give you two minutes, 5 and then we'll move on. 6 So, with that, the first person on the 7 sheet is Barbara Berwick, from Antrim. MS. BERWICK: Do I need to use the 8 9 mike? PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. 10 11 MS. BERWICK: And do I push -- it's been a while. Do I push the button? 12 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Push the 13 14 button, it will turn red. 15 MS. BERWICK: Okay. Thanks. So, I am 16 an abutter. 17 MR. DELL'ORFANO: Ma'am, could you just 18 say your name for the record, --19 MS. BERWICK: Sure. 20 MR. TURNER: -- and your address? 21 MS. BERWICK: Barbara Berwick, at 72 2.2 Reed Carr Road, Antrim, New Hampshire. I am an 23 abutter to the windmills. 24 We have -- I have filed a complaint,

1 one complaint. And, then, I -- there was nothing 2 set up for what to do. 3 Since then, Pam Monroe, she asked me to 4 send her every time that it was loud, so --5 because they were trying to coordinate when to 6 come out and do some testing; and I did. And 7 those were listed in your meetings as "complaints". They would have been complaints, 8 9 but, like, there was no official process of how 10 to file a complaint. I still don't know how to 11 file a complaint. We have Michael Ott now as a selectman 12 13 in Antrim, who is the one making the most money 14 probably, other than Antrim Wind Energy, from the 15 windmills. So, he's personally invested in these 16 windmills. That's our selectman. So, do I file 17 there? Do I file here? Who do I file a 18 complaint with? I have no idea. 19 So, I thought the purpose of the SEC, 20 when I actually -- when we started the hearings, 21 I actually thought that your purpose was to 2.2 actually be honest and to protect the public, 23 But, at the end, it was obvious that it was too. 24 just a big sham, that there was no protecting the

1 The laws that we were told that would be public. 2 passed, they were not upheld. 3 I've been -- it's been stated that we 4 refused to allow the Antrim Wind Energy to come 5 and test on our property, but that's not true. 6 They have actually tested on our property like 7 four times. But, then, I did say "Only if 8 they're going to follow the protocols that were put in according to the hearing." But, now, the 9 protocols are "Hey, they can average over however 10 11 length of time that they want, the sound, and 12 they can just cut out what they want." They came 13 and they did a testing for over, like, two weeks, 14 and they got I think it was one hour's worth of 15 usable data from our location. And, yet, the 16 previous test, they had these hours and hours and 17 hours. 18 So, you know, it would be really nice 19 if the SEC would care about the public and make 20 some sort of way we -- I don't know how to file a 21 complaint, I really don't. I have no idea. 2.2 I have attended -- I attended the whole 23 thing the last time. I haven't attended all the 24 meetings before. I attended the whole hearings

{SEC 2021-02} [Public meeting] {09-01-23}

8

1 I have attended many of the things at last time. 2 the Supreme Court, at the other court. I still 3 don't know how to file any type of complaint; no 4 idea. I feel like I did file a complaint, and 5 nothing ever happened. They wouldn't even test 6 for it. 7 So, you know, this idea that "Oh, we're done, we've done our job." I guess we've done 8 9 our job, Michael Ott is making lots of money, Jack Kenworthy is making lots of money, Antrim 10 11 Wind Energy is making lots of money. But the 12 people that had their lives interrupted, the 13 people that are there, the people that are living 14 with this, we've just been left stranded. That's how I feel. 15 16 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Thank you. 17 Thank you. 18 MS. BERWICK: You're welcome. 19 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Next is 20 Dr. Fred Ward, from Stoddard. 21 MR. WARD: Thank you. 2.2 This whole lot of hearings and 23 everything was always about a relatively simple 24 thing: Does and can and will Antrim Wind exceed

1 the 40 decibel level at night? There's a lot of 2 other things that have gone into it, but that's 3 the basic thing.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

24

Now, you have gone, when I say "you", I'm talking about you, as a Committee, and all three of you individually, you have gone to the full Committee and reported, basically, that they haven't. And, on that basis, the full Committee said "Well, there really isn't much to do", and they handed it back to you to try again. I don't know what you're supposed to do, but at least they have made an effort and tried.

