

From: Martin, Dianne <Dianne.H.Martin@puc.nh.gov>
Sent: Friday, July 23, 2021 4:38 AM
To: Marguerite <magfrancis@sbcglobal.net>
Cc: sduprey31@gmail.com <sduprey31@gmail.com>; Daniela Allee <dallee@nhpr.org>; Karen Ebel <Karen.Ebel@leg.state.nh.us>; SEC: Admin <admin@sec.nh.gov>
Subject: Re: Today's meeting regarding the A111 rebuild

Good morning Ms. Francis,

Thank you for email. I will provide it to the Committee members in advance of the Committee's next business meeting. Thank you also for seeking to accurately depict the Committee's actions. Minutes from yesterday's public meeting are not yet available but will be the official record that memorializes the Committee's actions taken yesterday.

During the meeting, the Committee listened to public comments regarding a transmission line project involving work on an existing line. This was the second meeting at which the Committee considered this issue and heard from you and Eversource officials regarding the A111 project. On both occasions, Committee members asked questions of the commenters and engaged in discussion about the project. After the discussion at the most recent meeting, one Committee member made a motion to send a letter to Eversource regarding the proposed A111 Transmission Line project. The motion was for the Committee to inform Eversource that the project may constitute a sizeable change or addition to an existing energy facility which would require a certificate issued by the Committee. This motion did not pass.

At this time, the Committee's formal action regarding the A111 Transmission Line is contained in the letter issued April 16, 2021. This letter is publicly posted on the Committee's website and is publicly accessible [here](#). The letter advised Eversource: "Regarding the specific applicability of RSA 162-H:5 to the described A111 Line transmission project, you are free to file a petition for declaratory ruling, with the appropriate fee, in accordance with RSA 162-H and the Committee's administrative rules." No petition has been filed.

Regarding the Committee's break during the public meeting, the Committee took a recess to allow a break for the comfort of the Committee members and the public. During this recess, the Committee also consulted with its legal counsel; such conversations with counsel may and do occur outside of public meetings. However, in accordance with our State's Right-to-Know law, all substantive discussion and deliberation concerning the A111 Transmission Line among the Committee members occurred during the public meeting that was open to you and other members of the public.

Respectfully,

Dianne Martin
Site Evaluation Committee, Chairwoman