Now, 40 dB will never be exceeded -the turbines will never produce a 40 dB sound at
any of the neighbors, unless there's a damn loud
sound going out at the turbines. You will only
get damn loud sounds at the turbines when there's
high winds.

So, obviously, if you were trying to find the answer to the question as to whether they produce 40 dB, you would go and you would make measurements when there were high winds at the turbines.

In the technical memorandum produced,

1 that Andrew Biemer produced, which was the 2 Executive Summary from I guess it's HMMH, on the 3 Page Number 5, under "Results", there are three 4 times which are mentioned that they did some 5 monitoring. 6 On the first one, it said -- the first 7 one "relatively modest and calm wind conditions". Does that sound like the kind of time you would 8 make measurements to see if there were 40 dB? 9 No 10 damn fool would do that, and it was done 11 deliberately. And he wasn't a damn fool, it was 12 done deliberately. 13 The second modeling occasion was done 14 in the "midday and evening". This was about 15 measurements at night, it had nothing to do with 16 midday and evening. 17 The third monitoring was, according to 18 them, "was on June 30th and July 1 and was chosen 19 for forecast low wind conditions in the study 20 area." What the hell was that all about? 21 What we conclude out of this is that 2.2 they had no -- they not only didn't have any 23 intention of doing the study right, they had all 24 the intentions of doing it deliberately wrong,

1 and they found no 40 dB levels. You couldn't 2 expect to find 40 dB levels. And the fact that 3 you would buy into the bullshit, which is 4 basically what it is, it was a deliberate effort 5 to be sure that they found no high winds. 6 So, I'll just finish this off by 7 saying --8 MR. TURNER: Mr. Block [Ward?], can we 9 have some decorum please? 10 MR. WARD: Pardon? 11 MR. TURNER: Some decorum. No cursing, 12 please. PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. 13 14 MR. TURNER: Thank you. 15 MR. WARD: I won't respond to that. 16 If you three, individually, and 17 collectively, as a Committee, were serious about 18 finding whether Antrim Wind has, would, or will 19 exceed 40 dB, you would have thrown out the Menge 20 Report and all of the HMMH material. You cannot 21 find a time in that when you would have expected 2.2 it to have produced a 40 dB wind/noise at any of 23 the levels. 24 You are derelict in your duty to accept

1 that. You had to know better, and I think you 2 did. I don't know why you went and did it. 3 But I'll only end it by saying, and 4 this applies to all three of you, and the 5 Committee, have you no shame? 6 Thank you. 7 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Thank you. And I just wanted to clarify that Mark Dell'Orfano is 8 not on the Subcommittee. One of the Subcommittee 9 members, Mike Fitzgerald, is not present today. 10 11 So, the two -- so, it is a two-person 12 Subcommittee today. 13 Next, --14 MR. TURNER: Can I ask a question from 15 the reporter real quick? 16 In my previous comment, did I reference "Mr. Block" or "Mr. Ward"? 17 18 [Court reporter indicated that he 19 cannot recall if there was a name 20 attached.] 21 MR. TURNER: Okay. I thought, if I had 2.2 mentioned "Mr. Block", I had meant "Mr. Ward" in 23 my comment. I just wanted to clarify that for 24 the record.

1 MR. PATNAUDE: Thank you. 2 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: So, then, the 3 next person who wished to speak was Richard 4 Block, North Branch, Antrim. 5 MR. BLOCK: Yes. Thank you very much. 6 MR. DELL'ORFANO: Name and address for 7 the record please. MR. BLOCK: Pardon me? 8 MR. DELL'ORFANO: Name and address for 9 10 the record please. 11 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: If you could do 12 your name and address for the record? 13 MR. BLOCK: Yes. My name is Richard 14 Block. I live at 63 Loveren Mill Road, in the 15 North Branch area of Antrim, directly across from 16 the Tuttle Hill ridge. 17 And I wanted to say that just a few 18 years ago I retired from being a professor of 19 Communications down at Franklin Pierce 20 University. And, as such, I spent decades 21 studying and teaching methods of effective 2.2 communications. And, at the same time, I also 23 studied and examined methods of miscommunication. 24 And I'd like to suggest that the documents that

were provided to us, in terms of the recording the ADLS lighting times on and off, are a really good example of miscommunication. There is really an awful lot of information there that means absolutely nothing. Pages and pages, designed to just obfuscate and confuse the whole situation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

The radar lighting system, in 8 9 principle, is very simple. The idea is that the 10 lights should only flash if and when an aircraft 11 flies at a low altitude, below a thousand feet, 12 in close proximity to the turbines. And, 13 obviously, that only makes a difference at might. 14 Well, occasionally, there might be a low, low 15 plane flying by during the day. But I'll suggest 16 that, in the years since those turbines started 17 up, there's been almost none, and certainly less 18 than my -- than the digits on one hand, planes 19 that have flown by in close proximity, at lower 20 than a thousand feet at night. It just doesn't 21 happen. We're too rural. There's no reason for 2.2 planes to go by.

23 So, the theory is, and this is why the 24 ADLS was instituted, is the theory is that those

1 lights should be off almost all the time. And, 2 if you look at that information, there is "60.2 percent", "54.3", there's these ridiculous 3 4 percentage amounts, which make no sense. It's 5 pretty simple, the lights are either on or 6 they're off, and only if there's a plane nearby 7 should those lights be on. Any other reason for 8 the lights to be on is -- means there's something 9 wrong, something not right with it. 10 So, I think, basically, I just want to 11 point out that I looked and I tried to analyze 12 that data, and it really makes no sense. It's 13 really a pretty -- pretty deep pile of you know 14 what. 15 The -- kind of the questions that it 16 brings to mind that I would just like to leave 17 with here is, why should Antrim Wind follow the 18 restrictions that are listed in their 19 Certificate? Okay. Why should they? Because 20 the only ramification of not following the 21 Certificate is some kind of penalty. If no 2.2 penalties are ever instituted, because any 23 violations are either ignored or just dismissed, 24 then Antrim Wind has no reason to follow the

1 Certificate, and they can go ahead and act as 2 they please, whatever is the most easy and 3 economical for them. 4 So, what are the penalties for 5 noncompliance? Are there any? Have any ever 6 been imposed? And the last part of the question 7 is, does the SEC enforce any certificate 8 regulations and limitations? I, personally, 9 really haven't heard of anything being done in 10 the case of Antrim Wind. 11 So, thank you for this opportunity. 12 MS. BERWICK: Amen. 13 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Thank you. The 14 next person who wished to speak was Janice 15 Longgood, of Antrim. Could you please state your 16 name and address? 17 MS. LONGGOOD: Certainly. My name is 18 Janice Duley Longgood. I live at 156 Salmon 19 Brook Road, in Antrim. And I have filed a 20 complaint. 21 And I do not believe that the testing 2.2 that was done by HMMH was at all representative 23 of the issues that I experience on my property. 24 They were a half a mile away from where I live.

1 I have four turbines that I see from my home. Ι 2 am surrounded by them. 3 The testing that was initially done was 4 on the property above mine, which Mr. Kenworthy 5 purchased 200 acres of, and has pretty much 6 clearcut that land, which I believe has therefore 7 made it even louder than it was. It is intermittent at times. It's not loud all the 8 9 time. It was loud last night a bit. I know this 10 summer, once my son and I were woken up in the 11 middle of the night from them. 12 But, if there's going to be testing 13 done, I never refused testing on my property, as 14 Barbara said. They -- I wanted to have somebody 15 explain how the testing was going to be done, and 16 that never happened. I have -- would be happy to 17 have testing done. I am sure, or I would hope 18 that Mr. Kenworthy, who purchased the land above 19 me, where all of the initial testing was done 20 prior to the turbines even being approved and 21 built, when it was very quiet up there, he might 2.2 not allow it, because I think it would be way 23 above 40 at night. It is a very quiet area I 24 have, again, as I stated, prior to four turbines

1 closer than a mile, and the testing that was done 2 was a half a mile down the road from where I am 3 surrounded. And, purposefully, we purchased a 4 place in the Rural Conservation District. 5 Purposefully, we put our home further in toward 6 the woods, which then now is in further, closer 7 to the turbines. So, I would just like to see the 8 Certificate be followed as we were told, that it 9 would not be any louder than 45 dBs during the 10 11 day and 40 at night. And I can say that it's not 12 regular, it's not predictive, but, when it is 13 loud, it is loud. Even folks across the road, 14 down the road, it's like jet engines roaring, at 15 least on the western part where I. 16 And I am probably, along with Barbara, 17 one of the closest, and, again, surrounded by 18 four of them closer than a mile to my home. I 19 have 55 acres, and not sure how well I want to 20 age out there, but I don't know what the impact 21 of my property value is, but that's not up for 2.2 discussion at this point. 23 But I would certainly hope that 24 additional testing would be done, and a more

1 appropriate place, and at a more appropriate 2 time, that might at least show the similar kinds 3 of weather in which we are experiencing excessive 4 noise. 5 Thank you for the opportunity to speak. 6 I know I haven't been here for the last few 7 times. I feel like I've been here for years and 8 years and years. And I'm not sure how impactful 9 the public has been, in terms of trying to make an impact. But it has made a major impact on my 10 11 life and enjoyment of living in the middle of 12 nowhere. And the purpose of that was certainly 13 not have an industrial facility right in my 14 backyard, so to speak. 15 Thank you very much. 16 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Thank you. The 17 last requested speaker was Thomas Getz, Antrim 18 Wind. 19 Thank you, and good morning. MR. GETZ: 20 I'm Tom Getz. I am an attorney with McLane 21 Middleton. I'm here on behalf of Antrim Wind. 2.2 The investigation of complaints is 23 governed by RSA 162-H:12, which, in pertinent 24 part, states that "Whenever the committee, or the

1 administrator as designee, makes a preliminary 2 determination that any term or condition of any 3 certificate issued under this chapter", meaning 4 RSA Chapter 162-H, "is being violated, it shall, 5 in writing, notify the certificate holder of the 6 specific violation and order the person to 7 immediately terminate the violation." 8 Here, the Subcommittee has been 9 inserted into the process to make a recommendation to the Committee as to whether 10 11 Antrim Wind is violating any term or condition of 12 its Certificate or RSA 162-H. The Subcommittee 13 has recommended, and the Committee has concluded, 14 with respect to a set of fifteen sound 15 complaints, that Antrim Wind is not in violation 16 of the Certificate. 17 On June 23, Chairman Goldner issued a 18 second order regarding a Subcommittee charge, 19 directing the Subcommittee to recommend the 20 disposition of operational complaints from 21 commercial operation through June 7 of this year 2.2 for which recommendations had not been provided 23 previously. The Administrator's report that was 24 issued yesterday concludes that there are six

1 communications from Ms. Berwick and Ms. Longgood 2 on sound issues that potentially rise to the 3 level of complaints; and, in addition, a number 4 of communications from Mr. Block have been deemed 5 complaints concerning lighting, that are the 6 subject of today's public meeting. 7 With respect to the six communications labeled as "sound complaints", it appears that 8 they are all effectively subsumed within the 9 10 Subcommittee's and the Committee's previous 11 disposition of the 15 identified sound complaints from the same individuals. 12 13 Accordingly, there is no basis in these 14 communications for concluding that HMMH, the Subcommittee, or the Committee would have come to 15 a different conclusion and/or that Antrim Wind is 16 17 in violation of its Certificate. 18 As for the lighting issues, Antrim Wind 19 pointed out, in its February 2, 2023 filing, that 20 there was an outstanding complaint by Mr. Block, 21 and, in that filing, Antrim Wind included a response to an information request that was 2.2 23 previously served on Antrim Wind, detailing why 24 Antrim Wind is not in violation of its

1 Certificate. And I would refer you, I won't go 2 into at length, I will refer you to Attachment J 3 to the February 1, 2023 [February 2, 2023?] 4 filing by Antrim Wind. 5 Antrim Wind's position is unchanged 6 from its response to that information request. 7 And the additional communications listed in the Administrator's report do not alter the 8 situation. Mr. Block's communications 9 10 essentially comprise a single contention that 11 Antrim Wind is in violation of its Certificate, 12 because the lights are on more than they should 13 be from his perspective. 14 The bottom line, however, is that Antrim Wind is not in violation of its 15 16 Certificate, and it has done exactly what was 17 required of it. It was required to -- the 18 Certificate had a further ordering clause that 19 the Certificate was conditioned upon compliance 20 with all conditions of the determination of no 21 hazard or error navigation issued by the FAA. 2.2 And it was required to file with the 23 Administrator the FAA's determination of no 24 hazard pertaining to the Aircraft Detection

1 Lighting System. 2 The SEC required Antrim Wind to do two 3 things, and it did those two things. It is not 4 in violation of its Certificate. And, therefore, 5 it complies with the enforcement statute in RSA 6 162-H. 7 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Mr. Getz, are you about finished? 8 9 MR. GETZ: I am. 10 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Okay. 11 MR. GETZ: One quick thing. So, 12 neither the Certificate, nor the SEC decision, 13 sets forth a numerical requirement or a standard 14 as to the operation of the ADLS. It's clear from 15 the record that ADLS was an emerging technology 16 at the time of the proceedings. And it's also 17 clear that the FAA's focus is on safety, and at 18 no time has public safety been at risk due to the 19 operation of the ADLS. The ADLS system is set 20 up, when there are -- any issue arises, whether 21 it's an equipment failure or a detection of any 2.2 movement of any sort, that the lights are 23 activated. And the focus is on public safety, 24 and that's the way that the system has been

1 operating. 2 Thank you. 3 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Thank you. 4 MR. GETZ: And I'd be happy to answer 5 any questions that you have. 6 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Thank you. 7 All right. That was all I had on the list of people who wished to speak. If there's 8 anybody else who would wish to speak, just if you 9 10 would raise your hand? 11 [No indication given.] PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: 12 No? Okay. All right. So, I think next, the next 13 14 thing I'd like to do is have Drew Biemer maybe provide a little bit of kind of a presentation or 15 16 an overview of, you know, you provided a report 17 to us, to the -- you know, regarding essentially 18 a summary of your investigation related to the 19 complaints, and, you know, gathering, you know, 20 figuring out, you know, what complaints we have 21 that may still need to be addressed or are 2.2 outstanding. And, then, as well as, you know, 23 some information related to the ADLS. 24 So, Drew, I don't know if you would be

1 prepared to provide an overview of your 2 investigation? 3 ADMIN. BIEMER: Absolutely. Thank you. 4 As you know, we had a full SEC meeting 5 on June 7th, and out of that meeting came a 6 couple things. The first was the order from 7 Chairman Goldner, instructing us and myself to 8 provide a full accounting of complaints. 9 Investigative Counsel John-Mark Turner and I 10 embarked on reading through all the dockets for 11 complaints. We compiled a spreadsheet. The 12 types of things that were not included in that 13 spreadsheet were things that were not about 14 specific instances or further communications 15 regarding complaints we had previously logged. 16 But the ones in this spreadsheet are 17 representative of the complaints. There are 18 multiple in here from Barbara Berwick, multiple 19 in here from Mr. Block, multiple in here from 20 Janice Longgood. And we believe that this 21 spreadsheet I've sent out yesterday is 2.2 representative of all of the complaints. 23 Included in this spreadsheet are the ones that 24 were brought up at the previous meeting of the

{SEC 2021-02} [Public meeting] {09-01-23}

26

full SEC.

1

2 Now, as those complaints at the 3 previous meeting of the full SEC were in regards 4 to the sound study and the sound complaint, the 5 full SEC, in addressing those complaints, it's my 6 position that they have also addressed the other 7 sound complaints. Because the other sound complaints that were not included are pretty much 8 the same as the ones we discussed at the previous 9 10 meeting of the full SEC. 11 So, that is our position on those 12 complaints, is that the full SEC made a ruling 13 accepting the sound study by HMMH, and that that 14 fact closes the book on that type of complaint. 15 Regarding the ADLS, I embarked on an 16 investigation into the ADLS system that included 17 requesting documents from the facility, regarding 18 the percentage of time it was on, and the 19 percentage of time it was off. That included 20 visiting the facility and touring the site, and 21 getting a full explanation of how the system 2.2 worked, and also why the system was apparently 23 not working for approximately 100 days in a row. 24 I want to apologize to Mr. Block, who

1 said that the data was not readable, or there was 2 a lot of stuff in there. I did not create that 3 document, that came from the facility. But I 4 looked at it, and it was my determination that 5 that would be a good sort of representation, 6 because it showed percentages of time on, time 7 off, and dates. When I asked about the days when the 8 lights were on 100 percent of the time, the 9 10 response was that the system was down, meaning 11 the radar itself was down. And, when the radar 12 goes down, they default to being on. 13 Now, I asked some fairly tough 14 questions of the Facility Manager as to why it 15 took so long to get the system back up again. 16 There were two issues, from an equipment 17 perspective, I believe I outlined both of them in 18 my investigative summary. One of them was an air 19 conditioning unit, and one of them was the actual 20 radar itself, and the delay was attributed by the 21 facility to a supply chain issue, and the fact 2.2 that these parts need to be procured and also 23 serviced and installed by the manufacturer. So, 24 it's not the type of work that the facility is

1 capable of doing themself. 2 And, outside of those 100 days, approximately 100 days when there was a system 3 4 malfunction, and the lights defaulted to being 5 on, we regard the percentage, and this is the 6 percentage based on the readout of the time 7 on/time off, which, I believe, and Mr. Turner can 8 correct me here, was hovering around 30-40 percent, that might be a little high, it 9 10 might have been 30. 11 Twenty or thirty percent. MR. TURNER: 12 ADMIN. BIEMER: Twenty to thirty 13 That is in keeping with how the ADLS percent. 14 system is supposed to work. Now, the reason I 15 say "in keeping" is because I'm going to ask 16 Counsel Turner for a more in-depth analysis on 17 what the Certificate says in regards to the ADLS. 18 MR. TURNER: Yes. Sure. You guys have 19 seen the Certificate. There's very little --20 there's nothing in the Certificate that's a 21 metric for how often the lighting system has to 2.2 come on and come off, okay. So, you're aware of that. And I think 23 24 that's what you're referring to, right, Drew?

1 That's what I was ADMIN. BIEMER: Yes. referring to. 2 3 MR. TURNER: Okay. Great. Yes. 4 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Okay. Thanks. 5 Thank you, Drew. 6 I don't think -- I don't feel that I 7 have any questions necessarily for Drew on that. 8 Tom, do you have any questions on his 9 presentation or --No, I don't. I just 10 MR. EATON: 11 appreciate the background that you just gave us 12 on that. PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. 13 And T 14 also appreciate the time of going out there and 15 trying to at least figure out why, you know, view 16 the facility, and really take some time to figure 17 out what was going on. DELIBERATIONS 18 19 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Okay. So, I 20 think probably the next thing to do would be to, 21 you know, given that we have that spreadsheet 2.2 that provides, you know, kind of an accounting of 23 all of the -- all of the complaints that we are 24 considering here today or have considered in the

1 past, I would like to motion that we accept that, 2 this spreadsheet, as a complete summary of the 3 complaints. I will second that. 4 MR. EATON: 5 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: All right. Ι 6 guess we will have to vote on that, but --7 MR. EATON: It's going to take a long 8 time, do you think? MR. DELL'ORFANO: Any discussion the 9 10 two of you want to have about it? 11 No, I have no discussion. MR. EATON: 12 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. I think 13 it -- it appears to be a pretty complete list to 14 Went through all of those. And, to be me. 15 honest, I think that it, you know, required a lot 16 of work to dig through, and even some of those 17 may or not technically be, you know, and again, 18 as Ms. Berwick indicated, it is tough to tell 19 what is a complaint and what's not. I think that 20 that's a fairly complete list of what's a 21 compliant. 2.2 So, with that, I would -- I would vote 23 that that is -- that we accept that as a list of 24 all the complaints that we have to date.

1 MR. EATON: I agree, yes. 2 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Okay. So, 3 next, I think the next thing to do would be to --4 I would recommend to the Subcommittee that they 5 take no enforcement actions on the following 6 communications: The March 2nd, 2020, Barbara 7 Berwick communication; the May 14th, 2021, 8 Berwick and other communication; the July 1st, 2021, Barbara Berwick communication; the August 9 10 11th, 2021, Janice Longgood communication; the 11 January 10th, 2022, Janice Longgood 12 communication; and the May 1st, 2023, Janice 13 Longgood communication. MR. TURNER: Point of clarification? 14 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: 15 Sure. 16 MR. TURNER: Is the motion to recommend 17 to the full committee to take no further action 18 on those complaints you just specified? Is that 19 the motion? PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. 20 21 MR. TURNER: Okay. Thank you. 2.2 MR. EATON: And I will second that 23 motion. 24 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: So, at least my

1 feeling is that the -- you know, that the reason 2 that these should be accepted -- I apologize, 3 hang on. MR. DELL'ORFANO: Did Tom second that? 4 5 MR. EATON: Yes, I did second that. 6 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. 7 MR. EATON: And that was before the -before the sound study, these complaints? 8 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. So, and I 9 10 guess my feeling is that, essentially, and that's 11 kind of what Thomas Getz brought up, is that they 12 were -- these were all noise complaints, and they 13 were all kind of resolved as part of the HMMH 14 study. So, I feel that that's why we should be 15 recommending to the full Committee that they take 16 no further enforcement action regarding those 17 sound complaints. 18 MR. EATON: Yes. 19 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Okay. So, with 20 that, I would, on that motion, I would vote "yes". 21 2.2 MR. EATON: I would vote "yes" also. 23 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: All right. So, 24 the next item, I guess, is somewhat similar. Ι

1 would recommend to the Committee that they take 2 no enforcement actions on the following 3 communications related to the ADLS that predate 4 the Vose complaint that was previously resolved: 5 February 28th, 2020, Richard Block communication; 6 March 13th, 2020, Barbara Berwick communication; 7 April 30th, 2020, Richard Block communication; August 13th, 2020, Richard Block communication; 8 March 25th, 2021, Richard Block communication; 9 10 and the July 20th, 2021, Richard Block 11 communication. 12 MR. TURNER: Another point of 13 clarification? PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: 14 Sure. 15 MR. TURNER: Are you making a motion to 16 recommend to the full Committee that they take no 17 further action with respect to those complaints 18 that you just described? PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Correct. 19 20 MR. TURNER: Okay. 21 MR. EATON: And I'll second that. And 2.2 also state, you know, during that time, there 23 were three equipment failures, which would have 24 produced complaints about the lights being on.

1 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: I think 2 those -- those would have been the prior 3 complaint, I mean, the prior malfunction, that 4 happened prior to the Vose complaint. 5 Right. MR. EATON: 6 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: So, 7 essentially, I think, again, similar to what 8 we've just kind of discussed with the sound 9 communications, those complaints were kind of --10 they were resolved as part of the Vose resolution 11 and the recommendation by the Subcommittee, that 12 was then accepted by the full Committee. 13 MR. EATON: Yes. 14 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: So, basically, 15 what we're saying is here that these complaints 16 predated that, they were resolved as part of that 17 resolution by the Subcommittee and the full 18 Committee. 19 So, with that, I would vote "yes" on 20 that motion. 21 MR. EATON: I will vote "yes" also. 2.2 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Okay. The next 23 item would be to, and this, I think, gets to, 24 Tom, what you were just suggesting, --

1 MR. EATON: Ahead of myself on that 2 one. 3 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. As Drew 4 indicated previously, there were several 5 malfunction events since the Vose, you know, the 6 Vose complaint resolution. And, so, that there 7 were -- I would recommend that the Committee take no enforcement action on the following 8 communications: February 2nd, 2023, Richard 9 10 Block communication -- I apologize -- testimony 11 at the public meeting; the April 28th, 2023, 12 Richard Block communication; and the May 15th, 13 2023, Richard Block testimony at the public 14 meeting. MR. TURNER: Point of clarification 15 16 again? Sorry. 17 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. 18 MR. TURNER: Are you making a motion. 19 That the Subcommittee recommends that the 20 Committee take no further action with respect to 21 those complaints you just mentioned? 2.2 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Correct. 23 MR. TURNER: Okay. Thanks. 24 MR. EATON: Second. And I would vote

{SEC 2021-02} [Public meeting] {09-01-23}

36

1 "ves". 2 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: All right. And 3 I would vote "yes" as well, but the --4 MR. EATON: Yes. I think that pretty 5 much takes care of all of our communications, 6 right? 7 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes, I think 8 I think that takes care of all of the so. 9 communications. 10 MR. EATON: I would like to add to 11 that, that I think Antrim Wind -- I would recommend that the Antrim Wind facility be 12 13 requested to provide periodic lighting data and 14 more timely reports about the system problems. And I hope they get those fixed, and I hope the 15 16 supply chain has come around that will make that better for them. 17 MR. GETZ: Certainly. I will pass that 18 19 on, Mr. Eaton. 20 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: All right. 21 With that, I think --2.2 MR. TURNER: A couple of things. 23 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Sure. 24 MR. TURNER: Did you want to consider

1 adopting the Administrator's investigative 2 findings as the Subcommittee's? PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. 3 4 MR. EATON: I move that we do that. 5 Yes. 6 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: So, I will 7 second that, that motion as well, to adopt the Administrator's findings. 8 9 So, I would vote "yes". 10 MR. EATON: I will vote "yes" also. 11 MR. TURNER: The only other procedural matter I would ask is whether the Subcommittee 12 13 wants to designate the Chairman to write --14 [Court reporter interruption.] 15 MR. TURNER: Sorry. Yes. The only --16 does the Subcommittee want to designate the 17 Chairman to write the recommendation to the full 18 Committee summarizing what you guys just did? 19 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. I would 20 like to motion that we allow the Administrator to 21 do that. 2.2 MR. EATON: Second that. 23 MR. TURNER: Clarification. Do you 24 want the Administrator or the Chairman?

1 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Who's the 2 Chair? 3 MR. TURNER: You. Do you want to write it? 4 5 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Procedurally, I 6 don't know. Should I? 7 MR. TURNER: It's up to you. I'm just 8 asking if that's the way you want to handle it? 9 Or, you just want the --10 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: I think the 11 Administrator could. 12 MR. TURNER: Okay. 13 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: So, I'd like to 14 motion that the Administrator do that. MR. EATON: Second that. And with a 15 "yes". 16 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: All right. And 17 "yes" for me as well. 18 19 Anything else? 20 MR. TURNER: Not from me. MR. DELL'ORFANO: No, I think you've 21 2.2 covered the agenda. 23 PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Okay. 24 MR. EATON: You need a formal motion to

adjourn? PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Perfect. MR. EATON: So moved. MR. TURNER: All right. You've got to second it. PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: Yes. I second. MR. EATON: Without objection, yes. I second --PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: All right. MR. EATON: -- or, I vote "yes". PRESIDING OFCR. EVANS: And I vote "yes". Thank you, everyone. (Whereupon the SEC Subcommittee public meeting was adjourned at 10:52 a.m.)

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	I, Steven. E. Patnaude, a Licensed Shorthand
4	Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic
6	notes of these proceedings taken at the place and on
7	the date hereinbefore set forth, to the best of my
8	skill and ability under the conditions present at
9	the time.
10	I further certify that I am neither attorney or
11	counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of
12	the parties to the action; and further, that I am
13	not a relative or employee of any attorney or
14	counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially
15	interested in this action.
16	/s/ Steven E. Patnaude (09-19-2023)
17	Steven E. Patnaude, LCR
18	Licensed Court Reporter N.H. LCR No. 52
19	(RSA 310-A:173)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	